Subject: TEMPLATEFOIA Service Request Updated :: C000988-041026
Date: Monday, August 3, 2026 at 6:58:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time
From: Riverside County Sheriff
To: AO Records
Category: PRODUCTION

EXTERNAL SENDER

--- Please respond above this line ---

RE: Public Records Reference # C000988-041026

Dear Austin Evers:

On April 10, 2026, the Riverside County Sheriff's Department received your request for records under the California Public Records Act (CPRA) wherein you requested the following:

"CA-RIVERSIDE-26-0932 - Please see attached request

All email communications (including emails, email attachments, complete email chains, calendar invitations, and calendar invitation attachments) between (a) the Riverside County Sheriff 's Department officials listed below (including anyone communicating on their behalf, such as an assistant or scheduler) and (b) any of the external individuals listed below.

Riverside County Sheriff 's Department Officials
a. Chad Bianco, Sheriff
b. James Merrill, Sergeant
c. Robert Castellanos, Investigator
d. Sean Brown, Captain, Special Investigations Bureau

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

External Individuals and Entities
i. Greg Langworthy
ii. Veronica Langworthy
iii. Shelby Bunch
iv. Jim Niederecker
v. Michael Rpfiefer
vi. Shari Franklin
vii. Riverside County Election Integrity Team"

*****Department Response*****

The Riverside County Sheriff's Office has located records responsive to your request, and those records are available in the Records Request System. Please log in to your online account to retrieve the records.

While the responsive records were disclosed, the Department has redacted information for privacy reasons. Where non-disclosable portions of public records are "reasonably segregable" from the disclosable portions, the agency may withhold or redact the non-disclosable portions while producing the disclosable portions. Thus, redactions are permitted under the CPRA, as long as the basis for the redaction (specified exemption) is identified in the agency's response. See Cal. Gov. Code § 7922.525(a)-(b) American Civ. Liberties Union Found'n v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-454.

The department has asserted the following exemptions:

Government Code § 7923.600(a) – provides that law enforcement investigation, security and intelligence information file records of a local police agency are exempt from disclosure. Furthermore, as set forth in Rivero v. Superior Court, 54 Cal. App. 4th 1048 (1997) and Williams v. Superior Court, 5 Cal, 4th 337 (1993), the investigatory file exemption to the public records act request is not lost even where the investigation is deemed concluded or terminated.

Government Code § 7927.705 – read in conjunction with Evidence Code § 1040, provides that official information acquired in confidence by a public employee is exempt from disclosure.

Government Code § 7927.705 – read in conjunction with Article 1, § 1 of the California Constitution provides that personal information details are subject to privacy rights that make such information exempt from disclosure.

Government Code § 7922.000 – the records in question are exempt because under the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record.

Please consider this CPRA request closed.

Corr. Sergeant Victoria Miara

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

CPRA Unit
Riverside County Sheriff's Office

*****Notification Update 07/14/2026*****

The Department has located records responsive to your request, however, due to the necessity of reviewing and redacting these records prior to their release, we anticipate a potential disclosure date of August 14, 2026. You will receive an updated notification before that date.

Thank you for your patience.

***** Notification Update 6/30/2026 *****

There has been no change in the status of your request. You will be receiving monthly progress notification. Next updated notification no later than July 14, 2026.

***** Notification Update 6/18/2026 *****

There has been no change in the status of your request. You will be receiving monthly progress notification. The estimated date of disclosure is June 30, 2026. Next updated notification no later than June 30, 2026.

***** Notification Update 6/4/2026 *****

There has been no change in the status of your request. You will be receiving monthly progress notification. The estimated date of disclosure is June 30, 2026. Next updated notification no later than June 18, 2026.

***** Notification Update 5/4/2026 *****

There has been no change in the status of your request. You will be receiving monthly progress notification. The estimated date of disclosure is June 30, 2026. Next updated notification no later than June 4, 2026.

Lieutenant Francisco Velasco
CPRA Unit
Riverside County Sheriff's Office

Department Response:

The Riverside County Sheriff's Office is in the process of gathering and reviewing the requested records. Your request will take extra time to fulfill because of the need to search for and collect the requested records from field facilities or other establishments that are separate from the office processing the request

Consequently, the Riverside County Sheriff's Department is exercising its authority under

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

Government Code section 6253(c), to extend the time to reply to a Public Records Act request. You will receive a more complete response no later than May 4, 2026.

Sincerely,

Corr. Sergeant Victoria Miara
CPRA Unit
Riverside County Sheriff's Office

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Greg Langworthy
To: Castellanos, Robert
Subject: Public meeting with Art and Matt concerning the unreliability of ballot statements
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 11:40:27 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Riverside Sheriff email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Robert,

This video may be helpful understanding why the ROV believes the ballot statements are unreliable and our team questioning Matt concerning this premise. Our dialogue with Matt begins at 27:18.

Hope this is helpful. This is the public meeting with Art I could remember before the Prop 50 election.

Greg.

