



May 3, 2024

**VIA EMAIL**

South Carolina Election Commission  
Attn: FOIA  
P.O. Box 5987  
Columbia, SC 29250  
[FOIA@elections.sc.gov](mailto:FOIA@elections.sc.gov)

**Re: Freedom of Information Act Request**

Dear Freedom of Information Officer:

Pursuant to the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act, S.C. Code Ann. §§ 30-4-10 et seq., American Oversight makes the following request for records.

**Requested Records**

American Oversight requests that the South Carolina Election Commission promptly produce the following records:<sup>1</sup>

1. All email communications (including emails, email attachments, complete email chains, calendar invitations, and calendar invitation attachments) between (a) any of the officials listed below, and (b) any of the external entities and individuals listed below, and anyone communicating on their behalf (including, but not limited to, at the listed email addresses and domains).

**South Carolina Election Commission Officials:**

- i. Howard Knapp, Executive Director
- ii. Thomas Nicholson, General Counsel
- iii. Jenny Wooten McGill, Director of Government Affairs and Policy
- iv. Wanda Hemphill, Director of Voter Services
- v. Dennis Shedd, Chair of Commission
- vi. JoAnne Day, Commission Member
- vii. Clifford Edler, Commission Member
- viii. Linda McCall, Commission Member
- ix. Scott Moseley, Commission Member

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 30-4-30(C), please notify American Oversight of your final determination as to the public availability of the requested records within ten business days and produce the records within thirty calendar days after issuing your final determination.



External Entities and Individuals:

- a. Cleta Mitchell ([cleta@cletamitchell.com](mailto:cleta@cletamitchell.com))
- b. Hans von Spakovsky ([hans.vonspakovsky@heritage.org](mailto:hans.vonspakovsky@heritage.org))
- c. Heritage Foundation ([heritage.org](http://heritage.org), [heritageaction.com](http://heritageaction.com))
- d. J. Christian Adams ([a@electionlawcenter.com](mailto:a@electionlawcenter.com),  
[adams@electionlawcenter.com](mailto:adams@electionlawcenter.com))
- e. Public Interest Legal Foundation ([publicinterestlegal.org](http://publicinterestlegal.org))
- f. Republican National Committee ([gop.com](http://gop.com), [rnc.org](http://rnc.org))

For part 1 of this request, please note that American Oversight does not seek, and that this request specifically excludes, the initial mailing of news clips or other mass-distribution emails. However, subsequent communications responding to or forwarding such emails are responsive to this request. In other words, for example, if an official received a mass-distribution news clip email from the Republican National Committee, that initial email would not be responsive to this request. However, if the official forwarded that email to another listed individual with their own commentary, that subsequent message would be responsive to this request and should be produced.

2. All email communications (including emails, email attachments, complete email chains, calendar invitations, and calendar invitation attachments) sent by any of the officials listed above in part 1 and containing any of the key terms listed below.

Key Terms:

- i. "Public Interest Legal Foundation"
- ii. PILF
- iii. "Republican National Committee"
- iv. RNC
- v. "roll lawsuit"
- vi. "registration lawsuit"
- vii. "list lawsuit"
- viii. "lists lawsuit"
- ix. "Section 8"
- x. 8(i)
- xi. 8i
- xii. "8 (i)"
- xiii. "Public Disclosure Provision"
- xiv. "voter roll maintenance"
- xv. Spakovsky

In an effort to accommodate your office and reduce the number of potentially responsive records to be processed and produced, American Oversight has limited part 2 of this request to emails sent by the specified individuals. However, American Oversight requests that complete email chains be produced, displaying both sent and received messages. This

means that both an individual's response to an email and the initial received message are responsive to this request and should be produced.

Additionally, American Oversight does not seek and this request specifically excludes information that is subject to the attorney-client privilege, consistent with South Carolina law.

For both parts of this request, please provide all responsive records from November 1, 2023, through the date the search is conducted.

### **Fee Waiver Request**

In accordance with S.C. Code Ann. § 30-4-30(B), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. Disclosure of the requested records is in the public interest because it will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. In addition, this request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

A waiver of fees is "in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public."<sup>2</sup> The general public has a significant interest in understanding the voter roll lawsuit recently filed by the Public Interest Legal Foundation (PILF).<sup>3</sup> Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the government, including internal discussions regarding providing South Carolina voter rolls to PILF. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to public records requests publicly available, and the public's understanding of the government's activities would be enhanced through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records.

Furthermore, American Oversight's work is aimed solely at serving the public interest. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.com.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> S.C. Code Ann. § 30-4-30(B).

<sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Madeleine Greenberg, *Right Wing Organization Files New Lawsuit to Gain Access to South Carolina Voter Rolls*, Democracy Docket (Mar. 18, 2024), <https://www.democracymarket.com/news-alerts/right-wing-organization-files-new-lawsuit-to-gain-access-to-south-carolina-voter-rolls/>.

<sup>4</sup> American Oversight currently has approximately 16,000 followers on Facebook and 111,500 followers on Twitter.com. American Oversight, Facebook,

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted to its website.<sup>5</sup> Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records and analysis related to the organization’s investigations into misconduct and corruption in state governments;<sup>6</sup> posting records and analysis of federal and state governments’ responses to the Coronavirus pandemic;<sup>7</sup> posting records received as part of American Oversight’s “Audit the Wall” project to gather and analyze information related to the Trump administration’s proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;<sup>8</sup> and the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department’s process for issuing such waivers.<sup>9</sup>

---

<https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited May 1, 2024); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter.com, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited May 1, 2024).

<sup>5</sup> See generally *News*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

<sup>6</sup> See generally *State Investigations*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/states>; see, e.g., *State Government Contacts with Voting-Restriction Activists*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/state-government-contacts-with-voting-restriction-activists>; *Georgia’s Voting Machines and Election Security*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/georgias-voting-machines-and-election-security>.

<sup>7</sup> See, e.g., *The Trump Administration’s Response to Coronavirus*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus>; *Tulsa Health Officials Initially Estimated Trump Rally Would Directly Lead to 2 to 9 Deaths*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/tulsa-health-officials-initially-estimated-trump-rally-would-directly-lead-to-2-to-9-deaths>; *Wisconsin Documents Offer Window into Early Uncertainty over COVID-19*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/wisconsin-documents-offer-window-into-early-uncertainty-over-covid-19>; *In the Documents: Florida Health Department Efforts to Suppress Release of Coronavirus Records*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/in-the-documents-florida-health-department-efforts-to-suppress-release-of-coronavirus-records>.

<sup>8</sup> See generally *Audit the Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., *Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall>.

<sup>9</sup> *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco’s Recusal*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

### **Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records**

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics.
- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages.
- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.<sup>10</sup> If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

### **Conclusion**

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

---

<sup>10</sup> S.C. Code Ann. § 30-4-40(b).

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Ben Sparks at [records@americanoversight.org](mailto:records@americanoversight.org) or (202) 873-1741.

Sincerely,

*/s/ Ben Sparks*  
Ben Sparks  
on behalf of  
American Oversight