AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

September 8, 2025

VIA ONLINE PORTAL

Department of Justice
Andrew Fiorillo
Acting Chief, Initial Request Staff
Office of Information Policy
6th Floor
441 G St. NW
Washington, DC 20530
Via Online Portal

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer(s):

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, 28 C.F.R. Part 16, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

The U.S. Department of Justice is reportedly asking a federal judge to impose monetary sanctions on an attorney who represented a client in an immigration case.[1] This development occurred after President Trump signed a presidential memorandum that asked Attorney General Pam Bondi to seek sanctions against attorneys for engaging in "frivolous" litigation against the United States government.[2] American Oversight is seeking records that may shed light on the Department of Justice's actions against attorneys and its compliance with this memorandum.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ produce the following records within twenty business days:

1. Records reflecting any final legal advice or analysis (including both formal legal analyses or memoranda, as well as informal electronic

[1] *See, e.g.*, Josh Gerstein, *'This Is Sending a Message': DOJ Moves to Sanction Lawyer Who Took Pro Bono Deportation Case*, Politico (Aug. 6, 2025, 8:38 PM), https://www.politico.com/news/2025/08/06/justice-department-sanctions-immigration-lawyer-00496886.
[2] *Id.*

communications) regarding the presidential memorandum titled "Memorandum on Preventing Abuses of the Legal System and the Federal Courts."[3]

2. All formal or informal final directives (including informal email communications), guidance, protocols, or policies created by, issued to, or otherwise provided to DOJ regarding the presidential memorandum titled "Memorandum on Preventing Abuses of the Legal System and the Federal Courts."[4]

3. All official and unofficial dissent memoranda and complaints (such as formal memoranda, ethics complaints, or informal email communications) submitted to your office regarding the presidential memorandum titled "Memorandum on Preventing Abuses of the Legal System and the Federal Courts."[5]

   For part 3 of this request, American Oversight requests all such documents received from employees, appointees, board members, or contractors of DOJ. To be clear, American Oversight is not asking your agency to release the names of any individuals who have submitted responsive documents and does not object to withholding the name of any individual expressing dissent.

4. All official and unofficial dissent memoranda and complaints (such as formal memoranda, ethics complaints, or informal email communications) submitted to your office regarding sanctions or any other disciplinary action taken against Joshua Schroeder.

   For part 4 of this request, American Oversight requests all such documents received from employees, appointees, board members, or contractors of DOJ. To be clear, American Oversight is not asking your agency to release the names of any individuals who have submitted responsive documents and does not object to withholding the name of any individual expressing dissent.

5. Records sufficient to identify the number of attorneys or law firms (a) whose conduct has been reviewed or investigated, or (b) that have been referred for sanctions and/or other disciplinary actions, as per the presidential memorandum "Memorandum on Preventing Abuses of the Legal System and the Federal Courts."[6]

   To the extent your office has aggregated records sufficient to show these numbers, such as spreadsheets, American Oversight would accept production of such records as sufficient. To the extent your office does not have an aggregated record, American Oversight requests records reflecting these numbers.

---

[3] *See* Donald J. Trump, *Memorandum on Preventing Abuses of the Legal System and the Federal Courts*, Administration of Donald J. Trump, Mar. 21 2025, https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/DCPD-202500387/pdf/DCPD-202500387.pdf.
[4] *Id.*
[5] *Id.*
[6] *Id.*

For all parts of this request, please provide all responsive records created, issued, or in effect from March 21, 2025, through the date the search is conducted. American Oversight believes your office is in the best position to identify where responsive records for all parts of this request are likely to reside, but believes a reasonable search should include, at a minimum, the Office of the Attorney General, the Office of the Deputy Attorney General, and the Office of Legal Policy.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency's regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government."[7] The public has a significant interest in actions taken by the Department of Justice against licensed attorneys.[8] Records with the potential to shed light on this issue would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including the interactions between licensed attorneys and the government and the government's response thereto. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public's understanding of the government's activities would be enhanced through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records.

This request "is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."[9] In fact, as a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose, and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's commercial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and X (formerly Twitter).[10]

---

[7] 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).
[8] *See* supra, note 1.
[9] 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).
[10] American Oversight currently has approximately 16,000 followers on Facebook and 94,500 followers on X (formerly Twitter). American Oversight, Facebook, https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/ (last visited Sept. 5, 2025); American Oversight (@weareoversight), X (formerly Twitter), https://x.com/weareoversight (last visited Sept. 5, 2025).

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted to its website.[11] Examples reflecting this commitment include the posting of records related to the first Trump Administration's contacts with Ukraine and analyses of those contacts;[12] posting records and editorial content about the federal government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic;[13] posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the first Trump administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;[14] the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;[15] and posting records and analysis of federal officials' use of taxpayer dollars to charter private aircraft or use government planes for unofficial business.[16]

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

---

[11] *See generally Our Latest*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/blog.
[12] *The Trump Administration's Contacts with Ukraine*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-contacts-with-ukraine.
[13] *See generally The Trump Administration's Response to Coronavirus*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus; *see, e.g.*, *CDC Calendars from 2018 and 2019: Pandemic-Related Briefings and Meetings*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/cdc-calendars-from-2018-and-2019-pandemic-related-briefings-and-meetings.
[14] *See generally Audit the Wall*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall; *see, e.g.*, *Audit the Wall: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, https://americanoversight.org/audit-the-wall-no-plans-funding-or-timeline-and-no-wall/.
[15] *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal*, American Oversight, https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/25544090-doj-records-relating-to-solicitor-general-noel-franciscos-recusal-american-oversight; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents.
[16] *See generally Swamp Airlines: Chartered Jets at Taxpayer Expense*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/swamp-airlines-private-jets-taxpayer-expense; *see, e.g.*, *New Information on Pompeo's 2017 Trips to His Home State*, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/new-information-on-pompeos-2017-trips-to-his-home-state.

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics.

- In conducting your search, please understand the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.

- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.[17] It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.[18]

- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,[19] and many

---

[17] *See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); *cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).
[18] *See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).
[19] Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf.

agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact David Kronig at foia@americanoversight.org or (202) 897-3915. Also, if American Oversight's request

for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

/s/ David Kronig
David Kronig
on behalf of
American Oversight