[redacted]

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Greg Langworthy
To: Supervisor Karen Spiegel; Supervisor Jose Medina; Supervisor Yxstian Gutierrez (5th District); Supervisor Chuck Washington; Supervisor V. Manuel Perez; Hestrin, Michael; Bianco, Chad; Perez, Juan; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey; Florer, Elizabeth; Tinoco, Art; Ceballos, Matthew; Chogyoji, Anthony; Tran, Minh; Smith, Jeremy
Cc: Jason Craig; Ken Campbell; Brian and Christine Oneil; Mark Center; Barbara Mautino; Veronica Langworthy; Ceci Truman; Ron Edwards; Christine Fontes; Joe Cagliero; Tina Selene; Josephine Hartley; Bill Weston; Shaun Fagan; Desare Ferraro; Denise Grandi; Karen Herman; Tom and Vivian Dicus; Kelly Dickson; John Thomas; Jose and Maria Regueiro; Dale Dieleman; Jack Guerrero; Julie Vandegrift; Jim Niederecker; Larry Berggren; Pamela Weeks; Mike Willis; Desare Ferraro; Mike Willis; Julius Mendoza; Mike Gregory; Jim Hench; Lori Stone; Cyndie Allen; Lewis J. Allen; Michelle Morgan; Richard Dickson; Sharon Neill; Jessica Christopher; Jessica Alexander; Mike Pfeifer; Cathy Cammarato; Lori Stone; Jonathan Ingram; Komrosky, Joseph; George Enfield; Brian Kerr; Shari Franklin; Kelly Dickson; Sheena Lynn Conrad; Taryn Cagliero; Nancy Gaier; Kate Herman; Neill, Sharon; Jack Guerrero; Susan Maldonado; JOHN LEONARD; Julie Vandegrift; Chris Grisafe; Bob Quaid; Kent and Cindy Greaver; Dan Brown; Cheryl Frink; George Rombach; Clint Curtis; Ceci Truman; Garland Favorito; [redacted]@protonmail.com
Subject: Re: public comment to the county
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:38:16 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisors and County officials,

I just noticed I made an error in my public comment I emailed to you yesterday. The second paragraph should read, "The REIT audit, based on the ROV's own election records, revealed that **45,896 more vote**s were recorded by the Liberty Vote System than the number of ballots recorded on the ballot collection logs created by the ROV's election workers." I mistakenly wrote the number as 657,896 which is not accurate.

Sorry about the mistake.

Greg Langworthy

On Thursday, March 12, 2026 at 09:10:07 AM PDT, Greg Langworthy [redacted] wrote:

> Dear Supervisors and county officials,
>
> I had intended to make a public comment on Tuesday, but I had to leave early because of a family emergency. Here is the comment I intended to make.
>
> The REIT audit, based on the ROV's own election records, revealed that 657,896 more votes were recorded by the Liberty Vote System than the number of ballots recorded on the ballot collection logs created by the ROV's election workers. Discovering this discrepancy was made possible by the good work of Art Tinoco and his team: they remain committed to transparency and accuracy in the work they do.
>
> However, the system Art currently uses to reconcile the number of ballots cast with the number of votes counted does not utilize these ballot collection logs. His ballot count numbers are produced by his Election Management Information System

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

(EIMS) and his Liberty Vote System.

Because of the wide discrepancy between ballots cast and votes counted revealed by the REIT audit, I reported this information to our Sheriff's department. It is important for citizens and elected officials to understand that I believe Art needs to be commended for the work he did in the Proposition 50 election and not be falsely accused of any wrong-doing. I continue to believe, from what I know, that our ROV is one of the best in the state. My belief is that Art may not know why this discrepancy occurred because of the complexity of the electronic voting system he is required to use by the state.

The question which requires an answer is "How many physical ballots were cast in the Proposition 50 election in our county?" Is the correct number 657,322 as was reported by the Liberty Vote system or is the correct number 611,426 as was reported on the ROV's ballot collection logs created by the ROV's election workers or is a different number correct? The answer to this question, I believe, is of great importance to the ROV, to our elected and appointed county officials, and to all the citizens of Riverside County. The cause of this discrepancy needs to be identified and corrected before the next election.

I believe the way to answer this question with certainty is to hand-count the physical ballots. I have suggested the use of cameras to record this counting to ensure transparency and accuracy; but regardless of how these ballots are counted, I believe our county supervisors, the ROV, the Sheriff's department, and our CEO will work together to produce an accurate count.

The common goal for all of us in Riverside County is a lawful and transparently accurate election system which protects each citizen's right to a government vested with authority by "the consent of the governed."

Thank you for the work you are doing to help make our county an example of election integrity in our state.

Sincerely,
Greg Langworthy

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From:	Greg Langworthy
To:	Supervisor Karen Spiegel; Supervisor Jose Medina; Supervisor Yxstian Gutierrez (5th District); Supervisor Chuck Washington; Supervisor V. Manuel Perez; Hestrin, Michael; Bianco, Chad; Perez, Juan; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey; Florer, Elizabeth; Tinoco, Art; Ceballos, Matthew; Chogyoji, Anthony; Tran, Minh; Smith, Jeremy
Cc:	Jason Craig; Ken Campbell; Brian and Christine Oneil; Mark Center; Barbara Mautino; Veronica Langworthy; Ceci Truman; Ron Edwards; Christine Fontes; Joe Cagliero; Tina Selene; Josephine Hartley; Bill Weston; Shaun Fagan; Desare Ferraro; Denise Grandi; Karen Herman; Tom and Vivian Dicus; Kelly Dickson; John Thomas; Jose and Maria Requeiro; Dale Dieleman; Jack Guerrero; Julie Vandegrift; Jim Niederecker; Larry Berggren; Pamela Weeks; Mike Willis; Desare Ferraro; Mike Willis; Julius Mendoza; Mike Gregory; Jim Hench; Lori Stone; Cyndie Allen; Lewis J. Allen; Michelle Morgan; Richard Dickson; Sharon Neill; Jessica Christopher; Jessica Alexander; Mike Pfeifer; Cathy Cammarato; Lori Stone; Jonathan Ingram; Komrosky, Joseph; George Enfield; Brian Kerr; Shari Franklin; Kelly Dickson; Sheena Lynn Conrad; Taryn Cagliero; Nancy Gaier; Kate Herman; Neill, Sharon; Jack Guerrero; GREG LANGWORTHY; Susan Maldonado; JOHN LEONARD; Julie Vandegrift; Chris Grisafe; Bob Quaid; Kent and Cindy Greaver; Dan Brown; Cheryl Frink; George Rombach; Clint Curtis; Ceci Truman; Garland Favorito; [redacted]@protonmail.com
Subject:	public comment to the county
Date:	Thursday, March 12, 2026 9:16:06 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors and county officials,

I had intended to make a public comment on Tuesday, but I had to leave early because of a family emergency. Here is the comment I intended to make.

