AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

June 23, 2026

VIA EMAIL

U.S. Secret Service
Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act Branch
Building T-5
245 Murray Ln. SW
Washington, DC 20223
FOIA@usss.dhs.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

On July 31, 2025, the White House released a statement announcing the construction of the White House State Ballroom on the site of the East Wing.[1] In a legal filing, the Trump administration argued that the construction of the ballroom is "a vital project for the safety of and security of the White House and the President, his family, and his staff.[2] On April 16, 2026, a federal judge determined that construction could proceed on the portions necessary for national security.[3] American Oversight seeks records to shed light on the planning and construction of the ballroom.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your office produce the following records within twenty business days:

> All email communications (including emails, email attachments, complete email chains, calendar invitations, and calendar invitation attachments) between (a) any of the officials listed below, and (b) any of the external individuals and

[1] *See* The White House, *The White House Announces White House Ballroom Construction to Begin*, Jul. 31, 2025, https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefings-statements/2025/07/the-white-house-announces-white-house-ballroom-construction-to-begin/.
[2] *See e.g.*, Dareh Gregorian, *White House Calls New Ballroom a National Security Necessity That's 'Vital' to the Trump Family's Safety*, NBC News (Apr. 9, 2026, 3:58PM). https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/white-house/trump-ballroom-national-security-necessity-vital-first-family-safety-rcna267482.
[3] *See e.g.*, Dan Dimaond & Jonathan Edwards, *Federal Judge Sets New Limits on Trump Ballroom Construction*, Wash. Post, Apr. 16, 2026, https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2026/04/16/judge-trump-ballroom-limits/

entities also listed below (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in the listed domains).

USSS Officials

i. Sean M. Curran, Director
ii. Matthew C. Quinn, Deputy Director
iii. Tyler McQuiston, Chief of Staff
iv. Christopher Toms, Chief Operating Officer
v. Darryl S. Volpicelli, Assistant Director, Office of Intergovernmental & Legislative Affairs, former acting Deputy Director

External Individuals and Entities

a. Robert Moser, Chief Executive Officer, Clark Construction Group LLC
b. Brian Abt, Co-President, Clark Construction Group LLC
c. John O'Keefe, Co-President, Clark Construction Group LLC
d. Barbara Wagner, Executive Vice President, Clark Construction Group LLC
e. Bill Calhoun, Executive Vice President and Vice Chairman, Clark Construction Group LLC
f. Chip Hastie, Chief Operating Officer, Clark Construction Group LLC
g. Hal Roach, Executive Vice President, Clark Construction Group LLC
h. Jay Grauberger, Executive Vice President, Clark Construction Group LLC
i. Joe Abidin, Executive Vice President, Clark Construction Group LLC
j. Joe Hogan, Group CEO, Clark Construction Group LLC
k. Lee Delong, Group CEO, Clark Construction Group LLC
l. Clark Construction Group LLC Group LLC (@clarkconstruction.com)
m. Shalom Baranes, Principal, Shalom Baranes Associates, P.C
n. Kevin Milstead, Associate Director, Shalom Baranes Associates, P.C
o. Emily Emrick, Senior Principal, Shalom Baranes Associates, P.C
p. Sunny Evangelista Carrol Chief Operations Officer, Shalom Baranes Associates, P.C
q. Patricia Ferrari, Associate Director, Shalom Baranes Associates, P.C
r. Tim Arnold, Associate Director, Shalom Baranes Associates, P.C
s. Jack Moyer, Director, Shalom Baranes Associates, P.C
t. Melissa Hendrix, Senior Principal, Shalom Baranes Associates, P.C
u. Andrew Taylor, Senior Principal, Shalom Baranes Associates, P.C
v. Shalom Baranes Associates, P.C (@sbaranes.com)

In an effort to accommodate your office and reduce the number of potentially responsive records to be processed and produced, American Oversight has limited this request to emails sent by the specified individuals. To be clear, however, American Oversight's request encompasses complete email chains, including all preceding messages in a chain to which a custodian responded. This means that any message a listed official sent during the specified time frame where a key term listed above appears anywhere in the preceding chain is responsive to this request, and all sent and received messages in that chain should be produced.

Please provide all responsive records from January 20, 2025, through the date the search is conducted.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency's regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government."[4] The public has a significant interest in the planning and construction of the White House State Ballroom.[5] Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including the role of federal agencies in the planning and construction of the White House State Ballroom. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public's understanding of the government's activities would be enhanced through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records.

This request "is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."[6] In fact, as a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose, and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's commercial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and X (formerly Twitter).[7]

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted

---

[4] 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).
[5] *See e.g.*, Cat Zakrzewski, Isaac Arnsdorf and Jonathan Edwards, *Undeterred by Polls and Courts, Trump Pushes Ballroom, Honors Donors*, Wash. Post, May 7, 2026, https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2026/05/07/trump-ballroom-white-house-event/.
[6] 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).
[7] American Oversight currently has approximately 16,000 followers on Facebook and 94,200 followers on X (formerly Twitter). American Oversight, Facebook, https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/ (last visited June 23, 2026); American Oversight (@weareoversight), X (formerly Twitter), https://x.com/weareoversight (last visited June 23, 2026).

to its website.[8] Examples reflecting this commitment include the posting of records related to the first Trump Administration's contacts with Ukraine and analyses of those contacts;[9] posting records and editorial content about the federal government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic;[10] posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the first Trump administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;[11] the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;[12] and posting records and analysis of federal officials' use of taxpayer dollars to charter private aircraft or use government planes for unofficial business.[13]

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

---

[8] *See generally Our Latest*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/blog.

[9] *The Trump Administration's Contacts with Ukraine*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-contacts-with-ukraine.

[10] *See generally The Trump Administration's Response to Coronavirus*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus; *see, e.g.*, *CDC Calendars from 2018 and 2019: Pandemic-Related Briefings and Meetings*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/cdc-calendars-from-2018-and-2019-pandemic-related-briefings-and-meetings.

[11] *See generally Audit the Wall*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall; *see, e.g.*, *Audit the Wall: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, https://americanoversight.org/audit-the-wall-no-plans-funding-or-timeline-and-no-wall/.

[12] *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal*, American Oversight, https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/25544090-doj-records-relating-to-solicitor-general-noel-franciscos-recusal-american-oversight; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents.

[13] *See generally Swamp Airlines: Chartered Jets at Taxpayer Expense*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/swamp-airlines-private-jets-taxpayer-expense; *see, e.g.*, *New Information on Pompeo's 2017 Trips to His Home State*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/new-information-on-pompeos-2017-trips-to-his-home-state.

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics.

- In conducting your search, please understand the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind.

- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.[14] It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.[15]

- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,[16] and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may

---

[14] *See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); *cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).
[15] *See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).
[16] Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf.

not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Danny Martinez at foia@americanoversight.org or (202) 897-2465. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

/s/ Danny Martinez
Danny Martinez
on behalf of
American Oversight