The REIT audit, based on the ROV's own election records, revealed that 657,896 more votes were recorded by the Liberty Vote System than the number of ballots recorded on the ballot collection logs created by the ROV's election workers. Discovering this discrepancy was made possible by the good work of Art Tinoco and his team: they remain committed to transparency and accuracy in the work they do.

However, the system Art currently uses to reconcile the number of ballots cast with the number of votes counted does not utilize these ballot collection logs. His ballot count numbers are produced by his Election Management Information System (EIMS) and his Liberty Vote System.

Because of the wide discrepancy between ballots cast and votes counted revealed by the REIT audit, I reported this information to our Sheriff's department. It is important for citizens and elected officials to understand that I believe Art needs to be commended for the work he did in the Proposition 50 election and not be falsely accused of any wrong-doing. I continue to believe, from what I know, that our ROV is one of the best in the state. My belief is that Art may not know why this discrepancy occurred because of the complexity of the electronic voting system he is required to use by the state.

The question which requires an answer is "How many physical ballots were cast in the Proposition 50 election in our county?" Is the correct number 657,322 as was reported by the Liberty Vote system or is the correct number 611,426 as was reported on the ROV's ballot collection logs created by the ROV's election workers or is a different number correct? The answer to this question, I believe, is of great importance to the ROV, to our elected and appointed county officials, and to all the citizens of Riverside County. The cause of this discrepancy needs to be identified and corrected before the next election.

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

I believe the way to answer this question with certainty is to hand-count the physical ballots. I have suggested the use of cameras to record this counting to ensure transparency and accuracy; but regardless of how these ballots are counted, I believe our county supervisors, the ROV, the Sheriff's department, and our CEO will work together to produce an accurate count.

The common goal for all of us in Riverside County is a lawful and transparently accurate election system which protects each citizen's right to a government vested with authority by "the consent of the governed."

Thank you for the work you are doing to help make our county an example of election integrity in our state.

Sincerely,
Greg Langworthy

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Greg Langworthy
To: Merrill, James; Castellanos, Robert
Cc: Bianco, Chad
Subject: counting the ballots
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:43:10 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim and Robert,

I would like to know if there has been any change in your plan to count the ballots in the Prop 50 election. I have been speaking to local city councils about our audit and about how the Sheriff's department and the ROV are working cooperatively to discover how many physical ballots were cast in the Prop 50 election. Was the correct number 657,322 or 611,426 or something different? Because elections affect all Riverside County citizens, I believe they need to be aware of the current controversy and how Riverside County officials are working together to identify and address the problem.

My message to the councils is that Riverside County citizens should be thankful that we have supervisors, an ROV, and a Sheriff willing to work together for a common goal: lawful, transparent, and accurate elections which protect our Constitutional right to a government vested with authority by "the consent of the governed."

Let me know if my message is consistent with the work you are doing and if you have an estimate of when the counting may be complete.


Thank you for your help,
Greg Langworthy

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Castellanos, Robert
To: Greg Langworthy
Subject: Re: REIT summary and requests after February 19th meeting
Date: Wednesday, February 25, 2026 7:19:26 AM

Yes, I can.

Investigator Robert Castellanos #3704
Riverside County Sheriff's Department
Moreno Valley Police Department
22850 Calle San Juan de Los Lagos
Moreno Valley, CA 92553
[redacted]



CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT - See California Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5328. This email message, including attachments, is intended for the sole use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended addressee, you are hereby notified that you may not use, copy, disclose or distribute this email or information contained in the email. If you have received this email in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply mail, delete this message and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you

---

From: Greg Langworthy [redacted]@verizon.net>
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2026 5:11 PM
To: Castellanos, Robert [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>
Subject: Re: REIT summary and requests after February 19th meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I have a [redacted] appointment at 9. Can you call at 11?

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS

On Tuesday, February 24, 2026, 1:55 PM, Castellanos, Robert [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

I will reach out tomorrow around 9AM.

-Robert

Investigator Robert Castellanos #3704
Riverside County Sheriff's Department
Moreno Valley Police Department
22850 Calle San Juan de Los Lagos
Moreno Valley, CA 92553
[redacted]



CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT - See California Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5328. This email message, including attachments, is intended for the sole use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended addressee, you are hereby notified that you may not use, copy, disclose or distribute this email or information contained in the email. If you have received this email in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply mail, delete this message and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you

---

From: Greg Langworthy [redacted]@verizon.net>
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2026 1:50 PM
To: Castellanos, Robert [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>; Merrill, James [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>
Subject: REIT summary and requests after February 19th meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Robert and Jim,

Our team had a good meeting with Supervisor Washington, and we have some suggested solutions for him that I would like to share with you also.

Greg

-

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

REVIEW OF MEETING WITH SUPERVISOR CHUCK WASHINGTON FEBRUARY 19TH, 2026 [redacted]

POINTS OF AGREEMENT

1. The REIT audit accounts for all the "raw" ballots cast in the Propositon 50 Election according to ROV's ballot collection logs.

2. The ballot count, after deducting the ballots rejected for various reasons, is 45,896 less than the votes counted by the ROV's voting machines.

3. It is not reasonable to believe this discrepancy was caused by trained election workers recording incorrect ballot counts on their signed ballot collection logs.

4. Art has the reputation of being an organized, diligent Registrar who has hired quality permanent staff. He also provides quality training to his temporary workers, and has provided complete, clear ballot collection records to REIT. It is for this reason the stark difference between the number of ballots cast and the ballots counted by the two voting systems (EIMS and Liberty Vote) was discovered. Without Art Tinoco and his team, our county would likely not have discovered the problem in the county's vote counting system.

5. Two other important issues we briefly discussed were the inaccuracy of our voter rolls and the weakness of our signature check system.

WHY IS THERE SUCH A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE BALLOT COUNT OF THE ROV AND REIT?

1. The ROV does not use the ballot collection logs created by their election workers to calculate the number of ballots cast in the election. Art correctly stated that REIT uses a "different system" of counting. REIT counts the number of ballots sent to the ROV utilizing the ballot collection logs filled out daily by trained election workers. The ROV mostly ignores those collection logs and trusts whatever ballot counts their two automated voting systems produce.

2. The ROV enters in-person ballots into their EIMS system at the Vote Centers, and all vote-by-mail ballots enter the system through the mail-sorter at the ROV. Their EIMS computer system counts the number of ballots cast and the Liberty Vote system counts the number of votes counted.

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

3. Once the ballots are entered into the EIMS and Liberty Vote systems, the ballot and vote counts increase and do not reconcile with the number of ballots accounted for on their own ballot collection logs.

SUGGESTED SOLUTIONS

1. **Support the Sheriff's plan to recount the ballots (not the votes) cast in the Propostion 50 election.** He obtained a court order to gain possession of the ballots and intends to recount them. The Sheriff, the supervisors, and the ROV have a common interest in determining the correct ballot count. The Sheriff, like all our elected representatives, is tasked with protecting the civil rights of Riverside County citizens. It is therefore right for him to investigate possible fraud in our election system. Art, along with our supervisors, need to know if the cause of the discrepancy is incompetence on the part of his election workers or possible manipulation by a voting system he is unable to examine.

2. **Encourage collaboration between REIT and the ROV in producing a standard operating procedure for reconciling the number of ballots cast with the number of votes counted.** Every "good" ballot begins as a "raw" paper ballot, so this procedure would simply involve accurately counting paper ballots before these ballots are entered into the Election Management System where they are "processed" and become either a "good" ballot or a rejected ballot.

3. **Provide the necessary funds (possibly less than five thousand dollars) for the ROV to install the Shasta County system.** Each ballot is recorded on a cell phone camera as it enters the Liberty Vote system to ensure the ballots are being accurately counted. This process is also live-streamed. This system could also be utilized by the Sheriff so that his recount of the Prop 50 election would be transparent and accurate. Using this process in the recount would give Art the opportunity to analyze how this system could be utilized in the upcoming June Primary election.

4. **Request that Art provide the supervisors with a reconciliation report prior to requesting you to "receive and file" his certification**. Reconciliation before certification is required by the California Secretary of State. If Art utilizes the Shasta County system, he should be able to

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

reconcile ballots cast with votes counted with 100% accuracy. Everyone would be happy.

5. **Encourage the ROV to work with RCIT to examine possible vulnerabilities in the Liberty Vote counting system.** The Sheriff's recount of the Prop 50 election may provide evidence of this vulnerability.

6. **Encourage the ROV to work with his Election Security Task Force to utilize the full capability of ReliaVote.** This software has the capability to be connected to multiple data bases such as citizenship, residency, and death records. We know our voter rolls are not accurate, so ReliaVote could act like the security gate at the airport preventing illegal voters from casting ballots.

We appreciate your willingness to listen and become involved in understanding and addressing our team's concerns. Our goal is to help our county become a model of election integrity for other California counties. Utilizing your years of governing experience, we hope you will help "land this plane" safely for the benefit of all Riverside County citizens.

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT

From: Castellanos, Robert
To: Greg Langworthy
Subject: Re: REIT summary and requests after February 19th meeting
Date: Tuesday, February 24, 2026 1:55:39 PM

Good afternoon,

I will reach out tomorrow around 9AM.

-Robert

Investigator Robert Castellanos #3704
Riverside County Sheriff's Department
Moreno Valley Police Department
22850 Calle San Juan de Los Lagos
Moreno Valley, CA 92553
[redacted]

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT - See California Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5328. This email message, including attachments, is intended for the sole use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended addressee, you are hereby notified that you may not use, copy, disclose or distribute this email or information contained in the email. If you have received this email in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply mail, delete this message and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you

---

From: Greg Langworthy [redacted]@verizon.net>
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2026 1:50 PM
To: Castellanos, Robert [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>; Merrill, James [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>
Subject: REIT summary and requests after February 19th meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Robert and Jim,

Our team had a good meeting with Supervisor Washington, and we have some suggested solutions for him that I would like to share with you also.

Greg

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Greg Langworthy
To: Castellanos, Robert; Merrill, James
Subject: REIT summary and requests after February 19th meeting
Date: Tuesday, February 24, 2026 1:50:50 PM
Attachments: REVIEW OF MEETING WITH SUPERVISOR CHUCK WASHINGTON FEBRUARY 19TH.docx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Robert and Jim,

Our team had a good meeting with Supervisor Washington, and we have some suggested solutions for him that I would like to share with you also.

Greg

-

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From:	Greg Langworthy
To:	Castellanos, Robert
Cc:	Tinoco, Art; Supervisor Karen Spiegel; Supervisor Jose Medina; Merrill, James; Clint Curtis; Shari Franklin; Jim Niederecker; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey; Bianco, Chad; Supervisor Yxstian Gutierrez (5th District); Supervisor Chuck Washington; Supervisor V. Manuel Perez; Sharon Neill; Veronica Langworthy; Chogyoji, Anthony; Tran, Minh; Ceballos, Matthew
Subject:	offer of help from Clint Curtis in Sheriff"s ballot counting process
Date:	Sunday, February 22, 2026 11:59:27 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Investigator Robert Castellanos,

Thank you for leading the effort to recount the ballots in the Proposition 50 election in Riverside County. As I said when our team met with you on Friday, I believe the reason our team was able to identify the large discrepancy between ballots cast and votes counted in this election as well as previous elections was because of Registrar Tinoco's commitment to accuracy and transparency. Because of the time we have spent observing the quality of the ROV's work and workers over the past few years, we believe the ROV's ballot collection logs are accurate.

We also believe re-counting the number of ballots in this election will be a blessing to Art and to the county. We will learn if the ROV's permanent and temporary workers made a great number of errors on the ballot collection logs they produced in the election, or if there is some other cause that may have gone undetected in previous elections. It is to Art's credit that this discrepancy was found in our county and that he now has the opportunity to make corrections before the June primary.

Our team suggests that you consider using the assistance of [redacted] in developing the procedure to recount these ballots. His process involves the use of cameras to both live-stream and record the counting to give confidence to all voters that the count is an accurate.. Using this process would also give Art the opportunity to evaluate how this system might be used in Riverside County in the June Primary even though we are a much larger county than Shasta.

I spoke with [redacted] on Saturday, and he is willing to offer his assistance to train workers in his process. His team was able to count 65,000 ballots in about three hours, and the process was live-streamed and recorded.

Thank you for your help,
Greg Langworthy (for REIT)

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From:	Greg Langworthy
To:	Castellanos, Robert
Cc:	Tinoco, Art; Supervisor Karen Spiegel; Supervisor Jose Medina; Merrill, James; Clint Curtis; Shari Franklin; Jim Niederecker; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey; Bianco, Chad; Supervisor Yxstian Gutierrez (5th District); Supervisor Chuck Washington; Supervisor V. Manuel Perez; Sharon Neill; Veronica Langworthy; Chogyoji, Anthony; Tran, Minh; Ceballos, Matthew
Subject:	offer of help from Clint Curtis in Sheriff"s ballot counting process
Date:	Sunday, February 22, 2026 11:59:26 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Investigator Robert Castellanos,

Thank you for leading the effort to recount the ballots in the Proposition 50 election in Riverside County. As I said when our team met with you on Friday, I believe the reason our team was able to identify the large discrepancy between ballots cast and votes counted in this election as well as previous elections was because of Registrar Tinoco's commitment to accuracy and transparency. Because of the time we have spent observing the quality of the ROV's work and workers over the past few years, we believe the ROV's ballot collection logs are accurate.

We also believe re-counting the number of ballots in this election will be a blessing to Art and to the county. We will learn if the ROV's permanent and temporary workers made a great number of errors on the ballot collection logs they produced in the election, or if there is some other cause that may have gone undetected in previous elections. It is to Art's credit that this discrepancy was found in our county and that he now has the opportunity to make corrections before the June primary.

Our team suggests that you consider using the assistance of [redacted] in developing the procedure to recount these ballots. His process involves the use of cameras to both live-stream and record the counting to give confidence to all voters that the count is an accurate.. Using this process would also give Art the opportunity to evaluate how this system might be used in Riverside County in the June Primary even though we are a much larger county than Shasta.

I spoke with [redacted] on Saturday, and he is willing to offer his assistance to train workers in his process. His team was able to count 65,000 ballots in about three hours, and the process was live-streamed and recorded.

Thank you for your help,
Greg Langworthy (for REIT)

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Greg Langworthy
To: Castellanos, Robert
Subject: Re: time to meet and REIT video
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:06:10 AM
Attachments: image.png

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you Robert. We will see you then.

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS

On Wednesday, February 18, 2026, 7:27 AM, Castellanos, Robert [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org> wrote:

> Sure, 10AM
>
> -Robert
>
> Investigator Robert Castellanos #3704
> Riverside County Sheriff's Department
> Moreno Valley Police Department
> 22850 Calle San Juan de Los Lagos
> Moreno Valley, CA 92553
> [redacted]
>
> 
>
> **CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT - See California Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5328.** This email message, including attachments, is intended for the sole use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended addressee, you are hereby notified that you may not use, copy, disclose or distribute this email or information contained in the email. If you have received this email in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply mail, delete this message and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you

---

From: Greg Langworthy [redacted]@verizon.net>
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 3:43 PM
To: Castellanos, Robert [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>
Subject: Re: time to meet and REIT video

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Would some time this Friday, work for you?

On Tuesday, February 17, 2026 at 02:41:27 PM PST, Castellanos, Robert [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org> wrote:

Awesome, have a great day.

-Robert

Investigator Robert Castellanos #3704
Riverside County Sheriff's Department
Moreno Valley Police Department
22850 Calle San Juan de Los Lagos
Moreno Valley, CA 92553
[redacted]



CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT - See California Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5328.
This email message, including attachments, is intended for the sole use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended addressee, you are hereby notified that you may not use, copy, disclose or distribute this email or information contained in the email. If you have received this email in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply mail, delete this message and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you

---

From: Greg Langworthy[redacted]@verizon.net>
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 2:40 PM
To: Castellanos, Robert[redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>
Subject: time to meet and REIT video

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Robert,

Here is a video we created to help you understand the simplicity of our audit. I will find out the days that work best for us and get back to you soon.

Greg

-

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

The Riverside Election Integrity Team created this video to help you understand why we should be concerned about the accuracy of our election system in California.



AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Greg Langworthy
To: Castellanos, Robert
Subject: time to meet and REIT video
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 2:40:54 PM
Attachments: Revised Accuracy Concerns (1).mp4
image.png

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Robert,

Here is a video we created to help you understand the simplicity of our audit. I will find out the days that work best for us and get back to you soon.

Greg

-

The Riverside Election Integrity Team created this video to help you understand why we should be concerned about the accuracy of our election system in California.



AMERICAN OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT




AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Castellanos, Robert
To: Greg Langworthy
Subject: Re: additional evidence
Date: Thursday, February 12, 2026 7:24:13 AM

Sir,

Thank you for the information. I'll take a look

Investigator Robert Castellanos #3704
Riverside County Sheriff's Department
Moreno Valley Police Department
22850 Calle San Juan de Los Lagos
Moreno Valley, CA 92553
[redacted]



CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT - See California Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5328. This email message, including attachments, is intended for the sole use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended addressee, you are hereby notified that you may not use, copy, disclose or distribute this email or information contained in the email. If you have received this email in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply mail, delete this message and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you

---

From: Greg Langworthy [redacted]@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 3:11 PM
To: Castellanos, Robert[redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>
Cc: Shari Franklin [redacted]@gmail.com>
Subject: additional evidence

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Robert,

Along with the 2025 Prop 50 Special Election, our team completed PRA audits for: the 2024 General Election, the 2025 District 63 Primary Election, and the 2025 District 63 General Election. We

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

have supporting audit records for these elections. The 2024 General Election audit was mentioned by our Riverside County Civil Grand Jury as an issue of concern in their report which became public in June 2025.

What these audits show is a consistent pattern of inaccurate election results which the ROV has been unable to reasonably explain. The November 4, 2025 Proposition 50 Special Election results were NOT an anomaly.

The ballots from the District 63 Special Elections most likely have already been destroyed [ELEC 17302].
The November 5, 2024 Presidential General Election - election computer vote program will be destroyed 16 months after the election [ELEC 15001(c)(1)] which is in a couple weeks (March 2026).

We ask that the November 5, 2024 General Election records be preserved/retained to demonstrate there is a persistent problem which needs to be investigated and addressed to protect the civil rights of the citizens of our county and our state.

The Riverside County Civil Grand Jury recommended "that the Riverside County Registrar of Voters obtain high-definition cameras for all ballot processing areas" which our team will be

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

valuable for preventing vote count inaccuracies in future elections. The Grand Jury also noted a need for an independent audit of the 2024 General Election (the BOS directed auditor/controller to do an internal audit). "the ROV does not provide a comprehensive ballot audit trail for all issued, received, returned, and challenged ballots." Our team has worked to fill this need for the ROV and the county.

Thank you for your work,

Greg and Veronica Langworthy

Reference Citations to support these concerns:

ELEC 15001 *the copy of the Election Computer Vote Program ... (c) (1) the elections official shall preserve the returned program for a period of 16 months* ***(November 5, 2024 - March 2026).***

ELEC 17301 *(a) The following provisions shall apply to those elections where candidates for one or more of the following offices are voted upon: President, Vice President, United States Senator, and United States Representative. (b) The packages containing the following items shall be kept by the elections official, unopened and unaltered, for 22 months from the date of the election:* ***(November 5, 2024 - September 2026).***

(1) Voted polling place ballots. (2) Paper cast vote records, as defined by Sections 305.5 and 19271. (3) Voted vote by

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

mail voter ballots. (4) Vote by mail voter identification envelopes.(5) Voted provisional voter ballots. (6) Voted conditional voter registration ballots. (7) Provisional ballot voter identification envelopes, including conditional voter registration voter identification envelopes cast pursuant to subdivision (e) of Section 2170. (8) Spoiled ballots. (9) Canceled ballots. (10) Unused vote by mail ballots surrendered by the voter pursuant to Section 3015.

(c) If a contest is not commenced within the 22-month period, or if a criminal prosecution involving fraudulent use, marking or falsification of ballots, or forgery of vote by mail voters' signatures is not commenced within the 22-month period, either of which may involve the vote of the precinct from which voted ballots were received, the elections official shall have the items identified in subdivision (b) destroyed or recycled. The packages shall otherwise remain unopened until the items are destroyed or recycled.

Additional Relevant Law: ELEC 14428, 14435, 15003, and case law (Reynolds v Sims, 377, US 533 [1964]

California Voting Systems Standards 2.1.5 System Audit Standards(page 20-21 pdf).

Public Records Act Requests directed to Registrar of Voters from 2024 to present.

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

[redacted]	Greg Langworthy
To:	Bianco, Chad
Cc:	Supervisor Karen Spiegel; Supervisor V. Manuel Perez; Supervisor Yxstian Gutierrez (5th District); Supervisor Jose Medina; Supervisor Chuck Washington; Tran, Minh; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey; Benoit, Ben J; Tinoco, Art; Florer, Elizabeth; Perez, Juan; Paule, Philip; Anderson, Lynn; Massie, Rita; Kate Sanchez; [redacted]@senate.ca.gov; Hestrin, Michael
Subject:	Re: Special Board of Supervisors Meeting Tuesday, February 10th, 1:30 pm, County Building, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside
Date:	Friday, February 6, 2026 11:47:04 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you Chad,

Our team first began auditing our Riverside County elections in 2024 following the audit procedure taught to us by Yvette Anthony: she attempted to reconcile the number of good ballots cast by Riverside County voters with the number of votes counted by voting machines. When we later met with our ROV, we learned that reconciliation was a requirement of California law (Calif. Election Code 15302d,) We were unable to complete the reconciliation audit of the 2024 Primary Election because the ROV was unable to provide us with complete, clear records; however, they made every effort to do so.

At the September 11, 2024 ad hoc election integrity meeting, supervisors Perez and Spiegel encouraged Art to do a better job with his record-keeping, and after the 2024 General Election our REIT audit team received clear and complete records of the ballots cast in the election. Because of this improvement by the ROV, we were able to complete our audit which revealed that the voting machine count was 33,888 more than the number of ballots we could account for with the ROV's own records. We presented this evidence to the ROV in February of 2025, and they responded to us in a special meeting in April. Their reconciliation process showed a difference of 9280 more votes counted than ballots cast; however, they did not use their own ballot statements, as we had done, to support their numbers. They also justified their number by claiming that the "industry standard" for errors allowed in a reconciliation audit iw 2%. Minh Tran later confirmed that the accuracy standard in a federal election is .0008%.

We subsequently audited the two special elections for District 63. In the primary, the ROV was unable to provide all the ballot collection logs, so our audit results were also incomplete. In the General election for District 63 we did receive all the ROV's ballot collection logs and the REIT audit revealed that the machines counted 2015 more votes than the number of ballots accounted for on the ROV's ballot collection logs.

Finally, in the Proposition 50 election, we did receive all the ROV's collection logs after the election was certified as accurate by the ROV and supervisors. The results of this audit was that the voting machines counted 45,896 more votes than the number of good ballots cast by the voters supported by the ROV's own ballot collection logs. We have copies of these collection logs organized in eight binders.

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

An important point I want to make is that our county and our ROV in particular has worked with us to complete these audits. These vote discrepancies may also exist in other counties, but because of the organization and commitment to accurate records by Art and his team, they have been exposed in our county. We should give credit to our ROV, and our supervisors for their commitment to transparency and accuracy. The vote count discrepancy exposed by our audits may well exist in other counties, but other counties may not be as committed to accuracy and transparency as our county. I believe Riverside County may well be unique, and our goal has been to help make our county a model for election integrity for other California counties.

Thank you for your interest and help, and let us know if you have specific questions.

Greg

On Friday, February 6, 2026 at 06:51:38 AM PST, Bianco, Chad[redacted]@riversidesheriff.org> wrote:

> Thank you Greg.
>
> I am also looking forard to an explanation. This should not be that hard. From my perspective, when obvious questions, the same ones over and over, are not being answered, something is wrong. From my recollection this same 40,000ish ballot/count discrepancy is showing up in every election. Can you imagine what 40k plus every other county adds up to? Maybe it adds up to being able to call every statewide election at 08:01pm.
>
> I know I'm just a cop, but can no one else see that forcing the destruction of evidence/proof is a major red flag? Combine that with all the questions we are just kicking down the road until the evidence is lost is not the right answer or direction.
>
> Chad
>
> [redacted]
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Greg Langworthy [redacted]@verizon.net>
> **Sent:** Friday, February 6, 2026 1:51:01 AM
> **To:** GREG LANGWORTHY[redacted]@verizon.net>
> **Cc:** Supervisor Karen Spiegel [redacted]@rivco.org>; Supervisor V. Manuel Perez [redacted]@rivco.org>; Supervisor Yxstian Gutierrez (5th District)[redacted]@rivco.org>; Supervisor Jose Medina [redacted]@rivco.org>; Supervisor Chuck Washington [redacted]@rivco.org>; Tran, Minh [redacted]@Rivco.org>; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey [redacted]@RIVCO.ORG>; Benoit, Ben J

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

[redacted]@Rivco.org>; Tinoco, Art [redacted]@RIVCO.ORG>; Florer, Elizabeth [redacted]@Rivco.org>; Perez, Juan [redacted]@RIVCO.ORG>; Paule, Philip [redacted]@Rivco.org>; Anderson, Lynn [redacted]@Rivco.org>; Massie, Rita [redacted]@Rivco.org>; Kate Sanchez [redacted]@asm.ca.gov>; [redacted]@senate.ca.gov [redacted]@senate.ca.gov>; Hestrin, Michae [redacted]@RivCoDA.org>; Bianco, Chad [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>
Subject: Special Board of Supervisors Meeting Tuesday, February 10th, 1:30 pm, County Building, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

The Essential Question for our Riverside County Registrar

Where are the receipts for 45,896 extra ballots counted in the Proposition 50 election? These were not produced by the Registrar for the Riverside Election Integrity Team (REIT) before he certified this election as accurate. Each ballot that is cast by a voter is accounted for on a ballot collection receipt created by the ROV: on a Vote-by-Mail Collection Form, a Daily Mail In-Take Form, or a Vote Center Ballot Statement. The ROV sent copies of all these ballot receipts to REIT after the election was certified. The total number of good ballots accounted for on these official forms was 611,428; however, the voting machines counted 657,322 votes. The Registrar is responsible to explain why he did not or could not produce receipts for the 45,896 extra ballots counted.

An election in which the number of votes counted is more than the number of voters who voted is obviously an inaccurate or possibly fraudulent election unless the Registrar has a reasonable explanation. If he has one, this should have been explained to the supervisors and the community before certification. If he does not have the

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

receipts and does not have a reasonable explanation, this may indicate the problem is not within his control: maybe his voting system is not secure. Did this inaccuracy occur in other counties but was exposed in our county because our ROV is committed to producing accurate records? The first step towards a solution is to expose the problem and then take steps to find the cause.

We encourage you to attend the special board meeting next Tuesday, February 10th, 1:30pm at the county building (4080 Lemon Street) and listen to how our Registrar answers this question in particular. We will bring the receipts we were given by the ROV.

Use honest scales, honest weights, and honest measures (Leviticus 19:36).

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Shari Franklin
To: Hestrin, Michael; Bianco, Chad
Subject: Forgery Detection
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:39:02 AM
Attachments: image.png
image.png

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.


Dear Sheriff Chad Bianco and DA Mike Hestrin,

I have met you both in recent months and hope to share some pertinent information with you in writing.

[redacted]

I want to explain a simple plan that can help the security of Riverside county elections and possibly Sheriff Bianco's campaign for governor. It's about signatures, aka forgery detection.

DA Hestrin-I told you the ROV did not have a report on the pilot program for Auto Signature Verification because they didn't at that time. Since that luncheon, they finally reported these results:



This is the extent of their report.

Look at what is missing:

- What happened to the 841 signatures/voters that did not match?


AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

- Did they pass human verification?
- Did they send a cure letter?
- Was it cured?

There were over 600,000 ballots/voters in Prop 50. Imagine 53% of those NOT passing the low confidence threshold of 45. Based on this pilot, 350,000 ballots would be reviewed by a human to determine forgery and the cycle of curing starts all over again.

It's time to send a message BEFORE the primary that forged signatures will be investigated and brought to justice.

In the Prop 50 election 8,336 were completely rejected, never cured, and never investigated. I have shown this to the Board of Supervisors and we continue to ask for their help.



Challenge Reasons

	No Signature	NoMatchSig	Total
2nd - Counter	0	5	5
2nd - Mailed	6	37	43
Domestic Military	1	3	4
FPCA	0	1	1
Mail	764	8279	9043
Mail Pct	0	1	1
Nonprovisional CVR	0	1	1
Overseas	4	4	8
Overseas Military	3	4	7
Vote Center Issue	0	1	1
Total	778	8336	9114

You can do something now. It's not screaming election fraud.

It's simple law enforcement. And a small win for the sheriff running for governor.

I'm happy to discuss this in more detail.

Shari Franklin

[redacted]

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT



AMERICAN OVERSIGHT


AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT

(Riverside Election Integrity Team)

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Greg Langworthy
To: Castellanos, Robert
Subject: Re: Follow-up ref 1/14/26
Date: Wednesday, January 14, 2026 9:52:06 PM
Attachments: Outlook-xsdgq5px

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you Robert. I will give him a call tomorrow

On Wednesday, January 14, 2026 at 03:27:28 PM PST, Castellanos, Robert [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org> wrote:

Mr. Langworthy,

After consulting with my supervisor he is requesting you give him a call tomorrow regarding this issue. His name is Sgt. J. Merrill and his desk number is [redacted]
If he doesn't answer please call the front desk at [redacted].

Thank you for your time and have a great day,

Investigator Robert Castellanos #3704
Riverside County Sheriff's Department
Moreno Valley Police Department
22850 Calle San Juan de Los Lagos
Moreno Valley, CA 92553
[redacted]

CHAD BIANCO
SHERIFF-CORONER
RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT - See California Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5328. This email message, including attachments, is intended for the sole use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended addressee, you are hereby notified that you may not use, copy, disclose or distribute this email or information contained in the email. If you have received this email in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply mail, delete this message and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From: Greg Langworthy [redacted]@verizon.net>
Sent: Sunday, January 11, 2026 10:16 AM
To: Castellanos, Robert [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>
Cc: Brian Kerr[redacted]@sunward.com>; Lewis J. Allen [redacted]@aol.com>; Bianco, Chad [redacted]@riversidesheriff.org>; Hestrin, Michael [redacted]@RivCoDA.org>; Supervisor Karen Spiege [redacted]@rivco.org>; Supervisor V. Manuel Perez [redacted]@rivco.org>; Supervisor Yxstian Gutierrez (5th District) [redacted]@rivco.org>; Supervisor Jose Medina [redacted]@rivco.org>; Supervisor Chuck Washington[redacted]@rivco.org>
Subject: Meeting request regarding affidavits and ReliaVote

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Investigator Castellanos,

[redacted]

When I met with you, I mentioned that our county had purchased the signature-check tool known as Relia-Vote. Our team believes this tool has capabilities currently not used by the ROV which would be very valuable to our county to both prevent illegal ballots from being cast and also to identify illegal ballots and illegal voters. If there continues to be no consequence for casting fraudulent ballots, the practice will continue thereby undermining the civil rights of the citizens of Riverside County.

Two members of our team have studied the capabilities of ReliaVote, and we would like to set up a time to meet with you to discuss how this tool could be used to address the current problem of illegal voting in our county. Would you have time to meet this coming Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday? Let us know what days and times would work for you.

Thank you for the work you do,
Greg Langworthy
(Riverside Election Integrity Team)

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

From:	Greg Langworthy
To:	Castellanos, Robert
Cc:	Brian Kerr; Lewis J. Allen; Bianco, Chad; Hestrin, Michael; Supervisor Karen Spiegel; Supervisor V. Manuel Perez; Supervisor Yxstian Gutierrez (5th District); Supervisor Jose Medina; Supervisor Chuck Washington
Subject:	Meeting request regarding affidavits and ReliaVote
Date:	Sunday, January 11, 2026 10:16:32 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the **Riverside Sheriff** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Investigator Castellanos,



When I met with you, I mentioned that our county had purchased the signature-check tool known as Relia-Vote. Our team believes this tool has capabilities currently not used by the ROV which would be very valuable to our county to both prevent illegal ballots from being cast and also to identify illegal ballots and illegal voters. If there continues to be no consequence for casting fraudulent ballots, the practice will continue thereby undermining the civil rights of the citizens of Riverside County.

Two members of our team have studied the capabilities of ReliaVote, and we would like to set up a time to meet with you to discuss how this tool could be used to address the current problem of illegal voting in our county. Would you have time to meet this coming Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday? Let us know what days and times would work for you.

Thank you for the work you do,
Greg Langworthy
(Riverside Election Integrity Team)

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT