From: WJJA WikiJustice Julian Assange <wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2022 6:51 PM
To: csingletary@co.anderson.tx.us; tutt@txkusa.org; dnield@txkusa.org; distcrt2nd@cocherokee.org; terri.shepherd@co.gregg.tx.us; dawn.callow@co.gregg.tx.us; chastity.baker@co.gregg.tx.us; lesliem@co.harrison.tx.us; kendsley@henderson-county.com; amanda.ellis@henderson-county.com; distcrthopkins@hopkinscountytx.org; dmeeks@huntcounty.net; jdeary@huntcounty.net; districtcourt_62nd_lamar_tx@yahoo.com; bcrabb@co.lamar.tx.us; plittleton@co.nacogdoches.tx.us; psowell@co.nacogdoches.tx.us; erin.johnson@co.panola.tx.us; kjones@co.rusk.tx.us; tcamp@smith-county.com; tcockrum@smith-county.com; dlangston@smith-county.com; rrogers2@smith-county.com; janice.goodson@countyofupshur.com; kathyj@vanzandtcounty.org; kcarpenter@mywoodcounty.com; publicrecords@gov.texas.gov; Secretary
Subject: Citizen Known as Julian Paul Assange Release Request 16 and Complaint 2
Attachments: J.A. Complaint 2.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from OUTSIDE of the SOS organization. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the email and know that the content is safe. If you believe this to be a malicious or phishing email, please send this email as an attachment to Informationsecurity@sos.texas.gov.

WJJA
Sans liberté d'informer, toute autre forme de liberté est illusoire
WikiJustice Julian Assange
W751254170
2 Rue Frédéric Schneider
75018 Paris

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

The Assange Case
Complaint 2
Release Request 16

Prisoner of opinion, hostage, war prisoner : citizen known as **Julian Paul Assange** (according to the media born : 03/07/1971)

Prison : According to the media **Belmarsh**
Prisoner Number : According to the media and Belmarsh **A 93 79 AY**
Subject: According to the media Request for information on mandate representation in the case EAW 131226-10

According to the story-telling : European Arrest Warrant File No. AM 131226-10 :
File No. RCJ CO/1925/2011

Julian Assange SOS
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/julian-assange-sos-pidancet-barri%25C3%25A8re-v%25C3%25A9ronique/

In the Assange case, the human rights association has filed a complaint with the judicial institutions of sovereign countries that respect the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights :

1 complaint in the name of sovereign peoples for the safeguarding of democracy, the sovereignty of states and to demand the immediate release of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka…. This complaint has been lodged with most of the judiciary institutions: Tanzani, Mali, Tunisia, Russia, USA, Belarus, UK, Germany, China, etc. Copies have been sent to many parliamentarians and the press. It is in open source.

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

Complaint by citizens of the world to demand the immediate and unconditional release, protection and compensation of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, a citizen clearly identifiable by several distinctive signs. The citizen who embodies Julian Paul Assange concept is both a prisoner of war and a hostage of Windsor-Mountbatten extra-constitutional and extra-territorial government. He is also a witness for the prosecution in cases of human trafficking and paedocriminal networks. He is therefore in great danger because he is in the hands of those whose crimes he has denounced. He is imprisoned incommunicado, tortured and he was himself a victim of these networks which can only exist thanks to the extra-constitutional and extra-territorial state against which this complaint is made.

In this complaint, WJJA also calls on the sovereign state of the United States, the sovereign state of the United Kingdom and all sovereign states respecting the UN Charter to denounce the illegality of the mock trial of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange aka…. This trial is not based on any legal charges, violates the amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America and fundamental human rights.

WJJA calls on the sovereign state of the United States, the sovereign state of the United Kingdom and all sovereign states to do everything in their power to release him and allow him to testify publicly, through the media, against the extra-constitutional and extra-territorial state of Mountbatten Windsor under his birth name as every citizen should. To date, he is the only living witness able to testify against this extra-constitutional and extra-territorial state, as well as against paedocriminality and human trafficking.

The freedom of all citizens and the sovereignty of all states depend on his freedom and his testimony.

In this first complaint, WJJA file complaint against the extra-constitutionnel and extra-territorial state, the City of London Corporation, the citizen known as Stella Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Morris Robertson, aka?, the management and staff of Belmarsh Prison, the Management and Staff of SERCO, The citizen known as Judge Baraitser, named by the media Vanessa Baraitser, Barak Obama, Hillary Clinton, Bill Clinton and the management and staff of US Government, The management and staff of US Democrats in link with Assange case and all offenses in link with the Assange case, Andy Müller Maguhn, Klaus Schleisieck, Bernd Fix, Jens Ohlig, Winfried Motzkus and Wau Holland Stiftung, Angela Merkel and the management and staff of German government, The management and staff of the of The Chase Manhattan Bank, Lloyds Bank, Commerzbank Kassel, The management and staff of Imperial college of London Saint Marys' Hospital, The Crown of England, The management and staff of UN for violation of fundamental human rights and UN Charter in connection with the management of the Pact on Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration, and some others…
http://monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Plainte-Wikijustice-pour-Julian-Assange.pdf

15 request for the release of the political prisoner, war prisoner and citizen hostage known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka…

Wikijustice Julian Assange, la 15ème demande de Libération de Julian Assange . Pourquoi la demande d'extradition en appel est juridiquement impossible – Liberté pour Julian Assange – Monika Karbowska (monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh)
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/political-prisoner-julian-paul-assange-release-14-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/political-prisoner-julian-paul-assange-release-13-v%25C3%25A9ronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/political-prisoner-julian-paul-assange-release-11-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/political-prisoner-julian-paul-assange-release-12-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-10-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-9-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-8-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/wjja-release-request-7-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-6-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/5th-request-release-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-political-prisoner-julian-paul-court-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/new-release-request-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/request-number-1-release-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/

3 medical reports attesting to the torture of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka… is a victim.
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/wjja-third-medical-report-julian-assange-being-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/wjja-second-medical-report-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/rapport-médical-du-prisonnier-politique-julian-paul-par-véronique/

1 Situation report of political prisoner, war prisoner, hostage of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka…
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/situation-report-1-political-prisoner-julian-assange-véronique/

1 Request for an investigation into human rights violations and request for the immediate release of political prisoner war prisoner, hostage of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka… **to UN**.
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/request-investigation-human-rights-violations-release-véronique/?msgControlName=reply_to_sender&msgConversationId=6720892230992764928&msgOverlay=true

1 Letter to the leaders of sovereign countries
https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/julian-paul-assange-case-letter-leaders-sovereign-véronique/

What WJJA has legally demonstrated in the Assange case

In its complaint, its fifteen release requests and its reports WJJA has legally demonstrated :

- that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka… was abducted by an entity of unknown legal form called

Mountbatten-Windsor which runs a government entity of unknown legal form called the City of London Corporation on the territory of the sovereign country of the United Kingdom. The City of London Corporation runs the governmental entity of unknown legal nature called the Virginia Corporation in the United States and most governmental bodies of unknown legal form in the Dominions.

- that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka… is being held incommunicado in a « Dark Place » and tortured as a hostage of the entity of unknown legal form known as « Mountbatten-Windsor », an entity exercising power in the United Kingdom through the City of London Corporation and the Navigation Act of 1660.
- that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka… has no official birth name. He has no official identity. The birth certificate of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange does not match the official birth certificate issued by the Australian authorities. The citizen known as Julian Paul Assange himself attests that the name he bears is a pseudonym in an official Ecuadorian document countersigned by a consul. Julian Paul Assange's birth identity is unknown and he is a citizen without a name. He therefore has no officially legitimate family and no nationality.
- To date, no one is able to say what the legal birth identity and nationality of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka… is except the criminals who kidnapped him, held him incommunicado and tortured him.
- To date, no one can give the birth names of the legal ascendants and legal descendants of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange except the criminals who kidnapped him, hold him incommunicado and torture him.
- To this day, no one can determine what legal financial assets the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka… owns except the criminals who kidnapped him, are holding him incommunicado and torture him.
- To date, no one can determine the property holdings, estate property of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka…. except the criminals who kidnapped him, are holding him incommunicado and torture him.
- To date, no one can determine what moral or intellectual assets the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka… except the criminals who kidnapped him, are holding him incommunicado and torture him.
- To date, no one can say whether Julian Paul Assange's parents are alive or, like him, hostages of the criminals who was kidnapping him. No one can say what the birth identity of Julian Assange's parents or children is, since he himself has no

birth identity. To this day, no one can say whether Julian Assange aka Julian Paul Assange, aka Mendax has children and whether these children are not, like him, hostages of the criminals who are sequestering him in secret and torturing him.

- During the hearing on..., the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka... said clearly and publicly that he had been robbed of his « DNA Children ». Was he raped? Was his sperm stolen by those holding him hostage for artificial insemination by a surrogate mother he did not choose? Was he forced, under threat, by the criminals holding him hostage to have a child with a woman he did not choose? If this is the case, it means that the heir or heirs of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange have and will, as their father, also have hostage status.

Prisoners of war and hostages are always citizens who represent a bargaining chip for those who kidnap and hold them. They can be held for ransom, used to blackmail their relatives or a sovereign country, used for embezzlement or inheritance, used as « property act », to provide access to bank accounts and be used as shields that allow their captors to annex territories that do not belong to them.

- WJJA has legally demonstrated that Elizabeth Bowes Lyon, aka queen Elisabeth, has no legitimacy on the English throne.
- WJJA has legally demonstrated that the members of the so-called Mountbatten-Windsor family, an entity of unknown legal form, have no legal birth identity and are not the legal heirs to the British Empire and the English Crown.
- Indeed, the legal entity of unknown form called Mountbatten-Windsor and Elisabeth Bowes Lyons aka Queen Elisabeth usurp the office of monarch of England.

In view of these facts, it is therefore legitimate to think that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka… could be an heir to the British Empire, i.e. a Plantagenet descendant, a Tudor descendant, a Stuart descendant, a Romanov descendant, a Bonaparte descendant, a Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderbourg-Glücksbourg descendant, a Navarrese descendant, etc., used as a hostage-shield and bargaining chip.

As the usurpation of the office of monarch on the English throne began with George I, it is legitimate to assume that other royal offspring, legal heirs to the English crown, could have been, could be, can be, hostages to the entity of unknown form Mountbatten-Windsor since several generations.

If this assumption is correct, it means that many countries can be blackmailed by the entity of unknown legal form called « Mountbatten-Windsor » which runs the City of London Corporation UK - State of Virginia (Washington DC) USA : Russia, Germany, France, Denmark, etc…

As the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange was kidnapped and tortured by the entity of unknown legal form « Mountbatten-Windsor » runing the City of London Corporation UK - State of Virginia (Washington DC) USA and sequesters him in secret, he can only be imprisoned in a Dark Place.

- WJJA has proven that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka is sequestered in secret in a Dark Place. He may have already imprisoned ant had been torture in Guantanamo as the Mountbatten-Windsor entity that kidnapped him runs the State of Virginia (Washington DC) - USA, via the Navigation Act of 1660 and all American presidents. Jo Biden is an employee of the entity of unknown legal form « Mountbatten-Windsor » running the City of London Corporation UK - State of Virginia (Washington DC) USA as all American presidents are.

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

Biderman Charter

1. Isolating the victim: depriving the person of the social supports and ties that would give them the ability to resist. Developing intense anxiety about oneself. Making the victim dependent on authority.
2. Monopolise perception: fix the victim's attention on a difficult and urgent situation, force his or her introspection. Eliminate information that contradicts that of the authority. Punish all insubordinate actions..
3. Inducing exhaustion: weakening the will to resist, whether physical or mental.
4. Present threats: cultivate anxiety, stress, and despair.
5. Show occasional indulgences: provide motivation to follow orders, obey, and submit. Also prevent addiction to imposed hardships.
6. Demonstrating the omnipotence of power: suggesting the uselessness and futility of resistance to authority.
7. Degrading the victim: making the price of their resistance appear more damaging to their self-esteem than their surrender. Reducing the victim to the level of animal survival.
8. Demanding stupid and senseless actions: developing habits of submission to authority, even for totally stupid, useless and unfounded orders. Breaking the victim's free will and judgement.
    1. https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charte_de_Biderman_de_la_torture_psychologique

What is a Dark Place

Contrary to popular belief, a « Dark Place », an illegal prison built by private entities that do not respect any law, is not necessarily a classic prison building, even if some « Dark Places » are prisons like Guantanamo or wings of privatised prisons. They are, most often, small structures housing a limited number of prisoners, sometimes only one, depending on their status and the abuse that those who illegally confine them in secret wish to inflict on them. A Dark Place can be a building in the city centre, a disused factory, a swimming pool, a hospital (usually a psychiatric hospital), a wealthy villa, a castle, an isolated flat, a small building in an innocuous dormitory town, a boat (floating Dark Place), or even a beautiful villa by the sea.

The objective of the kidnap sponsors is to create a spatio-temporal rupture in the kidnape in order to obtain an unwavering submission that will allow them to make him/her perform actions that serve their interests or to blackmail his/her relatives. To accentuate this psycho-pathological disorientation, the perpetrators apply a series of techniques listed in the Biderman Charter.

To reinforce the impact of these techniques, the hostage is regularly moved from one place of detention to another in planes, usually belonging to private companies, which the Americans have dubbed the « Guantanamo Express » (*see « The Rendition » Project https://www.therenditionproject.org.uk*). They are also called ghost planes. « Dark places » exist in all countries.

The hostage may also be placed under house arrest, under chemical straitjacket, electronic bracelet and constant surveillance, in strategically chosen locations, which may be in the city centre, with some semblance of autonomy, including financial autonomy, through payment cards issued in the name of companies or in the name of his captors.

The Nazis and the Gestapo used Dark Places. **Jean Moulin** was tortured and killed in a villa (40 Bd Victor Hugo) in Neuilly sur Seine, requisitioned for the SS by Gestapo chief **Karl Boelmelburg**, aka **Charles Bois** aka **Mollemburg** aka **Bennelburger.** The terrorist organisation known as « **la Cagoule** » had also built secret prisons in Paris and its surroundings but also in all the cities where it was established, Nice, for example. **Karl Boemelburg was linked to two members of S.O.E.**, **Nicholas Bodington,** aka **Master James,** and the citizen known as **Henri Déricourt,** aka **Gilbert, aka BOE 48, member of SOE,** who was suspected of treason and of having contributed to the dismantling of numerous resistance networks. **Like the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, the citizen known as Henri Déricourt, aka Gilbert, aka BOE 48, during the World War II has no birth name : « *My birth was not even recorded in the birth register. You can check. Nobody ever bothered.* »** (Triple game, Déricourt Spie - Jean Lartéguy / Bob Maloubier - Robert Laffont 1992 p71). Déricourt carries the mail, everything that cannot be transmitted by radio. It delivers them from hand to hand or into confidential mailboxes. He hands over copies of all mail that passes through his hands to Boemelburg. (*Triple game, Déricourt Spie - Jean Lartéguy / Bob Maloubier - Robert Laffont 1992 p71*).

In view of the facts, it is legitimate to think that Henri Déricourt and Nicholas Bodington were the two men who delivered Jean Moulin to Karl Boemelburg. Moreover, even during the Second World War, genuine resistance fighters knew that the Déricourt-SOE network was infiltrated by the Gestapo : « *Then Mitterrand, through the piston of the ORA, composed of former officers mainly of the Vichy Armistice Army, was able to take a seat on board a British single-engine Lysander reserved for SOE, the British Secret Action Service for Europe. Déricourt, the organiser of the departure and arrival service in the northern zone, must have judged Mitterrand to be a very small fry, because « François »was not arrested by the Gestapo on 15 November 1943 when he left for London, like so many other British and French people, including one of my friends. The service was infiltrated by the Gestapo.* » **Michel Cailliau, aka Charette** - History of the M.R.P.G.D. or a true resistance movement (1941-1945) - 1987

« **La Futaie** », Avenue Sainte Claire, Plateau de la Jachère in Rueil-Malmaison, **built by members of the Cagoule,** recalls the hideout in which Marc Dutroux held his victims. It was built by **Henri-Joseph Vasselin at the request of René Anceaux.** In Louvecienne, under the house of the perfume journalist **François Coty**, the police discovered a three-storey building that went down to a depth of fifteen meters, with numerous doors that allowed any visitor to be blocked without seeing a living soul. It should be remembered that Cagoule worked directly with the Vichy government and the Nazis, as well as with governments of Mussolini and Franco. It is therefore legitimate to suspect the Cagoule of having provided many Dark Places to the Nazis in France.

> « « La Futaie » is halfway between a villa and a suburban pavilion, a discreet residence for retired middle-class people. On one of the walls of the cellar, a sort of bottle rack conceals an exit a little over a metre high, and very narrow: an armoured door thirty centimetres thick. After passing through it, you go down a small staircase that leads to a new door. There is a cell, lit only by an opening onto a pit. On the floor, a cement slab is raised. In the middle, a post where chains are fixed, the chains of those condemned by the justice of the Cagoule. »
>
> *La Cagoule, 30 years of plots - Pierre Bourdrel (Albin Michel - 1970*)

Dark Places are not institutional prisons. They are private places that do not respect any laws, neither the territorial laws of the countries that host them, often in spite of themselves, nor international laws.

They are run by entities of legal unknown form such as Mountbatten-Windsor, the City of London Corporation, secret societies that present themselves as intelligence agencies but are only private entities of legal unknown form, like **Z,** the **Cagoule in France**, **Gladio** in Italy, **Santineketan Parc** in Australian where the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange grew up according to the Story-telling, **MI6**, the **CIA**, **MI5, all of which, like Z, are in the service of the Mountbatten-Windsor entity of legal unknown form.**

- WJJA has legally demonstrated that the Assange trial was a fake trial staged by the entity of unknown legal form Mountbatten-Windsor within the parakratos City of London Corporation, entity of unknown legal form.

Z, MI6, MI5, CIA, Cagoule, Popski's Private Army, SAIC, private entities of unknown legal form serving private interests and not Sovereign States

The citizen known as Henri Déricourt is one of the links that allows for collaboration between Mitterrand, some members of his governments and friends, the Cagoule, MI6, SOE, and Z. It is the citizen known as Henri Déricourt who is said to have transported Mitterrand in a Lysander to London. It was the citizen known as Henri Déricourt who cleared Mitterrand at his trial for treason after the war. Déricourt was himself linked to Karl Boemelburg, head of the Gestapo, whose armed wing was the **sub-section IV S**, made up of former members of the Cagoule.

Indeed, it was **Claude Edward Marjoribanks Dansey,** aka **Z**, aka **Uncle Claude**, aka **Dansey the Sock, boss of MI6 who created Z and OSS**, **ancestor of the CIA**. All these agencies serve the entity of unknown legal form Mountbatten-Windsor via the City of London Corporation and the Navigation Act. Accordingly, it is legitimate to assume that all CIA Dark Places are managed by the MountBatten-Windsor - City of London Corporation including Guantanamo. All these Dark Places serve those who fund all these private entities. **As the trial of the citizen known as Julian Assange is a fake trial, it is legitimate to think that he may have stayed in several of these Dark Places and been tortured there, in Poland, Switzerland, Thailand and even in Guantanamo.**

Sub-Section IV S was located at 93 Rue Lauriston in Paris. It was composed of former **members of Deloncle's Cagoule**, aka **My Uncle**, and the criminal gang **Simon Sabiani** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simon_Sabiani) **François Spirito** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/François_Spirito) **Paul Bonnaventure Carbone** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Carbone), leaders of the French Connection as well as members of **Doriot's pro-Nazi PPF**. **Sub-Section IV S did the dirty work that the Gestapo refused to do.**

The heads of Section IV S were **Pierre Bonny** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pierre_Bonny), ex-police commissioner, corrupt, blackmailer who is said to have helped **Alexandre Stavisky (**https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Affaire_Stavisky**)** commit suicide and to have participated in the assassination of the councillor **Albert Prince** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Affaire_du_conseiller_Prince) and the mobster **Henri Louis Chamberlin** aka **Henri Lafont** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henri_Lafont).

In connection with Karl Boemelburg, the citizen known as Henri Déricourt (SOE) and Nicholas Bodington (SOE) must have had contacts with the Sub-Section IV S, thus with the Cagoule and its ramifications. It was Colonel Bodington who introduced the citizen known as Henri Déricourt, aka Gilbert, aka **BOE** 48 to Karl **Boe**melburg.

> « When an officer is recalled to England, it is customary to provide reasons. Karl Boemelburg, whom Henri had informed of the recall, feared that the British had discovered his double game, that the combination of the two men, which Eleven had congratulated him on reaching Berlin, had been discovered. Indeed, the mail makes it possible to glean precious information on the organisation of the French Section, to locate its networks, to learn the names of the agents, their aliases, the radio codes. Enough to monitor, shadow, set up traps. In addition, the mail often contained texts too long to be transmitted in Morse code, photographs, plans, sketches, sabotage reports and sometimes the "coded paraphrases" of radio messages sent previously. The German experts only had to find the corresponding message to identify the cipher used. One discovers, so numerous are the carelessnesses, the addresses of mailboxes, and even the civil status files of new recruits.
>
> (…)
>
> **Nicholas Bodington**. The son of an eminent English lawyer posted to the British Embassy in Peers, « Nick » speaks French like a Parisian. But wasn't he born in

Paris? He studied literature at Cambridge and after graduating in 1929, he returned to France as a **correspondent for the Daily Express**, which he left for the **Sketch and finally for Reuters, the very official British agency, considered to be an aid to spies, but a well-born spy**.»

Triple game, Déricourt Spie - Jean Lartéguy / Bob Maloubier - Robert Laffont 1992

Karl Boemelburg controls Joseph Darnand in Vichy. Now, if Boemelburg controls Joseph Darnand (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joseph_Darnand), there is a strong possibility that it was Boemelburg who provided the information that enabled Darnand to bring down the Vercors maquis. Indeed, many documents passed through Déricourt, which gave them to him.

« There is obviously « resistance » on the part of Colonel Lelong and the militia leaders concerning the prisoners. Knab returned to the question in a message dated 31 March addressed to Oberg and Knochen, but with the addition of SS Sturmbannfûrhrer **Karl Boemelbburg, former head of the Gestapo in France, who had been specially appointed to control Darnand in Vichy.**

(…)

To continue the fight in spite of all the certainties of defeat - even though the German offensive in the Ardennes in December had given some hope to the ultras among the immigrants... - the resolution of the militia leader, who had taken refuge in Sigmaringen, did not seem to weaken at the beginning of 1945. **Fillol had joined him, Fillol the hood yesterday and militiaman today; there was a form of solidarity between the two men built on the common adventure of the pre-war period**.

In 1936, Reuters sent him to cover the Spanish War, because he spoke Spanish very well. Barcelona was a closed field where Franco's, Republican's, Nazi's, Italian's, Fascist's, English's, French's and Russian's secret services clashed. The great java of the dynamiteras against a background of fires, bombings and shootings. Bodington is on his game. Telegrams to his agency are coupled with telegrams to a more discreet agency, the Intelligence Service, of which he is a lowly correspondent.»

The Cagoulards in the war - Philippe - Albin Michel 2009

Joseph Darnand (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joseph_Darnand**)** is linked to one of the members of the Cagoule **Jean Filiol,** aka **Filliol**, (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jean_Filiol) who is suspected of having committed numerous crimes. It seems that Darnand was a member of the Cagoule but he has not Cagoule's matricule (See doc below). « *Darnand was arrested on 14 July 1938 at the French-Italian border while on one of his usual commutes. He was imprisoned at the Santé prison where he joined* ***Paul Faraut,*** *the « grand master » of the « Knights of the Sword », an obscure regional offshoot of the « Cagoule ».* » (https://www.departement06.fr/documents/A-votre-service/Culture/archives/recherches-regionales/rr113-1990-02.pdf)

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

About Joseph Darnand and Jean, Paul, Robert Filiol, aka Jean Filliol, aka Fifi, aka Hubert, aka Philippe, aka Deschamps, aka…

> « **On 9 June, Jean Filiol took part, with the staff of the SS Das Reich division, in the preparation of the murderous expedition of Oradour-sur-Glane**.
> On the orders of Joseph Darnand, he was released in 1944 and became head of intelligence for the Limoges militia. »
> http://marmitevingtieme.canalblog.com/archives/2020/09/18/38541572.html

The association for the defence of human rights WJJA asks the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine the responsibility of Jean Filliol aka…, in the massacre of Oradour sur Glane.

The association for the defence of human rights WJJA asks the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine the responsibility of the terrorist organization La Cagoule in the massacre of Oradour Sur Glane.

The association for the defence of human rights WJJA asks the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to identify members of the terrorist organization The Cagoule who may have been involved in the Oradour Sur Glane massacre.

The association for the defence of human rights WJJA asks the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine Jean Filliol's birth name and place of birth as well as his links with François Mitterrand and the Mitterrand clan.

The association for the defence of human rights WJJA asks the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine what crimes Jean Filliol, aka… committed, or may have committed, including during the Second World War.

> « Having donned the famous dark blue uniform of the Alpine hunters, stamped with the gamma, Filiol moved to the minor seminary in Limoges, where he worked **under the direct orders of Jean de Vaugelas** (1913-19572), the local militia leader. **He was also in close contact with the German Abwehr and SD services** (which at that time were headed by the officers **August Meier** and **Erik Barhels)**. Their task was a heavy one, as the Limousin department was largely controlled by the FTP of Georges Guinguoin, arguably the most powerful maquis leader in France. Hidden in the chestnut forests, his men could rely on a population that was largely won over to them, so much so that even the German soldiers no longer dared to penetrate certain sectors unless they were numerous and heavily armed.

To assist him, Jean Filiol recruited a team of henchmen including **Jean Thomine**, the Toulouse-born **Roger Gaussens**, a certain **du Barry,** as well as **Maurice Peyronnet**, **known as « Lucas »**, who became his personal driver. The whole team moved into a small building in the city centre, 23 rue Louis-Blanc. As auxiliaries to the German police, Filiol's militiamen were given a greater capacity for initiative than the French police. They had the right to search private and public homes and to arrest anyone they considered suspicious. After the Secretary of State for Justice has given them permission, they will also have the right to try their prisoners before courts martial and, eventually, to sentence them to death and execute them. Having been given a lot of power, they will not hesitate to use it. »

https://devirisillustribusblog.wordpress.com/2016/09/11/v-filiol-le-milicien/

« Filiol seems to be the inventor of the underground prison of La Futaie. It was he who took the worker **Vasselin** there and explained to him the nature of the work to be done. He recruited **Bernollin** and **Goujon** to work with Vasselin. He buys materials and tools. He frequently went to the Futaie to supervise the work in the company of **Haripse** and **Jakubiez**. He recruited the lady **Cheron**, put her in charge of the villa and named her « **Huberti** ».
He participated in the organisation of the Etoile attacks.
He was one of the killers of the Rosselli brothers.
He is also the murderer of Laetitia Toureaux and Navachine. »

The whole truth about the Cagoule affair, its treason, its crimes, its men - Joseph Désert (Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1946)

The members of the Cagoule therefore structured Dark Places, two of which were discovered by the police : « La Futaie » à Rueil Malmaison and the basement of the house of the perfumer **Joseph Marie François Spoturno** aka **François Coty** in Louvecienne.

It is important to note that **Fernand Ladislas Jakubiez** is « *one of the most valiant and ardent cagoulards. A man trusted by Deloncle, Filiol and Jeantet, he is regularly paid, and the Cagoule also provides him with automobiles.* (…) ***With Filiol, he enlists the Arabs of el Maadi in the Cagoule.*** *Amouni Amara became a suspect and received threats. Fearing for his life, he wrote a letter to one of his friends,* ***M. Kalifat****, in which he said that if he disappeared, his death could only be attributed to Filiol or Jakubiez. »* (The whole truth about the Cagoule affair, its treason, its crimes, its men - Joseph Désert - Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1946) **The Cagoule is a secret society that operates in a network and has structured cells in many countries and within the French colonies.**

« However, the Cagoulard network was not limited to Europe. Algeria was also part of Deloncle's calculations. The latter charged a former combatant, **Amouni Amara**, with setting up an association (called Algérie Française) in Algiers capable of assisting the CSAR, both materially and propagandistically. Formed from former militants of the Action Française and the Croix de Feu, the main

objective of this tiny group was to intervene as shock troops during the November 1937 putsch in Paris. However, reality dictated otherwise, as the failure of the coup forced the group to stay away from any action. **L'Algérie Française' thus became part of the extended network of the Cagoule which aimed to infiltrate all the French departments.** CSAR's collaboration with various regimes at the international level demonstrates not only its ambition to achieve its objectives within France, with an external contribution deemed necessary, but also to export its political vision outside French territory. **The Mussolini and Franco regimes were therefore privileged partners for Deloncle**. Their proximity, both geographically and ideologically, further strengthened their relationship with the Cagoule. However, the most intimate relations were with Rome, particularly with the SIM (see p. 24). The latter actively collaborated with the Cagoulards in order to liquidate all elements, whether anarchist or communist, likely to disrupt their respective regimes. The political interests of Rome and Madrid were thus concomitant with those of the Cagoule and formed the basis of their relations. »

L'Action française et la Cagoule: De l'action politique et intellectuelle au militantisme armé (1934-1940) - Professeur: Mohammad-Mahmoud Ould Mohamedou William Pelfini - Printemps 2018 (https://www.academia.edu/38835433/L_Action_française_et_la_Cagoule_De_l_action_politique_et_intellectuelle_au_militantisme_armé_1934_1940_)

From the Cagoule implanted in Algeria will emerge the OAS during the Algerian war. From 1936 onwards, the Cagoule federates all the fascist leagues.

« These figures are all the more solid because they correspond to the numbers of the « civilian » **Cagoule which, from 1936 to 1940, grouped together all the fascist leagues**: according to an undisputed specialist in the question, the examining magistrate, **Pierre Béteille, the total numbers of the Cagoule amounted to 120,000 men for the whole of France, divided into 40 legions, including the 12,000 officers of the militia Cagoule, an « autonomous organization ».** It is a minima, if only because during the occupation the Reich recruited young auxiliaries of repression who were absent from the pre-war lists of The Cagoule. The fights linked since 1943 to the Liberation attest, from all sources, the decisive role of these « blood » auxiliaries of the German occupier, mortiferous since 1940. »

The non-purification of France - From 1943 to the 1950s - Annie Lacroix-Riz - Armand Collin 2019

Caricature of the members and supporters of the Cagoule by Raoul Cabrol, published in the communist magazine Regards (24 February 1938)

François Mitterrand's family and François Mitterrand himself have close links with the Cagoule. **Robert Mitterrand,** François' brother, married **Edith Cahier** (whose uncle, **Eugène Deloncle**, aka « **my Oncle** », aka « **Marie** », aka « **Aunty Marie** » (*Cagoule's Matricule 21 - Assignment 1001 - chief's number*), was the founder and leader of the Cagoule. **Mercédes Cahier** (*Cagoule's Matricule 21 - Assignment 1001 - chief's number)***,** aunt of Edith Cahier, wife of Robert Mitterrand, is also listed as a member of the Cagoule under the chiefs' number 1001, as is **Jacques Corrèze** *(Cagoule's Matricule 552 - Assignment 1001 - chief's number*), lover of Mercédes Cahier and Eugène Deloncle. « *He takes as his right-hand man Corrèze Jacques who becomes his commensal, his secretary, his second in command, who lives in his home. Corrèze was apparently the lover of Ms Deloncle. In return, Deloncle was Corrèze's lover. German customs.* » (The whole truth about the Cagoule affair, its treason, its crimes, its men - Joseph Désert - Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1946). **Marie-Josèphe Mitterrand**, sister of François Mitterrand was the mistress of **Jean-Marie Bouvyer, aka**

Jean Bouvier, a member of the Cagoule (*Cagoule's Matricule 219 - Assignment 2eR. 3-17*) **involved, with Jean Filliol in the Rosselli brothers' and Dimitri Navachine crimes**. **He was head of the investigation department of the General Commissariat for Jewish Questions from April 1944. (**https://www.classicistranieri.com/fr/articles/l/a/_/La_Cagoule_038e.html**)** In the interrogation report dated 12 June 1945, extracted from the personal archives of **Commissaire Chenevier**, Jean-Marie Bouvyer states : « *I was born on 28 February 1917 in Loches (Indre et Loire), to Louis-Paul Marie, ... and Antoinette Clerfeuille... with whom I live. Most of the time,* ***I sleep and eat at my friend's house, Mme Mitterrand Marie, a painter living at 2 rue Crétet in Paris 9e.*** *I do representations for the breweries « La Meuse » in Sèvres, and also for the house « Ivaldi », cognacs in Jarnac* ». Jean-Marie Bouvyer's mother, **Antoinette Bouvyer is Jean-Christophe Mitterrand's godmother**. **François Marius or Marie Méténier (**No Cagoule's matricule, but on the list found at Corre), a member of the Cagoule and friend of Eugène Deloncle, was also a close friend of François Mitterrand.

> « The eleventh coincidence was that Mitterrand had a good friend who was a hood, Bouvyer, a native of Jarnac like himself.
>
> In his statement to the commissioner Chenevier, Bouvyer declared that he was born in Loches.

In 1941, **like Filliol, Jean Bouvyer** was hired by **Xavier Vallat,** commissioner for Jewish issues, as a contractual editor. Jean Bouvyer sorts out the letters of denunciation which, once sorted out, he forwards to the Police for Jewish questions and to the investigation and control section. **The General Commissariat for Jewish Questions (CGQJ)** headed by Xavier Vallat is in charge of antisemitic actions carried out by the French state. (*In Mitterrand, between Francisque and Cagoule - françois Gerber - L'Archipel 2016*) From 1943 onwards, the CGQJ, under the direction of **Joseph Antignac**, systematically exploited the letters of denunciation and, thanks to them, made numerous arrests.

The association for the defence of human rights WJJA asks the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine the birthday name and place of birth of Jean-Marie Bouvyer aka Jean Bouvier (*Cagoule's Matricule 219 - Assignment 2eR. 3-17*), aka… and to establish the family links he may have with François Mitterrand aka Tonton, Unkie, Nunky in English .

The association for the defence of human rights WJJA asks the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine what crimes Jean-Marie Bouvyer (*Cagoule's Matricule 219 - Assignment 2eR. 3-17*), aka… committed, or may have committed, including during the Second World War.

The association for the defence of human rights WJJA asks the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine who were or may have been his accomplices who were or may have been his accomplices or his commissioned.

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

The association for the defence of human rights WJJA asks the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an enquiry to determine the responsibility of Jean-Marie Bouvyer, aka Jean Bouvier, (*Cagoule's Matricule 219 - Assignment 2eR. 3-17*) in the arrest and deportation of citizens by the Gestapo or the Nazis during the Second World War.

The association for the defence of human rights WJJA asks the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine what crimes Jean-Marie Bouvyer, aka Jean Bouvier, aka,… may have committed during the Second World War.

> « It was a twelfth coincidence that he (Mitterrand) had another with whom he studied in Angoulême, **Filliol, the most notorious killer of the Cagoule,** who broke the rear window of Léon Blum's car when he was attacked by the « Camelots du Roi ».
>
> It was a thirteenth coincidence that a certain **Méténier François Marie**, born on 2 May 1896 in Jaligny (Allier), i.e. twenty years before a certain François Mitterrand, had an overwhelming affection for him. **Méténier was the head of the military branch of the organisation. (Méténier has not Cagoul's matricule but, he is on the list found at Corre)**
>
> Moreover, like Mitterrand, he played the seducer. Without this Méténier, could Mitterrand have even been born? Neither could this book. How can you tell? What is certain is that our two François loved each other dearly. In tennis, they played doubles together. They exchanged their Lacoste shirts, soaked in sweat, in which they had their common initials F.M. sewn. - While necessarily concealing the secret initial, Marie, the pseudonym of Eugène Deloncle. Until his death in 1953, he remained very close to Mitterrand. He could do anything with him. A patriot, he sometimes to say worse than to hang against him: « *That bastard never escaped* », he once told **Hubert Fourcade**. He must have known something about it. But they were always reconciled, as if mysterious links prevent them detach them from each other : Méténier made himself at home in the first ministries of Mitterrand. It was a strange privilege for a man who was usually so reserved and concerned with protocol: he entered his office whenever he wanted, jostling the ushers, sitting in his chair, putting his feet on the table. I even heard that Méténier was Mitterrand's father. »
>
> *The Lost Honour of François Mitterrand - Jean Edern Halleir - Editions du Rocher/Les Belles Lettres - 1996*



ANNEXE N° 10

According to testimonies collected in various books, François Mitterrand, aka Tonton, met **Jean Filliol**, aka..., **Jean-Marie Bouvyer**, aka..., **Pierre Emile Bénouville**, aka... at school in Angoulême. All are members or close to the Cagoule. The three men would have been educated at the School-Collège Saint Paul and the Lycée Guez-de-Balzac in Angoulême. However, if we find traces of François Mitterrand in the school-Collège Saint Paul, he does not seem to have passed through the Lycée Guez-de-Balzac which does not mention him in the list of famous pupils.
https://www.saint-paul-angouleme.fr/pdf/20161128/Historique_Saint_Paul.pdf
https://www.saint-paul-angouleme.fr
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lycée_Guez-de-Balzac

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lycée_Guez-de-Balzac

At 104 Rue de Vaugirard in Paris, François Mitterrand, aka Tonton, may to have met other close friends of the Cagoule, **François Dalle**, who was to become **CEO of Mon Savon** and then right-hand man to **Eugène Schueller, CEO of l'Oréal,** a notorious cagoulard, **André Bettencourt,** future son-in-law of Eugène Schueller, and **Jacques Bénet,** who was to become a member of the **MNPGD**, born on 12 May 1944 from the merger of the **MRPGD** (created in June 1941 in Germany, Stalag XI B in Falingostel, by **André Ulmann**, **Charles Bonnet** and **Michel Cailliau)**, the **CNPG**, created in October 1943 by **Robert Paumier**, and the **RNPG** (date of creation unknown), **also known as the Pinot network or the « Pin-Mitt » network in the resistance.**

> « In order to understand this « Pinot » group, we must first note that twenty of them were « Franciscans of the Marshal », i.e. they were awarded the Francisque de Pétain, the supreme rattle under the Vichy regime, which only those who asked for it and deserved it by their extreme fidelity to Pétain and his policy of collaboration with the German Nazi enemy as well as with his anti-republican and anti-national revolution. »
>
> **Michel Cailliau, aka Charette** - History of the M.R.P.G.D. or a true resistance movement (1941-1945) - 1987

Francois Mitterrand, aka Tonton, and most of his friends, members or close associates of the Cagoule also pledged allegiance to Marshal Pétain by swearing this oath: « *I give my person to Marshal Pétain as he gave his to France. I pledge to serve his disciplines and to remain faithful to his person and his works.* ». Mitterrand's two godfathers for the Francisque are **Gabriel Jeantet** aka **Gabès** and **Simon Pierre Arbellot de Vaqueur**, known as **Simon Arbellot**, both Cagoulards. (See Doc below)

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simon_Arbellot
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gabriel_Jeantet
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pierre_Jeantet

Gabriel Jeantet's brother, **Claude Jeantet**, writes in the collaborationist and anti-Semitic weekly ***Je suis Partout*** (I am everywhere), founded by the publisher **Joseph-Arthème Fayard.** The main drafters are : **Pierre Gaxotte**, **Robert Brasillach**, **Lucien Rebatet**, **Pierre-Antoine Cousteau**, **Claude Jeantet**, **Bernard de Vaulx** (former secretary to **Charles Maurras**), **Maurice Bardèche**, **Alain Laubreaux**, **Claude Roy**, **Miguel Zamacoïs**, **Daniel Halévy**, **Pierre Drieu la Rochelle** and the draughtsman **Ralph Soupault.**

> « Like some of its right-wing colleagues, Je suis partout plebiscites Mussolini as early as 1932, in a special issue published in October of that year. It then supported the Spanish Falange, the Romanian Iron Guard and the small English movement of Oswald Mosley. He showed great interest in Léon Degrelle and his fascist rexist movement. Degrelle was the correspondent of the weekly in Belgium and, moreover, a rexist deputy in the 1930s.
>
> (…)
>
> Je suis partout calls for a French-style fascism: "Foreign fascism will only be crushed by French fascism, the only true fascism" (14 April 1939). He did not hide his sympathy for the Front de la liberté (Freedom Front) outlined by Jacques Doriot, who came from the left, with the main far-right movements and the largest conservative party of the time, the Fédération républicaine.
>
> https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Je_suis_partout

Many of the politicians who participated in the various Mitterrand governments had links with the Vichy regime or the Collaboration. **Jean Védrine,** deputy director of François Mitterrand's Cabinet in 1947, received the Francisque and paid allegiance to Marshal Pétain. During the war, **Charles Hernu**, **François Mitterrand's Minister of Defence, who was involved in the Rainbow Varrior affair, was incorporated into Philippe Pétain's youth work camps.** The Chantiers de la Jeunesse Française (CJF), also known as the Chantiers de Jeunesse, was a French paramilitary institution active from 1940 to 1944. It taught recruits the values of the National Revolution advocated by the Pétain government. **Joseph de La Porte du Theil (**https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joseph_de_La_Porte_du_Theil**)** ensures that his troops remain faithful to Vichy orders. **On 2 August 1940, Joseph de La Porte du Theil was one of the members of the court sentencing General de Gaulle to death.**

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chantiers_de_la_jeunesse_française
https://www.cairn.info/revue-agora-debats-jeunesses-2008-1-page-24.htm
https://www.cairn.info/revue-vingtieme-siecle-revue-d-histoire-2012-4-page-97.htm

Mitterrand Government - Obstruction of the law in the Klaus Barbie trial

> **The DGSE - BCRA archives of the Klaus Barbie trial**
>
> « Jacques Verges is obviously unaware that the famous BCRA archives were meticulously purged before being handed over to the judge and that consequently

no definitive « truth » can emerge from the legal investigation. State secrecy obliges.

It was in December 1983 that the Socialist government, more precisely the Minister of Defence Charles Hernu, under the authority of François Mitterrand, hastily brought up the archives of the DGSE on the Barbie affair and the activities of the Gestapo in Lyon in general. In all, four boxes and a historical synthesis, i.e. about 280 explosive files, which, it seems, had been lying dormant for forty years without ever having been exploited. It is true that Judge Riss, who is investigating the Barbie case, has requested the archives relating to his case, but that is not the origin of the wind of panic that seemed to blow at the top of the state. By some means, the exact nature of which we do not know but which is not too difficult to imagine, the authorities learned that Verges was going to join the defence. The question immediately arises as to what it might discover.

All documents stored in the archives of the secret services are classified as « defence secrets » and only the head of the DGSE has the authority to release them. In fact, it is Admiral **Pierre Lacoste'**s signature that appears on the external dispatch note, dated 16 December 1983, allowing the boxes to be handed over to their addressee on the same day, as the signature appearing under the mention « addressee's signature », **Charles Hernu**, attests once again.

It was at the beginning of the month that Charles Hernu sent one of his collaborators, technical advisor **Olivier Renard Payen**, to the DGSE. He was asked to carry out an initial survey of these documents. **This exploration was the subject of a confidential note written by Charles Hernu for the attention of François Mitterrand**. The Minister recalled that the « communication of these archives is requested by the investigating judge Riss », but that the documents that would be transmitted to him should be transmitted to the file and, consequently, communicated to Barbie's lawyer. **However, Hernu points out, these boxes contain « very dangerous information and it is difficult in the absence of a real "Resistance expert" to measure the degree of harmfulness of certain items.** ».

To illustrate this difficulty, the Minister mentions a few examples that have already attracted the attention of his advisor, examples that Hernu describes as symptomatic :

« On one list is Mr **Berard-Quelin,** presented as a French intelligence agent of the Gestapo in Paris.

In another document, from the RAF, it is stated **that Barbie himself removed French agents working for Germany who were no longer useful to him.** However, in the same paragraph, it is stated that **Max Barel** was killed by Barbie, which leaves an ambiguity that could be exploited by the lawyer.

In a report by the French services in Germany after the Liberation concerning **Mitelwitz, Barbie's assistant**, it is said « *Personage to be spared because he does us favours*».

Mr Renard-Payen told Colonel Singlant that, for the moment, there was no question of communicating any documents to the lawyer and that instructions would be given to the admiral at a later date.

We know these instructions. Even the DGSE does not seem to be a safe place to keep its documents anymore. The order was given to transfer them to the ministry.

A week before this transfer was to take place, on December 9, the minister's office sent a new, more complete note to Charles Hernu on the contents of these archives. I am quoting it, voluntarily not mentioning certain names, since as far as I am concerned, I do not have any information on the role that these people may have played during the occupation.

SUBJECT: Archives on the Barbie Affair and the Lyon Gestapo held by the DGSE (from the **BCRA:** Bureau Central de Renseignement et d'Action: Service Spécial Rattaché à l'état major du Général de Gaulle).

I went back to the DGSE yesterday and was able to examine a good part of the archives (after eliminating what did not directly concern the subject, there are still four boxes weighing 3 or 4 kg).

Among the French agents who worked for the German intelligence services, I have identified only three new names of particular note:

Mrs. ... with the following details, widow of a Communist deputy; worked for the SD in France, mistress of a German whom she had followed to Germany; had the reputation of a very high class agent; 40 to 50 years old, well preserved.

Abbé... 28-30 years old; 1m70; SD agent in Lyon in the service of Kampf (Barbie's deputy), black hair; medium build; wearing a Basque beret.

(It may not be the famous abbot, but, this one was from Lyon, and the age matches... there is, at the very least, an equivocation that Me Verges would not fail to exploit).

The names of Mme... and Abbé... are mentioned in the proceedings taken at the Liberation against **Lecussan, a**

French naval officer who participated in the execution of Victor Basch. (Victor Basch : https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Victor_Basch**)**

There are also many other names of known agents (prosecuted, convicted, executed after the Liberation)

Finally, the airman **Costes** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dieudonné_Costes) is mentioned on a list as a particularly venal German military intelligence agent.

Various documents mention Hardy's guilt and an attempt to poison him by Madame Aubrac.

It is also stated that **Lieutenant Aubry** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henri_Aubry), secretary general of the Secret Army (arrested during the meeting at **Dr. Dugougeon's** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frédéric_Dugoujon) house, told the Germans everything he knew to avoid torture.

Finally, concerning the interrogation of Barbie, in 1948, by the French security services, it is specified that « the interview with Barbie was facilitated as much as possible by the American services » and that they should be informed that their agent (underlined in the text) would not be contacted again.

Colonel Singlant told me that apart from the DGSE, there could be archives on Barbie at the DST, the RG, and the DGGN, or in private repositories set up by the heads of networks (as far as the latter are concerned, he thinks that they will not spontaneously hand over the documents they hold, except as a means of defense if they are implicated).

The boxes can be sent either to the Defense or to the Elysée, if this transfer is ordered. **I told Colonel Singlant again that nothing was to be taken out without formal instructions.**

My conclusion is that it should be possible to select (with care) a certain number of documents that can be communicated, if one considers it politically necessary not to oppose the investigating judge with a total refusal.

Singular conclusion from the office of the Minister of Defense. Within the framework of a trial with international repercussions and which claims to edify

future generations, it takes it upon itself to suggest, not only to remove documents that justice demands, but, more seriously, to select "with caution" some of them that would be communicated. On what criteria? Personal or political affinities? If a « total refusal » can be conceived from a moral point of view in order, according to Attali's expression, "not to stir up the mud of the past", the distribution, concocted in who knows what secret chambers, of pieces according to political opportunities is unacceptable. Because, in this matter, one should not be mistaken: angelism does not exist. It is no longer about the fate of Klaus Barbie. No one thinks - not even his defender - that he could be cleared. What is important is to protect leading figures in French political life. **Bérard-Quelin**, whose name appears in an allusive manner and without the slightest element of justification, makes it possible to measure the extent to which the possible diffusion of these plays frightened the authorities

Bérard-Quelin, however, was, after the Liberation, and until his death, in 1990, one of the most influential men in France.

Born in Villeurbanne in 1917, the municipality of which his friend Charles Hernu became an elected in the 1970s, Bérard-Quelin, from an early age, devoted himself to his life's passion: the press, information and power. He was not yet 17 when he took his first steps as a journalist for various publications in the Lyon region, and 20 when he founded a tiny information agency, ***La Correspondance de Presse***, the first stone of what, many years later, would become the ***Société Générale de Presse***.

During the occupation, in addition to other journalistic activities, he participated in the creation, in 1943, of the ***Jacobin,*** a clandestine newspaper. Arrested and imprisoned in Fresnes at the end of 1943, he founded ***Le Siècle*** with a few friends from the Resistance, a club whose ambition was to bring together the country's elites. **A member of the Radical Party since 1936 and of the Grand Orient, close to Pierre Mendès France and François Mitterrand,** to whom he gave his support, **Georges Bérard-Quelin developed around the Société Générale de Presse a series of specialised publications and directories sold at a very high price by subscription**. **Jacques Fauvet**, former director of Le Monde and, of course, a member of Le Siècle, wrote of him: « ***A man of influence, he knew everything and discreetly informed many leaders who frequently consulted him*** ».

His club, ***Le Siècle,*** was founded on this idea of discreet consultations between men who cannot always meet easily on the public stage. One of the peculiarities of the Siècle is the extremely low membership fee. On the contrary, a real obstacle course is imposed on those who wish to become members. The only way to join is by co-option. There are many and varied exams to pass. The first step is an

invitation to one of the monthly dinners during which the candidate is evaluated. The « invitation proposal » must be presented by two sponsors who vouch for the guest's honourability. The proposal is then put to a vote by the Board of Directors and an absolute majority of votes is required to obtain the precious sesame. All these operations are recorded in carefully archived minutes. Once this stage has been completed, the guest must undergo the test of the meal. About 350 guests attend the monthly dinner. Not all of them will return. This meal is not a banquet. The diners are divided into tables of eight, each presided over by a table leader, one of whose tasks is to ensure that the discussion is organised. Another is to provide an "evaluation report" of the guest at the end of the evening. On the basis of this report, a new procedure leads to a decision by the board: should he or her be invited again? After many dinners, perhaps one day the guest will be offered membership of the Siècle. While it is difficult to pinpoint the objective criteria for co-option, the door of the Siècle will remain closed for a long time to - declared - members of the Communist Party and, in general, to members of non-democratic formations.

(…)

December 1987 - **Alain Frouté,** Director of the General Administration of the DGSE, demands the 4 cartons of achives. On 22 December 1987, he received this reply :

In reply to your letter of 8 December 1987, I have the honour to inform you that the file compiled by your services for the purposes of the investigation of the Barbie trial was never handed over to Mr Riss, the investigating judge, as the latter has confirmed to me.

I am therefore not in a position to return to you documents that were never attached to the file.

The Attorney General

(…)

Charles Hernu assumes full responsibility for the concealment of the DGSE archive file, a file that was never submitted to the Barbie trial in a letter dated 3 February 1988 addressed to **André Giraud** :

In these files, what counts is not the « truth » but the exploitation that can be made of it. Some names are mentioned as having worked for the German secret service, without the writers of the documents being convinced of this; they sometimes note that the similarities are striking. **I therefore felt it was my duty to ensure that all this was kept out on public display**. Your request was handled directly with Mr. Frouté and everything is in order. (…)

And in a letter addressed to **Jean-Louis Bianco** :

> **I took it upon myself, which is quite normal, not to transmit these 280 or so files to the magistrate in charge of the Barbie case. (…) What matters to me is not the truth, as I wrote to Mr. André Giraud, but the use that would have been made of it since these documents would have been given to Maître Verges. (…)**
>
> Je vous adresse, à titre strictement personnel, une copie de la note que mon cabinet m'a fait parvenir.
>
> Je tenais à porter tout cela à votre connaissance et je vous laisse le soin, si vous le jugez utile, d'en informer le Président de la République. »
>
> *The Secret Archives of Mitterrand - Captain Paul Barril - Albin Michel - 2001*

Georges Bérard-Quelin
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Georges_Bérard-Quélin

He would have been a member of the Espoir resistance network. The exact name of the network is Espoir Français.

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Espoir_français

Le Siècle
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Le_Siècle

Charles Hernu, France's Defence Minister, admits, in writing, to having withheld vital documents from a worldwide judicial procedure concerning a Nazi torturer and war criminal called **Klaus Barbie,** who was implicated in the crime of Jean Moulin and numerous members of the Resistance, and, in his own words, he says that the truth does not matter, whereas it is the very basis of any judicial procedure to establish the truth : « *What matters to me is not the truth, as I wrote to Mr. André Giraud, but the use that would have been made of it since these documents would have been given to Maître Verges.* »

Charles Hernu, Minister of Defence, is therefore in full conscience violating Article 434-4 of the French Penal Code :

> « 45,000 fine for the fact, with a view to hindering the establishment of the truth, is punishable by three years' imprisonment and a fine of
>
> 1° Altering the state of a crime or offence either by altering, falsifying or erasing traces or evidence, or by bringing in, moving or removing any objects;
>
> 2° Destroying, removing, concealing or altering a public or private document or an object likely to facilitate the discovery of a crime or an offence, the search for evidence or the conviction of the guilty.
>
> **When the acts provided for in this article are committed by a person who, by virtue of his or her duties, is called upon to contribute to the determination of**

the truth, the penalty is increased to five years' imprisonment and a fine of 75,000 »

A state court case of international scope, ruling on war crimes and crimes against humanity, is being obstructed by the Mitterrand's French government on the grounds that the defence lawyer, Jacques Verges, could use the DGSE documents against French « personalities » involved in the collaboration and thus in the deaths of many citizens.

> **Captain Paul Barril testifies** : « ***It was in December 1983 that the Socialist government, more precisely the Minister of Defence Charles Hernu, under the authority of François Mitterrand, hastily brought up the archives of the DGSE on the Barbie affair and the activities of the Gestapo in Lyon in general***. »

It was in 1983 that the Minister of Justice, Charles Hernu, under the direct authority of François Mitterrand, removed the file from the DGSE archives on the actions of the Gestapo and the Nazis in France, three years before the trial of Klaus Barbie in July 1987. It is legitimate to think that there was premeditation, especially since the Americans also covered up, employed and paid Klaus Barbie before exfiling him to South America. Could there have been collusion between the french entities of unknown legal form and the English and American entities of unknown legal form?

The Constitution of France of 4 October 1958 stipulates, article 64 : « *the president of the republic guarantees the independence of the judicial authority* ».

Violating the Constitution of France is an act of treason. When a president of the Republic, ministers or civil servants violate the constitution, they destroy the state and therefore betray the citizens who elected them. Democracy is guaranteed by the independence of the legislative, executive and judicial powers. When the executive, legislative and judicial powers are one and the same, as they were under the Mitterrand government and are now under the Macron government, democracy no longer exists. France is a banana republic and a dictatorship. A President of the Republic, François Mitterrand, three ministers, Charles Hernu, André Giraud and Jean-Louis Bianco, and several high-ranking State officials considered it « their duty » to obstruct justice and prevent the truth, the basis of justice, from coming out, in violation of Article 434-4 of the Criminal Code and Article 64 of the Constitution, but Parliament did not deem it essential to denounce this crime and repair the injustice committed. A public prosecutor and a director of the DGSE noted that crucial files had not been provided to a case concerning a crime against humanity committed by a Nazi torturer involved in the crime of many citizens, but none of them has forwarded at the High Council of the Judiciary to denounce the crime and redress the injustice. Why? To protect personalities or state officials involved in the collaboration and likely to have sent to their deaths resistance fighters, opponents or citizens discriminated against by unworthy laws?

But if citizens suspected or guilty of war crimes, crimes against humanity, or torture came to power by undermining the justice system or got rich by appropriating the property of the victims of the Second World War, they have usurped their functions. In fact, one must have a clean record to serve the state and its institutions.

« **The Gestapo came for our comrades who were terribly tortured under Barbie's orders in the premises of the Ecole de Santé Militaire in Lyon**. **Burnoud** described to me the drownings he underwent in a bathtub, under the eye of Barbie. Roland said that his comrades in Stalag XI B were unaware of his activities, that they met by chance for a picnic and that he was the sole owner of the briefcase. The other four, after being held for forty-three days in Fort-Montluc in Lyon, were released, perhaps thanks to the intervention of **Father Méré**, who was in charge of the national group of authers and composers and, as such, had been in contact with German circles for years. **Roland kept a stoic silence under the hardest tortures, and, very wounded by the Gestapo bullies, was deported to the concentration camp of Buchenwald,** then condemned to forced labour in the **Dora Tunnel (**https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mittelbau-Dora_concentration_camp**)** and from there, in Erich's den of death, where he died of exhaustion on November 1, 1944, according to the declaration of death. At the time of his arrest, he bore the false identity of **Jean Romanet**, which he had not disown, so that the Caillet family in Lorraine would not be worried. On August 15, 1945, **Robert Ploton**, priest of Saint Etienne, told me « I saw Romanet again in the Dora tunnel on March 14, 1944. It was then the hell of Dante. Since then, he has be designated for another camp, even more sinister, that of Elrich (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ellrich), 20km from Dora. Few survived. » **Roland Caillet**, my best friend, will remain one of the greatest heroes and martyr of the MRPGD.

http://memoiredeguerre.free.fr/lieux-dep/lieux-deport.htm

(…)

On 1 May 1944, after the merger, **Pierre Frey** was arrested near Perrache station in Lyon by the Gestapo, along with our departmental leader for the Loire, his friend **François Laporte**. **Ubu** had met one of his former classmates from the Lycée Ampère who wanted him to meet some so-called BCRA agents, in fact Gestapo informers : **Gaston Pinelli** and **Fanfan Rey**. Under the assumed name of **Robert Pral**, **Ubu was terribly tortured for days, especially by the German gestatpist Dortmann** who broke a stick on his back. **Barbie, Pierre Frey said, was the supervisor of all interrogations. Barbie says to him, « We got Ubu anyway. »** In his cell 124 in Fort-Montluc, Ubu saw **Gérard Maire**, FFI lieutenant and **Marc André** arrive. Both knew our liaison officer Marc André well and knew that he had been badly tortured before being deported. Robert Pral, i.e. Ubu, was sentenced to death. He, then, has declared his true identity as Pierre Frey. A mistake in his name and the burning of the military medical school in Lyon allowed our friend Pierre to get out of Fort-Montluc, three months after his imprisonment, and thanks to the liberation of Lyon. But his health was very poor. He came to Paris to take on responsibilities at the MNPGD, then obtained a scholarship to enter the Ecole Centrale de Lyon, from which he graduated as an

engineer. But, a kidney had to be removed as a result of the abuse he suffered. He never received a pension.

(…)

Kreissler spent six weeks in Fort-Monluc, in a cell in Lyon, and underwent terrible interrogations at the military medical school in Lyon, the headquarters of Barbie's Gestapo.He still bears the welts of the blows on his back. He did not give one name. He remained in chains for about four weeks and was suffocated several times in a bathtub. He was hit several times on the testicles and then sent to Buchenwald where, thanks to his German language, but still under the name of **Lebrun**, he was able to render great services to the deportees by working at the Arbeitsamt or employment office. »

Michel Cailliau, aka Charette - History of the M.R.P.G.D. or a true resistance movement (1941-1945) - 1987

About Cagoule
https://guyderambaud.fandom.com/fr/wiki/%27%27La_Cagoule%27%27

Rat-channels
http://www.jhmf.org.uk/fr-krunoslav-draganovic-rat-channels

Oustachis
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Camps_de_concentration_oustachis
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jasenovac_concentration_camp
https://journals.openedition.org/ceb/745
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oustachis
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ustaše

« Through his ratline, with assistance from the CIC, **Draganović played a major role in helping notorious Nazi war criminal Klaus Barbie flee from Europe**.[9] The two maintained a friendly relationship.[10] Asked by Barbie why he was going out of his way to help him escape to Juan Peron's Argentina, he responded: "We have to maintain a sort of moral reserve on which we can draw in the future.

Draganović was central to many allegations involving the Vatican Bank, the Central Intelligence Agency, and the Nazi Party. Declassified CIA documents confirm that Draganović was a member of the Ustaše, who murdered between 330,000 and 390,000 Orthodox Serbs and about 32,000 Jews. »

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Krunoslav_Draganović

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

Pinot, Mitterrand, Védrine, decorated with the Francisque of Pétain

Statuts Francisque : Décret n° 2655 du 31/06/1942 approuvant les statut de la Francisque - JORF des 24 et 25 Août 1942

Mais voici un autre document très grave, tel qu'il peut être constaté dans les Bibliothèques et Centres Officiels de documentation, c'est la liste minima des membres du « groupe Pinot » auquels, dans les conditions des lois de Vichy ci-dessus, la Francisque de Pétain a été attribuée. Je n'y apporte aucune modification :

	N°		Parrains
1)	513	Etienne Ader.	
2)	661	Bernard Ariès.	De Saival, Racine.
3)	1505	Pierre Arnal.	Benoist-Méchin, Devaux.
4)	684	Georges Baud.	
5)	2262	Jean-René Boulard.	Jean Védrine, Chigot.
6)	1410	Pierre Chigot.	Jardin, Racine.
7)	1689	Jean-Louis Cornuau.	Pinot, Guérin.
8)	785	Louis de Courson.	
9)	2307	Pierre Coursol.	Jean Védrine, Chigot.
10)	685	Louis Devaux.	
11)	1761	Henri Guérin.	Pinot, Ariès.
12)	1797	Henri Guitton.	
13)	1470	Pierre Join-Lambert.	Lavagne, Ariès.
14)		Robert Laffont.	
15)	2277	André Magne.	Jean Védrine, Chigot.

231

	N°		Parrains
16)	2202	*François Mitterrand.*	Arbellot, Jeantet.
17)	1411	*Maurice Pinot.*	Lavagne, Ariès.
18)	1661	Roger Victor Ruillier.	Pinot, Ariès.
19)		Georges Van Batten.	
20)	2172	*Jean Védrine.*	Pinot, Racine.

Je suis enclin à penser que 5 à 10 autres du « groupe Pinot » ont aussi reçu la Francisque de Pétain. Tous ces « fransciscains » du groupe Pinot ont gardé leur Francisque jusqu'au bout.

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

After the war, Barbie was protected and worked by the Americans - First obstruction to the law regarding the nazi criminal Klaus Barbie

Rome Statute of International Criminal Court - Article 70
Offences against the administration of justice

1. The Court shall have jurisdiction over the following offences against its administration of justice when committed intentionally:

(a) Giving false testimony when under an obligation pursuant to article 69, paragraph 1, to tell the truth;

(b) Presenting evidence that the party knows is false or forged;

(c) **Corruptly influencing a witness, obstructing or interfering with the attendance or testimony of a witness, retaliating against a witness for giving testimony or destroying, tampering with or interfering with the collection of evidence**;

(d) Impeding, intimidating or corruptly influencing an official of the Court for the purpose of forcing or persuading the official not to perform, or to perform improperly, his or her duties;

(e) Retaliating against an official of the Court on account of duties performed by that or another official;

(f) Soliciting or accepting a bribe as an official of the Court in connection with his or her official duties.

https://www.icc-cpi.int/resource-library/documents/rs-eng.pdf

1948. **Klaus Barbie**, aka **Klaus Altmann**, aka **Adler**, gives an interview to the newspaper ***Samedi Soir*** about the **Caluire affair** and the arrest of Jean Moulin. In this interview, he confirms Hardy's betrayal. The DST is informed of the presence of Klaus Barbie in Germany. 14 May 1948. Two DST agents interrogate Klaus Barbie in Frankfurt during 3 days : Police Commissioner **Bibes** and **Charles Lehrman**. The questioning concerns René Hardy's role in the Caluire affair. As far as Moulin is concerned, he says he did not interrogate him but transferred him to Paris. In fact, Moulin was allegedly tortured and died in the villa requisitioned in Neuilly by the head of the Gestapo, **Karl Boemelburg.** Barbie was allegedly photographed without her knowledge during the three days of interrogation. **Klaus Barbie already works for the Office of Policy Coordination** (**OPC** - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Office_of_Policy_Coordination) **and the Counter Intelligent Corps** (**CIC** - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Counterintelligence_Corps)

On May 3, Technical Specialist Joseph Vidal, the man at ele HQ who knew the Barbie-Merk history better than anyone else, provided EueOM with the

background of the Barbie case. Vidal's cable began: « Klaus Barbie, an ex-informant of this organization, was employed by ele from May 1947 until May 1949 in Region XII

(Augsburg). During the occupation of France by the Germans Barbie was the chief of the Gestapo at Lyon

* * * Vidal summarized Barbie's use as an informant, including his actions « in the French Zone, » the deposi- tions taken by French authorities, and HQ's order to Region XII to drop Barbie as an informant following the May 1949 public allegations against him. »

* **Klaus Barbie - Attorney General' Report - United States Government**
https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/criminal-hrsp/legacy/2011/02/04/08-02-83barbie-rpt.pdf

About police commissioner Bibes
http://www.aassdn.org/site/index.php?option=com_mtree&task=viewlink&link_id=137&Itemid=
http://theses.univ-lyon2.fr/documents/getpart.php?id=lyon2.2000.chantin_r&part=18462

1949. The media locate Klaus Barbie in Munich, Germany. He moved freely in the American occupation zone.
The Lyon resistance fighters petition the US Embassy to have Barbie handed over to them for trial.

24/05/1949. American agent **Eugen Kolb** interrogates Klaus Barbie who admits to torture but denies having tortured. In his report Eugen Kolb concludes that belonging to the Gestapo does not make Barbie a criminal. However, the victims of torture are formal : Barbie supervised the interrogations and the torture. Under the Rome Statute and the Convention against Torture, he is a criminal against humanity.

> « In the 1950's, France twice sentenced him to death in absentia for war crimes. Mr. Barbie, 69 years old, is awaiting trial on charges of torturing and killing hundreds of people and rounding up thousands more to be shipped to Nazi death camps.
>
> Former United States agents have said American intelligence groups hired Mr. Barbie to provide information about Communist activities in Europe and then helped him escape to Bolivia.
>
> **Mr. Kolb** said on the BBC public affairs program "Panorama" that when American officials first questioned Mr. Barbie in 1947, they knew he was wanted for his Gestapo activities. **But Mr. Kolb said they thought Mr. Barbie was wanted for minor crimes**.
>
> Another former intelligence officer, **Ed Dobringhaus**, said American agents set up Mr. Barbie and his family in the southern German town of Augsburg, complete with an office and secretary. « We went overboard to be nice to that guy," he said. "We went out drinking beer once in a while. » Questioned and Freed in '47

Earl Browning, who headed the intelligence agency's office in Frankfurt, said he saw Mr. Barbie's name on a list of agents late in 1947 and ordered him arrested. Mr. Barbie was taken to an interrogation center in Oberursel, Germany, questioned and freed a few months later, Mr. Browning said.

Mr. Barbie then went back to work for United States intelligence, and Mr. Kolb recalled him as '« a very shrewd, extremely intelligent, very capable professional. »

Beginning in 1949, France appealed to **John McCloy**, then United States High Commissioner in Germany, for word of Mr. Barbie's whereabouts. Nothing came of the requests.

In 1951 the United States decided to stop using Mr. Barbie as an agent, but Mr. Kolb said Mr. Barbie was not turned over to the French because it was felt the French intelligence agencies had been penetrated by Communist agents.

In February 1951, the BBC said, Mr. Barbie was placed in the hands of a counterintelligence agency officer, Col. James C. Milano, and taken across the border to Salzburg, Austria, in a United States Army truck.

From Salzburg, the BBC said, Mr. Barbie was sent to Genoa, Italy, where he boarded a ship for South America. **Herbert Bechtold**, a German-born officer in the counterintelligence agency who became Mr. Barbie's « handler », was asked if he questioned the morality of the hiring a man like Mr. Barbie by the United States. « I am not in a position to pass judgment on that, » Mr. Bechtold replied. « I was just following orders ». »
https://www.nytimes.com/1983/07/06/world/ex-us-agents-tell-of-efforts-to-help-barbie.html

The McNeil/Lehrer Report, Barbie Report
https://americanarchive.org/catalog/cpb-aacip_507-w950g3hz4n
https://www.lemonde.fr/archives/article/1983/08/18/le-gouvernement-americain-admet-avoir-recrute-apres-la-guerre-l-ancien-chef-nazi-comme-informateur-et-presente-ses-regrets-a-la-france_2826463_1819218.html
https://www.historynet.com/how-an-international-criminal-helped-bring-nazi-fugitive-klaus-barbie-to-justice/

Klaus Barbie - Attorney General' Report - United States Government
https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/criminal-hrsp/legacy/2011/02/04/08-02-83barbie-rpt.pdf

Until 1951, Klaus Barbie worked for the CIC and therefore for the USA. He lives with his family in a house in Augsburg which is secured by the CIC. His mission would be to interrogate suspects and turn enemy agents. His action would focus on the Bavarian Communist Party and the Soviet Union.

« Barbie is afraid of the French. Her situation has become precarious. He is on the search lists of HICOG and the German police. If he were arrested for a minor

offence or as a result of a denunciation, HICOG would be informed and his extradition would follow immediately, according to the SIC. **However, what the Americans fear most of all is that the French will make Barbie talk about his activities within the CIC and that he will reveal the anti-French operations sponsored by the American intelligence service.** They fear that Barbie will reveal what he knows about the workings of the CIC itself. Because he knows a lot and the CIC staff is aware of this: "Barbie knows more about the CIC than any of the officers in the service.

(…)

It was therefore decided to evacuate it to South America. Barbie has been requesting this since September. In December her case became a hot topic. The management of Region XII, to which he belong, suggest that take him the « **rat route** ».

France United States, 50 years of dirty tricks - Fabrizio Calvi/ Frédéric Laurent - Albin Michel - 2004

The Nazis used several escape routes. The « **Rat Route** » **was allegedly set up by two officers of the 430th CIC** : **James Milano** and **Paul Lyon.** Most of the Nazi escape networks after the Second World War were set up by Vatican prelates**.** The first escape network was created before the end of the war by the Austrian bishop **Alois Hudal**. It was supported by the Secretary of State **Montini, the future Paul VI**. Numerous religious humanitarian organisations lent him a hand. Montini provides false identity papers and travel documents through the Pontifical Commission for International Assistance and Charity. The USA seems to have complete control over the network of **Father Krunoslav Dragonovic** (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Krunoslav_Draganović) who runs the **San Girolamo Institute** in Rome, a seminary for young Croats. **Dragonovic is prosecuted for war crimes by the Tito government.** Krunoslav Dragonovic is said to be connected to two American officers stationed in Italy : Colonel **C. Findley** and **Major Simcock**. He is said to be protected by **Dr Migliore**, one of the heads of the Italian Secret Service. More than 30,000 people are said to have used its escape networks. Main destinations : North and South America, Australia, Spain, England. Towards the end of the 1950s, only three destinations were proposed : the Middle East, South America and North America. The CIC funds the pipeline and pays $1000 to $1400 per defector.

« On 9 March, the Barbie family, accompanied by two CIC agents, left Germany by train for Salzburg. Three days later, they arrive in Genoa. They were met at the station by Dragonovic, picked up and driven to an little hotel near the harbour; **Neagoy** and **Gray** remained in Genoa to monitor the fugitive's departure until the end. **On 16 March, Barbie and his family receive safe-conducts from the International Red Cross on behalf of Altmann**. **They can go to the Bolivian Consulate in Genoa where they were receive immigrant visas.** To obtain them, Barbie presented documents from the travel office of the American High

Commissioner and from the International Red Cross. On his Visa, Altmann (Barbie) was born on 25 October 1915 (he was two years younger) in Kronstadt, Germany. **The city does not exist! There is only one Kronstadt in Russia. A few days later, on 19 March, Dragonovic also obtained Argentine transit visas for them (No. 14218), signed by the Consul General.** They can now leave Italy for Buenos Aires. On 23 March, Barbie and her family boarded an Italian ship, the Corrientes, and left Italy for Argentina, where they arrived on 10 April. **On 27 March, Neagoy noted in a report : « The operation went without incident. On 3 April, the 66 CIC headquarters concluded : « This case is considered closed ». »** In Buenos Aires, Barbie and her family spend ten days at the Hotel El Dora before heading to Bolivia. The penniless Klaus-Altmann-Barbie works in a sawmill in the middle of a mahogany forest at an altitude of two thousand meters**. A few years later, he became director and then manager of various companies, including the only maritime company in Bolivia, Transmaritima Boliviana.** In 1966, he was the representative in Germany of the **German arms company Merex AG**. Since 1957, Barbie has been a Bolivian national. **He has a « diplomatic passport » and travels. The FBI monitors his movements in the USA. He was reported in West Germany and France, where he said he laid a wreath on the grave of Jean Moulin, « my best enemy ».**

Barbie is part of a network of former Nazis that spans over the Latin America. A CIA report, dated March 1967, mentions his links with former Major Otto Skorzeny, a key figure in the clandestine action of former Nazis. On 13 February 1974, a CIA agent based in Asuncion, Paraguay, sends a coded message to Langley headquarters announcing the arrival of Klaus Barbie and **Hans Rudel Ulrich**, « ex-Luftwaffe, ex-Nazi and adviser to **Juan Peron** ». Rudel has an appointment with the Paraguayan dictator **Stroesser**. The report mentions Barbie's contacts with drug dealers in Santa Cruz. Following a television broadcast in 1967, **Senator Javits** called his government to account for the American protections afforded to Klaus Barbie. At the same time, the American army, which dreams of setting up an intelligence and action network in Latin America composed of ex-Nazis, considers reactivating Barbie. CIA documents, made public in 2001, indicate that the project was not pursued.

(…)

At the end of 1974, the Supreme Court of Bolivia refused France's extradition request. The protections did not prevent Barbie from returning to prison, in La Paz, from 2 March to 29 October 1973, but for tax offences. In 1975, he is said to be an « **advisor on repression** » to the Bolivian government. His name also appears in the sale of Austrian Kuirassier tanks to Bolivia. In **Switzerland**, he still buys small Pilatus Porter planes for the Bolivian government. On 2 February 1980, **he signed a contract with the Bolivian army to provide services in the**

« intelligence speciality », should the need arise. He now travels with a Bolivian special services card and says stood ready to « participate directly in army operations ».

Klaus Barbie is in fact the head of a death squad nicknamed Los nobios della Muerte (The Bridegrooms of Death), a small army of neo-fascists who work for both the army and the drug lords. His right-hand man is an Italian neo-fascist wanted in his country for his participation in various massacres and attempted coups. **In December 1982, a CIA agent reported their presence in Argentina with Colonel Luis Arce Gomez, head of military intelligence and head of the Bolivian death squads**.

(…)

Delle Chiae's right-hand man is Pier Luigi Pagliai, who holds the title of « military attaché for the Argentinean armed forces in La Paz » and **who he specialises in organising « interrogation seminars » for the Bolivian police.**

On 12 September 1982, two « guinea pigs » - peasants - died in front of the « seminarians » during a course entitled « interrogation techniques, physical and psychological aspects of coercion : practical demonstration with lie detector ». Pagliai had stuck red-hot needles in their ears.

(…)

On 25 January 1983, the former head of the Lyon Gestapo was arrested and charged with financial fraud. In the shadows, the neo-fascists are stirring. CIA telegrams revealed that American agents were monitoring a group of Italians close to Pagliai who were planning to kidnap the French ambassador to Bolivia and the French presidential advisor **Régis Debray**. This double kidnapping did not take place. The story does not say whether the CIA took an active part in foiling the plot. **On 5 February 1983, Barbie was deported to France to stand trial.**

The American question is at the heart of the Barbie trial to be held in Lyon, as it is at the heart of the questions raised by historians who have examined the end of Jean Moulin.

In 1984, Pierre de Bénouville sent a secret message to the US Embassy in Paris for the attention of the US Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) and CIA Director William Casey. He says : Klaus Barbie's lawyer is preparing to make public in the next few days a letter from Klaus Barbie stating that he was employed by the OSS from 1943. In response to this accusation, which would never be made in this way, Bénouville asked the CIA director for documentation to set the record straight.

The Barbie question poisoned Franco-American relations during the 1980s. Faced with French accusations, the American administration reacted by ordering an

internal investigation to assess the extent of the protection afforded to Jean Moulin's executioner. The Secretary of Justice asked **Allan Ryan** to launch an investigation. All the administrations concerned (CIA, FBI, State Department, US Army) are asked to open their archives. »

France United States, 50 years of dirty tricks - Fabrizio Calvi/ Frédéric Laurent - Albin Michel - 2004

It should be noted that the U.S. security agencies, from 1947 - CIC, CIA, OPC, etc. - the Virginia corporation government entity of unknow judicial form, run by the City of London Corporation, itself run by the Mountbatten-Windsor brotherhood, have obstructed justice and allowed Nazi or pro-Nazi war criminals and criminals against humanity to escape justice in violation of 18 U.S. Code § 1505 :

« Whoever, with intent to avoid, evade, prevent, or obstruct compliance, in whole or in part, with any civil investigative demand duly and properly made under the Antitrust Civil Process Act, willfully withholds, misrepresents, removes from any place, conceals, covers up, destroys, mutilates, alters, or by other means falsifies any documentary material, answers to written interrogatories, or oral testimony, which is the subject of such demand; or attempts to do so or solicits another to do so; or

Whoever corruptly, or by threats or force, or by any threatening letter or communication influences, obstructs, or impedes or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede the due and proper administration of the law under which any pending proceeding is being had before any department or agency of the United States, or the due and proper exercise of the power of inquiry under which any inquiry or investigation is being had by either House, or any committee of either House or any joint committee of the Congress—

Shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years or, if the offense involves international or domestic terrorism (as defined in section 2331), imprisoned not more than 8 years, or both.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 770; Pub. L. 87–664, § 6(a), Sept. 19, 1962, 76 Stat. 551; Pub. L. 91–452, title IX, § 903, Oct. 15, 1970, 84 Stat. 947; Pub. L. 94–435, title I, § 105, Sept. 30, 1976, 90 Stat. 1389; Pub. L. 97–291, § 4(d), Oct. 12, 1982, 96 Stat. 1253; Pub. L. 103–322, title XXXIII, § 330016(1)(K), Sept. 13, 1994, 108 Stat. 2147; Pub. L. 108–458, title VI, § 6703(a), Dec. 17, 2004, 118 Stat. 3766.)"

https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1505
https://www.findlaw.com/criminal/criminal-charges/obstruction-of-justice.html

And in violation of Rome Statute of International Criminal Court :

Rome Statute of International Criminal Court - Article 70

Offences against the administration of justice

1. The Court shall have jurisdiction over the following offences against its administration of justice when committed intentionally:

(a) Giving false testimony when under an obligation pursuant to article 69, paragraph 1, to tell the truth;

(b) Presenting evidence that the party knows is false or forged;

(c) **Corruptly influencing a witness, obstructing or interfering with the attendance or testimony of a witness, retaliating against a witness for giving testimony or destroying, tampering with or interfering with the collection of evidence**;

(d) Impeding, intimidating or corruptly influencing an official of the Court for the purpose of forcing or persuading the official not to perform, or to perform improperly, his or her duties;

(e) Retaliating against an official of the Court on account of duties performed by that or another official;

(f) Soliciting or accepting a bribe as an official of the Court in connection with his or her official duties. »

https://www.icc-cpi.int/resource-library/documents/rs-eng.pdf

In 1983, in agreement with the President of the Republic, **François Mitterrand**, the French Minister of Defence, **Charles Hernu**, obstructed justice by put illegally on sequestering boxes of archives intended for the Barbie trial so that the elements they contained could not be used by Maître Verges, the defence lawyer, to bring out the truth, and to protect « personalities » involved in the collaboration. At least two ministers, **André Giraud** and **Jean-Marie Bianco,** and many state officials, were complicit in the crime.

Letter addressed from **Charles Hernu** to **Jean-Louis Bianco** :
« I took it upon myself, which is quite normal, not to transmit these 280 or so files to the magistrate in charge of the Barbie case. (…) What matters to me is not the truth, as I wrote to Mr. André Giraud, but the use that would have been made of it since these documents would have been given to Maître Verges. (…) »

More seriously ever, in 1984, **Pierre Bénouville,** aka **Pierre de Bénouville**, aka **Guillain de Bénouville**, aka..., who is not a civil servant of the French state, sends a **secret letter** to the US Embassy in Paris, to the attention of the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) and Director of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), **William Casey**, informing him of what the Klaus Barbies lawyer, **Jacques Verges,** is about to reveal at the trial which would take place in 1987 : « ***Klaus Barbie's lawyer is preparing to make public in the next few days a letter from Klaus Barbie stating that he was employed by the OSS from 1943*** ».

About Pierre Bénouville, aka…
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pierre_de_Bénouville

A friend of François Mitterrand, Pierre Bénouville is a member of the terrorist organisation The Cagoule. He declared this himself in an interview given to the journalist **Laure Adler.**

> « This is a normal political consequence. **How is a man's life or dead decided?** I killed a man in the metro during the occupation. You know, it's not difficult if you say to yourself : it's him or me. I have been involved in all sorts of stories where decisions had to be made. I've captured agents on occasion. Each time it was painful, I knew that the arrested man was going to cry, to tell me that he didn't want to die, to explain that he had children, to show me photos of his family. Each time, it was a horror. From the beginning of the war, I considered all those who had sided with the Germans as enemies. Nothing to do with political quarrels.
>
> In the Cagoule, however, there were executions?
>
> Yes, but with dangerous people. I don't know if I had been asked to decide, which I would have done, but I'm sure that Navachine was dangerous for national independence.
>
> So you were not directly involved in the Navachine story, but in others?
>
> Yes. »
>
> *Pierre Bénouville - Before the night comes, interviews with Laure Adler - Grasset 2002*

Pierre Bénouville denies having been involved in the murder of Dimitri Navachine but admits to having been involved in other « *stories* » which could be crimes. The journalist says « executions ».

We recall that the Cagoule is a terrorist organisation that executed the minister **Marx Dormoy who had refused to vote full powers to Philippe Pétain**, the **Rosseli brothers**, opponents of the Italian fascist regime of Mussolini, **Laetitia Tourreaux**, the banker **Dimitri Navachine**.

Pierre Bénouville, a friend of François Mitterrand, says he is not involved in the crime of Dimitri Navachine, but two friends of François Mitterrand whom he can only know are accused of this crime : **Jean Filliol** and **Jean-Marie Bouvier**, aka **Jean Bouvyer**, aka… **Jean Bouvier is also François Mitterrand's brother-in-law as he is the companion of his sister Marie-Josèphe Mitterrand**. It is highly unlikely that a friend of François Mitterrand like Pierre Bénouville was unaware of the planning of Dimitri Navachine's crime. Indeed, he was a member of the Cagoule and until Mitterrand's death, he intervened, according to his own words, in the affairs of state as did another cagoulard before him, **François Marie Méténier**.

Concerning the crime of Dimitri Navachine, Pierre Bénouville declared to the journalist Laure Adler : « ***There is the execution of Navachine****, a very dubious character by the way, an agent of the Soviet Union who made contact with the right, who is letting himself be trapped by him, letting himself be won. The proof is that it accepts contact with him. The hooded man who murdered*

Navachine prevented him from continuing his destructive action. I am not in favour of assassination, but Navachine was objectively dangerous. (...) There were brave men who took responsibility. ***So the assassination of Navachine seemed to us a blow full of light.*** *He was indeed penetrating right-wing circles as an agent of Moscow. He was making provocations.* ***He constituted a real danger for the Right because he came to propose it a completely different attitude. The right was, potentialy, sensitive to the idea of dealing with this kind of problem with Moscow agents.*** *So it had to go. So it was better to do it yourself.* ***So it was indeed an order given to Navachine's assassin to execute him? A political order? says Laure Adler. It is a normal political consequence. Answers Pierre Bénouville.*** *» (*Pierre Bénouville - Before the night comes, interviews with Laure Adler - Grasset 2002)

In 2002, Pierre Bénouville publicly declared that he supported the execution of opponents or agents, presumed agents since Navachine was not officially USSR agent, in the name of a « *normal political consequence* ». One can legitimately deduce that Pierre Bénouville, a member of the terrorist organisation The Cagoule, thought that the execution of the minister Marx Dormoy, who refused to vote for full powers for Philippe Pétain, was a « *normal political consequence* ».

It should be remembered that freedom of expression is a fundamental human right guaranteed by the French constitution, first amendment, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter, and that opposing political action is a right. When the Cagoule assassinated Minister Marx Dormoy, it committed a terrorist crime that nothing justifies.

It should be remembered that « agents », regardless of the country or countries they serve, do not make policy. They collect informations which they pass on to their superiors for their government. This governments must be sovereign and do not private entities of legal unknown form. Agents working for private entities of unknown legal form are mercenaries. **We recall that under the Geneva Conventions, Article 47, a mercenary is not entitled to combatant or prisoner of war status.**

> **Article 47 - Mercenaries**
> **« 1. A mercenary is not entitled to combatant or prisoner of war status.**
>
> **2. The term « mercenary » means any person :**
>
> **(a) who is specially recruited in the country or abroad to fight in an armed conflict ;**
>
> **(b) who in fact takes a direct part in hostilities;**
>
> **(c) who takes part in hostilities primarily for personal advantage and who is actually promised, by or on behalf of a Party to the conflict, material remuneration substantially in excess of that promised or paid to combatants of similar rank and function in the armed forces of that Party;**
>
> **(d) who is neither a national of a Party to the conflict nor a resident of the territory controlled by a Party to the conflict**

(e) is not a member of the armed forces of a Party to the conflict; and

(f) who has not been sent by a State other than a Party to the conflict on official business as a member of the armed forces of that State. »

https://ihl-databases.icrc.org/dih-traites/WebART/470-750057

Unfortunately, agents are too often entrusted with dirty jobs that are incompatible with democratic laws, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter. Whether Navachine was an agent or not, his execution is a Mafia crime, as would be the crime of any man or agent murdered in similar circumstances. When the Cagoule murdered Dimitri Navachine, it committed a terrorist and mafia crime that nothing justifies.

Pierre Bénouville presents Navachine as a danger to France because he negotiates with the French right, but this is what all international business leaders do. They negotiate with everybody. This is not a crime. This is not proof that be an « agent ». How could a negotiation by a Russian be more dangerous for the security of France than a negotiation by the US, the UK, Algeria or Senegal? The murderers of Navachine, Bouvyer and Filliol, are not national security specialists. They are only killers. The principals are anonymous. An anonymous principal is a terrorist or a mafia godfather.

Those responsible for state security make their decisions in consultation with their peers and the government members, give their orders publicly in writing and bear the consequences. In a democracy, they are accountable to the citizens who pay their salaries through taxes and are the guarantors of national sovereignty.

When the axioms Freedom Equality Fraternity are applied within a society, in the form Freedom ⇒ Equality ⇒ Fraternity, whatever that society, the democratic pact is fulfilled and popular sovereignty is fully expressed.

In 1789, the patriots had as their motto, « Liberty, Equality, Fraternity or Death » which means that a French citizen does not die for his country but for the morality that his country has instituted and defends, democracy (Demos = people and Cratie = power, i.e. « *Power of the people, for the people, by the people* » - Constitution of 4 October 1958 - Art. 2). This moral code is identical to that of the UN Charter. It is the founding principale of democracy. It is universal and prescriptive.

Those responsible for state security neutralise the enemies of the state in accordance with the law, including the right to life. A state security official only kills as a last resort or in self-defence. Any sentence must be the subject of a judicial decision taken after an adversarial debate who permit establish, with proofs, the guilt of the person being tried. In France, no citizen can take justice into his own hands because the rule of equality applies. Only a representative of law and order, in compliance with the laws in force, can neutralise (police officer) or condemn (judge) an enemy of the state or a delinquent.

Pierre Bénouville and François Mitterrand are friends of two of Dimitri Navachine's murderers, Jean Filliol and Jean-Marie Bouvier, aka Jean Bouvyer, both members of the Cagoule and collaborators, yet neither of them saw fit to do everything possible to ensure that

these two criminals were brought to justice so that the truth could be established about Dimitri Navachine crime and with regard to the crimes they committed, as collaborators, during the Second World War.

Yet, François Mitterrand, as President of the France Republic, is the guarantor of the law and of the independence of justice.

The Cagoule, MI6, OSS, Z, SAIC, entities of unknown legal form

In view of the facts reported in this and the previous complaint, the Human Rights Association, WJJA, has demonstrated that the « security agencies » at the orders of City Of London Corporation-Virginia Corporation-Washington DC are entities of unknown legal form that employ mercenaries. Neither these « agencies » nor those they employ act on behalf of sovereign countries. It is therefore legitimate to wonder about the interests served by these entities of unknown legal form since they are not the interests of sovereign nations, respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter.

> « **The Army of the Republic is at the service of the Nation. Its mission is to prepare and ensure by force of arms the defense of the country and the superior interests of the Nation.**
>
> The military state requires in all circumstances a spirit of sacrifice, which can go as far as the supreme sacrifice, discipline, availability, loyalty and neutrality. The duties it entails and the constraints it implies deserve the respect of the citizens and the consideration of the Nation »
>
> Defense Code - LIVRE Ier :GENERAL STATUS OF THE MILITARY (Articles L4111-1 à L4145-3) - Article L4111-1
> https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/codes/section_lc/LEGITEXT000006071307/LEGISCTA000006137720/
>
> « Military personnel must obey the orders of their superiors and are responsible for the execution of the missions entrusted to them.
>
> **However, they may not be ordered to and may not perform acts that are contrary to the laws, customs of war and international conventions.**
>
> The responsibility of subordinates does not relieve their superiors of any of their responsibilities. »
>
> Defense Code - LIVRE Ier : GENERAL STATUS OF THE MILITARY - Chapitre II : Obligations et responsabilités (Articles L4122-1 à L4122-10) - Article L4122-1
> https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/codes/section_lc/LEGITEXT000006071307/LEGISCTA000006137720/
>
> « The orientations in the field of intelligence are decided by the National Intelligence Council, a specialized formation of the National Defense and Security Council. »

Defense Code - GENERAL PRINCIPLES (Articles L1111-1 to L1111-4)
Single chapter (Articles L1111-1 to L1111-4)

It should be remembered that any military or police officer in the service of a sovereign country, respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter, must have the nationality of the country he or she serves and have a clean criminal record.

Is considered as an enemy agression of a sovereign country, respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter, any aggression, even subversive, which affects the life of the Nation, endangers the population, the integrity of the territory, the integrity and the action of its institutions. « *The purpose of the national security strategy is to identify all the threats and risks likely to affect the life of the Nation, particularly with* ***regard to the protection of the population, the integrity of the territory and the permanence of the institutions of the Republic,*** *and to determine the responses that the public authorities must provide.* » (Defense Code - GENERAL PRINCIPLES (Articles L1111-1 to L1111-4).

If we refer to the French Defense Code, the Macron Government is the enemy of the French Nation since it has violated its constitution, notably by signing the Treaty of Aachen which makes France and Germany entities of unknown legal form. (*See Information circular for civil servants and citizens* -) It is a violation of the Constitution of France and therefore a crime of treason. Since the signing of the Treaty of Aachen, France and Germany are no longer sovereign countries. Neither Germany nor France, two sovereign countries that have become a legal entity of unknown form called the Franco-German Council of Ministers or the Franco-German Defense Council, have the right to sit on the UN or the UN Security Council. Only sovereign countries can do so under the Charter. (*See WJJA Information Circular for Civil servants and citizens for legal explication*)

If we refer to the French Defense Code, Pierre Bénouville, who works for OSS even though he is a French citizen, endangers the sovereignty of France, the integrity of its territory and its institutions. « *Maintaining intelligence with a foreign power, with a foreign company or organization or one under foreign control, or with their agents, with a view to stirring up hostilities or acts of aggression against France, is punishable by thirty years' imprisonment and a fine of 450,000 euros* . » (Penal Code - Book IV: Crimes and offenses against the nation, the State and public peace (Articles 410-1 to 450-5) - Article 411-4) / « *Maintaining contacts with a foreign power, with a foreign or foreign-controlled enterprise or organization or with their agents, when it is likely to harm the fundamental interests of the nation, is punishable by ten years' imprisonment and a fine of 150,000 euros* ». (Penal Code - Book IV: Crimes and offenses against the nation, the State and public peace (Articles 410-1 to 450-5) - Article 411-5)

If we refer to the French Defense Code, Philippe Pétain and all the members of the Cagoule, including Pierre Bénouville, committed a crime of treason by giving allegiance to the enemy while their country was legally at war.

> « October 25. Laval had been at Matignon since the day before. Yesterday, upon his arrival, he gave instructions to the mercenaries of the French-speaking German press. His orders were well followed. Today, the newspapers which appear in Paris

relate with lyricism the interview of Montoire and the happy consequences... which will result from it.

Today, Le Petit Parisien, Le Matin and L'Oeuvre stand out. Déat writes: "Four months, day to day after the armistice, the leaders of nations, yesterday enemies and legally still in a state of war, meet and greet each other without hatred... There is a greatness that everyone should feel in this meeting between the former combatant Hitler and the former combatant Pétain…

As a display of bravery, it's not bad. However, we must remember one admission of this frenetic literature: « NATIONS THAT ARE LEGALLY IN A STATE OF WAR. » Marcel Déat does not discuss the crime of treason. Better, he qualifies it.

The Nation will hold all these collaborators accountable. They know that they are guilty of the crime of intelligence with the enemy, which is provided for and punished by Article 75 (Today 411-5) of the Penal Code. The fate of arms will not always be favorable to Germany, and even if violence were to impose its law on us, there would be patriots in our country who would execute all the traitors who do the work of Nazis. »

The Treason Notebooks - From Montoire to 13 December by a witness - Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1945

If the enemy of a sovereign country can be clearly identified if one refers to the texts of laws, at least in France, the definition given by the cagoulard Pierre Bénouville makes it possible to transform into an enemy any person suspect of a crime against thought : « *But on the other hand, little by little, there were elements that constituted the definition of the enemy in those years, in particular those who were the propagators of intellectual and social corruptions.* » Was Minister Marx Dormoy assassinated because he had « *propagated intellectual and moral corruption* » by refusing to vote the full powers to Pétain and by refusing collaboration? Were the Rosselli brothers murdered because they had « *propagated intellectual and moral corruption* » by opposing Mussolini and his fascist regime? « *Propagating intellectual and moral corruption* » is not a crime defined by law and it cannot be because it does not designate a specific act. On the other hand, murder two men after ambushing them is a premeditated crime that falls under the Penal Code. The Cagoule gives itself the right to execute whoever it finds guilty of a crime based on rules that it defines itself in a given context. It is one of the principles of Nazi law not to follow the law : « *Our judicial system must first and foremost preserve the community of the people.* ***The irremovability of judges must be balanced by an elastic jurisprudence*** *for the good of the community. The focus is not on the individual but on the German people.* » (Adolf Hitler - 1937 - In The Law of Blood - Thinking and Acting like a Nazi - Johann Chapoutot - Gallimard 2014)

The Cagoule is a private entity of unknown legal form, of mafia and terrorist nature. According to the facts and testimonies, The Cagoule acte in correlation with MI6, Z and the OSS since its most influential members, **Pierre Bénouville**, in connection with **Allen Dulles**, in Switzerland, during the World War II, and **Marie Madeleine Fourcade**, in connection with **Claude Dansey Marjorie**

Banks (MI6, Z, OSS), in Great Britain, worked in agreement with these agencies and doubtless others, in Italy, Spain and Germany.

> « (…) MI6 will provide **Alliance** - code name of its network - with radio operators, transmitters, cipher books, weapons, various equipment, and credits. Alliance will deliver all the information gleaned in France or elsewhere. **Loustaunau Lacaux** (*Member of the terrorist organization la Cagoule operating for Vichy, the Gestapo and the Abwehr*) **receives 5 million francs, an enormous sum. In return, he gives Kenneth the list of the main agents, listed under fancy symbols: he is N1 - Number One - isn't it. others KSI 42, COU 72, and his chief of staff is POZ55**.
>
> He is eager to prove to his English sponsors that his movement is capable of influencing the course of the war. Precisely, the commander **Léon Faye (**aka **Eagle** - https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Léon_Faye), his number two, delegated in Algeria, assures that he is able to raise the Army of Africa.
>
> Loustaunau jumped on a boat and, on May 22, 1941, found Faye in Algiers. The two companions met with American "observers", a senior Belgian officer and numerous staff officers. A crossroads in the four corners of the city. Discretion is not the forte of the conspirators! Plenary meeting: around a table, covered with maps, directional plans, one aeropagus discuss the order of battle, tactics.
>
> Through the doors, the windows, a commissioner and his team of cops appear. The brilliant officers did not think of posting watchmen who would sound the alarm in case of suspicious movement. Nice catch! Fortunately, between people of the same world... The conspirators are released on parole, with the exception of Faye and Loustaunau... whom the **DST commissioner, Achiary**, a sympathizer, lets go and who returns to France safely.
>
> If Faye is imprisoned in Clermont-Ferrand, Loustaunau, in disguise, hides, not far from his home, in Pau from where he launches antennas everywhere. **He even created a subversive radio station, Radio Terroir, which incited the resistance. »**
>
> The Secret Life of Sir Dansey - Bob Maloubier - Albin Michel - 2015

How can a radio inciting the Resistance broadcast on French soil without being worried by the Gestapo or the Nazi troops? The underground resistance cannot afford this kind of stunt in the middle of enemy territory. Either Loustaunau Lacaux is an affabulator and Radio Terroir does not exist, or he is telling the truth and then he can only be under the protection of the Gestapo and the Abweihr. It is thus a tool at the service of the enemy which is used as bait to trap untrained resistants and functions, in fact, like Wikileaks who serve to trap for witnesses to hush up scandals before they happen. Without a witness, it is difficult to prove a crime.

It should be remembered that Wikileaks is a tool implemented by Andy Mulher Magunh via the Chaos Computer Club, an annex of the BND, the BND being only the last denomination of the Gehlen Network composed of Nazi criminals led by the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC on the German territory placed under their tutelage after World War II. (*See, above, the 15 WJJA demands for the release of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange and the WJJA complaint 1***).**

In view of the facts, it is legitimate to consider the Alliance Network as acting in collusion with or under the orders of the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC, the Nazi regime and the Vichy collaborationist regime.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine whether, during World War II, the **Alliance network** was working in collusion with the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC, the Nazi regime, the Abwehr, Z or any other entity of a subversive or terrorist nature of unknown legal form.

> « Like, in France, t**he Petainist Pierre Guillain de Bénouville**, **of the founding nucleus of the Cagoule** (Les Camelots du Roi of the 17th section of the 16th arrondissement), associated with his crimes: Bénouville, who late became a « Resistance fighter », **by joining the OSS** would mobilise his dear friend Guy **Fradin**, chairman of the board of the Sarguemines foundry company, a member of the BCRA, closely linked to the Cagoule, who had become chief of staff to the Minister of Justice **François de Menthon**, from the autumn of 1944 onwards, in order to avoid the purge of the worst collaborationists.
>
> Or **Georges Loustaunau-Lacau, former aide-de-camp to Pétain and leader of the Cagoule militaire,** a notorious Germanophile who, at the end of 1940, **like his companion and political guardian Marie Madeleine Fourcade** (married to Méric), went over to the British side under the name « **Navarre** ». Always busy with the destruction of communism, **he remained a member of the Marshal's special services until early 1941**.
>
> Then this anti-Gaullist (like Bénouville), who passed on « interesting information » to Passy-Dewavrin, directed an intelligence network, undermined, from the outset, by devastating betrayals, the crucible of the Alliance Network founded in October 1941. **A long report by the Military Security in 1944** painted a damning picture: the French special services claimed to **have proof that Loustaunau-Lacau was working for the Germans. The army staff suspected him of being a double agent working for the Germans.**
>
> **Various elements of the Loustaunau-Lacau-Fourcade file establish that the couple worked for Germany throughout the war. »**

The French elites between 1940 and 1944 - Annie Lacroix Riz - Armand Colin -2016

If Marie Madeleine Fourcade works for Nazi Germany then Claude Dansey, MI6, Z, the Cagoule also work for Nazi Germany. As a result, the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC also work with Nazi Germany. As a result, the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC also works in collaboration with the Parakratos Pétain-Laval-Vichy and with Nazi Germany.

In view of the facts, it is clear that three occult Parakratos, now identified, collaborated with the Nazi government of Adolf Hitler. In the same way of the Nazis, the Waffen SS, the Gestapo, etc., the members of these occult Parakratos are guilty of war crimes and crimes against humanity. The members of these occult governments are guilty of intelligence with the enemy and treason.

In view of the facts, it is clear that Charles Hernu, Pierre Bénouville, François Mitterrand and the other members of these occult Parakratos obstructed justice in order not to have to answer for their crimes.

Mass crimes and crimes against humanity are not subject to the statute of limitations and do not be. Even after the death of the perpetrators, justice must be done to the victims and their descendants. Whether they are dead or still alive, the members of these occult Parakratos must be identified, tried in media trials and convicted so that justice can be served to those they have illegally confined, tortured, sent to camps and executed.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to identify all members of the Alliance network and to determine whether any of them were involved in deportations, denonciations, mass crimes, crimes against humanity or blood crimes and to determine whether there was intelligence with a foreign or enemy power, thus treason.**

> « Alliance spreads like a hydra whose heads snoop from the free zone to Alsace, the Belgian border, the English Channel, the Atlantic and Paris. **Alliance generates a stream of information that is communicated to Dansey through the airwaves or by William Sleator, its representative in Madrid.** « Uncle Claude » didn't expect so much. « Uncle Claude » didn't expect so much. **He satisfies on the hour the least order coming from Loutaunau who spends without counting the cost by taking insane risks.** Relatives warn him :
>
> **The DST has spotted you**! Leave Pau, incognito, this very night. Tomorrow, it will be too late. »
>
> The Secret Life of Sir Dansey - Bob Maloubier - Albin Michel - 2015

The DST (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Direction_de_la_Surveillance_du_territoire) ensures the security of the French territory. Some of its members joined the Resistance. It is legitimate to

consider that the DST identified Alliance as an entity acting in « intelligence with a foreign power hostile to the interests of France ».

Consequently, the association for the defense of human rights, WJJA, asks the French intelligence bodies to kindly place on file all the archives in their possession concerning the Alliance network, its members and its actions.

As France is from since the signature of the treaty of Aix la Chapelle, in the hands of an terrorist entity of unknown legal form, named **Franco-Germano Defence and Security Council**, and that they were, previously, because Pierre Bénouville, member of the terrorist Organization La Cagoule, obeying the orders of Allen Dulles, thus to the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC, gave his guidelines to presidents of republic, we ask the security services of the French territory to place these archives in open source in order to make them accessible to investigators, historians and journalists of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter as well as to any citizen wishing to examine them. The false Assange procedure carried out by the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC, in which the Franco-German Defense Council is also involved, is only one of many staged events, allowing the destruction of the judicial system or, as in the case of the Barbie procedure, allowing the rescue of criminals.

A president of the French Republic, **Francois Mitterrand,** a minister of defense, therefore in charge of national security, respect for the constitution and laws, **Charles Hernu, obstructed justice in the context of a procedure against a notorious war criminal and criminal against humanity, Klaus Barbie.** In this system, the servants of the state in charge of enforcing the law are subjected to blackmail and threats, thus to terrorist pressure. Making state archives and documents open source can only protect them from being neutralized by terrorists. Secrecy is the soil on which all forms of crime grow. Only secrecy allows the summary execution that Pierre Bénouville claims for political opponents, prosecution witnesses or those they call « agents ».

If the « **Cabinet Noir** » (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cabinet_noir), set up by Richelieu, was entitled to preserve the King's secrecy since the French were his subjects and he got his power from God, this rule cannot be applied in a democratic society respecting the rule of equality and the sovereignty of the people. Since it is the people who are sovereign and who govern, they must be informed, in all transparency, of the affairs of state, otherwise they can't accomplish their mission.

> « We must certainly agree that, however bad a prince may be, the revolt of his subjects is always infinitely criminal. He who gave kings to men wanted them to be respected as his lieutenants, reserving to himself alone the right to examine their conduct. His will is that whoever is born a subject should obey indiscriminately; and this law, so express and so universal, is not made in favor of princes alone, but is salutary to the very peoples on whom it is imposed, and who can never violate it without exposing themselves to evils much more terrible than those they claim to guarantee themselves. »

Memoirs of Louis XIV, The job of a king, presented and annotated by Jean Longnon,Paris, Tallandier, collection « Relire l'histoire », 2001, « Mémoires pour l'année 1667 », p. 255-256 (http://ressources.chateauversailles.fr/IMG/pdf/les_sujets_doivent_obeissance_a_leur_roi.pdf)

A subject is not a free citizen. He is considered as infantile and submitted to an authority to which he must obey without ever discussing it. In Royalty, power is omnipotent and hierarchical, which is not the case in a democracy since the rule of equality applies. In a democracy, power is collegial and subject to contradictory debate. The servants of the state are accountable to the sovereign people, not to a president of the Republic or a government, who are only their representatives, just also as the police, the army and the judiciary are their representatives. If the sovereignty of the people is respected, the independence of justice is also respected. If transparency is required for the state and its servants, blackmail, threats and hostage taking are no longer possible.

The investigation into the Assange affair has highlighted the fragility of the state bodies in charge of territorial security, the police and the army, and the corruption that reigns within the justice system.

All citizens who oppose these subversive or (and) terrorist entities of unknow legal form are subjected to blackmail, pressure, threats or summarily executed like René Lucet, Pierre Bérégovoy, François de Grossouvre, Robert Boulin, etc…

Consequently, how French institutions are in the hands of terrorists who violate the integrity of French territory, it is up to the agents of the French secret services, custodians of information relating to the security of the state, to hand them over to their sovereign supervisory authority, the people, in respect of its power, defined in Article 2 of the Constitution of October 4, 1958: « *Its principle is: government of the people, by the people and for the people* ».

In a democratic state, it is the people who govern. It is therefore entitled to access the documents, including sensitive ones, of the country for which it is responsible and it has the duty to ensure that the constitution and the laws are respected. It is up to the people to ensure that the servants of the state can carry out their missions in complete safety, in accordance with the laws in force. The informants with whom we have been in contact manifest themselves, most often anonymously, because they fear for their lives, their physical integrity, those of their families, their children.

As for some of the information we have obtained concerning what can be called the « Wikileaks - Assange Network », it leads us to fear summary executions, torture in Dark Places, house arrest or secret confinement in psychiatric hospitals : « *They were 40 to 140 at the beginning, from WikiLeaks. They will have been sequestered here, on the floors, tortured as much as the foreign services are replacing the French political police of Macron's government and the French army.* »

In light of the above facts the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to identify the agents of the Wikileaks Assange Network, to determine their name and place of birth, to determine for which private entity of

unknown legal form they work, to determine how many of them may have been held incommunicado in Dark Places, tortured or executed, to determine which of them have infiltrated the French police force and army.

In light of the above facts the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to identify the citizens, opponents of the system or not, that the Wikileaks - Assange Network has entrapped, neutralised, incarcerated in secret, tortured or executed.

In light of the above facts the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to to identify the « bosses » of the Wikileaks-Assange network of unknown legal form, to determine their birth names, their places of birth and all their aliases, to determine in which country they are based or infiltrated**.

> « **Loustaunau** replies : Tomorrow, I will go into total underground. Before that, I must, at all costs, say goodbye to my wife and daughter. We have an appointment in the early morning at the church. (…) Twelve hours later, thirteen bloodhounds disguised as hillbillies, whom neither his wife, nor his daughter, nor he, sniffe out, pounced on the great Alliance leader. The number one joined his number two in the Clermont Ferrand prison. Alliance is doubly decapitated.
>
> Dismayed, **Dansey** writes it off. A message from France gives him hope.
>
> Network affected but everything continues.
>
> Who takes over?
>
> The « Chief of Staff », symbol **POZ 55** answers: « *Me, surrounded by faithful lieutenants* ».
>
> MI6 parachutes in POZ 55 a first radio "made in England, **She**, an Englishman having lived in France.
>
> (…)
>
> « **Alliance** » swing between success and failure. Formed, in the military, of second-hand agents, the network is a centralized, pyramidal concept.The chief commands regional « General staffs », sitting in offices whose walls are lined with plans, maps with small flags, the mail, even transmitters, sits on tables. The information is collated at the top. Liaison officers transmit written orders, sometimes in clear text. People meet and even share farewell meals. **Amateur spies, they ignore the rules of compartmentalization taught by the SOE security schools. Also, in spite of the complicities maintained within the ministries, the army, the police, the gendarmerie, and the Vichy secret services, indiscretions and betrayals led to a chain of disintegrations.**

Dansey, who is obsessed with secrecy, knows about it, but he refrains from sticking his nose in from the outset. **Kenneth Cohen** has subscribed to Loustaunau's orders: the network will have total control over its operations.

However, there is no reason why should not take an overview with its new boss, **POZ 55, who has made himself king without knowing anything about his abilities or his pedigree.** Dansey sets up a meeting in Lisbon with one of Cohen's assistant, **Richards**.

On the day in question, the latter, expecting to meet a moustachioed officer, cannot believe his eyes when a young woman appears and stares at him with azure blue eyes. She is slim, small, pretty but ... **crumpled, because she crossed Spain rolled into a ball in a large sealed bag, the diplomatic bag of Vichy.** From Madrid, a **British Embassy car** took her to the Tagus, the border river between Portugal and Spain.

(…)

One day, Commander Faye reappears. (...) Condemned by Vichy, he is certainly a headliner at the Gestapo headquarters on rue des Saussaies and at the Lutetia hotel where the Abwehr reigns. How did he slip through their fingers? Wouldn't they have left him free to move around and put a tail on him?

Although a male, senior officer and Navarre's second-in-command, **Faye spontaneously placed himself under the orders of this frail young woman whom London had enthroned as Number One.** MI6 has parachuted in several transmitters. The movement regains its voice.

(…)

Captured, released several times; leader of a network decimated but constantly rising from its ashes, burned from all angles, POZ 55 survives only by miracle. **Dansey, an old hand at subversion, is aware of this. In the spring of 1943, he asked her to go green to London.**

In the morning, a monumental crown of roses is delivered to her. As she inhales its fragrance, an elegant gentleman, wearing an orchid in his lapel, bows to her, grabs both her hands and exclaims: « *Ah, here is the terrible woman who has made us tremble so much! How good it is to see you here safe! I have often wondered what you looked like... I am not disappointed* ».

At once, she fell under the spell of this « *extraordinarily seductive gentleman with the look of a well-trained clubman, his skin and hands well groomed, his racy eyes, his elastic golfing stride* ». She also said: « ***I knew little about him, except that he was one of those who hold the world in his hands*** ».

He goes out of his way to offer her a flat in St James Court, he gives her a bundle of pounds and a guide to go museums and shops**. Sir Claude's staff consulted her on the situation in France and the activities of his network, gave her messages from his own people and passed on her replies.**

Soon she is doing something other than resting. **The Machiavellian « Uncle Claude » has bring her where he wants her : she runs the Alliance from England and, without him appearing, under his tutelage.**

He caresses her in the direction of the hair : sprays of roses and fine dinners at Brown's, a luxury hotel whose table would give points to Manette's. There, between a venerable claret and a stilton of port, he tries to make the woman he calls **his « niece »** see reason (…)

(…)

Faye is totally burnt. He must not set foot in France again either. You have an excellent network leader there, **Paul Bernard**. It's up to him to take over. I've undertaken not to give you any orders, « *my dear* », but if either you or Faye are determined to enter France, well, you won't find any Lysanders fit for the air! **You have, both, exceed rating of alertness.**

(…)

On the evening of 15 September, Faye clutched Mary Magdalene to his heart and went to Tangmere. On September 1st, 17th and 18th, the Alliance transmitters remain silent. (...)

Finally, an emissary of Sir Claude gives him a message: « The passengers of the Lysander have been arrested in the Paris express. **The PCs in the Capital were « visited », sixteen agents were captured, seven transmitters and bundles of documents seized. Shortly afterwards, a wave of arrests swept through Vichy, Lyon, Autun, Marmande and Brest; the Gestapo seized quintals of archives and captured 150 agents. Two of them were shot.**

Mary Magdalene is appalled. Fortunately « Uncle Claude » comforts her, stands by her side constantly and distracts her as best he can. **They sometimes dine at Alexandre Korda's, who swears to devote a thread to the Alliance Network after the war.**

Suddenly, the Network was reborn from its ashes: the sectors of Bordeaux, Tour, Nantes, manifested themselves. Marie Madeleine and Dansey learn that Faye is in the hands of **Kieffer,** the SS captain who commands the Gestapo interrogation centre at 84 Avenue Foch. He has tried to escape in the company of **Noor Inayat Khan** and **Bob Starr**, agents of the British Special Operation Executive. He sawed through the bars of his cell, climbed to the roof. His accomplices were delayed. An

air raid alerted him. **Starr panicked, roused the sentries.** Since then, there's been no word.

In January 1944, **Kenneth Cohen** often joined « Uncle Claude » when the latter distracted Marie Madeleine Fourcade. On the French side, **Paul Bernard,** the head of the Alliance, agreed: « **It is time to decentralise. I will reduce the staff to one head. We will no longer accumulate archives. No more gatherings** »!

Wishful thinking. Years of inertia cannot be reversed in a day. In London, « Uncle Claude » says to his « niece » : « ***In the past you played the wrong horse, my dear. Your Giraud lost the race. De Gaulle swept him away. It is better that you make friends with Passy, the boss of the Gaullist BCRA who collaborates closely with me. He is intelligent. I like him a lot. Do you know that I, for my part, arm the communists? The idea came from Churchill, who thought they were better organised and more united than many others.***

On this occasion, he organises a "cohesion" lunch which brings together the young woman, **Kenneth Cohen**, **Passy**, his assistant **Manuel, Maurice Shumman** and **Rémy**.

(…)

In mid-March 1944, Alliance suffered a new massacre, as a result of the decentralisation implemented by Paul Bernard. The latter was arrested.

(…)

How I blame myself for having made this proposal to you, dear! However, I must respect it... you don't smoke anymore. God, it costs me to throw you into the lion's den so close to the end.

« ***But swear to me, if you are ever arrested, that you will shout to the Gestapo that it was I who sent you to give me reports on the Communists. Tell them that we are preparing an offensive against the Soviets! As this is a new thing, they won't dare touch you. If necessary, I will confirm it, live, in my own way, trust me.*** » »

The Secret Life of Sir Dansey - Bob Maloubier - Albin Michel - 2015

The testimony of SOE and later SDCE agent and creator of the first combat swimmer units, Robert Maloubier, aka Bob Maloubier (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bob_Maloubier), **leaves no doubt about the subordination of the Alliance network to MI6, Z and Vichy government.** Marie Madeleine Fourcade works under the orders of Sir Dansey, creator of OSS, friend of Allen Dulles, second-in-command of MI6, creator of the subversive and terrorist organisation Z in Bern, the armed wing of the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC. and therefore of the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood.

At this stage of the investigations, it appears that the Cagoule, Clan Mitterrand, Z, MI6, OSS, Abwerh, Gestapo, are working in collusion for the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC. and therefore for the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood.

Marie Madeleine Fourcade travels from France to Madrid in a Vichy diplomatic bag. She therefore belonged to the staff of agents working for Marshal Pétain, the Abweihr, the Gestapo, the Nazis and therefore for the occupier and the enemy of France. No genuine resistance fighter could have travelled in this way. It was an English car that took her to Madrid, proof that there were links between Vichy and the English and therefore intelligence with the enemy.

Claude Dansey makes it clear that he is in contact with the enemy, that he communicates with him and that he exercises his authority over him : « *But swear to me, if you are ever arrested, that you will shout to the Gestapo that it was I who sent you to give me reports on the Communists. Tell them that we are preparing an offensive against the Soviets! As this is a new thing, they won't dare touch you. If necessary, I will confirm it, live, in my own way, trust me.* »

Sir Dansey offers a flat to Marie Madeleine Fourcade. Her services are therefore paid for. She has well the status of a mercenary. She runs the Alliance network from London under the orders of Sir Dansey, thus MI6, Z and the OSS.

Bob Maloubier also testifies to the subordination of Passy, head of the BCRA, Shumman, Kenneth Cohen and Rémy to Sir Dansey and therefore to the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC. and therefore of the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood. There is intelligence with the enemy and therefore treason, treason of the French people and of the authentic Resistance fighters, treason with regard to the agreements made between the sovereign allied countries, treason which caused millions of deaths, including 20 million Soviets who waited, in vain, for the British and Americans to open a front in the West to relieve them.

At this stage of the investigations, it appears that the **Alliance** and **Combat Networks are mercenary entities set up to sabotage the work of genuine resistance fighters, to trap and neutralise them**. All these networks operate on the same principle as the Wikileaks - Assange network. The aim is to identify, approach and then neutralise, those **Pierre Bénouville** calls « agents », term who may be opponents such as Marx Dormoy or state officials wishing to enforce the law, denounce crimes or misappropriations, such as **René Lucet** or **Captain Paul Barril.** Networks like Combat and Alliance are invisible battalions that infiltrate structures to take control in the manner of manipulative parasites. The infiltrators remove from the structure any individual deemed harmful and condition the others to comply with their directives.

Manipulative parasites
https://www.pourlascience.fr/sd/ethologie/les-parasites-manipulateurs-2057.php
https://www.sciencepresse.qc.ca/actualite/2014/01/13/etes-controle-parasite
https://www.cerveauetpsycho.fr/sd/comportement/le-parasite-qui-nous-manipule-9476.php
https://trustmyscience.com/parasites-controlant-esprit-fourmis-insectes/

Despite having acted in intelligence with the enemy, and for some, despite being a member of a subversive or terrorist organisation, Pierre Bénouville, François Mitterrand, Hubert Védrine, Maurice Schumman, Marie Madeleine Fourcade, etc exercised state functions when they were not entitled to do so and obstructed justice by preventing war criminals and criminals against humanity such as Klaus Barbie from being tried.

Since the Pétain-Laval coup d'état, France has been governed by a Parakratos subordinate to the Mitterrand clan and system and of which Pierre Bénouville seems to be one of the leaders. Like the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC. and thus for the Mountbatten-Windsor brotherhood, this Parakratos Mitterrand-Cagoule is mafia-like, Nazi in nature and practices terrorism.

To escape their crime, they sit up a parody of justice with the complicity of corrupt magistrates, in the manner of the Nazis, thereby destroying, at the same time, the rule of law and the republican principles of Liberty, Equality and Fraternity.

The Assange case is the archetype of this parody of justice, stringing together illegal and false procedures, staging fake hearings in stage set. The last Assange hearing took place in a museum.

> « From June 1947 onwards, **the Majestic archives provided a great deal of interesting information on Déat** that the Place Vendôme did not transmit to the High Court until September-October: a simple example of the general veto against the reopening of classified files, weighed down by new German sources that will not be examined.
>
> The Franco-Italian comedy of Déat's extradition began, triggered by the interview article in the Milano Serra of 20 October 1947, entitled: « ***The war criminal condemned to death lives quietly in the Alto Adige*** ». Déat had shown his four passports (...) perfectly in order (...) Italian, Austrian, Swiss and Argentinian and flattered himself that he was not wanted by « all the police » but only by the « French police » (We know how).
>
> In his provocative interview, he congratulated himself on the fact that the « United States was determined to destroy communism » and that Italy « *where the people were attracted to Russia* » owed it to its benefactors not to be « *at this time in the hands of the communists* ». He announced that on his, imminent, return he would hang the reds from the lampposts because a good bloodbath would purify France and that the reconstituted Fascist International would do the same everywhere. More discreet about his supreme protections, he attributed his salvation to Italian and foreign friends, refused to explain how he had escaped research (« *this is my business* »), and said nothing about the American Curia.
>
> There followed a ballet started by the French Ambassador to Italy, who spoke of the possible importance attached by the French Government to the handing over of

Marcel Déat and incriminated the unfortunate tendency in Rome to pass easily over the facts of collaboration with the enemy. The operation proceeded in the same way as the Franco-Italian exchanges concerning the Italian fascist dignitary **Afunso,** officially not a « war criminal » either.

The Quai d'Orsay therefore blamed only the « Palais Chigi », which invoked its « right of asylum », for the refusal of Marcel Déat's « rapid delivery ». But France had still not requested it in January 1948, date on wich a new article on a recent interview with Déat in Rome revived the endless scandal. The soap opera (diplomatic and domestic) interrupting here, in BB18 until October 1949, **so I do not know whether Schumman had to pretend to deal with it.**

Only press publicity had led to fucal outbursts, as when **Renaud de Jouvenel**'s book « ***L'internationale des traitres*** » (**The Traitors' International**) which provoked complaints in the summer of 1949 from the French Bronzais, **whom he accused of having been, like Déat, protected by the United States.**

« *The freedom Déat enjoyed in Northern Italy and in the American zone of Austria could be taken away from him overnight if those* ***who covered up for him and aimed at his four false passports (assuming they did not make them)*** *had the slightest desire to do so,* » said the author**. « If they allow one of the French leaders of the pro-Nazi collaboration to roam the territory they control, it is because they have given him discreet but obvious protection like other European protégés useful to their plans for the future of Europe. »**

The Non-Purification of France - Annie Lacroix Riz - Armand Colin - 2019

These entities of unknown legal form do not represent any sovereign country that respects the UN Charter and the Declaration of Human Rights. As former CIA Director Mike Pompeo so aptly put it, « *They lie, they cheat, they steal* » and he might have added, they kill. They act in the manner of terrorists and mafia organisations, to destabilise the sovereign countries in which they operate. They work for the City Of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation-Washington DC, so for the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood. They are involved in many crimes for which most of them have not been prosecuted or convicted. However, since none of the employees of these agencies work for governments of sovereign countries, respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter. They are mercenaries who fall under Article 47 of the Geneva Conventions and the Penal Code.

Extract from the deposition of Fernand Ladislas Jakubiez (Cagoule's Matricule 526 - assignment 2e R) questioned on June 6, 1945 during the trial of Marshal Pétain for his involvement in the Rosselli brothers' crime.

« I owe you the truth because I want to free my conscience.

I have belonged to the **Action Française** since 1928 when I was working as a draftsman at Maison Sins in Paris, 5 Bd Montmartre.

At the end of December 1935, the entire Action Française team led by Jean Filliol resigned because of political differences with the movement's leadership.
Shortly afterwards, Jean Filliol founded a National Revolutionary Party movement, whose leadership was entrusted to Eugène Deloncle. Some time later, in 1936, this party was transformed into the CSAR.

The great investigations of Commissioner Chenevier (From the Cagoule to the Dominici affair) - Jean Emile Néomet - Albin Michel - 1995

Here appears a first contradiction concerning the creation of the CSAR. It is common knowledge that the **CSAR**, aka **The Cagoule**, was created by Eugène Deloncle, not by Jean Filliol. Fernand Jakubiez, a member of The Cagoule, declares in court during the trial of Marshal Pétain that the creator of CSAR, aka The Cagoule, is Jean Filliol, but no French judicial or administrative authority takes steps to establish the truth.

As one witness stated publicly and anonymously in 1945, **The Cagoule was omnipotent in Vichy and that the leader was François Méténier** : « *The French general staff is agitated. It camouflages weapons and equipment in the farms of the southern zone, with a view to a possible coup d'état.* ***The Méténier team - the leader of the Cagoulards - is omnipotent in Vichy.*** *Encouraged by* ***Peyrouton*** *and his acolytes, they were preparing the coup d'état which would consolidate the totalitarianism of the French state, eliminate Laval and with him all the survivors of the old regime. What matters above all to these gentlemen is to have all the power and all the places under the protection of the Marshal.* ***Through the intermediary of Doriot, these people obviously have contacts with the German General Staff, which is already beginning its underhand struggle against Abetz and the Embassy****. Skilful politicians, with the country's interest in mind at all times, could perhaps play on these rivalries. Those we see have only one thought : to bet on the winner, to side with one side or the other. In both hypotheses, it is France that pays, it is the German who enslaves our homeland.* » (The Treason Notebooks - From Montoire to 13 December by a witness - Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1945)

At this stage of the investigation, at least six French citizens are identified as leaders of the Cagoule : **Eugène Deloncle,** after his dead, **Jacques Corrèze**, aka « **La Bûche** », who, in 1941, joined the **French Volunteer Legion (LVF)** to fight alongside the Nazis against the Soviet Union (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jacques_Corrèze), **François Méténier** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/François_Méténier), in charge of the order service of Marshal Pétain in 1940, **Jean Filliol** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jean_Filiol), **Pierre Bénouville** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pierre_de_Bénouville) and **Henri Martin** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henri_Martin_(activiste)). All are friends or relatives by marriage of François Mitterrand aka Tonton. Two were involved in the management of the state and had access to the Elysée Palace : François Méténier and Pierre Bénouville. Pierre Bénouville works too for **Marcel Dassault**, whose company produces warplanes and is involved in security and defence.

Allegedly the head of an private terrorist entity of unknown legal form, called La Cagoule, of which he is a proven member, Pierre Bénouville has been acting, since the Second World War,

in the shadow of power, on two fundamental axes of its sovereignty, the legislative, the executive and the judiciary, dictating their policy to the different presidents and of controlling the workings of its defence and security through the Dassault Holding Company.

In view of the facts, Pierre Bénouville held the position of President occult of France since World War II until his death.

Is it then in his capacity as hidden president of France that he obstructs justice and prevents the truth from being told about the death of **Jean Moulin** and the war crimes and crimes against humanity of Nazi Klaus Barbie?

Pierre Bénouville warns CIA Director **William Casey** that **Jacques Verges**, Barbie's lawyer, is about to reveal that his client has been a member of the OSS since 1943, i.e. almost from its inception since the OSS was created in June 1942.

If Klaus Barbie is an OSS agent since 1943, many questions arise.

How could Pierre Bénouville know in 1984 that Klaus Barbie had been working for the OSS since 1943 when, according to declassified archive documents, he had been working for the CIC (created in 1941) and the OPC (operational from 1948 to 1950), in the US-occupied zone in Germany?

If Barbie has been working for the OSS since 1943, it means that he has tortured, committed war crimes and crimes against humanity in agreement with the OSS. The OSS is therefore complicit in the crimes committed by the Gestapo on French territory and therefore probably complicit in the assassination of Jean Moulin.

It should be remembered that in 1944, **Klaus Barbie** appeared on the list of war criminals published by the **UNWCC** (**United Nations War Crimes Commission -** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_Nations_War_Crimes_Commission). He is listed under **n° 48** in the German section under the name of **Barbier,** aka **Kreitz**, member of the Gestapo of Lyon and Dijon. He is also on the first (1945) **CROWCASS** (**Central Register of War Criminals and Security Suspects -** https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/CROWCASS) list published by **SHAEF** (**Supreme Headquarters Allied Expeditionary Force -** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Supreme_Headquarters_Allied_Expeditionary_Force). He is listed as **No. 57** and the names **Barbie**, aka **Barbier**, aka **Von Barbier**, wanted for murder. **In 1944, the US and the Allies knew that Barbie was a war criminal and a murderer wanted for trial.**

Moreover, if Klaus Barbie works for the OSS since 1943, he works for Allen Dulles who heads the OSS in Switzerland, in Bern. The OSS was created and is headed by MI6's second-in-command, Claude Dansey Marjorie Banks, aka Uncle Claude, aka Uncle Dansey, aka…, who is also the boss and creator of the private agency of unknown legal form, Z, whose operation is similar in many ways to that of the Cagoule.

In its previous complaint, the Human Rights Association, WJJA, demonstrated that the Parakratos of « Virginia Corporation - Washinton DC » was ruled by the Mountbatten-Windsor brotherhood through the City of London Corporation and the Navigation Act of 1660. The President of the United States manages the American government as a governor of the City of London Corporation

headed by the Mountbatten-Windsor brotherhood. The President of the United States is therefore implementing the directives of the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood via the City of London Corporation.

In fact, MI6, the OSS, the CIA, and all British and American government agencies, are under the orders of the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood. Their agents do not work for a sovereign country but for an entity of unknown legal form called « City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washinton DC ». They are all therefore mercenaries. They all fall under Article 47 of the Geneva Conventions.

So, if Barbie works for the OSS, he is a mercenary who works for Germany, England and the USA, i.e. for the Parakratos « City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washinton DC », thus for the Mountbatten-Windsor brotherhood.

Pierre Bénouville also works for the OSS. It is therefore also legitimate to think that this is why he can say that Klaus Barbie also worked for the OSS since 1943. It is therefore also legitimate to think that Klaus Barbie and Pierre Bénouville knew each other. It is legitimate to consider that Klaus Barbie and Pierre Bénouville worked together for OSS, especially as they were both involved in the Caluire Affair and the assassination of Jean Moulin.

> « **In Switzerland, Bénouville and Davet continued their contacts with the OSS**. On May 8, a meeting took place at the home of **Colonel Groussard**. This Cagoulard who, in Vichy, had hunted down the Gaullists, broke with Pétain and joined the Resistance. He works in Switzerland for a British network. Alongside the men from Combat, representatives of **Giraud,** the second Vichy office and the OSS took part in the meeting. The contacts between the representatives of Combat and the OSS continued throughout May. »
>
> *France United States, 50 years of twists and turns - Fabrzio Calvi - Frédéric Laurent - Albin Michel - 2004*

As an OSS agent since 1943, did Klaus Barbie participate in these meetings that would seal Moulin's fate? Who decided Jean Moulin's death? Pierre Bénouville? Allen Dulles? Klaus Barbie? Colonel Groussard? Claude Marjory Banks? The Mountbbatten-Windsors?

At this stage of the investigation, in view of the facts, it is legitimate to think that **Pierre Bénouville, Henri Frenay**, part of the staff of Combat, as well as Nazi criminals like Klaus Barbie, all work for the entity of unknown legal form Montbatten-Windsor, thus for the Anglo-American Parakratos, « City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC », and receive their directives from the command post of this government, composed of MI6, OSS, Z and the Cagoule, based in Switzerland, in Bern in particular.

At this stage of the investigation, in view of the facts, it is legitimate to think that a Parakratos under the orders of the Mountbatten-Windsor brotherhood is trying to take control of the countries of Europe in collaboration with the Nazi regime. The nature of this collaboration is clearly defined by the pro-Nazi journalist **Fernand Brinon,** aka **Fernand de Brinon, (**https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/

Fernand_de_Brinon**)** delegate general of the French government in the occupied territories and friend of **Otto Abetz** : « *For us French, collaboration is, above all, an understanding of the situation. The victorious Germany has the duty and the means to proceed* ***with the formation of the New Europe****. In order to unify our continent under its leadership, the Reich can count on the active help of France.* » (The Treason Notebooks - From Montoire to 13 December by a witness - Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1945)

International complaint to defend democracy and immediate Release Request for the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange
http://monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Plainte-Wikijustice-pour-Julian-Assange.pdfÂ
<http://monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Plainte-Wikijustice-pour-Julian-Assange.pdf>

Identification of an agent

No citizen is in a position to say that another citizen is an « agent », unless he or she is an agent. And when an agent is identified by a country, he or she is presumably returned to his or her country or used as a bargaining chip for an agent held in his or her country of origin. Staff working for a sovereign country are assimilated to military personnel and fall under the Geneva Conventions. Summary executions are the hallmark of dictatorships and mafia.

As the agents work under false identities, only their government supervisory authorities or peers can identify them. If Pierre Bénouville formally identifies agents, he can only be an agent himself.

At this stage of the investigation, the Human Rights Defence Association, WJJA, is able to identify two categories of agents :

- Official agents working for sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and UN Charter with military statute and relating to the Geneva Conventions. They act illegally on the territory of a sovereign foreign power but under the protection of their sovereign state. Any arrest of an official is normally the subject of diplomatic negotiations between the two countries concerned.
- Mercenary agents working for private entities of unknown legal form, under the Penal Code. It falls under Article 47 of the Geneva Conventions. All their actions are illegal regardless of the territory they are in.

As Pierre Bénouville works for the OSS and therefore for the entity of unknown legal form, « City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC », and the Cagoule, he belongs to the category of mercenaries and his actions therefore fall under the Penal Code. **As Pierre Bénouville himself says that he has created an organisation in Switzerland,** the name of which he refrains from saying, therefore probably an entity of unknown legal form, he could be a network leader of the mercenary entity of unknown legal form, Z - OSS - MI6 - Cagoule, in France, in a way the Claude Marjory Banks, Uncle Z, in charge of the French territory.

> « Our role, at the beginning of the Spanish war, was essentially to save the masterpieces of the Prado. **General Davet,** whom I later appointed as delegate of the Resistance Movements in Switzerland to lead **the Organization that I had created,** was a French airman who really worked to save the Prado. The people I knew and loved at the time had no other worries than Goya, Vellasquez... **General Davet also received very high decorations from the Spanish government.** »
>
> *Before the Night comes. Pierre Bénouville - Interviews with Laure Adler - Grasset 2002*

In his statements to journalist Laure Adler, Pierre Bénouville said that « *I had captured agents* » but he did not say why he captured them and who had ordered him to do so. Then, Pierre Bénouville says : « *Each time it was painful, I knew that the arrested man was going to cry, to tell me that he didn't want to die, to explain that he had children, to show me photos of his family. Each time, it was a horror.* ». In view of this statement, it is legitimate to think that Pierre Bénouville is the one who kills the agent he has captured.

Pierre Bénouville does not speak of Nazis or enemies, he uses the word « *agent* ». If they are official agents, they are subject to the Geneva Conventions and diplomatic rules. If they were mercenaries, they came under criminal law and had to be handed over to the authorities of the country concerned, in Pierre Bénouville's case the French authorities.

Pierre Bénouville justifies the summary executions of what he calls « agents », but he is careful not to determine the criteria for identifying these « agents ». Pierre Bénouville does not say who decides on the execution of these agents, nor the reasons for their death sentence. Did Pierre Bénouville consider Minister Marx Dormoy an agent? Pierre Bénouville considered Minister Marx Dormoy to be an agent because he had refused to vote full power to Pétain, had opposed collaboration and Nazism?

In his interviews with journalist Laure Adler, Pierre Bénouville asks the question : « ***How is a man's life or dead decided?*** ». It is his or her choices, his or her actions, diseases and circumstances that decide a human haw being lives or dies. By virtue of the fundamental right to life, a society that respects the Universal Declaration of Human Rights must refrain from putting to death and executing. The democratic choice can only be that of Victor Hugo : « ***He who opens a school door, closes a prison*** ». War and state crime should be considered only as a last resort. A democratic society, respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, must choose life as a fundamental right and set an example of respect for life. Even when war is unavoidable, the Geneva Conventions require belligerents to respect the fundamental right to life by sparing and protecting civilian populations and treating prisoners properly.

What does Pierre Bénouville say after asking « ***How is a man's life or dead decided? ».*** *He sais* ***: « I killed a man in the metro during the occupation. You know, it's not difficult if you say to yourself : it's him or me.*** » I have been involved in all sorts of stories where decisions had to be made. I've captured **agents** on occasion. ***Each time it was painful, I knew that the arrested man***

was going to cry, to tell me that he didn't want to die, to explain that he had children, to show me photos of his family. Each time, it was a horror. »

Pierre Bénouville, aka **Barrès**, aka…, talks about a decision to be made, but as far as the decision to kill is concerned, only self-defence applies. As for killing Nazis, the genuine Resistance fighters only did so as a last resort to prevent the civilian population from suffering the consequences.

> « However, from 15 March 1942, the date of the beginning of the MRPGD, to the merger of 12 March 1944, the French instructions from London and Algiers, because there was never an executive power of the resistance in France at that time, **were to fight by all means, against all the enemies of our country, without terrorism, without violent actions, such as killing German soldiers, without guerrilla warfare, so as not to see fifty hostages massacred for an attack**, and to avoid the influx of additional German reserve divisions before the landing, so bold, in Normandy, in June 1944. »
>
> ***Michel Cailliau, aka Charette*** - *History of the M.R.P.G.D. or a true resistance movement (1941-1945) - 1987*

Pierre Bénouville claims to have killed a man in the metro during the occupation. Apart from the fact that it was almost impossible in the eyes of the Gestapo and its agents of Sub-Section IV, no Resistance member would have taken the risk of doing so to avoid being spotted and exposing Parisians to reprisals. The metro is a dangerous mousetrap for those who go underground. To commit a crime there, in the middle of the occupation, is suicide, unless you are protected by the occupation government.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine the name and place of birth of Pierre Bénouville, aka Pierre de Bénouville, aka Guillain de Bénouville, aka… as well as his various pseudonyms.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine for whom Pierre Bénouville worked, the OSS, Z, MI6, in order to determine if there was intelligence with a foreign power contrary to the interests of France and French citizens.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine what Organization Pierre Bénouville has created, if this organization is of unknown legal form and whether this unnamed organization is working in collusion with the CIA and other Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC's agencies, MI6 and other British agencies, the BND and other German agencies or terrorist organizations of unknown legal form such as SAIC (Virginia Corporation - Washington DC) or the Cagoule in France.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter **to open an investigation to draw up an exhaustive list of organizations of unknown legal form, secret or not, terrorist or not, in order to determine their zones of influence and the nature of their crimes.** These organizations of unknown legal form and of a terrorist nature, can, like the individuals who are part of them, exist under several names and addresses, sometimes fictitious, as is the case with of Jacques Attalli's societies.

> « **Jacques Attali's companies in London and Paris**
> I arrived in front of **30-32 Ludgate Hill,** a 7-story building between Saint Martin's Church and Saint Paul's Cathedral. From the look of its windows I see that it is empty. On the first floor the door step is for rent. The 6th floor at the very top looks empty too: **this is where Clampton Limited was supposed to be located, a company created by Jacques José Mardoché Attali in 2004**, according to the British register of companies.
>
> Like most British companies, its formal opening is done by an agent specialized in this type of procedure Portman International, then **the first director is Bruno Boesch, a Swiss lawyer.** In 2005 the shareholders are two: **the SARL ACA at 28 rue Bayard, Paris 8ème and Solvica Corporation, located in the Republic of Panama**. In 2006, a certain **Thomas Valode,** born in 1974 and therefore 32 years old, became the director. His address is **4 rue Lebouteux in Paris and his profession is « Partner Attali and Associates**« . In 2005, Clampton Limited is an small company with 322 000 pounds of assets. The two shareholders remain the French company **ACA and the Panamanian Solvica Corporation**. There is no significant change from 2007 to 2010, the assets even decreasing by half. It is only in 2010 that officially **Jacques José Mardoché Attali born on November 11**, 1943 becomes director of the structure, all this so that the modest firma with 176 000 pounds of capital reserve is dissolved a few months later on May 31, 2011. **What is this structure and who are these people?** What reality do these names, documents, addresses and numbers cover? Already in this system of nesting dolls several other structures intervene: some serve for example as secretariat like ETC Investment Limited and RM Registrars Limited. Each structure is broken down into 15 other companies that are shareholders of the parent company and are linked to Mr. Attali's company.
>
> The most important thing, however, is that the British company register obliges Mr. Attali to mention a personal address of residence and a date of birth: it is 123 Avenue Achille Peretti in Neuilly sur Seine where I have already gone to check what is there.
>
> As far as the names of the people are concerned, I can't find out anything on the internet about **Bruno Boesch, a Swiss lawyer whose firm is not listed anywhere.**

The only public information is that the man, born in 1949, was from 2004 to 2009 a director of the Swiss Church in London, from 1997 to 2005 of Nordic Holdings Limited, and in 1994 of « 9 Herfort Street Limited », as a « British lawyer ». **Bruno Boesch, in relation to Jacques Attali's company, is therefore a kind of « straw man ».**

This is also the case of **Thomas Valode,** a 1997 graduate of the university of law Paris Assas, **whose only occupation for 10 years, from 1999 to 2009, was to be an « associate » of Jacques Attali,** as stated in his Linkedin CV[3]. Eclectic, today Thomas Valode is called « Home Catcher », in good French real estate agent.

The company **SARL ACA, located at 28 rue Bayard**, is listed in the Commercial Register with a SIREN number but it is no longer on http://www.societe.com. Unless **« ACA » stands for « Action Collective Avocat »**, which means that it would still be a law firm that serves as a nominee. Curious fact, 28 rue Bayard, which belongs to Cofi Paris, borders Avenue Montaigne, a few hundred meters from the Elysée. I will go there as soon as I return to Paris to see what is there: the building, luxuriously renovated, is next to the Chanel shop windows. On the other hand, if Cofi Paris is well listed in the business intercom, **there is no trace of the SARL ACA of Jacques Attali.**

But what is **Solvica Corporation, the main shareholder of Clampton Limited, Jacques Attali's London company?** Apparently it is a major industrial company producing solvents, coatings, adhesives and inks[4]. Its website mentions offices in the Netherlands, Germany and France. Solvica is listed on the website http://www.societe.com, under the SIREN number 843567116, with the activity of wholesale of chemicals and one or two employees. However, it does **not appear at the address 9 rue du 4 Septembre in Paris, its presence in France is more symbolic than real**.

On the other hand, the address appearing on the English **register being that of Panama,** I have no trouble finding it in the database of this Central American tax haven. **Finding Jacques Attali's presence in Panama is another investigation.** For the moment I am looking at the **official managers of Solvica: Eduardo Leon Herbert** is a Dominican who owns the Lothian Shelf company in England, Eduardo Leon Martinez is too common a name to be able to determine which person exactly he is, and **Beatrice Martinez runs the consulting company UX-IA Consulting in London.** Neither of these people is related to Solvica of Great Britain which is run by **Quaiser Zaman Shah**. The manager of Solvica in Panama is **Anzolas Robles, a big law firm.**

How, in this jungle of companies with offices that are often ghostly and headquartered in tax havens, **can one trace the real activities of Jacques Attali, a French political figure?**

Free-in-london-29-10-3-11-2021-the-city-and-other-places-of-power-in-search-of-jacques-attali - Monika Karbowska

https://wikijusticejulianassangemonikakarbowska.wordpress.com/2022/04/06/free-in-london-29-10-3-11-2021-the-city-and-other-places-of-power-in-search-of-jacques-attali/?fbclid=IwAR0dstNMHxokUtnih3J44j70T0UsXwdBO2UREuibsQUr0wLjMqU8YvV1EJg

Pierre Bénouville himself admits his links with Allen Dulles and the subversive nature of the actions they conceived together in an interview with the journalist Laure Adler in the book *Before The night comes* : « *Allen Dulles, the founder of the CIA, once said to me in Bern: « I want you to think about this. What would be the reaction of the Resistance, since you know it from the inside, deep in the field, in its bowels, if little by little we decided, to make things easier, to take as a symbol of the Resistance, a politician, for example* ***Edouard Herriot****? » It is hard to believe today. But I swear on my honour that these are the very words that Allen Dulles used.*»

Edouard Herriot abstained during the vote of full power to Marshal Pétain. He cannot be considered as a resistant. By abstaining, he validated the abrogation of the French Constitution of 1875 (https://www.conseil-constitutionnel.fr/les-constitutions-dans-l-histoire/constitution-de-1875-iiie-republique). He consented to the dismemberment of its institutions. He consented to the establishment of a dictatorial government by allowing Philippe Pétain to give himself full powers. Furthermore, Allen Dulles, the OSS and the Parakratos of unknown legal form City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC has no legitimacy to appoint a representative of the French Resistance.

Let us recall that the US government, that is to say the Parakratos of unknown legal form City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC maintains relations with the Vichy Regime in the person of Camille Chautemps who, as soon as the collaborationist government was proclaimed, left on a mission for Vichy in Washington : « *Laval is not idle. He must now « liquidate » Mr. Albert Lebrun. Who could he send to the President of the Republic to persuade him that resign? Of course, he could not think of a member of his staff.* ***There was Chautemps, who was to go to Washington as the Marshal's special envoy,*** *but his relationship with the subtle Camille was not a good one.* » (The Treason Notebooks - Backstage of Vichy by a witness - Paris - Librairie des Sciences et des Arts.)

> « In November 1940, entrusted with an unofficial mission, he left for Washington, D.C., and chose to stay there until 1944, when he went to French North Africa. Despite his written protests against the roundups of Jews, he was sentenced in March 1947 by the High Court in absentia to five years in prison. He was granted an amnesty in 1954. He then divided his life between Paris and Washington, D.C., where his family lived. He is buried in Rock Creek Cemetery in Washington, D.C. »
>
> https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Camille_Chautemps

Another member of the Vichy government, **Gaston Bergery,** has links with Russia, England and America, as he was married to a Russian, an English and an American. The information concerning

Informations about Gaston Bergery are contradictory as regards his wives. He would have had 3 wives but the first one would be French and not English according to his Wikipedia file. « *Gaston Bergery marries* ***Germaine Malançon*** *(1900-1982) in 1920 and* ***Lioubov Krassine*** *(1908-1991), daughter of the revolutionary Leonid Krassine, in 1927. She gave him a son,* ***Jean-François Bergery*** *(1927-1977), a future journalist and scriptwriter, before divorcing him the following year in 1928. She then married Emmanuel d'Astier de La Vigerie. In 1934 he married* ***Elizabeth (known as Bettina) Shaw-Jones*** *(1902-1993), Elsa Schiaparelli's assistant, for the third time.* » (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gaston_Bergery) Like François Mitterrand and Hubert Védrine, **Gaston Bergery is decorated with the Francisque**.

> « **On the stage, Bergery replaced Laval. After the official, the unofficial.** The deputy of Mantes is a singular character - he is said to have been born of a German father - undoubtedly he has, from the people across the Rhine, the face, the type, the method. **Married three times, he married a Russian, an English and an American.** In Parliament, he roughly went it alone. During the Staviski Affair, he was involved in the scandals of the time. **In fact, Herriot's former chief of staff possesses fierce ambition, talent, audacity, composure, and a complete lack of scruples to push himself**. He too believes his time has come. For several days, Bergery has been looking for signatures for a declaration. This is the one he has just read. The document is long. It contains passages that are nothing but malicious insinuations, lies and cleverly distilled bile**. It is really necessary to stop there. One remains astonished to note that sixty-eight parliamentarians have adhered to this factum, and one remains taken aback to find among them twenty-three deputies who claim to be SFIO socialists.** Will the debate end without a single voice chasing away all its pettiness? Will the Republic not at least have a nice funeral?
>
> The Treason Notebooks - Backstage of Vichy by a witness - Paris - Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1945

A third man is considered an agent in the service of the enemy in Vichy. He was **Pierre Laval**. « *M. Lebrun has not, moreover, changed his opinion of the character and when, a few days ago, Marshal Pétain came to ask him to sign decrees appointing Laval vice-president of the Council to replace Chautemps who had been called to other ministerial functions, the President of the Republic made no attempt to hide his repugnance.* ***You cannot, he said to the Marshal, introduce into your government this corrupt man who is certainly an agent of the foreigner.*** » (The Treason Notebooks - Backstage of Vichy by a witness - Paris - Librairie des Sciences et des Arts.)

Let us remember that Marx Dormoy voted against full powers for Philippe Pétain and that he was assassinated by the Cagoule. **It should be remembered that Pierre Bénouville is a member of the Cagoule and alleged leader of the Cagoule**.

Not only does Pierre Bénouville declare that he knows Allen Dulles, but also that they interfere together in the internal politics of France. **As far as Pierre Bénouville was concerned,**

there was indeed intelligence with a foreign power, and therefore treason. And, as far as Allen Dulles, the OSS and the entities of unknown legal form in the pay of the City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC are concerned, there is interference with a sovereign country and an attempt to subversively appropriate power. It is a declaration of war and as far as Charle de Gaulle, the Allies and the French Resistance are concerned, sabotage and treason. Pierre Bénouville and Allen Dulles acted together against the interests of France and against the interests of the allies, represented by De Gaulle, Churchill and Stalin, during World War II.

> « **Bénouville, who passed without transition from the pre-war Cagoule to the occupation MSR, a false Gaullist subservient to Allen Dulles** and an obsessive anti-communist, had been the subject since the liberation of very complacent police reports, which had become academic doxa : Mme Vergez-Chaignon, lyrically, sees in him the incarnation of this resistance which is neither republican nor left-wing, but distraught with patriotic transcendence. A friend of **Frenay**, leader with him of the motley cohort of Hardy's protectors, **Bénouville make the weather of the justice system, where his close friend Fradin reigned**. **The door had been open since 1944 to keep the worst culprits out of prison and out of court, starting with his friends in the Cagoule, from Eugène Schueller to Jean de Castellane**.
>
> Thus, Bénouville, very attached to his false noble name (Guillain de Bénouville), called Fradin to the rescue of his friend Jean P. Arnaud, owner of the « Confitures et Conserves alimentaires de Marseille » factory (EMMOP affair), whose directors, notorious collaborators and great admirers of the Marshal, charged with trading with the enemy, had fled the city in October 1944. On 15 November, he submitted to Fradin the case of Arnaud, who was in prison at the time, urging him to save him from the clutches of the PCF and the CGT, that is to say, from a staff unanimous in its accusations, which would have the management of the company for several months.
>
> **Fradin won Arnaud the full support of De Menthon and, on the spot, that of Charles Dubosten, public prosecutor and government commissioner at the Marseille court of justice since September 1944.**
>
> The latter had himself been in great need of high protections: public prosecutor in Pontarlier (December 1940), public prosecutor in Amiens (February 1941), then, since November 1942, deputy public prosecutor in Toulon, he had compromised himself so much that he "feared being arrested" at the Liberation. In mid-May 1945, Dubost announced to Fradin that the Arnaud case (...) would be closed.
>
> The investigating judge **René Bouquier**, « *one of the best judges I have met, and the best in Marseilles* », swore Dubost : « *full of qualities of character and as (...) modest as he is energetic* », he « *deserves to be pushed* » (as Dubost would have

been under the occupation). After a « final confrontation » of form - I do not know with whom - Arnaud, **received in May by Fradin on the recommendation of Bénouville**, learned on the 25th « that a favourable solution » would be reached shortly.

In April, Fradin had « authorised » the Marseille public prosecutor to present him with his wishes for « advancement ». After the painful parenthesis of the court of justice, of which De Menthon's second-in-command regularly announced the eminent end, Dubost imagined himself as an advocate general in Marseilles, or « transferred » to Paris with a more modest position « on an equal footing » (i.e. substitute). But, he wrote on 12 April, « *I prefer to be head of department, to be the first in my village than the second in Rome* ». « *I like the risks and responsibilities better than the intrigues between colleagues* », etc. Admittedly, this rise is « *technically* » contradictory « *with the restoration of the promotion table* ». « *In any case (Sic),* ***I will not forget that you have distinguished me among all my colleagues and I will be infinitely grateful to you whatever the response of ministers and offices.*** »

It was quick. In the first two weeks of May, Fradin received, at the Place Vendôme, much more warmly than his peers would welcome **Debenest** on his return from deportation, this faithful interpreter, in Marseilles, of the demands of the ministry, of Fradin and of the PG of Aix **Gallut**.

Thank you. It is the certainty of an improvement of our material situation... We must think about it. Because we have two little girls and the salary of a first class substitute, even after the last increases, is quite miserable. »

The non-purification of France - From 1943 to the 1950s - Annie Lacroix-Riz - Armand Collin 2019

An entity of unknown legal form that is not a sovereign country cannot declare war on a sovereign country. Pierre Bénouville and Allen Dulles therefore acted as terrorists by using mercenaries by carrying out a subversive attack from Switzerland against the institutions of France and the citizens who were fighting to free it from the enemy that had invaded it.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter **to open an investigation to determine who gave the order to execute Marx Dormoy.**

The Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter **to open an investigation to determine who were the members of the Cagoule who ordered the assassination of Minister Marx Dormoy and whether they did so in collusion with entities of unknown legal form such as Z, MI6, OSS, etc.**

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine whether entities of unknown legal form, based in Switzerland, under the orders of the Mountabetten-Windsor brotherhood, Z, OSS, MI6, etc. were working in collaboration with the Abvher, the German secret service, The Cagoule, and Vichy's Government.**

Pierre Bénouville denies having collaborating with the abvehr yet, although claiming to be a Resistance fighter, before the war, he buys dachshunds, in Munich, from Admiral Canaris' secretary. There are many dachshund farms in Germany but Pierre Bénouville buys his dogs from the secretary of Admiral Canaris, head of the Abverh.

> « After the publication of Pierre Péan's book, a journalist asked what my contacts with the Abwehr might have been. To me? Well, look, there is a form of indecency. In fact, I had contacts with the Abwehr but not those. And in spite of myself, because of my love for dachshunds. Before the war, a friend of mine told me that the most beautiful dachshunds could be found at Baroness X in Munich. I went there. It was an amazing house. I arrived in a big living room. A man greeted me very kindly and sold me a beautiful dachshund. Dachshunds in Germany are local deities! Germans can't say « Shön » without bending down, no matter how big they are, and petting them. **And I understood that passing the controls with a dachshund meant passing through an armoured door with the key and the combination**. **This baroness was none other than the secretary of Admiral Canaris. He was the Abwehr. So that day I was really close to the people of the Abwehr.**
>
> **(…)**
>
> **The Germans came closer and closer to me. I could feel the trap closing in on me. So I decided to move to the Hotel Bristol.** To have the look of this chic hotel, I took care to buy a suitcase at Hermès in which I put the biggest book I could find, from Gallimard, which was Dostoïsvski's diary - what a beautiful book - and then I put on pyjamas and something to wash myself, and I arrived with a taxi. I said to the doorman, « *I would like a quiet room*. » **He didn't know who I was. He put me up. He said « you know, this is a chance! The officer to whom this bedroom is assigned is currently on leave in Germany ». It makes your blood run cold.**
>
> When I was finally alone in this room, I took inventory. I found not only his outfit, but his battle dress. And the next day I tried on her outfit, in his bedroom. It was a kind of challenge to myself. I had the helmet on my head when there was a knock on the door. I hid the helmet before going to open the door. It was one of his comrades who wanted to borrow an item of clothing. He asked me if I knew him, I preferred to say no. I tried as hard as I could to send him away but nothing helped.

It was extraordinary, this situation where I was forced to take on the identity of my enemy. I stayed in that room for a week. I had arrived at the Bristol, exhausted, hungry, because in those days finding food was an obsession. In this luxury hotel, all I had to do was phone room service and they would bring me whatever I wanted on a tray. »

Before the night falls - Interviews by Pierre Bénouville with
Laure Adler - Grasset et Fasquelle - 2002

« *During the German occupation, the Bristol was one of the few large hotels in Paris not requisitioned by the Germans as it housed many American diplomats.* ***In June 1940, it became the headquarters of the US Embassy and all Americans living in Paris***. » (https://tpepalacesparisiens.wordpress.com/2016/02/29/des-lieux-convoites-lors-de-la-seconde-guerre-mondiale/)

In the above confession do to journalist Laure Adler, Pierre Bénouville aka..., explains that, surrounded by the enemy - it remains to be seen which one - he finds refuge in the US Embassy in Paris where Nazi soldiers live. These Nazis rent or requisition rooms all year round as they leave their uniforms and belongings there when they are on leave. It is therefore legitimate to think that the Americans collaborated with the Nazis since they put rooms at their disposal in the Bristol Hotel, hotel which served as their Embassy during World War II. Any embassy is an enclave of a sovereign country's territory on another sovereign country, so if the Americans are hosting the military of an occupying country on that territory, it is because they are allies of that occupying country.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter **to open an investigation to determine if the Americans, to the orders of Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC have allowed the Nazi troops to position themselves on their territory, be it within their embassy in Paris, and have lent them a helping hand to destroy the French and international resistance networks, working for the allied forces led by De Gaulle, Churchill and Stalin.**

At this stage of the investigation, it is clear that subversive forces infiltrated the institutions of the French state as well as those of the English state, before World War II. To understand the stakes of this hot war, never ended by a peace treaty, followed by a cold war still in progress, maintained by outbreaks of war that one part of the belligerents triggers to generate chaos making any peace negotiation impossible, it is necessary to determine which forces are opposing each other since they are not sovereign countries.

Composition of the World Parakratos

Since the « world coup d'état » orchestrated, in the years ?... (Ongoing investigations do not allow, to this day, to establish an exact date), by Laval-Pétain in collusion with the Parkratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC and the nazis German government an

occult government, made up of multiple private entities of unknown legal form, has taken over, totally or partially, the institutions of sovereign countries.
All sovereign countries, respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter, have been the objects of constant subversive attacks by this Parakratos since 1946. It is at the origin of what the media has called the « cold war ». **A cold war is a subversive war**. Operation Covid 19 is a terrorist attack, on a global scale, against civilian populations in order to take control of sovereign states. This kind of operation, which is based on mass manipulation and propaganda, is typical of a cold war (see WJJA Information Circular). **In France, the terrorist entity in charge of the Covid 19 terrorist operation is publicly known as the French-German Defence Council**.
In order to carry out its terrorist actions on French territory, the Parakratos Franco-Germanic Defence Council relies on several administrative or industrial levers which it has previously infiltrated and subjected to pressure of a terrorist nature (blackmail, verbal violence, manipulation, threats, mental torture, etc.) to force them to carry out their directives :

National education
School and university administrators and teachers are guilty of torture, segregation and genocide by imposing the wearing of masks (torture), by applying segregation rules (blackmail for the injection of genetic modification imposed by the Parakratos) and therefore of crimes against humanity.

School and university administrators and teachers are guilty of crimes against humanity.

In some French schools, children still wear masks. The psychological and physical damage will be irreversible for many of them. We can speak of a lost generation.

Transport companies (RATP - SNCF)
The management and employees of the SNCF and RATP applied segregation measures by imposing the wearing of masks on their employees, by forbidding access to their infrastructures to citizens refusing to wear a mask and by applying a blackmail to employees who refused to be injected with a genetic modification product.
The management and employees of the SNCF and RATP are guilty of crimes against humanity

Police and Justice
The Code of Ethics of the French police has been modified by decree (Création Décret n°2013-1113 du 4 décembre 2013 - art.). **Responsible for this modification: Pierre Joxe and Emmanuel Valls under François Hollande presidency.**
Is it constitutional for a code of ethics to be the subject of a decree? The answer is « NO »!

Pierre Joxe and Emmanuel Valls having violated the French constitution, it is clear that France, under the presidency of François Hollande, is not a sovereign country but is in the hands of an entity of unknown legal form.
Some of the police officers warned the institutions and the prosecutor's office about this violation of the law, but no measures were taken by justice and parliament to prevent this terrorist entity from violating the constitution and betraying the French.
Both the Hollande government and the Macron government are illegal governments. The Mitterrand Government, the Hollande Government as well as the Macron Government are three illegal governments that have violated the French Constitution and, therefore, harmed the interests of France and its citizens.

« **Is it constitutional for a code of ethics to be the subject of a decree: NO**

Unless you do not respect :

- **Article 4 of the Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen of 1789:** freedom consists in being able to do everything that does not harm others: thus, the exercise of the natural rights of each man has no limits other than those that ensure the enjoyment of these same rights by the other members of society. These limits can only be determined by the law.

- **Article 34 of the Constitution of 4 October 1958:** The law shall lay down the rules concerning :

- **the civic rights and fundamental guarantees granted to citizens for the exercise of public freedoms;**
- **the fundamental guarantees granted to civil and military civil servants of the State**

Should fundamental guarantees then be understood to mean the specific duties imposed on the civil servant? The Government has answered this question in the affirmative by abandoning a strict interpretation of Article 34. This is why the Act of 13 July 1983 also contains the general obligations imposed on civil servants. The legislator's extension of the notion of fundamental guarantees is such that one may wonder whether it would not be more in keeping with reality to state that Parliament is competent to determine the general principles of the civil service. If this were not the case, the Government would have to consider delegating many provisions of legislative form by

resorting to the procedure provided for in Art. 37 para. 2 of the Constitution. Consequently, the ethics of the civil servant police officer are indeed within the competence of the legislator. Moreover, the Constitutional Council censured the law, for disregarding Article 34 of the Constitution, which entrusted the Higher Committee for Telematics with the task of drawing up ethical rules without any limits other than those of a very general nature. This perfectly demonstrates that the determination of ethical standards falls within the competence of the legislator, who may delegate his power to the regulatory authority subject to respect for constitutionally guaranteed rights and freedoms.

Article 1 - General framework for the activities of the national police and the national gendarmerie.

Placed under the authority of the Minister of the Interior for the accomplishment of internal security missions and in compliance with the rules set out in the code of criminal procedure in judicial matters, the mission of the national police and the national gendarmerie is to ensure the defence of institutions and national interests, respect for the law, the maintenance of peace and public order, and the protection of persons and property.

The police and gendarmes are also subject to the respect of the laws. Are they so badly made that there is a need for this Code?

Why does the new Code of Ethics of the National Police of VALLS of 2014 organise the diversion of the missions of the forces of order compared to that of 1986?

It was written in the previous Code of Ethics of 1986:

« **The National Police contributes, throughout the territory, to guaranteeing freedoms and defending the institutions of the Republic, maintaining peace and public order and protecting people and property.** »

VALLS' new 2014 code of ethics, meanwhile, explains:

« **Placed under the authority of the Minister of the Interior for the accomplishment of internal security missions and acting in compliance with the rules of the code of criminal procedure in judicial matters, the**

National Police and the National Gendarmerie have the mission of ensuring the defence of institutions and national interests, respect for the law, the maintenance of public peace and order, and the protection of persons and property. »

In both cases, we are talking about the defence of institutions, but with two major nuances.

- ✓ **In the 1986 code, the National Police contributes to the guarantee of liberties. In 2014 the word freedom was simply deleted.**
- ✓ **In the 1986 code, we speak of the "institutions of the Republic". In 2014 the word Republic was deleted and replaced by "national interests". But who defines these interests? Is the Republic less important than these interests?**

They are at the service of the republican institutions to which each police officer and each member of the gendarmerie shows loyalty and devotion.

At the moment the administration demands blind obedience to itself and its chiefs and not to our republican institutions, as this Code demonstrates once again.

(…)

Article 25 - Duty to remember

As a peacekeeper, the police officer, whose life is exposed, honours the memory of those who died in the exercise of internal security missions.

The « *duty to remember* » is not of an indisputable legal nature and recalls the law of 14 September 1941 which, under the so-called Vichy regime, established the general status of the civil service. Thus, Admiral Darlan, author of the "report presenting the status of civil servants to the Marshal of France, Head of the French State", Philippe Pétain, evoked "the time has come for the French administration to rediscover its traditions of ardour and honour through a duty of remembrance" (JO, 1 October 1941, p.4210).

Disastrous from the point of view of historical reference...»

The dangers of the new Code of Ethics of the National Police and the National Gendarmerie of 2014, contrary to the European Police Ethics Code, in detail. - VIGI - Ministry of the Interior

The French police warned the parliament, the Senate and the judiciary about the illegal nature of the Joxe-Valls decree and about its Petainist and therefore Nazi nature, but none of the civil servants or elected representatives in charge of the State Security deigned to react. It is legitimate to think that the French parliamentarians and senators are in the service of the Parakratos Gang Mitterrand, now the Franco-German Defence Council, since they have not taken any measures to preserve the state police.

As in 1941, the parliament and the officials in charge of France's security and interests have violated the Constitution and thus betrayed the interests of France and the French. However, French police officers, anxious to fulfil their duty as protectors of institutions, have warned them.

> « **I am the master says Pétain.**
> Laval will now try to convince the Marshal to act as a dictator. The truth is that he does not have to make much of an effort. No sooner had he told Marshal Pétain of President Lebrun's refusal than Pétain was left empty-handed:
> BUT I AM NEVERTHELESS THE MASTER ALL MY LEGAL ADVISERS SAY SO.
> In uttering these words, Pétain reveals such a senile ambition for power that Laval soon becomes convinced that by flattering this senility, he will have the Marshal at his mercy.
> BUT, « MONSIEUR LE MARECHAL », I WANTED TO PROPOSE TO YOU THAT YOU PROMULGATE THE FIRST CONSTITUTIONAL ACT IN WHICH YOU' LL QUALIFY YOURSELF THE HEAD OF THE FRENCH STATE AND ABROGATE THE CONSTITUTION OF 1875.
> Very good, very good, said the marshal with childlike joy.
> Suspicious, however, he says: Put yourself agree with Alibert.
> And benevolent, he adds : Prepare the act by which I appoint you as my successor.»
>
> The Treason Notebooks - Backstage of Vichy by a witness - Paris Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1945

In three constitutional acts, Philippe Pétain voted himself full powers, abrogated the Constitution of 1875 and proclaimed a dictatorship. Let us remember that Marshal Pétain is the media leader of the Cagoule, its showcase in a way.

> « So, concluded **Deloncle,** despite these interruptions, we secretly organised a strong contingent of determined men. This alert showed our strength. Our troops are not quite ready but they will be soon. It is a matter of days.
>
> The one who is not ready is our leader, he added abruptly.

And who is our leader? asked **Duseigneur** naively.

Marshal Pétain.

And me ? said Duseigneur.

There is no confusion, retorted Deloncle. You are the occult leader. The Marshal will be our leader before the public. He is the one we have all chosen because he thinks like us.

The republic has had its day. Personal power is needed. And who more worthy of this power than the most glorious soldier in France? **Our movement is above all military.** »

All the truth about the Cagoule Case - His treachery, his crimes, his men -
Paris - Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1946

The transformation of the French police into a militia by the Joxe-Valls decree has led some policemen to commit crimes and crimes against Humanity : violation of the freedom of expression, of demonstration, attack on life, discrimination, torture, abusive incarceration, violation of the constitution and therefore treason. The French police chiefs and parliament have validated the violation of the French constitution and obeyed unconstitutional and therefore criminal directives.

The transformation of the French police into a militia by the Joxe-Valls decree has led some french policemen officers mercenaries in the service of an illegal entity, of unknown form, of a terrorist nature. Their actions have no legality and all fall under the criminal code. They are not agents of the law.

In view of the facts described above, it is legitimate to think that the French police force has been infiltrated by subversive elements who have taken over its management. It is also legitimate to consider that the National Assembly and the Senate have also been infiltrated by subversive elements who have taken control.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine whether chiefs or members of the French national police and police forces, belong to entities of unknown legal form such as the Cagoule, Z, MI6, etc. or to sects, Rose Croix, Opus Dei, or to secret societies such as Bildeberg or Le Siècle. **It should be remembered that Allen Dulles is a Knight of Malta.**

« The brotherhood's Catholic and aristocratic roots made the modern knights fervently anti-communist. **The order has been largely responsible for the construction of the CIA, and many of its global covert operations. The founding fathers of the CIA William « Wild Bill » Donovan and Allen Dulles were knights, as were many other CIA hierarchy including Ronald Reagan's director William Casey and JFK's director John McCone**. According to

« Watergate » journalist **Carl Bernstein, Casey gave Pope John Paul II unprecedented access to CIA intelligence including spy satellites and agents.**

(…)

Membership in Latin America includes Masonic lodge « **P2** » fascists and many surviving Nazi players, who are directly responsible for the formation of the modern neo-Nazi movement. Knight and Nazi escapee **Otto « Scarface » Skorzeny**, who led a commando raid on the Cathar region of France in search of occult artifacts including the Holy Grail, played a major role in the Vatican's « **Rat Run** ». He was a close friend of Argentinian president and knight **Juan Peron**, **who recent CIA documents prove was also involved in the laundering of Nazi gold through the Vatican Bank**. Conveniently for Nazi members who did not escape Europe, **William Donovan served as chief aide to the American prosecutor at the post-war Nuremberg trial**s, after handing over the reigns of the OSS/CIA to fellow knight Allen Dulles.

As Roman Catholic author **Penny Lernoux** reveals in her « ***People of God*** »: « *After the war, the **Vatican**, the **OSS,** the **SS**, and **various branches of the Sovereign Military Order of Malta** joined to do battle against the common Soviet enemy - and to help Nazi war criminals escape... **Baron Luigi Parrilli**, an Italian aristocrat and **Knight of Malta/papal chamberlain**, **took part in negotiations between SS leaders and the CIA's Allen Dulles*** ».

Prior to working for the OSS (he headed the OSS station in Switzerland during the war) **Allen Dulles** and his law partner **John Foster** had served as business managers and lawyers to **John D. Rockefeller's Standard Oil**. They personally brokered the partnership between Standard Oil and **I.G. Farben chemical corporation**. I.G.Farben not only produced gasoline for the Nazi war machine, it manufactured « **Zyklon B** », used slave labor before and during the war (**including their huge petro-chemical factory adjacent to Auschwitz death camp**), owned **Bayer Pharmaceuticals** which according to a current lawsuit was directly involved in Dr. **Josef Mengele's horrific Auschwitz experiments, and was spared from Allied bombings due to its Rockefeller interests.** Leading war-time journalist **George Seldes** attempted to reveal this « unholy alliance » through his newspaper articles, but was rarely published at the time, and efforts were made to kill him. Joseph Heller's satirical novel « Catch 22 » made light of this insanity with his « *we agreed to bomb our own bases in return for the Nazis buying our chocolate covered cotton.*

The Protestant equivalent of the Catholic Knights of Malta, also known as **the Order of St. John of Jerusalem, gained greater credibility when the head of the British Empire HM Queen Victoria became their Sovereign Head in 1888.** This Order of St. John, whose current Sovereign Head is HM **Queen Elizabeth II**,

similarly use the Cross of Malta insignia as their emblem. While they too claim that charity and protecting the poor are their main cause, this has been used as a tool of Western Imperialism for the last 1000 years, and began with the first Crusades to occupy Jerusalem. These « charitable concerns » are unlikely to take precedence over their secret historical agenda--the fulfillment of the prophecies of St. John the Divine, whose Revelation acts as a political template for these historically-intoxicated and reactionary-minded organizations. **It is additionally disturbing that the Protestant Order of St. John was recently granted non-Governmental membership of the United Nations. Like its Catholic counterpart, this UN position gives the Protestant Order greater access to UN decision-making and vulnerable developing countries.** Undoubtedly, the interests of the Vatican, the British Crown, and its Rosicrucian fraternity, will take precedence over any genuine aid offered to the world's poorest and needy. »

https://yourphotocard.com/Crusades/Knights%20of%20Malta.htm

« In their book ***Les frères invisibles,*** the main reference for this chapter, **Ghislaine Ottenheimer and Renaud Lecadre** wrote about Jacques Chirac : « *High-grade masons claim that he was initiated into* ***the Grande Loge Alpina****, a very elitist Swiss obedience*

(...)

But, comments Ghislaine Ottenheimer, « ***the membership of certain personalities in Freemasonry is protected as a state secret*** ».

High-ranking Masons are very hostile to the lifting of the secrecy of membership. For a Grand Officer of the Grande Loge Nationale Française (GLNF), "secrecy is one of the deepest springs of the human being. It is one of the most powerful fantasies. Since the most advanced NATO strategies require a high degree of secrecy, it is understandable that certain strategists, often Masons themselves, have relied heavily on this spring and this fantasy. They have sometimes complicated the game (the classic strategy of concentric circles) by embarking on a sort of modern algebra of sects or chivalric **Orders, with key figures at the intersections: no follower knows that his guru or Grand Master also controls several other sects or Orders.** We are now entering the realm of esoteric cuisine, the main purpose of which is to be unintelligible to the average person : so I will only mention a few rudiments of this algebra.

(…)

French « regular » masonry - of the Rectified Scottish Rite - had taken refuge in Switzerland since the Revolution. It « will be reborn thanks to the tenacity of a member of the Swiss Grand Lodge Alpina, **Edouard de Ribeaucourt**. [**With his friends from the English Lodge of Bordeaux**, [...] he created in 1913 the **Independent and Regular National Grand Lodge for France and the Colonies**,

a symptomatic title since it quickly took the lead in the colonial lobby, before being renamed **GLNF**. According to the Rectified Scottish Rite, « every candidate to the GLNF must take an oath on the **Gospel of St. John and swear fidelity to the holy Christian religion**. At the beginning of each « tenue »[meeting], the Bible is opened on the altar. **The GLNF would take off in the aftermath of World War II with « the installation of the Nato headquarters in Paris » and the arrival of « thousands of American, Canadian and British servicemen, wishing to practice their country's Masonry.**

(…)

Significant clue: « **The current European headquarters of American Freemasonry is still located in Heidelberg, the headquarters of the Allied forces occupying Germany after the Second World War.** In the first three Atlanticist strategies (nuclearisation, stay behind, parallel finance), most of the European actors will belong to obediences recognised by the Anglo-Saxons.

(…)

But let's go back to Switzerland. **We remember that the future head of the CIA, Allen Dulles, had already cooked up the stay behind in 1942, redrawing the ideological borders of Europe.** This is no coincidence. **Switzerland is a European bastion of « regular » Freemasonry. From 1848 to 1914, all the presidents of the Confederation belonged to the Swiss Grand Lodge Alpina.** From 1914 onwards, « there was always a majority of members in the Federal Council who were either members of Freemasonry, or under its direct influence.

(…)

The influence of the Grande Loge Suisse Alpina (GLSA) is decisive in the banking centres of Zurich, Geneva and Basel. As an Anglo-Saxon rite, Swiss Freemasonry has in many ways held a strategic position in American schemes. It is easy to understand why the GLSA was elitist (about 4,000 members, two-thirds of them French-speaking). In addition to Jacques Chirac, two other Frenchmen are said to be affiliated with it: **Patrick Maugein**, a strange character to whom I will devote a chapter, and, according to a reliable but uncross-checked source, **the governor of the Bank of France, Jean-Claude Trichet.**

(…)

The GLNF experienced a hiatus in 1965 when Nato moved from Paris to Brussels. **Jean Baylot, a former police prefect in Paris, was the architect of the revival with Yves Trestournel, who was appointed secretary of the association governing the obedience in 1972.** In 1980, both of them pushed **Jean Mons,** former director of cabinet of the presidents of the Council **Léon Blum** and **Paul Ramadier - the one who negotiated the implantation of the stay behind in**

France - to the head of the GLNF. It is not surprising, in these conditions, that Mons was compromised in the traffic of piastres, a source of money to finance the war in Indochina.

(…)

For quite some time now, it has been luring African potentates, even if it means poaching some of them from the Grand Orient de France. Little by little, the richest accomplices in the plundering of their countries, the dictators turned into election riggers, joined the GLNF: the Gabonese **Omar Bongo,** the Congolese **Denis Sassou Nguesso**, the Moroccan **King Hassan II**, the Burkinabe **Blaise Compaoré,** the Chadian **Idriss Déby**, the Cameroonian **Paul Biya**... **They are all friends of Jacques Chirac, who welcomes them with a large embrace**. They were promoted to the highest ranks. In Gabon, the GLNF has taken over the three hundred people who « count » in one fell swoop. It also colonised the French side of Françafrique: intermediaries such as **Pierre Falcone**, or his associate **Arcadi Gaydamak**, officers of the Services and the Marine Infantry, the top management of Elf.

The neo-Gaullist businessmen are pouring in: **Jean-Claude Méry, Jacky Chaisaz, Francis Guillot, Henri Montaldo, Michel Mouillot, Michel Pacary, Francis Poullain, Jean-Paul Schimpf, Didier Schuller, Christian Schwartz, Flatto Sharon**... If we know their names, it is because they have been in the most apparent: public markets, real estate, construction... **But the brothers of the GLNF also control Françafrique, the arms lobby, a good part of the nuclear and oil industries, finance, gambling, betting and casinos**: if we add up the unofficial margins generated in all these sectors, we reach at least twenty billion euros per year. A lot of grist for the mill, a lot of room for manoeuvre... protected by the control of the major media and a strong presence in the upper judiciary.

(…)

It is nevertheless curious that high ranking officials of a hierarchical institution, who are supposed to know its secret rules, should evoke a double bottom of secrecy, a submerged part of the iceberg, of occult networks. At a plenary meeting of the Sovereign Grand Committee, **former DGSE boss Pierre Marion, Grand Porte Glaive, asked for « an investigation into the activities of Trestournel ».** A band of strongmen immediately surrounded him: « We will have your hide. The same Marion, in a letter to **Charbonniaud**, suggests that the GLNF secretary boasts « without restraint of being a confirmed Grand Master 'puppeteer'. » **Ottenheimer** and **Lecadre** say of **Trestournel that he is an « absolute master », a « key man ». « He knows everything ». Pierre Marion confided in them: « *He is very strong, he had the ability to coiled an***

organisation [xxx] in a secret society. Go and dislodge him... For twenty-eight years he has been the head of the obedience, he has had everyone under control

The two journalists did not dare to transcribe the rather transparent adjective that describes the organisation as « coiled ». **One is obviously thinking of the stay behind methods. What could be more tempting than grafting a piece of secret service onto a secret society? When he commanded the DGSE, Pierre Marion was the boss of the Action Service, the French relay of the stay behind. General Jeannou Lacaze** headed this service before becoming Chief of Staff of the French Army and embarking on an endless career as a military adviser to the French-African dictators. He is perfectly at ease in the GLNF, in « the very secret **lodge La Lyre**, not numbered in the GLNF directory, for fear that the still ingenuous brothers might not know its composition…". He rubbed shoulders with **two former Bouygues executives, Jean-François Humbert and Pierre Boireau**. La Lyre went to Brazzaville to celebrate the elevation to the title of Grand Master of a valiant fighter for the free world and for oil, the ex-Marxist **Denis Sassou Nguesso, whose return to power was accompanied by a flurry of crimes against humanity.**

Some of the brothers were moved by this celebration, « while corpses filled the streets of Brazzaville ». They did not understand that, for some, the war has never stopped, a war of many forms: barbouzard, energy, economic, financial. **Let's remember that, according to the protocol on the stay behind negotiated by Ramadier, "the network includes an occult cell within the main official military services (Military Security, external services, etc.) and civilians (General Intelligence, General Secretariat of National Defence, etc.). « One of the army fraternities, the Groupement Amical de la Défense Nationale (GADN), is [...] extremely secretive,** » Ottenheimer and Lecadre found.

(…)

Yves Trestournel does not hide it: « ***If the French leaders want to understand something about Africa, they have to talk to the masons…*** » **Pasqua** knows something about this! Practically all the Ministers of Cooperation (after those of the Colonies) were Masons. « Except **Jean-Pierre Cot**, whose nomination in 1981 was perceived as a provocation. **Trestournel created a specific lodge in the GLNF, Les Cabires, « for apprentice grand masters of Franco-African affairs »**.

(…)

The virulent criticism left Yves Trestournel unperturbed. At the end of 2001, he promoted a faithful member, **Jean-Charles Foellner,** to succeed Grand Master **Charbonniaud**. **Foellner was Provincial Grand Master of the Côte d'Azur from 1982 onwards**, a member of the **Laurent le Magnifique Lodge**, a Province

and a Lodge symbolising all the excesses. A flamboyant member of this Lodge, the mayor of Cannes, **Michel Mouillot**, openly handed over all public contracts to the brothers; he became head of a real estate project on the island of Petit-Moustique, in the Caribbean, alongside the sulphurous international « financier », **Armando Nano**.

(…)

The Fifth Republic was born of a coup d'état wanted by NATO, with the participation of a stay behind network very much inclined towards the extreme right. The latter did not take kindly to the General's realistic reversal on the Algerian question. But **Jacques Foccart, in liaison with NATO,** never ceased to use these henchmen for his French and African barbouzeries - in return for an internship, for example, with the Rhodesian or South African apartheid regimes, or at **Denard's ranch in Gabon**

(…)

Other « infiltrations » are in the public domain. **A military intelligence officer, Philippe Guglielmi, became Grand Master of the Grand Orient.** The current Grand Master, **Alain Bauer**, **a member of the PS at the age of 15, passed through the FO union (created by the stay behind) and the SAIC**, in San Diego, a company that works almost exclusively for the American services. He was, he explains, in charge of a top-secret mission between France and the United States, involving national defence. Then he became a security consultant, co-authoring books on the subject with **Xavier Raufer,** aka **Christian de Bongrain**, **who himself had been a member of the extreme right-wing groups Occident and Ordre nouveau.** The co-authors « *praise the radical methods used across the Atlantic to fight petty crime.* ».

(…)

Initiates must take an oath to « conscientiously observe the principles of the Masonic order », never to reveal its « mysteries and secrets » on pain of a « punishment which cannot be less than having the throat cut, the tongue torn out by the root. » Brotherly solidarity is one of these principles. Perhaps because they failed to do so, many Masons « disappeared in a curious way ». Ottenheimer and Lecadre draw up a list of them: **Claude Bez**, CEO of the Century company; **Marc Delachy**, CEO of the Masons' Association of France of the Century company; **Marc Delachaux**, who died « of a heart attack while diving from his boat », then his associate **Glenn Souham**, « murdered in front of his home »; the policeman **Daniel Voiry**, pilot of an enormous corruption system at the Paris police headquarters (« committed suicide » in an Intermarché car park); **Roger Loebb**, Worshipful of the Jerusalem Lodge, frequented by **Flatto Sharon**; **Louis Sidéri**, Grand Treasurer of the GLNF, who himself « committed suicide with

cyanide » in 1996; **Pascal Sarda,** involved in the RCAF scandal, victim of an « unfortunate car accident »; **René Lucet,** « committed suicide by two bullets in the head » in 1982; Minister **Robert Boulin**, « committed suicide » in 1979; **Joseph Fontanet**, found dead in front of his home in 1980, shot in the back; **Joseph Doucé**, found dead in the Rambouillet forest in 1990; **Jean-Claude Méry**, victim of a fulgurating cancer; **Michel Baroin**; **Roger-Patrice Pelat**; **François de Grossouvre…**

[Bribery] involves an intermediary demanding money from a company director or executive to obtain a contract. In short, it is a racket. If the boss wants to remain in line with his conscience, he refuses. If he accepts, he is often initially reluctant. So, meeting places and codes are created: hunting in sumptuous castles, alcohol, easy women. We co-opt ourselves into Freemasonry. And then you become a brother, one of the cogs in the machine, one of the links in a chain. **But for these people, the embrace is the mafia kiss.** And they think that all Freemasons are like them.

Since they use the same vocabulary, the boundaries between Freemasonry, Rosicrucians, the multiple Templar or Solar Orders, are not always very clear. "In the 18th century, [...] some Freemason currents invented a Templar filiation. The Rosicrucians inspired the highest ranks of the GLF. The most widely practised Freemasonry rite in the world is called Rosicrucian in England. Even Scientology is involved: according to Ottenheimer and Lecadre, the GO and the GLNF are notoriously infiltrated by Scientology.

(…)

Christophe Deloire's *Enquête sur la France templière* remains a valuable introduction.

« On June 12, 1952, at the **Château d'Arginy,** in the Beaujolais region, the vanished Order of the Temple resurfaced once again. It was in this same building that Hugues de Payns had founded it. [...] That day, the eminent occultist **Jacques Breyer** rekindled the flame of the crusades. His « miracles » set the small world of esotericism ablaze and revived the soldier-monks. **Jacques Breyer** was surrounded by Freemasons, notably from the Grande Loge Nationale Française (GLNF). Convinced by this revival, a thousand brothers who were keen on Templar rites left the GLNF and created a new obedience: **the Opera Masonic Lodge. The secret services, including the Sdece, the forerunner of the DGSE, took a close interest in the Templar revival born in Arginy, which was named Sovereign Order of the Solar Temple (OSTS)**

(…)

Masonry has, if one may say so, served as a cement. All the more so because from **Paul Delouvrier** [the first president] to **François Roussely**, via **Marcel Boiteux**,

Gilles Ménage or **Edmond Alphandéry, all of them, with perhaps one exception, are masons.** I ended up being initiated myself, declared a manager of the public company. Elf? A « three-point fiefdom ». « Among the many protagonists implicated in the Elf affair, directly or indirectly, one would be hard pressed to find a layman. The former CEO Loïk Le Floch, perhaps…". As soon as all the actors protected and controlled each other, public opinion no longer knew much about certain major choices made in the context of French participation in the Cold War, such as nuclear proliferation and the neo-colonisation of Africa. How, then, could these choices be challenged?

Didier Schuller, another neo-Gaullist tenor of parallel financing, also prosecuted by the judge **Éric Halphen,** has long illustrated this impunity to the point of caricature. **In charge of the Office HLM of Hauts-de-Seine, this high roller, « Venerable » of the « Silence » lodge (GLNF)**, fled in 1995 via Geneva and the Bahamas before an international arrest warrant was issued against him. He settled in Santo Domingo, where he lived like a pasha, without the French police and justice system being concerned. His son Antoine raised the issue. In an interview with **Laurent Valdiguié** of Le Parisien, he detailed **Didier Schuller's close relationship with the American consul William Kirkman, former head of the CIA in the Caribbean.** For his false papers and those of his companion, the fugitive would have had « official Belgian stamps »

According to his son, Didier Schuller became « crazy with joy » when he learned of the resignation of Judge Halphen: **« *We got him!* » So a collective was chasing the judge?** At the end of 1994, Schuller had used the latter's father-in-law, **Jean-Pierre Maréchal (GLNF)**, to try to compromise the son-in-law, which caused a great scandal. "**The investigators of the Schuller-Maréchal affair have acquired a certainty: [...] a network of police officers and Freemasons lawyers was discreetly activated at the time.** First to destabilise the judge, who was threatening both the RPR and construction companies, then to exfiltrate Didier Schuller [...]. Schuller is said to have been helped by this mysterious network throughout his escape..».

Extract from Noir Chirac -François-Xavier Verschave - Les Arènes 2002
https://survie.org/themes/francafrique/article/cia-et-inities-le-temple-et-ses

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to to determine whether chiefs or members of the French national army, belong to entities of unknown legal form such as the Cagoule, Z, MI6, etc. or to sects, Rose Croix, Opus Dei, or to secret societies such as Bildeberg or Le Siècle and to determine if they receive money of this entities**.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to to **determine if chiefs or members of the police or armed forces of France, or other sovereign countries, if civil servants of French states or other sovereign countries, have been, or are being, blackmailed, threatened, pressured, manipulated, persecuted, or have died in circumstances suggesting that they might have been assassinated**.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to to **determine if members if leaders or members of the police forces or armed forces of France, or of other sovereign countries, if civil servants of French states or of other sovereign countries, have been, or are being, secretly sequestered and tortured in Dark Places.**

> « « *I was forced to put my husband in a psychiatric clinic because he had discovered these secrets. He underwent a treatment that transformed him (...) This, thanks to the complicity of several doctors who made him lose his memory by administering him ... nine electronarcoses.* »
>
> (…)
>
> The electronarcoses of which Françoise Verne affirms that her husband received nine, are not a harmless treatment. The medical Larousse gives us the definition:
>
> « Sleep induced by an electric current. Electronarcosis consists in obtaining a state of amnesic sleep by letting the current pass through the electrodes of a seismotherapy device (by electroshock) for several minutes (10 to 15). This method, which has the same indications and contraindications as electroshocks therapy, is less used because it is less manageable. »
>
> Françoise Verne delivers names, facts, dates. As in many letters she sent to me, she affirms that, in her entourage, they want to make her look crazy. She also. She still.
>
> (…)
>
> A few weeks earlier, on March 19, 1985, Françoise Verne authorized me, in writing, to publish all that she had already revealed. She gave me several kilos of documents: letters, confidential reports, accounting, administrative and medical documents, personal memoirs, etc.
>
> The whole thing proves without a doubt that she is telling the truth. All these proofs are, today, deposited at the office of Patrick Gaultier, the lawyer of the Albin Michel publishing house.
>
> (…)

> Terrible record. It all begins in early 1984. The finance inspector, Jacques Verne, Françoise's husband, has been a state controller at the CNAM, the National Health Insurance Fund, since 1972. As such, it is his responsibility to verify the proper use of public funds and the conformity of the contracts awarded by this organization where hundreds of billions of francs pass through every year. »
>
> *Give Money Back - Jean Montaldo - Albin Michel - 1995*

Françoise Verne is the sister of Didier Schuller who was a member of the **Tixier Vignancourt. Jean-Louis Tixier Vignancourt is Petainist and « Cagoulard » (**https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jean-Louis_Tixier-Vignancour**).** « *Tixier Vignancourt, drunk with fury, if not alcohol, came forward with his fists outstretched, foaming at the mouth and cursing Paul Reynaud : Bastards, you have no shame to appear here! You deserve twelve bullets in the skin... The friends of Tixier Vignancourt are, themselves, embarrassed by this odious aggression. The repugnant* ***Philippe Henriot*** *drags him into what is now the Hemicycle. » (*The Notebooks of Treason - Backstage of Vichy - Paris - Librairie des Sciences et Lettres - 1946*)*

> *«* **Didier Schuller** is suspected of having financed his political activities in Clichy (Hauts-de-Seine) between 1988 and 1995 by construction companies in exchange for the awarding of contracts by the department's social housing office, of which he was the general director.
>
> In the first instance, Didier Schuller was sentenced to five years' imprisonment, including two years' imprisonment, a fine of 150,000 euros and five years' ineligibility by the Créteil criminal court.
>
> On appeal, the public prosecutor requested one year's imprisonment for « influence peddling » and « concealment of misuse of corporate assets ». On January 25, 2007, the Paris Court of Appeal sentenced him to one year in prison and two years suspended, a fine of 150,000 euros and five years' deprivation of civic, civil and family rights for having secretly financed political activities in Clichy.
>
> On December 8, 2010, the Paris Court of Appeal reinstated his civil rights, allowing him to resume his political career. He then gave information to the justice system concerning the hidden assets of the Balkany couple. »
>
> https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Didier_Schuller

A justice that allows a state criminal to resume a political career and return to state office is a corrupt justice worthy of the worst banana republics. When a criminal negotiates a remission by giving information about another criminal, it is no longer a question of justice but of « judicial cooking », contrary to the rule of law. Thus, today, in France, a police court has been set up, a resurgence of the administrative police of Pétain, which convicts citizens, without summoning them to a hearing, for offences that are not covered by the penal code, and applies fines for this « phantom » offences that do not exist in any legal text. The convicted person is informed by simple mail of his conviction in violation of the presumption of innocence and the right of defense : *I received by simple mail on April 1, 2022 the following document attached: a notice "of fine and*

pecuniary condemnation of April 24, 2022" emanating from the Treasury of the Val de Marne 1 place de la Coupole 94 224 Charenton le Pont. On this document appears the mention « Amount remaining due - 300 Euros » preceded by the following sentences: « You owe the sum opposite following a fixed fine increased. This decision was pronounced against you on 1 03. 2022 by the public prosecutor at the police court. It follows the offence of 25.09.2021 at 17:40 in Paris 11 ***Republic noted by a sworn agent of the RATP disturbance of the peace of the travelers in railroad: other offences. »***

French justice officials violate the law twice in this document :

> ***1. « disturbance of the peace of the travelers in railroad : other offences »***
> **Disturbing the peace of travelers is neither an offence nor a crime under French law.**
>
> I. Criminal proceedings must be fair and adversarial and preserve the balance of the rights of the parties.
>
> It must guarantee the separation of the authorities responsible for public action and the authorities responsible for judging.
>
> Persons in similar circumstances and prosecuted for the same offences must be tried according to the same rules.
>
> The judicial authority shall ensure that the rights of victims are informed and guaranteed during all criminal proceedings.
>
> III - Any person suspected or prosecuted is presumed innocent until proven guilty. Violations of the presumption of innocence are prevented, remedied and punished in accordance with the law.
>
> He/she has the right to be informed of the charges against him/her and to be assisted by a defense counsel.
>
> Code of Penal Procedure - Legislative Part (Preliminary Articles to 937) - https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/codes/article_lc/LEGIARTI000038311528/
>
> The presumed offender is fined for an offence that does not exist in law, and therefore could not have been committed, and for « other offences » that are not defined by the law and therefore do not exist either. The presumed offender is therefore fined for a crime that does not exist and for which he was tried in his absence without prior warning that he had committed the offence.

This is a fake illegal proceeding similar to the one the Parkratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC is pretending to conduct against the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. By publicizing the fake illegal procedure against the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, sequestered in secret in a Dark Place and tortured, the Parkratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC is trying to impose on the citizens of

sovereign countries, by using story-telling and staging, a fake Nazi type justice. He persecutes a citizen under a name that is not his birth name, whom he sequesters in secret and who may already be dead, in a Dark Place. This nameless citizen, known as Julian Paul Assange, hardly ever attends the hearings of his mock trial, nor does he attend the celebration of his mock wedding. When it appears, it is in video, on a screen that does not allow to identify him clearly. It is however possible, from these images, to see that he is incommunicado in a hospital Dark Place. Under false guardianship in charge of his false wife? We recall that Jacques Verne, Didier Schueller's brother-in-law, was subjected to electronarcosis in a psychiatric hospital so that he could not denounce state crimes. In the case of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, as in the case of Jacques Verne, it is difficult to know what happened to the citizens falsely incriminated but incarcerated in secret and tortured by the incriminated Parkaratos, that is to say, the Parakratos **City of London Corporation-Virginia Corporation-Washington DC** for the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange and the Parakratos **Gang Mitterrand Bénouville**, for the citizen **Jacques Verne**, Prarakratos which the name is today **Franco-German Defense council**.

In view of the facts, it should be noted that the Parkaratos City of London Corporation-Virginia Corporation-Washington DC and the Parakratos Gang Mitterrand-Bénouville, today the Franco-German Defense Council, stage false illegal trials and apply false sentences for offenses that do not exist in law in order to set up a false Nazi-like justice system that allows them to « neutralize » all the opponents or all the individuals they consider harmful to their mafia system. In the case of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, all the lawyers work for the prosecution, i.e. for the Parakratos **City of London Corporation-Virginia Corporation-Washington DC so for the Mountbatten Wibdsor Brotherhood and its American governor, Jo Biden.** The citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is therefore being tried for a crime that does not exist in private places, the latest being a museum, and defended by his executioners. Prosecution and defense on the same side This is a travesty of a trial that violates the law of fair trail, the corpus of British law and the corpus of international law**.**

With mock trials before a mock police court, the Franco-German Parakratos Defense Council sets up the same system of fake staged proceedings. It is therefore legitimate to consider that there is collusion between Parkratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC and the Parkratos Franco-German Defense Council to set up a false international justice called Universal Jurisdiction whose implementation has been entrusted to Balthazar Garzon. (https://baltasargarzon.org/en/universal-jurisdiction/conference-on-universal-jurisdiction/first-international-conference-on-universal-jurisdiction/) Commissioned : Michael Rothschild. « *The First International Conference on **Universal Jurisdiction** promoted by **Baltasar Garzón (FIBGAR -** https://fibgar.es**) International Foundation,** which brought together some fifty experts, concluded with the drafting of a proposal for discussion of the principles on Universal Jurisdiction. This proposal from Madrid was sent to institutions, universities, legal forums worldwide beginning a discussion period that is expected to last six to eight months. »*

2. *noted by a sworn agent of the RATP*

An RATP agent is not a custodian of the law. In France, judges and police officers are the custodians of the law.

The French justice system, in charge of enforcing the law and the French Constitution, gives the right, in violation of the rule of equality, to ordinary citizens, who are not custodians of the law, to draw up reports for offences that do not exist. The only reports that RATP agents may have the right to draw up, and under certain legal conditions, are those concerning the non-payment of tickets.

This false procedure thus aims at forcing the French people to submit to private militias at the service of private entities of unknown legal form and no longer to its national police force in charge of defending the interests of the French Nation, thus the French people. It is the death of the rule of law.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to to determine if judges, lawyers, officials in charge of justice in sovereign countries belong to entities of unknown legal form such as the Cagoule, Z, MI6, etc. or to sects, Rose Croix, Opus Dei, or to secret societies such as Bildeberg or Le Siècle.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to to determine if judges, lawyers, officials in charge of justice in sovereign countries, have been, or are being, blackmailed, threatened, pressured, manipulated, persecuted, or have died in circumstances suggesting that they might have been assassinated.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to to determine if judges, lawyers, officials in charge of justice in sovereign countrieshave been, or are being, secretly sequestered and tortured in Dark Places.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to establish a list of missing persons and an exhautive list of psychiatric hospitals or psychiatric residences where they could be placed under house arrest.

« As an SS manual summarises : « The **member of the SS and the police** is proud of his race (...). **He is the friend of all that is healthy and the enemy of all degeneracy**. The principle of Kriminalbiology revisited by the **police and jurists of the Third Reich** is simple : **the biological defect causes the legal fault**. There is an empirical induction from the fact (**the fault**) to the cause (**the defect**), but this induction obeys the fundamental rules of science. As the jurist **Günther Stier** writes here, he explains ***« that criminal law is based on the laws of life*** », « one time does not count »: only a series bases the probability of the crime, and the legitimacy of « **safety measures** » to **prevent this crime**. **Probability is the law of criminal law : Statistical recurrence allows the formulation of a diagnosis**

of biological crime that legitimizes a prognosis of probable or even certain recurrence. Otto Thierack, appointed Minister of Justice in 1942, explained this idea to the German judges:

It is not necessary that the wrongdoing (...) be particularly serious in itself. It is sufficient that the criminal, by his repeated violations of the law, has proved that his personality is dangerous to the community. If he has repeatedly and consistently violated the law (...) a single violation is enough, which does not even have to be of the most serious criminality, for the cup to be full and for the criminal in question to be rejected, forever, from the community. **The concepts of probability and inclination, and even biological determination in the most serious cases, are established as the basis of criminal law**. They also form the basis of the Eagenist legislation of July and November 1933. The explanatory memorandum to the law of 14 July 1933 states that :

The Hereditary Health Tribunals will have to examine the probability of heredity on a case by case basis and will give their authorisation for a surgical intervention when, according to the experience of medical science, it can be expected, with the highest probability, that the descendants will be affected by serious physical or psychological pathologies.

Classification by type (criminal, biological, etc.) makes it possible to de-individualise cases, establish series and strike an individual for belonging to a large population.

Police activity conceived as a science allows, on the basis of observation, statistics and induction, the definition of types of criminals and thus an a priori police action, a prevention (Vorbeugung).

This is what the newly appointed head of the **OrPo (Ordnungstpolizei)**, the **order police**, a gigantic organisation which, at the level of the Reich, oversaw all the ordinary police forces following the reorganisation of the German police by the **decree of 17 June 1936**, which made Himmler its head, defends.

(…)

Ritter and his team are given the task of providing expertise and advice to the authorities and the **Security Police.** In fact, between January 1942 and January 1945, Ritter and his men multiplied their notes and reports to the Reichsführer SS **to help with the long preparation of a law against « foreign elements » and to work on the filtering and criminological classification of children and adolescents detained in the Moringen and Uckermark concentration camps for young men**.

After examining their background, heredity and physiology, the experts divided the adolescents into several categories (« **unfit** », « **disruptive** »,

« **conjunctural failure** », « **structural failure** », « **conditionally re-educable** », « **re-educable** ») which decided their fate: the diagnosis formed the basis of a prognosis that sent them either to a re-education camp or to a concentration camp as an adult. According to **Reinhart Höhn**, who expresses a cardinal idea of Nazi criminal biology, « *the dispositions of the race necessarily determine in our people an identity of thought, feeling and action* ».

It could not be better said that any political divergence - of a cultural nature - is perceived and treated as a biological deviance - of a natural nature - or, more precisely, as the symptom, the manifestation in the symbolic order of language, culture and cultural choice of an organic pathology. Werner Best develops this idea in an article on the Gestapo in the journal Deutsches Recht :

The National Socialist political principle of totality, which corresponds to our organic and indivisible vision of the unity of the German people, does not allow for the formation of any political will outside our own political will. Any attempt to impose - or even preserve - another worldview that our will be eradicated as a pathological symptom that threatens the unity and health of the national body.

On the basis of these principles, National Socialism has, for the first time in Germany, developed a **political police force** which we regard as modern, i.e. as meeting the needs of our time. We conceive of it as an institution that carefully **monitors the political state of health of the German body, that detects and eliminates the germs of destruction in time, whether they are the result of internal degeneration or voluntary contamination from the stranger. »**

The law of blood - Think and act like a Nazi - Johann Chapouteot -Gallimard 2014

Constitutional Law - Nazi Law

The constitution is the rule of conduct of a state. It is the body of fundamental laws that all laws voted on and passed must respect. In a democracy, it is validated by the people in its entirety and can only be changed after the people have been consulted for agreement. « *Any society in which the guarantee of rights is no longer assured, nor the separation of powers determined, has no Constitution.* » (Art. 16 - French Constitution of 4 October 1958) In a democracy, the executive, legislative and judicial powers must be separated. These three powers must be independent, autonomous and take their decisions only after a contradictory debate which guarantees the democratic functioning.

« *In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. (...) The light, the true light, that which enlightens every man, was coming into the world.*» (Gospel of John - New Testament). Whether one believes in God or not, this teaching is universal because it means that it is through the word that Man becomes civilised since he can communicate with his fellow human beings, that Man can attain knowledge (The Light), that Man can discover truths and thus

understand the world around him and interact with it. If the Word is God and was in God, it is because God used it to link people together. This allegory therefore means that it is through articulated language that man solves his problems. Any law is therefore only the public proclamation of a common agreement between men, this written agreement having for vocation to decide between them in case of dispute. The law is a social production taken from the morality of a given society. In France, this morality is defined in the Constitution and its motto Liberté, Egalité, Fraternité. The first rules of life in society were set down verbally in the sacred texts, notably the Bible and the Koran, and in most religions in various forms. Some of the Ten Commandments can be considered as a quasi-universal moral constitution since their principles can be found in all bodies of law: « *You shall not kill* », « *You shall not steal* », « *You shall not bear false witness* ».

In a democratic society, the law establishes a rule that citizens have chosen to adopt and respect. In the event of a dispute, the text of the law will decide between the parties involved. The law is prescriptive. A law precisely describes a crime or an offence, i.e. an act, and associates a sanction with it. A citizen can therefore only be judged for a crime or an offence described in a text of law, thus fixed in writing and immutable. A law can only be changed in agreement with the members of the society that has chosen to enact and respect it. In a democracy, the governing bodies are representatives of the people and servants of the state. They are not masters who rule over subjects.

> « There are three kinds of government: REPUBLICAN, MONARCHICAL and DESPOTIC. In order to discover their nature, it is sufficient to have the idea that the least educated men have of them. I assume three definitions, or rather three facts: one that republican government is that in which the people as a body, or only a part of the people, have sovereign power; monarchical, that in which one alone governs, but by fixed and established laws; **whereas, in despotic, one alone, without law and without rule, carries everything along by his will and by his whims.** This is what I call the nature of each government.
>
> (…)
>
> **It is not honour that is the principle of despotic States**: since all men are equal, no one can prefer himself to others; since all men are slaves, no one can prefer himself to anything.
>
> **Moreover, since honour has its own laws and rules, and it should not bent; since it depends on one's own caprice, and not on that of another, <u>it can only be found in States where the constitution is fixed, and which have certain laws.</u>**
>
> How could it be suffered by a despot? He prides himself on despising life, and the despot has strength only because he can take it away. How could he suffer the despot? He has consistent rules and sustained whims; **the despot has no rules, and his whims destroy all others.**

Honour, which is unknown in despotic states, where there is often no word to express it, reigns in monarchies; it gives life to the whole body politic, to the laws and even to the virtues.

(…)

Just as virtue is needed in a republic, and honour in a monarchy, so fear is needed in a despotic government: for virtue it is not necessary, and honour would be dangerous.

(…)

The love of the republic in a democracy is the love of democracy; <u>the love of democracy is the love of equality.</u>

(…)

Men are all equal in republican government; they are equal in despotic government: in the former, it is because they are everything; in the latter, it is because they are nothing.

The closer the government approaches the republic, the more fixed the manner of judging becomes; and it was a vice of the republic of Lacedemonia that the ephors judged arbitrarily, without there being laws to direct them. In Rome, the first consuls judged like the ephors: the disadvantages were felt, and precise laws were made.

In despotic states, there is no law: **the judge is his own rule**. In monarchical states, there is a law, and where it is precise, the judge follows it; where it is not, he seeks out its spirit. In republican government, it is in the nature of the constitution that judges follow the letter of the law. »

On the Spirit of the Laws - Charles de Secondat de Montesquieu - 1758

The more precise a law is, written in a language that everyone can understand, the clearer it defines the crime and the sanctions that are gradually applied according to the seriousness of the acts, the closer justice comes to the democratic ideal. The more vague a law is, written in a jargon that only a few specialists understand, the more indeterminate the description of the crime and the sanctions that are gradually applied to it according to the seriousness of the facts, the closer justice is dictatorial.

« ***Disturbance of the peace of the travelers in railroad : other offences ».*** The French citizen who received this letter telling him that he is condemned to pay a fine for an offence he did not commit is a victim of Nazi-like dictatorial justice. The offence is not clearly defined by the person who uttered the award. One would hope that it is not a graduate judge! Disturbing the peace of the passengers is not a crime defined by the law, so a child who screams because he has been hurt can be fined for disturbing the peace of the passengers, as well as the one who has a heart attack or gets his feet stuck in his neighbour's bag. Other offences are synonymous with any offence. This means

that an RATP officer who is not a law enforcement officer can draw up an officiel report a user for any reason (picking his nose, eating a sandwich, talking politics, talking to his neighbour, giving money to a homeless person, running, laughing, jumping up and down, etc, etc, etc.) and that a judge can condemn him for this offence which does not exist, which is not recorded by a police officer acting as a law enforcement officer, without giving him the right to defend himself or to appeal. No crime, no graduated sanction, no law text, no procedure and hearing, no right to a lawyer to defend oneself or to appeal. This is a parody of justice that violates criminal law and human rights, and therefore the Constitution of France. It is a dictatorship, a banana republic and a Nazi operation.

The Parakratos, called the Franco-German Defence Council, is terrorist and Nazi in nature. It violates the laws and subjects French citizens to the arbitrariness of the dictatorship.

> « Tesmer cleverly sites the Police Administration Act of 1 June 1931, articles 14 and 15 of which **provide for temporary detention by the police**. As for the ordinance of 28 February 1933, Tesmer argues, after so many others, that the communist peril explicitly mentioned in the preamble refers more broadly to any subversive activity attacking the security of the state, which broadens its scope infinitely. **It is not only the declared communists who are targeted, but all those elements who by their behaviour endanger the work of rebuilding the German people** in a way that threatens the state and the people. Armed with this broad toolkit, the police can go about their state protection activities and **make preventive police detentions, the most effective weapon against the enemies of the state.**
>
> **(…)**
>
> The pleasant fiction of an institution created to ensure the protection of the detainee gives way to the reality of a **politico-police measure, i.e. one that is both political and police, which <u>delivers the defenceless individual to the arbitrariness and discretion of the police</u>**: « *Only those who still dream of a liberal past will consider these measures to be too harsh, even illegal.* » These individuals should better reflect on the principles on which the German political community has been based since 1933 and accept that the individual is no longer the centre of all legal and police thinking and practice.
>
> (…)
>
> Prosecutor Tesmer, a member of the NSDAP and the SS, now Dezernatleiter (Head of Department) in the Gestapo, fully assumes that the **Schutzhaft complements, or <u>even circumvents, court decisions</u>. It is well known <u>that anti-state enterprises cannot be fought with criminal law provisions alone</u>**. The sentence is cryptic, but it suggests what has been happening on a daily basis in Germany since 1933 : the **Gestapo is present at the exit of the courtrooms to arrest defendants who have been released or too lightly convicted by the courts.**

It also comes to take care of the released prisoner at the exit of the prisons: **the police can, in case of necessity, pronounce security measures against a convict who has served his sentence by moving him directly from the prison to the concentration camp.** This police detention constitutes a double punishment that is clearly accepted and claimed by jurists and police officers**. He who has erred may, by virtue of a biological predisposition, err again.**

(…)

Article 42 of the law of 24 November 1933 **allows the judge, « if public safety so requires », to increase the sentence of a repeat offender in order to keep him away from the Volksgemeinschaft as long as possible.**

(…)

This biologisation of law is also the basis for the Vorbeugungshaft. A decree of 13 November 1933 gave the police the right to arrest as a « **professional criminal** » anyone considered a **potential repeat offender,** and therefore likely to strike again, and to take him to concentration camps. In March 1937, Hitler decided on a large-scale raid on the Berufsverbrecher (professional criminals). A year later, in the spring of 1938, the German police targeted, on their order, the « **associables** » and « **strangers to the community of the people** » **who, because of their vice and laziness, had to be brought back into line by a stay in camp.**

(…)

Completing the series of new police weapons, **the Sippenhaftung (joint responsibility of the family, the clan) and the sippenhaft (collective detention of the members of a family), increasingly invoked from 1943-1944 onwards, completed the break with the common law of yesteryear as well as the biologisation of the delinquent or criminal.**

Himmler specifies the fate of traitors: « ***I will create an absolute family responsibility*** *(...) You only have to read the Germanic sagas. When (...) family vengeance was exercised, it was consistent, without measure (...)* ***They said : this man has betrayed, his blood is bad, it is traitorous blood, he must be eradicated.*** *And that's how* ***revenge exterminated*** *the whole family, down to the last member. The Stauffenber family will be destroyed to the last member.* »

The law of blood - Think and act like a Nazi - Johann Chapouteot -Gallimard 2014

In a democracy, justice (judge - depositary of the law + jury) rules on an act (crime or offence) defined by the law, submits the crime to the adversarial debate of the prosecution and the defence, ensures a fair procedure, applies graduated penalties, fixed by the law according to the facts and the circumstances (mitigating or aggravating) in which the act occurred.

In a dictatorship, there is no judgment for an act committed in violation of a law, but a punishment applied to an individual for an action that varies according to who decides that it is in keeping with his or her conception of human behaviour.

Montesquieu conceptualised it perfectly in 1758: « ***In despotic states, there is no law: the judge is his own rule*** ». But when there is no body of law or justice or constitutional police, the judge is not a custodian of the law. He is a « *person who has authority over another person by virtue of hierarchical or moral superiority or prestige, or who possesses or attributes to himself a competence* ». https://www.cnrtl.fr/definition/juge

Dictatorial punishment, Nazi punishment, is variable geometry. What is a crime in one place may not be in another and vice versa. All decisions are made on the basis of personal conviction and are never subject to adversarial debate. A crime that is not committed is punished, as is the case of a citizen who has to pay a fine for an offence that he has not committed, on the mere presumption that he might commit it. The Nazi political police punish the crime before the person who is going to commit it or not to commit it thinks about it. It is a thought police.

The Assange case is the archetype of Nazi malpractice.
It is a staged fake criminal procedure where no law and no rules of justice are respected. The individual on trial has no birth name. From a legal point of view, therefore, he does not exist. If there is no suspect who has committed a offense or felony under his or her birth name, there is no more crime than offense. The Assange procedure could be defined as follows: The Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC against NoName and therefore against nobody. From a legal point of view, from this first observation, we can deduce that there is no Assange case. The Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC. is an entity of unknown legal form. It cannot therefore bring a case to court. To bring a case to court, one must be a legal person or an individual with a clearly established name and place of birth. A thing that does not legally exist has therefore brought proceedings against an individual who does not have a name and therefore does not legally exist. The procedure, apparently initiated by the Clinton administration, is about an extradition that has no reason to exist since, according to the story-telling of the Assange blockbuster, the unnamed citizen is Australian and has not committed any crime on American soil. So, for the past ten years, the Assange series has been challenging citizens about a procedure that cannot legally exist. A European arrest warrant would have been issued in the name of a citizen Assange, but as it is not the birth name of the citizen on trial, it has no legal value. Moreover, this warrant had no legal value since the story-telling had preceded it with a preliminary investigation which had cleared the alleged culprit, Mr NoName. As for the charge, it is as fanciful as that of the alleged offence of the French citizen sentenced to pay a fine for an offence that does not exist in French lawt. It falls under « other offences » synonymous with any offence i.e. nothing from a purely legal point of view. The Assange case can be summarised as follows: A legally shadowy entity sues a nameless man for a crime that is a legal nothing. Nobody sues nobody for anything.

All this might be laughable if it were not one of the methods of instrumentalisation of justice employed by the Nazis to destroy the criminal law and if a man were not sequestered incommunicado and tortured in a Dark Place.

Everything in the Assange case is fake except the human being that WJJA delegates were able to see on a few occasions during the hearings they attended. One of the WJJA doctors wrote three medical reports stating that the man showed signs of torture and was in great pain. Legally, this individual is free. He is therefore being held incommunicado and tortured by thugs who are holding him hostage. It is a crime condoned by the media and the governments of sovereign countries, including sovereign countries that respect the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

The training of men by the Nazis involves decorprisation. The citizen known as Assange has no birth name. He is being abusively held incommunicado and tortured without any media attention. He is not allowed to speak at the hearings, except to says his false name, false first name and false date of birth. He is not allowed visits in prison. He is not allowed any contact. He should be free but nobody is moved, in violation of all international and English laws, that he is being held incommunicado and tortured, no more than **Jean-Luc Mélanchon, Jean-Lassale** or **Eric Dupont Moretti.** From a purely social point of view, the life of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange can be summed up in a few photos and videos. The great journalist who is being considered for the Nobel Prize has little to show for it, either from a journalistic point of view or in terms of his private life. In the media, the citizen known as Assange is nothing because no one cares about him. Worse, he is getting married without being present at his wedding, even though he is legally free. This forced marriage (in a Dark Place, it can only be a forced marriage) makes him a « good wife in prison », a typically Nazi term coupled with a call to rape that no media outlet has taken offence to, which a US senator has called him, according to the citizen known as Christine Ann Hawkins. The indescence of story-telling reaches its peak with the Australian programme, devoted to a bride who is not a bride, presenting children whose birth names are unknown since neither their presumed mother nor their presumed father have birth names, strutting around as the happy spouse of a man she claims is suicidal and abused in prison.

> « It is not a question of holding a criminal responsible for behaviour that undermines the healthy order of the people. The question is: do I succeed in putting him out of harm's way for our gene pool and our environment. (Lothar Tengel Von Rutkowski) - **The individual does not count**. The attribution of his act to this or that causality does not count. What is important is the factuality of his offence or crime, which is a potential threat that can always be updated since it has already acted in him. The only interest to be defended here is that of the people in their present and future, their descendants: **the biologically dangerous individual must disappear not only from the environment of the people but from their**

hereditary heritage through measures, **henceforth provided for by the law, of intervention on their body.** »

The law of blood - Think and act like a Nazi - Johann Chapoutot -Gallimard 2014

The Nazi punitive universe has only one objective, to cleanse the social body (Volk) of harmful elements that impede its « natural' development » : « ***the biologically dangerous individual must disappear not only from the environment of the people but from their hereditary heritage***. » « ***The individual does not count*** **»** because it is only one cell of the social body. In the Nazi punitive universe, the individual does not exist, nor does individuality or free will, nor does the self, the psyche, the conscience or the right to make mistakes. An individual is not punished, neutralised or executed because he has done something wrong, because he has broken a law, but because he is a dangerous parasite. He is not committing a crime. He or she himself is the crime. Assange has not committed a crime. He himself is the crime, that of treason, considered the most serious in Nazi « ideological storytelling ». It is therefore not one citizen who has committed a reprehensible act that is being judged, but the act as such, which has no connection with reality. At the level of theorising and language - there is a typical Nazi verb - the Nazis conceive of crimes only in abstract forms, as do the responses to them : « *And that's how* ***revenge exterminated*** *the whole family, down to the last member. The Stauffenber family will be destroyed to the last member.* » It is not a citizen who takes revenge on another citizen who has wronged him, but revenge itself - « *how* ***revenge exterminated »***, i.e. the concept of revenge, which acts on its own behalf and solves the problem. The personalisation of the object or concept is one of the foundations of the Nazi verb.

Attalli uses it in his political-existential narratives to assert truths that are not based on any demonstration or real facts : « ***A new property right will invented himself, giving access, in each new place of residence, to a dwelling of a certain quality and size, detached from a concrete place.*** » (A brief history of the Future - Jacques Attali - Fayard 2006) According to Jacques Attali, property right invent itself. The concept of property is autonomous in the same way as that of revenge. These language artefacts are the engines of Nazi propaganda and crime annihilators, since a concept cannot commit a crime. By means of a linguistic sleight of hand, the Nazi propagandists make the criminal disappear through a conceptual materialisation that creates an annihilation and the victim through decorporisation, which is logical since there is only one homogeneous social body of which the individual is a cog, or more precisely, a cell, since the Nazi socioligical narrative, like the legal one, is biological. It is not the citizen called Assange who is being judged but the concept of treason, since one can only betray one's sovereign country by intelligence with the enemy. No act of treason, no victim just the concept of treason and the jurisprudence that the Nazis are working hard to put in place to circumvent the extradition law. In his book *A Brief History of the Future*, Jacques Attali speaks of a of a **planetary court will ensure the accounting of jurisprudence drawn up on each continent.** The Nazis created repressive measures or administrative norms by decree or ordinance, but neither decrees nor ordinances had the force of law. Nazi legal storytelling is a propaganda tool used to deconstruct the law and create fake

jurisprudence, which they implement by resorting to terrorist and manipulative stratagems of psychological warfare.

That is why the Assange hearings are staged and do not respect any of the laws of the UK or the international body of law. Nazi legal storytelling is a propaganda tool used to deconstruct the law. That is why the Assange hearings are staged and do not respect any of the laws of the UK or the international body of law. The Nazi legal storytelling collapses like a house of cards when it is confronted with the arguments of an opposing party. It is for this reason that the individual who incarnates Assange is unapproachable, without consistence of any kind. He must not provoke any compassionate reaction by expressing himself in any way. He is a ghost who is judged by judges who are not judges and defended by lawyers who are not lawyers in rooms that are theaters where a ridiculous legal pantomime is played. Moreover, although no proof of life has been provided since he is sequestered incommunicado and in complete isolation, is being tortured, no media is concerned about his fate. While all the laws are violated, the media makes the headlines about his fake marriage with an intriguing woman without a birth name, a member of the clan of executioners, who publicly exhibits children, without official birth names, as proof of a common life that has no more consistency than the Noname Assange, object of the legal sacrifice. Humiliating, torturing, smearing, dehumanizing, decorporizing the man in the Assange showcase has only one purpose : to make citizens adhere to the Nazi legal fable. However... « ***No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. In particular, no one shall be subjected without his free consent to medical or scientific experimentation.***» (Covenant on Civil and Political Rights - https://www.ohchr.org/fr/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx) The media is therefore complicit in the crime.

> « 8. - **Psychological warfare is the concerted use of various measures and means designed to influence the opinion, feelings, attitude and behaviour of opposing elements (authorities, armies, populations) in such a way as to change them in a direction favourable to the achievement of the objectives of the war.**
>
> **Psychological warfare is one of the main forms of cold warfare** (warfare conducted without the outbreak of hostilities between regular armed forces of opposing powers); it always accompanies hot warfare (warfare involving the outbreak of hostilities between regular armed forces of opposing powers).
>
> **It aims to annihilate the opposing will to fight and, if possible, to recruit auxiliaries and allies in the opposite camp;** it seeks to facilitate and relieve military operations.
> All political and military leaders are responsible for it within the framework of their attributions; all citizens contribute to its conduct.
>
> It inspires or influences all activities: political, diplomatic, military, economic, etc. »

Provisional Instruction on the Use of Psychological Weapons - Ministry of National Defence and the Armed Forces - Personnel of the Armed Forces - 5th Division (29 July 1957)

The Assange affair is a vast propaganda operation aimed at subjecting international populations to the transformations that the Nazi mafia Parakratos, City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC, and the Parakratos, Franco-German Defence Council, are carrying out, i.e. the destruction of fundamental human rights and the principle of fair trial.

The Nazi motto, « **Ein Volk, Ein Reich, Ein Fuhrer** » is incompatible with the motto of the Lights century and democracy, « **Liberty Equality Fraternity** ».

Liberty ⇒ Equality ⇒ Fraternity

Freedom is the supreme condition that every citizen must be able to enjoy, but which he or she must also guarantee to others, within democracy society. This freedom consists in being able to be oneself in the midst of others and to act according to one's own free will while respecting the fundamental rights of each individual. This Freedom implies the principle of Equality which ensures that everyone has sacred fundamental rights and identical duties regardless of their origin, sex, age and function. This Equality in turn implies the principle of Fraternity, because for Liberty and Equality to be possible, men must show Fraternity towards his fellow men, that is to say, act with a feeling of solidarity, friendship and disinterested assistance so that mutual protection and cooperation can be established that is beneficial to each and every one. When the axioms Liberté, Egalité, Fraternité are applied within a society, in the form Liberté ⇒ Egalité ⇒ Fraternité, whatever the society, the democratic pact is fulfilled and popular sovereignty is fully expressed.

For the Nazis, the people, the Volk, is thought of biologically, as a « social body » of which each individual is a cell. This conception leaves no room for freedom and free will, since each human component of this « social body » contributes, according to its place and its role, to its good functioning, its vitality, its power, its vigor. Any human cell of this « social body » is ruthlessly eliminated if it is considered harmful. « *The meaning of criminal law changes radically. If, since Beccaria and the French Revolution, punishment aimed at amending and chastising the criminal, since Man is plastic and can evolve, a criminal law based on the almost total determinism of "criminal biology", can only exclude, even eradicate.* This is what **Falk Ruttke** clearly states: « ***the meaning of criminal law is elimination.***» (The law of blood - Think and act like a Nazi - Johann Chapouteot -Gallimard 2014) As for the Reich, it has only one specific object, the territorial conquest : « *The thousand-year-old Reich (Empire)* ***thus follows in the almost thousand-year-old footsteps of the colonization by the Teutonic Knights and the Swordsmen***. » If we refer to Jacques Attali, this Empire could well become what he calls a Hyper-democracy ans a HyperEmpire :

> « **Everything points to a <u>transformation of Man into an object</u>**, an increase in injustice, precariousness and violence; everything indicates that we are entering a dark pre-war period: the most sophisticated nations reacting to barbarism with barbarism, to fear with egoism, to terror with retaliation. It therefore seems

reasonable to resign ourselves to the fact that Man is only a monster and that our world can never become a planetary democracy, tolerant, peaceful, diverse but gathered. Yet such a dynamic is underway : the good after the market and the war. Jupitar after Quirinus and Mars.

(…)

We will then understand that what **I call here Hyperdemocracy** fits quite naturally into this history of the Future; **we will see that many forces are already, underground, establishing its foundations** and that it depends only on us, today, that it becomes, in a few decades, the reality of the world.

(…)

Avant-garde actors, whom I will call transhumans, will lead - and are already leading - relational companies where profit will no longer be a constraint, and not an end in itsel.

(…)

Then, even beyond a new global balance between market and democracy, **transhumans will bring about a new order of abundance from which the market will gradually be excluded in favour of the relational economy.**

(…)

Transhumans will feel themselves to be both citizens of the world and members of several communities; their nationalities will be those of the languages they speak and not just the countries they inhabit.

(…)

There will soon be all sorts of off-ground institutions fulfilling civic, medical, ecological or social missions, NGOs, diplomatic negotiation intermediaries, amateur sports clubs, free meeting places or cooperation. Most of them will be created in the South by people who will empower themselves without expecting anything from anyone.

(…)

The output of relational companies, in market terms, already represents about 10% of world GDP, and their share is growing rapidly. **They have already created concepts that negotiate the values of the future : the right to interfere**, the right to childhood, the right to dignity. They are also at the origin of most of the recent international institutions: the AIDS Fund, the **International Criminal Court**, the Global Fund. **Thanks to these special kinds of companies, we are beginning to speak of an international community (not to speak a world government), and of the protection of nature (not speak a common property).This is already the beginning of a global democracy that I call Hyperdemocracy.**.

(…)

Everyone will be a citizen of several entities at the same time and it will become possible to defend one's own identities without wanting to destroy those of one's neighbour. (...) New forms of democratic control will appear based on autonomous rating agencies, permanently monitoring the work of elected representatives, thanks to the techniques of nomadic ubiquity and hyper-surveillance.

(…)

Each continent or sub-continent will bring together the market democracies on it into a Union, as the European Union already does. Each Union will be responsible for the currency, transparency of markets, harmonisation of social conditions, environmental protection, internal security, social protection, health, education, immigration, foreign policy and defence of the common space. It will need have a continental parliament and government.

It will need to have, as is already the case in Europe, a body for resolving conflicts between nations of the same continent. Such a future should become possible in particular in the Middle East, which will one day have to bring together all nations, including Israel and Palestine, in a Regional Union. **The European Union, the vanguard of Hyper-Democracy, will become a new kind of nation, probably extending one day until to Turkey and Russia.** This is where the conditions for a balance between market and democracy will be best met**. It is in Europe that hyper-democracy will be born.**

On a global scale, new institutions will be created, have to be created, as an extension of those that already exist. The United Nations will be the basis for this. **A Constitution of the Planet will take up and extend the present Charter of the United Nations**. It will require it take a **supranational** and not just a **multilateral dimension**. Its preamble will include all the rights and duties of every human towards nature, other humans and life; it will include rights not provided for in the current Charter; in particular, **it will provided for the new, essential right to a childhood, which will involve a duty of kinship**. Other rights and duties will impose the protection of life, nature and diversity, and **will set boundaries that cannot be crossed by the market**. The **UN General Assembly**, where more and more states will be present, **will be progressively supported by another chamber, where those elected by universal suffrage will each represent an equal number of human beings**, and then by a **third chamber where the relational market companies will be present**. This Planetary Parliament will levy planetary taxes based on the GDP of each country, **its arms expenditure** and its greenhouse gas emissions **The Security Council will merge with the G8** and expand to include some of the eleven including **India, Brazil** and

Indonesia. **It will then be composed only of representatives of the Continental Unions.** This planetary government will devote far more resources to the protection of humanity than any government on the planet does today. **It will dictate social norms**, such as the principle of the best **global social regime**, which **it will progressively impose on a global scale on all companies**. **It will give itself the means to enforce them.** The international financial institutions, such as the **World Trade Organisation** and the **International Labour Organisation**, will **be placed directly under his guardianship** so that they no longer obey only the instructions of the richest countries**.** This planetary government will have the means to fight against mafias, drug trafficking, sexual exploitation, slavery, climate change and attacks (accidental, terrorist or military) **by nano robots and other self-replicating pathogens that could destroy the biomass**: a blue jelly (absolute nanotechnological weapon) **in the sole hands of the planetary government** to fight the grey jelly. A global security assistance force with the best equipment, as mentioned above, will protect the environment and fight against pirates. Gradually, to support this world government, new control, defence and regulation bodies will be set up, based on the governance bodies of the Hyper-Empire and those of relational companies: a **planetary court will ensure the accounting of jurisprudence drawn up on each continent** and will judge the various pirates; **a world water agency will protect its availability**; a universal market authority will control monopolies and the respect of the right to work Another body will control the quality of consumer products in particular food. Another will control the major insurance companies, other governing bodies and very large enterprises essential to life; one will have the means to fight the pirate economy and defend intellectual and material property. **A Central Bank will ensure the stability of the major currencies and then manage a single currency;** it will exclude from the financial community any institution that allows the laundering of drug money. A **planetary development bank will finance the major infrastructures of the cities and countries that will respect the Planetary Constitution**; it will support the economies that convert their economies dependent on organised crime or drugs and will support them in their fight against pirates. **A specific institution will help to structure the relational companies and to verify that they do not have the mask of pirate or terrorist institutions**. Another global institution will help in particular to develop micro-finance.

The headquarters of these institutions will obviously not have to be located in the same place - although Jerusalem was mentioned above for some of them.

Their existence can be as nomadic as the **HyperEmpire they will be in charge of balancing**.

A Brief History of the Future - Jacques Attali - Fayard - 2014

In this 2014 text, Jacques Attali acts the establishment of a world government that he calls **World Democracy** but which is a dictatorship based on constant surveillance and repression. **One mondial Governance** (Ein Furher) for a **Planetary Empire** in the hands of an elite that gives itself the right to interfere in any country. **The people in the sense of Volk for Attali is defined by the word Humanity** and this Humanity « ***has the right to want to survive even if it has to defend itself against other life forms*** ».(Tomorrow who will rule the world - Jacques Attali - 2012) this other life form can be a « citizen-parasite ». Still according to Attali, **the implementation of this world government is placed under the direction of the Trilateral Commission.**

> « A commission called **Trilateral,** created by **Zbigniew Brezneski** and **David Rockefeller,** gathers men and women of influence from many countries of the North; it publishes, this year 1973, a report on this new order, using for the first time the term "world governance ».
>
> (…)
>
> In 1980, the **Brandt Commission,** created at the initiative of World Bank President **Robert McNamara,** issued a report calling for better representation of Southern countries in international financial institutions.
>
> In October 1981, following this report, and on the initiative of Austria and Mexico, a first North-South meeting brought together 24 countries in Cancun to deal with the whole range of relations between rich and developing countries: in particular to launch **« global negotiations » with a view to placing international institutions under the control of the United Nations Assembly.**»
>
> Tomorrow who will rule the world - Jacques Attali - Fayard -2012

In view of the facts and the declarations of Jacques Attali, it is legitimate to think that the legal institutions of England Germany and France have been subversively infiltrated and are in the hands of two mafia and terrorist Parkratos : Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC and German-French Defense Council. These two Parakratos are in the hands of entities of unknown legal form such as the Mountbbatten-Winder brotherhood, MI6, BND, formerly the Gehlen network, formed by Nazi criminals, first under the orders of the CIC and then of the CIA, Z, The Cagoule, etc. All of these entities have ties to the Nazi government. These two Parakratos are involved in the Assange case.

In view of the facts and the declarations of Jacques Attali, it appears that these entities of unknown legal form and these Parakratos collaborated during the war with the Nazis. It also appears that the members of these networks are linked, some of them since the war, such as Pierre Bénouville, Mitterrand or even Kissinger. Looking at the actors of the storytelling Assange, Mc Grath, among others, it appears that these Parakratos and these entities of unknown legal form are linked to the Palentir Company which works for the CIA.

> « Beyond his undeniable flair for good business, the Stanford alumnus' investments all have a common ideological background. **With PayPal, Peter**

Thiel was already dreaming of giving birth to a new currency to bypass the state's monopoly in this area, something he will not achieve. By supporting Elon Musk and SpaceX, he is attacking the federal control in the field of space conquest. By financing the **Seasteading Institute**, which intends to create **sovereign artificial islands in international waters with their own laws, he intends to bring governance into a market competitive.**

By founding Palantir in 2004, which works for the NSA, the FBI and the CIA, he is once again poaching on the State's land**: intelligence and surveillance. The** same logic is behind the Thiel Fellowship project, which offers a $100,000 grant over two years to students under 23 who agree to interrupt their studies to embark on entrepreneurial adventures, bold if possible. This time, it's the university that's being bypassed.

« Peter Thiel is very radical, » recalls American journalist **Noam Cohen,** author of the essay ***The know-it-alls***: The rise of Silicon Valley as a political powerhouse and social wrecking ball (The New Press, 2017). **What he basically wants is to destroy institutions, which he sees as oppressive.** And for him, technology can help. What shines through, in Thiel's investments and "line," is his libertarian bent. A penchant that leads him to revile the state, to sublimate the notion of individual freedom and to praise the free market and competition. « ***We are in a death race between politics and technology, »*** he once said. The fate of our world depends on one individual, one person, who will be able to build and disseminate technological tools that promote freedom and allow a safer world for capitalism to flourish. »

https://usbeketrica.com/fr/article/peter-thiel-l-homme-qui-voulait-achever-la-democratie

Back2BU Latest COVID-19 Information

Boston University MORE PUBLICATIONS

Bostonia

Boston University's Alumni Magazine

CLASS OF: 2013

M.C. McGrath

M.C. McGrath (CAS'13) of Danvers, Mass., was selected as one of Peter Thiel's 20 Under 20 Fellows, a program that awards young people two-year, $100,000 grants who agree to leave school in order to advance their revolutionary and potentially world-changing ideas. M.C. is working on a project called the Transparency Toolkit, a program meant to help journalists secure documents.

From the Fall 2014 issue.

« M.C. McGrath, Julian Assange's « successor » at Wikileaks and companion of Stella Mor/ris aka Sarah Gonzalez Devant/Stella Smith Robertson?

As I watch their shenanigans my eye is drawn to a familiar figure: MC McGrath! The boy with whom Stella Moris had come into the public gallery at Westminster Court on 19 and 20 December 2019, 23 January and 19 February 2020 at a time when there was no question, either near or far, that she was a close associate of Julian Assange .

MC McGrath with her teenage looks resembled **a son of Stella Moris**, whose appearance at the time differed somewhat from that of today: still very small in stature, about 1.50, her face was thinner, framed by hair in a ponytail. **She was not yet wearing the heavy make pu and scarlet reds she wears today.** It has to be said that apart from us, the Wikijustice team who were trying to figure out who she is, no one really paid attention to her, and certainly not the press. **She was like one of those obscure spectators invited to watch the "Assange" show by who knows who, who having fulfilled their role, immediately faded away. Like Paul**.

I identified McGrath in February 2020 **as a thirty-something hacker, a former student of Boston University and MIT, posing as an anti-system while being funded by the Thiel Foundation and Palantir and Soros.** He is indeed a creator of a sophisticated computer tool claiming to compete with the surveillance tools of the NSA itself. A possible successor to Assange that the system can launch when the time comes.

The **Peter Thiel Foundation finances** his IT tools while Thiel, as founder of Pay Pal with Facebook investor Elon Musk, is a globalist oligarch far removed from the welfare of people and their freedom ... His counter-terrorism company Palantir Technologies is even at the heart of the global surveillance system by the Anglo-Saxon, French and German BND agencies. while his husband Mark Dazensein is a collaborator of Black Rock, the all too famous financial mafia of the globalist super class. Clearly, Peter Thiel, by funding individuals like M.C. McGrath is funding his own carefully controlled opposition. This is the kind of person who claims to be a successor to Wikileaks and Julian Assange and who is clearly a friend of Stella Morris!

To the Westminster **MC. McGrath, a collaborator of Peter Thiel, was sitting next to Stella Morris and talking to no one but her**. I saw him again next to her sitting in the back row just outside the glass box where Assange was locked up, from 24-27 February 2020 at Woolwich Court. **Neither he nor Stella Morris were talking to Assange at the time**, even though they were spending 8 hours a day just a metre away from him and **no one was preventing them from turning to the prisoner, as they were sitting on the bench reserved for his lawyers**! Strange

lawyers indeed, Stella Morris never called to the English Bar and MC McGrath a computer scientist and hacker... That non-lawyers were sitting in the lawyers' seats was enough to make this "trial" very suspicious to us.

On 27 February, during the Assange revolt, MC McGrath was one of those who stood up to surround a talking Julian Assange, **gesticulating and cursing at them like a director disappointed with the poor performance of the actors in his show.**

MC McGrath stood for a good half hour in front of Assange, **staring at him with rapture and admiration as if he had seen a supernatural apparition.** Stella Moris tried to talk to him, **but Assange did not respond and ignored her completely**, all in his angry speech, inaudible to us because the audience gallery was totally isolated by thick glass. Once the microphone was cut off, we couldn't hear what was going on below but we could see almost everything!

I hadn't seen MC McGrath since February 2020 and **here he is on 28 October 2021 at the end of the session at the National Justice Museum aka Royal Courts of Justice at 4pm!** He is dressed in a black suit with a small beige jumper, black shoes, a black computer type bag, he is also wearing a thick black mask covering his face. He is walking in circles around the gathering in front of the gate of the Royals Courts, he seems to be looking for someone. On the left of the stage, **John Shipton** is surrounded by journalists to whom he is delivering his speech. Seeing me nearby, as I greet him**, he seems nervous and grabs his phone**. I notice a silver ring on his right little finger. He is talking to someone with a focused and concerned look, the mask has fallen from his face.

My photos of Mc McGrath done, I focus on the gathering of journalists. There's the girl in the burgundy red coat delivering her speech to her cameraman, she's wearing exactly the same suit and acting exactly the same as she did in front of the Old Bailey in September 2020. The crowd of cameras is dense around Shipton, then I make out Joseph Farell and Fitzgerald all smiling and happy with his work.

Tired, I sit down again under the church wall, under the cross commemorating the struggle and sacrifice of the RAF airmen and their Polish, Czech and French allies. In front of me is parked the "Wikileaks" car and I have a good view of the demonstration on the right. Suddenly **I see a group breaking away from the crowd: Stella Morris together her Asian friend with whom she was working in the courtyard**, they are talking with **Joseph Farell**. To their right **Nils Lagefoged** from the CIJ and a tall blond young man with a grey jumper I don't know.

Farell leaves them, **Stella stays with the young man and his collaborator, she is all smiles, relaxed and happy with herself (once her performance as a grieving prisoner's wife is over, she doesn't seem very concerned about Assange's fate**...). She chats with one of the technicians of the show in a fluorescent blue

waistcoat. Then, to my great surprise, she stands in front of the Volkswagen minibus marked « Free Assange » and stamped with the Wikileaks key.

She poses in front of the minibus in her red jacket and vermillion shoes and black skirt. As she poses, she turns to her Asian colleague, smiles knowingly and points the index finger of her left hand at the "Wikileaks" clepsydra. I catch the knowing look she gives her companion, she resumes her pose while the photo is taken (and I take mine).

It looks like a Victory pose, but it also seems to me that **by this gesture she is clearly indicating that "Wikileaks" belongs to her.** The clepsydra with the world flowing in an unshakeable hourglass of time... Time and the World, two notions of total power...

The "grieving wife" looks satisfied with the events!

My astonishment increases when I see... MC McGrath joining Stella Morris and her companion!

Here I see them walk past me and around Sankt Clement's church towards Aldwych tube. Stella, her two companions, the blond and the Asian and MC McGrath. The band stops and I see her take off her red heels and exchange them for a pair of white trainers. When her performance is over, she can leave her uncomfortable stage costume. **I watch in awe as she leaves the stage, glued to MC McGrath with whom she is chatting. I understand that they are close friends.** The further the group moves away under the big plane trees, the more the two boys leave **Stella Morris in the care of MC McGrath who accompanies her home after her public performance. He appears to be her true companion.**

What « **General Sands** » will not fail to point out in his vengeful publications is that the Palantir and CIA protégé is the companion of Julian Assange's pseudo-wife (screenshots of Sands)

I've really seen a lot today, though. It's time for me to go. »

Londres en liberté – 29.10-3.11.2021 – La City et autres lieux de pouvoir. A la recherche de Jacques Attali – Liberté pour Julian Assange – Monika Karbowska (monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh)

La lutte pour Julian Assange le 27-28 octobre 2021 – le spectacle, fausses et vraies cours de justice, Stella Morris et MC McGrath – Liberté pour Julian Assange – Monika Karbowska (monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh)

Fin ultime du storytelling Julian Assange ? La liberté à Londres du 25 octobre au 2 novembre 2021. Stella Morris, Tracy Ward et les ducs de Beaufort – Liberté pour Julian Assange – Monika Karbowska (monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh)

About Peter Thiel and Palentir

«**Palantir Technologies is a data science and analytics software and services company based in Denver, Colorado**.

The company develops and markets two software products dedicated to data fusion, visualization and operational work with data: **Palantir Gotham** and **Palantir Foundry**.

Gotham is primarily used by the U.S. intelligence community (NSA, CIA, FBI4, U.S. Marines, U.S. Air Force, and Special Operations), as well as the NYPD and LAPD5 police departments. This software is also used by the intelligence services of a dozen European countries6,7 including France (Direction générale de la Sécurité intérieure8,9).

The NSA uses Palantir software to facilitate the use of XKeyScore (government surveillance software)10.

GCHQ has used Palantir as part of Project Lovely Horse to collect data in the public domain such as tweets, blog information and news articles.10

Palantir has also diversified with Palantir Foundry into the financial, insurance, healthcare (UK NHS11), transportation and consumer goods sectors. It also makes its technology available to NGOs such as the World Food Program12 and Community Solutions »

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Palantir_Technologies

https://www.lesechos.fr/idees-debats/sciences-prospective/peter-thiel-et-le-reve-de-lhomme-immortel-1127632
https://foundersfund.com/our_team
https://www.numerama.com/tech/564297-au-fait-pourquoi-palantir-sappelle-palantir.html
https://www.palantir.com/platforms/foundry/
https://www.palantir.com/pt_media/palantir-ceo-alex-karp-defends-his-companys-relationship-with-government-agencies/

And who is going to set up **Tracking for Corona Virus** with his company Palantir

Peter Thiel, **founder of PayPal**, Business Angel, in connection with **FB**, financed by the CIA through its **In-Q-Tel** fund and French counter-espionage.

We remind you that the **MC Mc Grath Society is linked to Palentir through the Thiel Foundation**.

Palentir works in collaboration with

The CIA and Trump

https://www.lemonde.fr/economie/article/2019/11/29/l-americain-palantir-est-toujours-indispensable-aux-espions-francais_6021016_3234.html

Palantir arms the American anti-immigration police since 2014 (Obamah)

In addition to **Facebook**, Peter Thiel has made numerous investments in start-ups including **Slide**, **LinkedIn, Friendster**, **Palantir Technologies**, and **IronPort**. Slide, LinkedIn, and IronPort were each founded by Thiel colleagues at PayPal. Fortune magazine reported that PayPal alumni have founded or invested in a dozen start-ups worth, according to Thiel, about $30 billion.

At the end of 2004, **Peter Thiel is Facebook's business angel with an investment of 500,000 dollars**. Microsoft recently acquired 1.6% of the company for $240 million, bringing Facebook's value to about $15 billion[5] and Thiel's share to about $1 billion[3].

In September 2006, Thiel announced that it would donate $3.5 million for research against old age through the **Methuselah Mouse Prize Foundation**.

http://www.histophilo.com/peter_thiel.php

https://koober.com/it/blog/article/Les-5-idées-à-retenir-du-best-seller-Zero-to-one-de-Peter-Thiel

Thiel's husband, **Matt Dazensein**, worked for **Black Rock.**

The citizen known as Stella, Sara, Morris, Morriz, Smith, Gonzales Devant being in close connection with MC Grath, himself in connection with Palentir, the CIA and the DGSI, it is legitimate to think that, she herself, is in close connection with the CIA and therefore with those who, according to the story-telling, wish to kill her, sequester her secretly and torture the one she claims to have married. She has proven links to the CIA through her mentor at the **Jane Sauvé Foundation**, **Stephen Saideman** (About Stella Morriz aka https://youtu.be/qMSTCNDaiXI). The wife of a hostage sequestered in secret in a Dark Place and tortured does not meet his torturers and does not work for his torturers.

Conflicts of interest between Julian Assange and his lawyers.
https://www.thekomisarscoop.com/2019/11/assange-lawyers-links-to-u-s-govt-bill-browder-raises-questions/
https://blogs.mediapart.fr/edition/liberez-assange-ethiques-et-medias/article/140220/former-judge-and-torturer-garzon-escorts-assange
https://blogs.mediapart.fr/edition/liberez-assange-ethiques-et-medias/article/261219/assange-tortured-gareth-peirce-turns-blind-eyes
https://blogs.mediapart.fr/edition/liberez-assange-ethiques-et-medias/article/121219/assange-feudal-regression-united-kingdom
https://blogs.mediapart.fr/edition/liberez-assange-ethiques-et-medias/article/181119/assange-lawyers-conflict-interest-scandal-spreads-united-states
https://blogs.mediapart.fr/edition/liberez-assange-ethiques-et-medias/article/021119/assange-amnesty-international-se-mue-en-international-guilty
https://blogs.mediapart.fr/edition/liberez-assange-ethiques-et-medias/article/101019/assange-s-judges-lawyers-associates-0
https://blogs.mediapart.fr/edition/liberez-assange-ethiques-et-medias/article/230819/ghost-lawyers-julian-assange-long-version
https://blogs.mediapart.fr/edition/liberez-assange-ethiques-et-medias/article/090819/assange-away-does-wikileaks-remain-trustworthy

At this stage of the investigations, many forces of unknown legal form can be identified and defined since word War II.

- **The Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC**

The Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC defined in complaint 1 of the Human Rights Association, WJJA (Attached). This Parakratos is an entity of unknown legal form. As it is not legally a sovereign country, it cannot sign treaties, sit in the UN or lead a sovereign country. To date, the presence of this Parakratos at the command of the territories that make up the North American continent means that the United States of America does not legally exist as a sovereign country. It cannot sit in the UN, sign treaties, or pass international laws. It is this Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC that create and runs agencies such as the CIA, which in fact are entities of unknown legal form that employ mercenaries who therefore commit crimes and terrotist attacks. This Parakratos also runs the Federal Reserve and the Pentagon. As the North American Continent is not a sovereign state as it is ruled by the Mountbatten Windsor Brotherhood via the Navigation Act, all actions taken from the North American Continent are illegal and fall under international criminal law.

See complaint 1 WJJA
http://monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Plainte-Wikijustice-pour-Julian-Assange.pdf

Based on the evidence, it appears more than likely that there was collusion between Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC and the Nazi government of Adolf Hitler. Pierre Bénouville seems to be one of the elements acting for both the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC, the Nazi socialist national regime and the French Parakratos led by members of the terrorist organisation la Cagoule.

- **The French Parakratos : Cagoule - The Mitterrand Gang - The Franco-German Defense Council**

The French Parakratos led by the members of the terrorist organisation la Cagoule, to which belonged, or belong, **Pierre Bénouville**, **Eugène Shueller, François Dalle**, **Jacques Corrèze**, **François Méténier**, **all friends of François Mitterrand, who was decorated with the Francisque and who has collaborated with the Nazi regime, since he had been stationed with Maréchal Pétain.** This Parakratos committed a first coup d'état after the Armistice by scheming and threatening the members of the Lebrun government to force them to vote full powers to Pétain so that he could abrogate the Constitution of France, thus destroying the sovereign French state.

> « ***Laval negotiates***.
> ***The parliamentarians*** *that I will gather here in less than a week* ***are, themselves, influenced*** *and I will get the vote to repeal 1875. Democracy will have lived.* ***The government I will form will be composed of ministers who are committed to our world view, and my authority will be exercised without restriction***.

(…)

I will see Doriot to create a tense atmosphere in the city. *By confronting the parliamentarians with the dangers of a military coup d'état, with the reactions of public opinion which is, in its active part, very hostile to the assemblies, we will surely win the vote.*

(…)

All the capitulards, all the fascists, all the traitors appointed by Goebbels howl at the top of their voices that it was the French wolves who attacked the Hitler lamb.

(…)

Laval's double game
Laval tried to appear, in the eyes of naive or complicit parliamentarians, as the last bastion of freedom. **However, he multiplies in the administration of the country measures of authority: forbidden newspapers, merciless censorship are the distinctive signs of the new order which is still camouflaged under the sign of the Republic.**

(…)

Abetz, who is back from Berlin, declares :

The furher wants, in a few days, or in a few weeks to propose peace to England. Our conditions will be **: THAT LONDON LEAVES US MASTER TO LEAD THE DESTINIES OF EUROPE AND THAT THE FREEDOM OF THE SEAS IS GUARANTEED TO US; IN RETURN, WE WILL NOT DISCUSS WITH GREAT BRITAIN ITS EMPIRE.**

The Treason Notebooks - Backstage of Vichy by a witness - Paris - Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1945

Since the Pompidou government, it is very likely that all French governments have been Parakratos, secretly directed by members of the Cagoule, notably by Pierre Bénouville.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine **if Pierre Bénouville was the occult president of France since World War II until his death and if so to determine who replaced him after his death. In her book of interviews, *Before the Night Comes*, Laure Adler says that she meets Pierre Bénouville every week at the Elysée Palace :** « *I ran into him every weekend for months at the very end of the 1980s. I called him the Friday visitor. I soon learned that his punctuality was matched only by the regularity of his meetings with François Mitterrand who - whether by chance or simple coincidence, role-playing or a desire for alliances, no one will ever know - made an appointment for us to meet on the same day at the same time on the second floor of the Palais de*

l'Elysée where I was working at the time, in front of the painting. » What does a man from the private sector, a member of the terrorist and collaborationist organization, **The Cagoule, linked to Allen Dulles**, the **OSS**, now the **CIA**, **MI6** and **Z**, **Marcel Dassault's eminence grise**, so directly linked to security, defense and arms dealers, do every week, in the office of a French president? Before him, another member of the Cagoule, involved in the Vichy government, **François Méténier**, used François Mitterrand's offices when he was minister of France, as if they had been his own. The French vote for citizens who defend programs, not for « agents dealing », a role that Pierre Bénouville and François Méténier seem to play with François Mitterrand.

In view of the links of François Méténier and Pierre Bénouville with a foreign power, the entity of unknown form « City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC », it is therefore legitimate to consider that François Mitterrand also works for this entity of unknown legal form. During his two terms of office, François Mitterrand therefore violated Article 411-4 of the Criminal Code by maintaining « *intelligence with a foreign power, with a foreign or foreign-controlled enterprise or organization, or with their agents, with a view to provoking hostilities or acts of aggression against France.* » like he did during the 1939-1945 war by putting himself at the service of Marshal Pétain and the Vichy government, Vichy government which was itself under the orders of the terrorist organization The Cagoule, of which Pierre Bénouville and François Méténier were members. It thus seems legitimate to deduce that François Mitterrand, in collusion with Pierre Bénouville, thus with the Parakratos « City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC », led a subversive war against the institutions of France and the French people. This means that the subversive war waged by the Macron government against the Institutions of France and the French people is merely a continuation of the tactics of deploying the subversive war initiated by the terrorist Organization The Cagoule, within the Vichy government. (*See WJJA Information Circular for Civil servants and Citizens)*

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine whether Pierre Bénouville, François Mitterrand, François Méténier and the teams of the Mitterrand clan have « *maintained intelligence with a foreign power, with a foreign or foreign-controlled enterprise or organization, or with their agents, with a view to stirring up hostilities or acts of aggression against France* », with which entities, of what legal nature, they maintened intelligence, affiliated with which countries and since how long time.**

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine whether Pierre Bénouville was the leader of the terrorist organizationThe Cagoule or any other terrorist organization and determine the birth identity of the person who took his place at the head of this terrorist organization.**

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine whether Pierre Bénouville obstructed justice in the proceedings for war crimes and crimes against humanity against the Nazi Klaus Barbie in collusion with Charles Hernu, François Mitterrand and other French state officials.**

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine whether CIA Director William Casey or other directors of agencies such as MI6, BND, etc., have obstructed in the proceedings for war crimes and crimes against humanity against the Nazi Klaus Barbie, in collusion with Pierre Bénouville, Charles Hernu, François Mitterrand and other French state officials.**

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine if Pierre Bénouville is involved in the assassination of Jean Moulin in collusion with Klaus Barbie, Karl Boemelburg and other agents working for the Nazis, the OSS, MI6, Z, La Cagoule, etc**.

The latest name of the French Parakratos: Conseil de Défense Franco-Allemand

> *« Isolation, silence, corruption, a false idea of religious duty that deceives and intimidates the conscience, these are the means used by tyrants to keep people under their subjection. They also use brutal force, surrounding themselves with satellites who watch over their defence, carry out their commands, and spread the terror that prevents insurrection, or quell it with blood.*
>
> *On Voluntary Servitude - Estienne de la Boetie - 1548*

On the treason and crimes against the Nation committed by the Macron and Merkel governments

On the treason

Article 411-2

Modifié par Ordonnance n°2000-916 du 19 septembre 2000 - art. 3 (V) JORF 22 septembre 2000 en vigueur le 1er janvier 2002

The act of handing over to a foreign power, a foreign or foreign-controlled organisation or their agents either troops belonging to the French armed forces or all or part of the national territory is punishable by life imprisonment and a fine of 750,000 euros.

Article 411-3

Modifié par Ordonnance n°2000-916 du 19 septembre 2000 - art. 3 (V) JORF 22 septembre 2000 en vigueur le 1er janvier 2002

The act of delivering to a foreign power, a foreign or foreign-controlled company or organisation or their agents materials, constructions, equipment, installations or appliances assigned to national defence is punishable by thirty years' imprisonment and a fine of 450,000 euros.

Crimes and Offences against the Nation

(https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/codes/id/LEGISCTA000006149841/)

Article 411-4

Modifié par Ordonnance n°2000-916 du 19 septembre 2000 - art. 3 (V) JORF 22 septembre 2000 en vigueur le 1er janvier 2002

« The fact of maintaining intelligence with a foreign power, with a foreign or foreign-controlled enterprise or organisation or with their agents, with a view to instigating hostilities or acts of aggression against France, is punishable by thirty years' imprisonment and a fine of 450,000 euros.

Providing a foreign power, a foreign or foreign-controlled enterprise or organisation or their agents with the means to undertake hostilities or carry out acts of aggression against France is punishable by the same penalties. »

> Prior to the implementation of the unconstitutional COVID measures, the Macron government has previously betrayed the French people by depriving them of their national independence, dispossessing them of their sovereignty, undermining the integrity of their territory and their institutions, when it violated Article 5 of the Constitution of 4 October 1958, « *The President of the Republic is the guarantor of National Independence, Territorial Integrity and Respect for Treaties* », by delegating the governance of France to an entity of unknown legal form, non-sovereign, called « Franco-German Council of Ministers » or (and) « Franco-German Defence and Security Council » after the signing of the Treaty of Aachen on 22 January 2019.

Brief analysis of the Treaty of Aachen

I - Treaty between the French Republic and the Federal Republic of Germany on Franco-German cooperation and integration - 22 January 2019

« **Article 23**

Meetings between the governments of the two States shall take place at least once a year, alternately in the French Republic and in the Federal Republic of Germany. After the entry into force of this Treaty, the Franco-German Council of Ministers shall adopt a multiannual programme of Franco-German cooperation projects. The Secretaries-General for Franco-German Cooperation responsible for preparing these meetings shall monitor the implementation of this programme and report to the Council of Ministers.

Article 24

A member of the government of one of the two States shall take part, at least once every three months and in rotation, in the Council of Ministers of the other State.

Article 25

The councils, structures and instruments of Franco-German cooperation shall be periodically reviewed and, where necessary, **adapted without delay to the objectives agreed upon.** The first such review should take place within six months of the entry into force of this Treaty and propose the necessary adaptations. The Secretaries-General for Franco-German Cooperation shall regularly

assess the progress made. **They shall inform the parliaments and the Franco-German Council of Ministers of the general state of progress of Franco-German cooperation.**

> **The non-sovereign entity of unknown legal form, called « Franco-German Council of Ministers »** or (and) **« Franco-German Defence and Security Council »**, has been governing France and Germany since 22 January 2019, in violation of its sovereignty and the sovereignty of the German state. It applies on French and German territory a so-called "European" law not defined in the treaty, whereas on a sovereign territory only the national law in force on the territory and the international legal texts ratified by the successive sovereign governments of the countries concerned apply. All measures taken by the Macron government since 19 January 2019 can therefore be considered illegal because they were taken by a legal entity of unknown form hostile to the interests of French territory and the security of its citizens. Indeed, what sovereign interests does a non-legally defined "Franco-German Council of Ministers" or (and) "Franco-German Defence and Security Council" defend? The sovereign interests of France? The sovereign interests of Germany? The interests of a hostile entity?

« **Article 2 - Treaty between the French Republic and the Federal Republic of Germany on Franco-German cooperation and integration - 22 January 2019**
The two states consult each other regularly at all levels before major European events, seeking to establish common positions and agree on coordinated speeches by their ministers. **They coordinate on the transposition of European law into their national law. »**

> The non-sovereign entity of unknown legal form, called « **Franco-German Council of Ministers** » or (and) « **Franco-German Defence and Security Council** », illegally determines and conducts the policy of the French and German nations in the place of the sovereign French and German governments, thus in the name of the sovereign French and German peoples who have not given them a mandate to represent them jointly, in matters of defence, internal and international security, armaments and diplomacy, through an entity of unknown legal form called the « **Franco-German Defence and Security Council** » in violation of Article 20 of the Constitution of 4 October 1958 of France: « *The Government determines and conducts the policy of the Nation. It has the administration and the armed forces at its disposal* ». The French government exercises the sovereignty of the French people. It is not at the behest of an entity of unknown legal form called the « Franco-German Ministerial Council » or (and) the « Franco-German Defense and Security Council ». **The Treaty of Aachen illegally formalizes the appropriation of the institutions of the sovereign countries of France and Germany by an occupying force (or forces) hostile to the sovereign interests of both countries and the security of their inhabitants**. The Macron government has delivered the armed forces and police forces of France to an entity of unknown legal form hostile to the interests of France, the integrity of its territory and its

institutions, in violation of Article 411-2 of the Penal Code « *The fact of delivering to a foreign power, to a foreign or foreign-controlled organization or to their agents either troops belonging to the French armed forces, or all or part of the national territory, is punishable by life imprisonment and a fine of 750,000 euros.* » Since the signing of the Treaty of Aix la Chapelle, all French and German elections are illegal because they are organized by a hostile and dictatorial occupation force. None of the citizens elected during these elections is legitimate in his position. No measure taken or fictitiously voted, since voted in violation of the Constitution, is legal and cannot have the value of law.

II - Treaty between the French Republic and the Federal Republic of Germany on Franco-German cooperation and integration - 22 January 2019

Chapter 2

Peace, security and development

Article 3

The two States shall deepen their cooperation in foreign policy, defense, external and internal security and development, while striving to strengthen Europe's capacity for autonomous action. They shall consult each other in order to define common positions on all important decisions affecting their common interests and to act jointly wherever possible.

Article 4

(1) As a result of their commitments under Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty of 4 April 1949 and Article 42(7) of the Treaty on European Union of 7 February 1992, as amended by the Treaty of Lisbon of 13 December 2007 amending the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community, **the two States, convinced of the indivisibility of their security interests, are increasingly converging their security and defence objectives and policies, thereby strengthening the collective security systems of which they are part. They provide each other with aid and assistance by all means at their disposal, including armed force, in case of armed aggression against their territories.** The territorial scope of the second sentence of this paragraph corresponds to that of Article 42(7) of the Treaty on European Union.

(2) The two States shall act jointly wherever possible, in accordance with their respective national rules, to maintain peace and security. They shall continue to develop the effectiveness, coherence and credibility of Europe in the military field. In doing so, they commit themselves to strengthening Europe's capacity to act and to investing jointly in filling its capability gaps, thereby strengthening the European Union and the North Atlantic Alliance.

(3) The two States undertake to further strengthen cooperation between their armed forces with a view to establishing a common culture and conducting joint deployments. They will intensify the development of joint defense programs and their expansion to include partners. In so doing, they intend to promote competitiveness and the consolidation of the European defense industrial and technological base. They are in favor of the closest possible cooperation between

their defense industries, based on mutual trust. The two States will develop a common approach to arms exports with regard to joint projects.

(4) **The two States establish the Franco-German Defense and Security Council as the political steering body for these mutual commitments. This Council will meet at the highest level at regular intervals.**

Article 5

The two states are expanding cooperation between their foreign ministries, including their diplomatic and consular missions. They will exchange senior personnel. **They will establish exchanges within their permanent representations to the United Nations in New York, in particular between their Security Council teams, their permanent representations** to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, and their permanent representations to the European Union, as well as between the bodies of the two States responsible for coordinating European action. »

It is appropriate to draw the attention of the French and German Army Staffs and Security Forces to the gravity of the situation. France and Germany are no longer sovereign countries and are in the hands of an entity of unknown legal nature called « **Franco-German Council of Ministers** » or (and) « **Franco-German Defense and Security Council** » both of which seem to be placed under the direction of an entity of unknown legal nature called OMS.

France and Germany are in the hands of a hostile occupying force which civil servants of all positions and ranks must oppose in order to protect the sovereign interests of their respective countries, their security, the security of their territory and the security of their fellow citizens as they pledged to do when they took their oaths of office (See Internal Security Code above).

According to **Article 53** of the Provisional Instruction Manual on the Use of Psychological Weapons of the Ministry of National Defense and the Armed Forces - **53**. « ***The Armed Forces contribute to stopping the process of subversive action*** » - the French army must counteract the psychological weapons used by the hostile force that has taken over French institutions, by activating psychological actions, in particular by disseminating **6 -** « ***all facts, news, explanations, intended to give individuals or groups to whom it is addressed, the means to objectively base their opinion. » « The success of the psychological action rests to a large extent on the organization of the population, the creation of networks or clandestine associations, formed of auxiliaries or sympathizers, the infiltration of all administrations, groups, unions, etc.*** ».

Since the institutions of France, and most likely those of Germany, are in the hands of a terrorist hostile occupation force, and since, in view of the facts described in this circular, the sovereign peoples of the nations of the World are involved, in spite of themselves, in a "stealth world war" led by a protean terrorist conquest force, of which only certain sections have been identified to date, this hostile force fomenting hotbeds of war in strategic geopolitical locations in order to destabilize states in order to take control of them, it is advisable to draw the attention of the French Army staff, of the directions of the French Forces of Order and Security Forces, of the state personnel and of all citizens to the fact that certain citizens, notably those exercising key functions within the state or the private sector, may have the status of prisoners of war or (and) hostages, in France, Germany, as well as in other countries, being threatened or blackmailed, in the same way as the citizen known as

Julian Paul Assange who, sequestered in secret in a "Dark Place" and tortured is a hostage of the entity of unknown legal form called "Mountbatten-Windsor", an entity exercising power in the United Kingdom through the City of London Corporation and the Navigation Act of 1660 in violation of international treaties ratified by the sovereign government of the United Kingdom. (See WikiJustice Julian Assange's International Complaint to the Judicial Institutions of the Sovereign Countries - monika-karbowska-liberty-for-julian-assange.ovh/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Plaint-Wikijustice-for-Julian-Assange. pdf) It is imperative that civil servants and citizens be attentive to the distress that some civil servants or citizens might express (Hostages and individuals under threat always try to develop a language to communicate and call for help) in order, if necessary, to establish contact to bring them help while taking care, however, to preserve their safety.

Parakratos : Serial murder as a rule of governance

Like the mafia, the Parakratos get rid of troublemakers, opponents or traitors by summarily executing them or by interning them in secret in Dark Places. We remind that the Terrorist Organization la Cagoule is the first to have implanted Dark Places in France. The list of crimes below is not exhaustive. It will be completed as the investigations progress.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter **to open an investigation to identify the masterminds and perpetrators of these crimes, their names and places of birth and whether they acted at the behest of an entity of unknown legal form (MI6, Z, Bank, Cagoule, etc…) or for a Parakratos**.

Laetitia Toureaux Case

However, the Cagoule killers murdered Latitia Toureaux (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lætitia_Toureaux) in the metro on 16 May 1937, four months after murdering Dimitri Navachine. Between 1936 and 1937, the members of the Cagoule were involved in numerous crimes. **Léon Jean-Baptiste,** in October 1936**,** and **Maurice Juif,** on 8 February 1937, eliminated by Darnand's men for treason. More of them are stabbed with knives, which is one of the most common weapons used by the Cagoule.

About Laetitia Toureaux, Laetissia Nourrissa,
http://www.paris-unplugged.fr/1937-porte-de-charenton-lenigme-du-meurtre-de-laetitia-toureaux/
https://www.pariszigzag.fr/secret/histoire-insolite-paris/enigme-meurtre-metro-porte-de-charenton
https://cultea.fr/laffaire-laetitia-toureaux-le-premier-crime-parfait-du-metro-parisien.html

> « **Laetitia Toureaux belonged to the Cagoule and was the mistress of Gabriel Jeantet**. He had entrusted her with many secrets.
>
> To her misfortune, Laetitia was talkative. The bonnet did not forgive her for her relations with the 2nd Bureau. She was tried and sentenced to death like other thieving and talkative hoods. **It was Filliol who was in charge of her execution.** »
>
> *The whole truth about the Cagoule affair, its treason, its crimes, its men - Joseph Désert (Librairie des Sciences et des Arts - 1946)*

We recall that Gabriel Jeantet was one of Mitterrand's godfather for the Francisque. François Mitterrand and, consequently, Pierre Bénouville, have direct links, close links, with the murderers of Dimitri Navachine, Laetitia Toureaux and the Rosselli's brothers : Jean Filiol and Jean-Marie Bouvier, aka Jean Bouvyer. François Mitterrand knew Gabriel Jeantet, the lover of the victim, Laetitia Toureaux, and therefore probably also the victim. François Mitterrand therefore knows the victim and his two murderers.

Jean Filliol, Pierre Bénouville and Jean-Marie Bouvier, aka... are all members of the terrorist organisation « The Cagoule ». François Mitterrand is officially not a member of the Cagoule even if many of his friends are members, but he worked for Marshal Pétain and the Vichy government during the war. François Mitterrand did nothing to ensure that justice was done to Laetitia Toureaux. Neither did Pierre Bénouville. François Mitterrand even came to the aid of Jean-Marie Bouvier when he was imprisoned.

> « Jean Filliol and Eugène Deloncle, understanding the danger resulting from the assassination of the Rosselli brothers, decided to put the movement in order. They advised Bouvier to leave France without delay. As he had not yet done his national service, the young man took the opportunity to answer the call: he was immediately assigned to the platoon of officer cadets in a regiment of African chasseurs in Constantine, Algeria. In 1937, almost all the protagonists of the Cagoule were arrested, with the exception of Bouvier. His weakness of character go to lose him. Drunk or out of bravado, he ventured to confide in some of his regimental comrades. Quickly arrested, François Mitterrand's childhood friend was repatriated to France between two gendarmes and appeared before Judge Béreille, to whom he confessed quite spontaneously to all the events in which he had participated without fully measuring the consequences of his statements. On the one hand, he risks death, because OSARN is not soft on traitors and talkers. It is said that even at that time, snitches or members in charge of arms procurement or fund transport who had taken large sums from the organisation's coffers were simply executed. **Thus, Léon Jean-Baptiste, in October 1936, and Maurice Juif, on 8 February 1937, eliminated by Darnand's men for treason**. The threat hanging over Bouvyer is therefore very real, especially as his testimony directly accuses the men of the steering committee, the young Charentais being careful to minimise his own role.
>
> **Informed of her son's imprisonment, Antoinette Bouvier warned François Mitterrand who, in his dual capacity as a law student and member of the French Social Party, seemed the most likely to find a solution.** François Mitterrand, as he would do throughout his life, answered the call without hesitation. In a few days, he managed to find the right man and convince him to defend his friend. The man is one of the leading figures of the Paris bar, also a companion from the early days of **Colonel de la Roque** : he is **Xavier Vallat**. A

renowned lawyer and member of the **Croix de Feu** until their dissolution, this war invalid (he lost a leg in combat) **is viscerally anti-Semitic and anti-Freemason.**

(…)

François Mitterrand's choice was not innocent: he knew Vallat's convictions, which he had seen and no doubt had the opportunity to meet at the national volunteers. He could not ignore his militant anti-Semitism, which was shared by many members of or close to the Cagoule. Vallat also intervened on behalf of an accomplice of the murderers of the Rosselli brothers, in the face of prestigious colleagues from the Paris bar, such as Mr Moro-Giaferri, the lawyer for Carlo Rosselli's widow.

Appointed commissioner for Jewish issues, Xavier Vallat hired Jean Bouvyer, in 1941, still charged with complicity in the murder of the Rosselli brothers. He made him a contractual redactor. The Commissariat aux Questions Juives (CGQJ) was in charge of all antisemitic activities of the French state, based on instructions given by the Vichy administration. But the CGQJ also follows up on the letters of denunciation sent to it, which some historians believe numbered between three and five million. They sometimes passed through the Institute for Jewish Studies, directed by the indigent **Captain Paul Sézille**, which had a police section, the **SEC (Section d'Enquête et de Contrôle), specialised in investigations against the Jewish community**. **As for Bouvyer, who was installed in the building on Place des Petits-Pères, which had been looted from the Léopold Louis-Dreyfus bank, he centralised the correspondence received and transmitted it to the various departments of the police station**. He carried out this task for two years: « for example, every day on average, a letter was sent by him to the Jewish Affairs Police and then to the Investigation and Control Section ».

Under the direction of **Joseph Antignac**, who, in fact, headed the CGQJ from 1943 onwards, the exploitation of letters of denunciation became systematic. According to Laurent Joly, the investigation and control section proceeded with « ***the arrest of nearly nine hundred people, more than half of them following denunciations*** ». **We know that of the eight hundred and fifty to nine hundred people arrested, more than six hundred and fifty were deported… It was during these years that Jean Bouvier became the companion of Marie-Josèphe Mitterrand, one of the sisters of François Mitterrand.**

In 1944, Bouvier prudently left France to escape the purge and took refuge in South America, where he was hired by a subsidiary of L'Oréal. He would later avoid meeting his childhood friend, so as not to interfere with his political career**. But in 1946, when his son Jean-Christophe was born, François Mitterrand appointed the faithful Antoinette Bouvier, aka Bouvier, as his godmother.**

François Mitterrand enters Francisque Cagoule - François Gerber - L'Archipel 2006

A section of the French police force draws the attention of the executive and the legislature to the **unconstitutionality of the Joxe-Valls decree** on the code of ethics of the police force, and therefore to its illegality. **The parliament is not taking any steps to oppose this illegal, dictatorial measure and to condemn the criminals (violating the constitution is a crime). It is clear that the Hollande government, which could be called the Parakratos Hollande, is acting as a subversive force against France and the French state.**

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine **whether Parakratos Hollande acted in collusion with Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC or any other entity acting in collusion with him, mI6, CIA, WHO, etc. and to determine whether there was intelligence with a foreign power contrary to France's interests.**

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine **whether Pierre Bénouville, a member of the Cagoule, an agent of MI6 and Z, acted as a shadow president during François Hollande's mandate.**

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine which members, dead or alive, of the successive governments of France, work, have worked, since the Vichy Government, for the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC or for any other entity of unknown legal form working under its orders. Investigations must be made of all Presidents of the Republic, all members of the government, all state officials, all parliamentarians in order to determine who might be a member of the Cagoule** *(Or a member of any other organisation with a different name but acting, like it, behind the scenes of Power. - Pierre Bénouville managed Dassault and the French executive-legislature until his death in 2001 - who replaced him?*), among others : **Georges Pompidou**, **Valéry Giscard d'Estaing**, **François Mitterrand**, **Jacques Chirac**, **François Hollande**, **Nicolas Sarkozy**, **Emmanuel Macron**, **all the ministers, all the deputies, all the senators and all the unofficial** ones like **Pierre Bénouville**, **Pierre Berger**, **Bernard Henri Lévy**, **Régis Debray**, **the Mitterand clan,** of which **Robert Mitterrand**, **Jacques Mitterrand**, **Christophe Mitterrand**, **Gilbert Mitterrand**, **Mazarine Pingeot**, **Brigitte Macron**, aka **Brigitte Trogneux**, **Christophe Deloire**, **those whom Jean Edern Hallier refers to as the Solutré Gang** : « *Thus, his loyalty to the years of collaboration came full circle with the pilgrimage where his disciples accompanied him on his ascent since the « big charnel ground ». Behind Mitterrand,* ***Roland Dumas****, the shadow,* ***Badinter****, the yellowish sycophant and his sub-human* ***Keijman****,* ***Charasse****, the coprophagus,* ***Lang,*** *the pedocrat,* ***Bergé****,*

*« the mother pimp », **Fabius**, the contaminated vampire, **Hanin,** the dirty Navarro on dirty cops, **Joxe,** the controller of dirty work, **Rousselet**, the hard cash caddy, **Hernu** the comic trouper, **Beregovoy,** the good proletarian and **Attali,** the relic dealer. They're thirteen to the dozen to make bonus, the **Solutré gang.** »* (Non-exhaustive list)

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine which chiefs or members, dead or alive, trade unions and political parties, French and other sovereign countries work, have worked, since the Vichy Government, for the Parakratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC or for any other entity of unknown legal form working under its orders. (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catégorie:Syndicaliste_français_par_syndicat) No union or trade union leader, no party or political party leader has informed French citizens of the unconstitutional nature, and therefore contrary to the interests of France, of the measures taken by successive governments of France since Vichy. Only a part of the French police informed the executive and the legislature of these repeated violations of constitutional laws when they denounced the Joxe-Valls decree. Marine Lepen, Jean-Marie Lepen, Jean-Luc Mélanchon, Olivier Besancenot, François Asselineau, Jean Lassale, Laurent Munerot, Dominique Métayer, Laurent Berger, Philippe Martinez, Yves Veyrier, François Hommeril, Philippe de Villiers, etc have not informed the French people about the repeated violations of the constitution by the successive governments of France, nor about the Petainist-Nazi drift of this different governments (Violation of all the fundamental liberties guaranteed by the constitution, inalienable, unalterable, imprescriptible). None of these trade union leaders, none of these politicians, none of the mayors of France, have informed the French people of the mafia-like and illegal nature of French different governments. None of these union leaders, none of these politicians, none of the mayors of France, have informed the French people of the illegality of all the French elections organized in a country that no longer has a Constitution since it has been violated by those who have the duty to respect it and are in charge of enforcing it. However, all these citizens, all these parties, all these civil servants are the guarantors of the constitutional values of France and in charge of enforcing them.It is legitimate that the French people understand why their representatives, guarantors of the Constitution and democratic laws, have failed in their mission and abandoned the French institutions in the hands of subversive and mafia forces. It is legitimate for the French people to know if there was intelligence of those who represent them with a foreign power or with a Parakratos of the type Parkratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC or with entities of unknown legal form such as MI6, Z, CIA, OMS. It is legitimate that the French people know if those who represent them have betrayed the Constitution and the democratic values of France: FREEDOM - EQUALITY - FRATERNITY. It is legitimate that the sovereign peoples know if they have been the victims of the successive French Parakratos, the last of which is called the Franco-German Defense Council. It is legitimate that the French people know if among those who represent them there are citizens originating or paid by a foreign power.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine if the citizens Régis Debray and Bernard Henri Levy, or others French citizen, have contributed, at the orders of various illegal and mafia-like French Parakratos, to subversive destabilization in sovereign countries. « *And then, there are intellectuals at the Elysée,* ***Debray the donor of the Che****, the retiree of the Cordilleras of the Andes, or Attali, sniffer of the historians of 3rd order,* ***Manceron*** *and writers of 4th in the concentric circles. In cold blood,* ***Régis Tasbide****,* ***Gallo****,* ***Guimard****,* ***Jouffroy****,* ***Pingaud****, the others just have to shut up. This is an improvement over the famous organic intellectuals with a Marxist state apparatus as their rack, but who at least were linked to the ideology:* ***they are the president's privates, his cops and his watchdogs****. They bark: the intellectuals are us. They are all plagiarists, frustrated, forgers. (...) I pinned them down hard one after the other. Present, by chance in Canada, I warned the AFP correspondent that* ***Debray****, who had come to give a lecture, would not fail to say something stupid, which inevitably happened. Then, I gave* ***Attali*** *the weapon of his crime, which he used himself - Ernst Jünger's Hourglass Treaty - which he plagiarized in a touching way in his history of time, which allowed me to unmask him. If I come back to this affair, it is because it illustrates the ideology of confiscation, pickpocketing - of privatisation for the benefit of every man for himself - and the intellectual swindle in which the regime has installed itself at the highest level (...).*» Let us recall that Régis Debray was in Chile at the time of Pinochet's coup d'état. Let us remember that Régis Debray is looking for Barbie in Bolivia.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine if the citizen Régis Debray is involved in the death of Che Guevarra and the coup d'état of Augusto Pinochet and to determine if he works for an entity of unknown legal form such as Z, MI6, CIA, etc.** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Régis_Debray)

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine if Bernard Henri Levy was working for a foreign power whose interests in this conflict were contrary to the sovereign interests of France during the war in Yugoslavia.** Indeed, during this period, French diplomacy, with **Alain Juppé** at the helm, sought to safeguard Yugoslavia and tried to set up a negotiation with the Serbs and the Russians to end the war**.**. Organ trafficking was carried out on Serbian prisoners. (https://www.courrierdesbalkans.fr/+-trafic-organes-uck-+?debut_derniersarticles=8#pagination_derniersarticles) Consequently, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine which entities of unknown legal form, which Parakratos are involved in this war, trafficking and crimes against humanity and whether Bernard Henri Levy was working for one of them in this area.** In February 2011, at the time of the anti-Mouammar Kadhafi uprising in

Benghazi, Libya, Bernard Henri Levy went to the country and arranged for the French government to legitimise the Benghazi Committee as Libya's legal representative. Sarkozy then recognises this puppet government and sends French army to reinforce the American aircraft carriers that landed in Libya. The operation led to the summary execution of Kadhafi.

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine if Bernard Henri lévy is not a secret ambassador of the Parkratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC which harms the sovereign interests of France.**

In view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine the circumstances of Mouammar Kadhafi's execution and to identify the entities of unknown legal form responsible for his execution**.

n view of the facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation **to determine whether Nicolas Sarkozi works for the Parkratos City of London Corporation - Virginia Corporation - Washington DC or any other entity of unknown legal form and what is its involvement in the execution of Mouammar Kadhafi.**

he Association for the Defense of Human Rights, WJJA, requests the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter to open an investigation to determine whether Mouammar Kadhafi financed Nicolas Sakozy's campaign (https://www.asso-sherpa.org/financement-campagne-sarkozy-libye**).**

https://www.francetvinfo.fr/politique/affaire/financement-de-la-campagne-de-sarkozy/financement-libyen-cette-enquete-dans-l-enquete-ne-fait-que-commencer-estime-fabrice-arfi-apres-la-mise-en-examen-de-mimi-marchand_4652157.html

https://www.lesechos.fr/2016/06/financement-libyen-le-document-entre-sarkozy-et-kadhafi-est-authentique-selon-la-justice-francaise-207552

https://libnanews.com/un-juge-libanais-du-haut-conseil-de-la-magistrature-accuse-de-corruption-dans-le-cadre-de-laffaire-sarkozy-kadhafi/

In December 2013, the **Maidan operation** was mounted to destabilise Russia in Ukraine. **The demonstrations were allegedly paid for by US foundations, the Nazis of Pravy Sektor and the Svoboda Party,** which is leading the campaign against President **Yanukovych. BHL then went to Kiev several times. He appeared alongside Nazis brandishing their flags, which made him perfectly identifiable.** On 2 May, it was the Odessa massacre, the ATO punitive expedition against the Donbass**.**

Le Massacre d'Odessa porte en lui les germes de la guerre en Ukraine – Du droit des peuples à disposer d'eux-mêmes (wordpress.com)

The Odessa Massacre bears the seeds of war in Ukraine – Du droit des peuples à disposer d'eux-mêmes (wordpress.com)

Les nazis ukrainiens crèvent l'écran d'une Europe Otanisée – Du droit des peuples à disposer d'eux-mêmes (wordpress.com)

Ukranian Nazis burst the screen of NATOmized Europe – Du droit des peuples à disposer d'eux-mêmes (wordpress.com)

De Donetsk à Boutcha et Kramatorsk : revue des crimes de guerre dénoncés en Ukraine – Du droit des peuples à disposer d'eux-mêmes (wordpress.com)

« On a Banderist website, one could still read in 2015 his conception of « social-nationalist » ideology, signed with his name: Андрій Білецький.

Very useful for students reviewing their courses on Nazi Germany, his breviary is translated at the end of the article. For now, a few exergues should be enough (emphasis added):

« The main mystical idea of social nationalism is to create, instead of a group of disparate individuals united mechanically by the name « Ukrainian » inscribed on their passports, **the National Supercommunity – a single biological organism composed of new people** – by their physical, and intellectual and spiritual development. From the mass of individuals must emerge the Nation, and from the weak modern man – **the Supreme-human [in German, « Übermensch«**]. »

His principal « triad« : « Socialism, **Racism**, Total Sovereignty« .

« If Ukraine is an earthly paradise, it is only because our Race has made it a paradise. Therefore, the treatment of our national organism must begin with **the racial purification of the nation**. And then **a healthy national Spirit will be reborn in a healthy racial body**, and with it the culture, the language and everything else.

« Thus, social-nationalism raises on its shield all the ancient **Ukrainian Aryan** values, forgotten in modern society.

« The task of the present generation is to create the **Third Empire [in German, « Third Reich »**] – the Great Ukraine. And this question, curiously, is not so much political as biological."

A person who writes such a plagiarised ideology is a Nazi, strictly speaking.

The first task that the Ukraine Euromaidan entrusted to this excellent student of Adolph Hitler, was to lead in eastern Ukraine, located on the edge of Russia, the militiamen of Praviy Sektor, sweetly nicknamed the « men in black », from March 12, 2014. Knowing that Praviy Sektor brings together paramilitary factions bearing ideologies related to that of Biletsky, that he will be in charge of, in particular, Luhansk and Donetsk, while being integrated into the staff of the Ministry of the Interior, we can say that the repression of opponents to maïdan government or "Junta" (called anti-maidan, then « pro-Russian », then « separatists », and finally « terrorists », if not sub-humans) is placed in expert hands. »

https://dudroitdespeuplesadisposerdeuxmemes.wordpress.com/2022/04/19/ukranian-nazis-burst-the-screen-of-natomized-europe/

About Xavier Joseph Vallat
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Xavier_Vallat
https://www.cairn.info/revue-revue-d-histoire-de-la-shoah1-2001-3-page-9.htm
https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00104652
http://www.ajpn.org/personne-Xavier-Vallat-5833.html

Dimitri Navachine, aka Navatchine, aka Navashine

Dmitri Navashin is a Russian journalist and economist with links to the USSR. He is vice-chairman of the Central Committee of the Red Cross for prisoners of war. He was a member of the economic study bureau of the Bank for Trade and Industry of Moscow in 1924. He was director of the **Commercial Bank for Northern Europe** from 1925 to 1930. He was a friend of the minister Anatole de Monzie, who had the USSR recognised by France. He negotiated the repayment of the Russian loan.

On 23 January 1937, he was assassinated with six truncated bayonet shots, including one in the heart, by Jean Filiol, of the Cagoule, on Avenue du Parc-des-Princes, between the Bois de Boulogne, from which he was returning as usual at 10.30 a.m., and his home, 28 rue Michel-Ange.

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dimitri_Navachine
https://www.gettyimages.ca/detail/news-photo/le-discours-de-charles-spinasse-à-lenterrement-de-dimitri-news-photo/1357466084?language=fr

Navachine is the friend of Gaston Bergery (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gaston_Bergery), a not very recommendable collaborator who married **Loubia Krassine** in her second marriage, who is said to be **the daughter of Leonid Borissovitch Krassine** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leonid_Krassine), **Lenin's right-hand man.** Their son **Jean-François Bergery** is Cocteau's godson. **In her second marriage, Loubia Krassine married Etienne Astier de la Vigerie** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emmanuel_d%27Astier_de_La_Vigerie). Together they have two sons, Christophe and Jérôme.

« Meanwhile, Navachine also had generated ennemies in France. In The 193o, he accepted e great deal of consulting work with powerful multinational firms, such as the American Matford automobile company. He attended conferences and wrote books of the Depression, in the process becoming an increasingly prominent figure in French political circle, especially among the socialists. Considered a mathematical genius by many, he offered advice on credit manipulation, state financial planning, and the recycling of old debts. His brand of socialism, however, was closer to that of the Popular Front than that Stalin. In France, Navachine helped to organize a circle of like-minded moderate industrialits, intellecttuals, and policians who were dedicated to exploring ways in wich France could escape from the worldwide economic crisis. The group, known as "X-Crise", became the bête-noire of left-wing conspiracy theorists in the 1930s and 1940s, such as Marcel Déat, editor of the newspare l'Oeuvre. Déat and his followers insisted that X-Crise was merely a front for the much more sinister Synarchie or Mouvement Synarchique d'Empire, a sort of clandestine organization they were convinced souht to dominate France through a secret corporate

technocracy that woul eventually overthrow the republic. This conspiracy theory, like those associated with Jews and The protocols of Zion, derived from forged documents and overwrought imaginations. Those who fought the Synarchie in France evinced a profoubd fear of rapid and morally disruptive modernization and the all-encompassing, dehumanizing capitalist society they believed resulted from it. **Collaborating with X-Crise brought Navachine into contact with the group fonder, Jean Coutrot, and other controversial figures associated with right-wing politics at the Banque Worms, such as future Vichy collaborattors Gabriel Leroy-Ladurie, Pierre Pucheu, and Hippolyte Worms**. Navachine moves in multiple but often opposing political circles, and thus there were probably many people from a variety of hostile camps withs cause to want him eliminated.

(…)

Thus, in January 1937, Navachine was an influential man with enemies from his homeland, but no more or fewer than many others Russian exiles in France. Seemingly unconcerned about a possible attempt on his life, he kept a busy schedule of seminars, consulations, and publishing. A middle-aged, professorial fellow of regular habits who lived a respectable upper-class life style in a fashionable neighborhood near the Bois de Boulogne, hi high public profile meant that he was a difficult target for assassination despite the many political enemies he had accrued. Given that in the 1930 Paris was home to at least twenty thousand Russian exiles, many of them actively plotting to overthrow the soviet government, the city seemed to be crawling with easier prey.

Murder in the metro - Laetitia Toureaux and the Cagoule in 1930S in France - Gayle K. Brunelle and Annette Finley-Croswhite - Louisiana University Press - 2010

« **Was Bénouville the leader of the Cagoule?**

(…)

The man behind the « arrest of Caluire », and therefore of Jean Moulin, was not in the Dugoujon house, but in the city of Lyon. Pierre Bénouville was very well received in Vichy. The car that drove him here and there bore the badge issued by **Philippe Pétain's government to facilitate passage to Switzerland, among other places, for the Germans**.

Pierre Bénouville joined the Resistance in November 1942, when the bad wind was beginning to blow against the Germans. This did not prevent him from writing in the anti-Semitic newspaper « **L'Alerte** » ; his last article was published in March 1943…

(…)

The picture would not be complete, if we forgot to report this essential sentence also pronounced by Pierre Bénouville before Laure Adler:

« **I had rented an apartment in Geneva which became a central office**. All sorts of people came to work there. **Everything that mattered, that had to do with**

Switzerland, passed through there. Including François Mitterrand's private envoy, Bettencourt, who didn't know that it led to me. »

(…)

It's funny how small the world is : here it is tiny! Even the Mitterrand of the fine Schueller team (cf. the magazine « Votre Beauté », at the Liberation), the father-in-law of André Bettencourt and the father of the Liliane of the same name, at whose house the Sarkozy of sinister memory went...

When one adds that in 1995, the meeting for the transfer of presidential power between François Mitterrand and Jacques Chirac took place at the very home of Pierre Guillain de Bénouville, is there not a whole universe taking shape? »

https://blogs.mediapart.fr/michel-j-cuny/blog/091214/pierre-guillain-de-benouville-l-homme-qui-fait-tomber-jean-moulin

From the documents and testimonies, it is clear that Pierre Bénouville played a key role in the various French governments that have followed one another since the Second World War. Assuredly a member of the terrorist organisation the Cagoule. Presumed head of the terrorist organisation the Cagoule, he governed in the shadows, distributing, in his own words, his directives to François Mitterrand every week. François Méténier and Pierre Bénouville succeeded one another as Mitterrand's servants of the state in the manner of « dealing agents».

« Did François Mitterrand ask you for advice? Yes, he asked me. For him, you were a second family? Yes, because I had belonged to the family for too long. One day, for example, when he was a minister, he said to me: « *I'm worried, who will be appointed to Sud Aviation?* » I said, « *Politically, you need to do something that would be fair and show that you are acting without any bigotry.* » I would name Jacque Mitterrand. He said to me, taken aback : « Really? » - « *Yes, I would appoint him, he is an excellent general officer. He is an army general. - More, he's an real aeronautical technician and since he's the brother of a* ***man who's supposed to embody the left,*** *everyone will be breathless, starting with him.* » - "*Ah,*" he said to me, « *I'll talk to the president of the Republic about it.* » Jacques was appointed. **François often consulted me before important appointments in the industrial and political world**. Often, I would say to him: « You are wrong, because he will surely betray you. **I saw these people live, I knew their dark and light sides.** »

Before the night falls - Interviews by Pierre Bénouville with
Laure Adler - Grasset et Fasquelle - 2002

In view of the facts, it is legitimate to think that members of the terrorist organization called The Cagoule have been leading French presidents and governments since the Second World War.

During the Second World War, Pierre Bénouville aka…, made weekly trips to Switzerland without being bothered in the least by the nazis. According to the testimonies, the Petain government ensured his safety and his free movement. There he met **Allen Dulles** and surely **Claude Dansey, head of, among others, MI6 and the spy entity of unknown legal nature called Z. Z and the Cagoule are two private entities of unknown legal form.**

> « **André Marie** received from **Boissarie** in February-March 1947, in addition to new proof of Hardy's lies about his escape on June 7, 1943, th**e assurance that Barbie had negotiated with Hardy's two leaders, Frenay and Bénouville :** Before June 7, the date of Hardy's arrest, and after June 11, 1943, **these negotiations had led to the elimination of their two « bêtes noires », Delestraint and Moulin. The hatred common to the Sipo-SD and the Combat leaders was shared by Dulles, the backer, informed, on a daily basis, by Bénouville and (in Berne) by Davet,** and as linked to the Petainists and the anti-communist left in France as to the German Nazis or Nazifieds (of the Zentrumcatholic) and the Italian fascists. »
>
> The non-purification of France - From 1943 to the 1950s - Annie Lacroix-Riz - Armand Collin 2019

Pierre Bénouville is linked to Allen Dulles and several killers of the Cagoule and, indeed, to the crimes they committed.

> « *As for Bénouville, it was* ***Servan-Schreiber who attacked him as follows****: « **I had little merit in pointing out, as soon as the new Parliament was elected, that killers were entering it and I knew at least three of them** ».* »
>
> https://cluny-histoiresdhistoire.com/2021/09/30/berty-albrecht-le-sacrifice-du-matin-24/

Another government servant official, adviser to François Mitterrand, **Pierre François Marie de Grossouvre, who was found dead in his office at the Elysée Palace, also declared a few months after the death of Minister Pierre Bérégovoy, murdered with two revolver bullets in the head, that there were killers in the French government : «** *Serge Raffy notes that, after the death of Bérégovoy in particular, François de Grossouvre had redoubled his vehemence: "François de Grossouvre had summoned his small troop of media confessors, equipped with their respectful notebooks, separately of course. And there, the words were terrible. « I have spent thirty-five years of my life in the service of a man. You see where it has led. All this corruption, easy money, and worse. You'll see, one day it will all come out. Among them, there are assassins, men ready to do anything. They are dangerous. » »* (State suicide at the Elysée - The incredible death of François de Grossouvre - Eric Raynaud - Editions Alphée . Jean-Paul Bertrand - 2009)

René Lucet

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/René_Lucet
https://www.franceinter.fr/emissions/rendez-vous-avec-x/rendez-vous-avec-x-10-juin-2020
https://www.haoui.com/newsletter/newsgab.php?date=2019-08-06

Pierre Bérégovoy
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pierre_Bérégovoy

François Marie de Grossouvre
https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/François_de_Grossouvre
https://www.lefigaro.fr/actualite-france/2010/06/18/01016-20100618ARTFIG00575-grossouvre-sa-famille-conteste-la-these-du-suicide.php
https://www.liberation.fr/france/2019/07/19/francois-de-grossouvre-l-ombre-d-un-president_1740947/

Perversion, the signature of Nazi behavior

Did **François de Grossouvre** classify **Pierre Bénouville**, like **Servan Schreiber**, as a killer? Like Pierre Bérégovoy, François de Grossouvre went to war against this state corruption. Like Bérégovoy, he had begun to write a book denouncing them. Like Bérégovoy, his head and brain were destroyed by a 357 magnum. A symbolic death : destroying the thought that allows to confound the criminals. This killing is similar to that of the Chilean singer and composer **Victor Jara**, whose executioners cut off his fingers one by one before executing him. It is a fascist constant, to kill intelligence where it has sinned, that is to say, by the vector it has used to oppose the crime, to challenge and fight against a dictatorship. Not only serial killers have a criminal signature, criminals against humanity, mass murderers, Nazis, fascists, etc. also have a criminal signature.

> « **Signature crime refers to a distinctive crime so similar in pattern, scheme, modus operandi to previous crimes that it identifies a particular defendant as the perpetrator.** Signature crimes are also offenses involved the same victim and contained nearly identical alleged fact scenarios. However, the prior crime and the charged crime need not have to be so-called signature" crimes sharing unique and distinguishing characteristics in order to be considered closely related. In State v. Davis, 389 So. 2d 71 (La. 1980), it was held that a finding that the crimes were signature crimes was not by itself determinative of admissibility, because it was also necessary that the other offenses be relevant to a real issue such as identity. **The test for finding signature crimes is not whether there was evidence that a defendant committed both crimes, but whether there was a unique method used in committing the crimes** »

https://definitions.uslegal.com/s/signature-crime/

The Nazis used sexuality and violent punishments to train future soldiers, creating what **Klaus Theweleit** calls a fragmented body and consequently a fragmented mind, of the MKultra type. « *The « new man », this product of the old man, trained to fight himself, feels indebted only to the machine that gave him birth. He is a pure product of the taming machine, conceived without the help of women, without parents. If it has links or relations, it can only be towards other specimens of new men, to which it assembles in macro-machine-troupe.* » (Fantasmalgories - Klaus Theweleit - 1977)

The « new man », nazi human or MKultra human conditioned by a fragmentation of the body and the spirit, is a corporal cuirass devoid of psyche. The only consciousness that he has of himself is « to be able to do what it is necessary » without however being able to measure if this « to be able to do what it is necessary » is moral or legal since his mechanized emotional functioning only predisposes him to obey to masters or impulses.

It is worth noting in passing that it is England, which is secretly holding and torturing the prisoner of war and hostage known as Julian Paul Assange, that opposed the extradition of the criminal against humanity and torturer **Augusto Pinochet, friend of Margareth Tatcher.** The Lords considered that the dictator Augusto Pinochet should continue to benefit from his immunity although an international warrant had been issued against him for crimes against humanity.

Perversion - « *The action of diverting something from its true nature, from normality; the result of this action and the action of changing into evil, of corrupting and the result of this action* » **- is the founding principle around which the verb, the mental structure and the acts of the individuals who consider themselves as a cell of the « body » of the Nazi « social body » or submit to its diktat are articulated**. Nazi rhetoric is that of the antinomy, the antithesis, the oximore, the counter-order.

The Nazi structure is hierarchical, the strongest dominating the weakest, this supremacy being obtained by force. It is based on the principle of « prohibition - Injection », the surreptitious transgression of the prohibition being encouraged, even organized by those who command. This incoherence at all levels of the group creates emotional and intellectual incoherence that eventually turns into psychopathology, which in turn can lead to more or less severe psychosis.

> « Psychopathy is a personality disorder characterized by emotional disorders and antisocial behavior. (…) Conscious of his acts, **capable of prudence and premeditation, devoid of any compassion, the psychopath is also, according to psychiatry, not very receptive to the dissuasive character of punishment** [5, p. 72-76]. The justice system must therefore establish preventive measures to deal with a type of criminal whose risk of recidivism appears to be very high. Long considered synonymous, antisocial personality disorder (ASPD) and psychopathy are, according to Hare, quite different disorders. The DSM-IV [1, p. 645-650] **defines antisocial personalities according to a series of seven behavioural criteria:** (1) **repeated failure to conform to social norms,** (2) **deception**

> **characterized by swindling as well as repeated use of lies and fictitious identities,** (3) impulsivity or inability to plan, (4) irritability and aggression characterized by regular physical attacks or conflicts, (5) indifference to one's own safety and that of others, (6) irresponsibility or inability to meet one's professional and financial obligations, (7) **lack of remorse made evident by the indifference displayed and the rationalization of one's faults and/or crimes**. To be diagnosed as an antisocial personality, the patient must possess at least three of the characteristics listed, be at least eighteen years of age, and have experienced "conduct disorder" before the age of fifteen.

At least three of the seven criteria for identifying an individual that can be applied to ***antisocial personalities*** *apply to most of the individuals involved in the Assange case : « (1)* ***repeated failure to conform to social norms (constant violation of the laws), (2) deception characterized by swindling as well as repeated use of lies and fictitious identities, (7) lack of remorse made evident by the indifference displayed and the rationalization of one's faults and/or crimes. »***

> **Hare's Psychopathy Checklist consists of twenty items, the set of symptoms whose correlation forms the syndrome**. The first set of factors indicates the interpersonal and emotional characteristics of psychopathy : **casualness and superficial charm, grandiose self-esteem, pathological lying, tendencies to con and manipulate, lack of remorse and guilt, shallow emotions, insensitivity and lack of empathy, inability to admit responsibility for one's actions.**
>
> The psychopath's specific lifestyle characteristics and antisocial attitudes are described by the second set of factors : need for stimulation/high propensity for boredom, parasitic lifestyle, lack of realistic long-term goals, numerous short-term marital relationships, impulsivity, irresponsibility, poor behavioural control, early behavioural problems, juvenile delinquency, revocation of parole, **sexual promiscuity**, criminal versatility Based on semi-structured interviews and a thorough review of the patient's biographical data, the clinician assigns each item a score ranging from zero to two. When the total sum of the scores is greater than or equal to thirty, the probability of psychopathy is considered high.
>
> (…)
>
> A number of empirical tests have been used to specify the personality traits of the psychopath: attenuated experience of fear and empathy, difficulties with certain types of instrumental learning, weakness in moral reasoning and **reduced knowledge of the emotional content of words**. »
>
> https://www.cairn.info/revue-psn-2014-1-page-31.htm

When we switch in the psychopathic universe, almost all of the symptoms indicative of the presence of the syndrome in an individual are found in the Nazis, in the members of the Paraktatos described in this complaint, in entities of unknown legal form, in the members of secret societies and in a good part of the members of sovereign governments during Covid 19 terrorist Operation, and more

particularly in France, where all, or almost all of them have been complicit in torture, and even worse, in the torture of children, without showing the slightest signs of empathy or culpabilty. These symptoms can also be identified in the French teachers who tortured the children in their care without being aware that they were endangering the physical and psychological health of the children in their care, without being aware that they were committing a crime against humanity and proving at the same time their inability to respect the laws and constitution of their country. In France, the teaching profession as a whole has demonstrated gregarious psychopathological behaviour : « *(1)* ***repeated failure to conform to social norms,*** *(5)* ***indifference to one's own safety and that of others,*** (7) **lack of remorse made evident by the indifference displayed and the rationalization of one's faults and/or crimes*****, lack of remorse and guilt, shallow emotions, insensitivity and lack of empathy.*** » Charles Hernu, who obstructed justice, lied, and threatened Captain Paul Barril with death, also showed symptoms of a psychopath**.** When Pierre Bénouville says that he finds refuge in a hotel that he says invaded by the Germans when it is impossible, that this building turns out to be the USA embassy, he is lying, he is fabricating, he is reinventing history, he is manipulating the citizens who don't know him, he is passing himself off as something he is not, as someone he is not.

When, after donning the uniform of the Nazi in the hotel room assigned to him, he says: « *It was* ***extraordinary****, this situation where* ***I was forced to assume the identity of my enemy*** », he openly mocks the readers of his book. He displays the behaviour of psychopaths and with psychopaths you have to be very careful because every word they use is used to deliver the truth, or a part of truth, without telling it. The word « *extraordinary* » has a positive connotation. Bénouville finds the experience out of the ordinary but not unpleasant. In this situation, in enemy's territory, no resistance fighter would have expressed his feelings in this way, primarily because he would have had to face his fear and disgust. This is one of Pierre Bénouville's characteristics, he is never afraid. He says that he is forced to take on the identity of his enemy, but there is no reason for him to take on this uniform. He is alone in the room. He does not use the word uniform but « identity ». So he explains that he is taking on the identity of a Nazi. It is therefore likely that in this sentence Bénouville means to his readers, without saying so openly, that he is a Nazi, that this Nazi, although being himself, is his own enemy - *a thing which, by its nature, is in opposition to another thing and can harm the latter* - because he plays other roles, notably that of a Resistance fighter, even if, contrary to what he says, this is belatedly. This Nazi is an American Nazi because this hotel, full of Nazis, is the US embassy. Moreover, Bénouville himself confirms his chameleon nature when he tells Laure Adler : « ***I have often had to transform myself. And that's when you had to hold a steady hand. The fact of having to choose and being morally obliged to do so led to an almost metaphysical transformation.*** » (Before the Night Comes - Interviews with Laure Adler - Grasset et Fasquelle -2002) Finally, he shows an « **inordinate amount of self-esteem** » when he says of his role at Dassault: « *I still belong to the board today.* ***When I arrive, there is a delegation of workers at the head office waiting to say hello to me, one after the other, and to inquire about me as if I were a precious object****. It's me they come to shake hands with first. This naturally touches me.* »

Reading these words, it is legitimate to wonder who runs Dassault Industries, Pierre Bénouville or Marcel Dassault.

All psychopaths operate on the same principle. They are con artists, predators who lie to get their way, and to make their lies believable, they always introduce in it elements of truth. This psychopathological functioning is constant in the protagonists of the Assange affair or in the Mitterrand clan. Stella Morriz, aka… repeatedly announces the possible suicide of her fake husband without showing a hint of emotion. Mitterrand hides an alduterine daughter while France as a whole does not care about her existence and she is in no more danger than her sons. Why make so much noise about an event that is not an event? This is how con artists, psychopaths, Nazis do it. They light counterfires to distract the audience from the crimes they are committing. The first disguise of reality is the disguise of identity.

> « Among all the reasons, two support the maintenance of the homosexual ban: on the one hand, homosexuality should not be allowed to develop into a sexuality that would be difficult to manage and control.
>
> **On the other hand, its legalisation would have brought down a realm of transgression in which being initiated and accepted was synonymous with belonging to the secret domain of the ruling elite**. It was not possible for the Nazis to give up both, because there was no other area of social life that gave them access to power. Instead of giving the freedom to choose, **they gave the freedom to break the forbbiden.**
>
> **It is a way of subjugating in two ways:** on the one hand, by linking to the movement that grants it, and on the other, **by turning this link into a binding relationship: if you no longer do what the « movement » demands, you can be slandered or called to account.** In the end, it is another double bind which, on the homo-masculine side, is added to that of the **prohibition/injunction of incest**: **you must love men but under no circumstances be homosexual. And you must break the ban but you will be punished for it (if it pleases the powerful). These fabricated double binds are time bombs of sorts;** although tightrope walkers who are particularly comfortable with power plays may walk on the « razor's edge »- they will never abolish the double bind.
>
> At best, they obey and suppress contradictions. Potential deterritorialisation (abolition of certain bourgeois prohibitions) is on the whole channelled in such a way **that it leads to a system of confusion and dependence which is only liveable if it is accepted as a system of unconditional obedience or as a system of endless intrigue. Only power holds it all together.**
>
> **(…)**
>
> The process of the principal is different from that of the torturer. The body cannot discharge itself by the blow that relieves, **the pleasure must come from sight and**

hearing. It is partly produced through the identity of « bloody mush » perception, with a few more particularities. (…) This pleasure is partly due to the perception of what the punishment produces: the change of state of the victim. If the latter is able to resist at the beginning by fighting wildly or screaming, at the end he lies or hangs, apathetic and bloody, reduced to silence or still uttering a few grunts. The operation targets the skin and flesh. The skin must burst, the flesh must twitch. The objects used - ox nerve a riding crop, or the rubber club commonly used by the soldiers occupying the Ruhr in 1920 - do not usually damage the bone structure.

(…)

Bandera in Uruguay is the form of torture that consists of beating the limbs of a prisoner bounces until they flap in pain like a flag in the wind.

(…)

The great spectacle of physical punishment is not reduced to savagery, it follows protocols and rules, as Foucault rightly points out - but not only. Everything that happens to the torture victim is calculated: the amount of beating he can take, the intensity of the pain, the effect on witnesses who might have been in the torture victim's place; but what about the masturbation of the SS? Why does the victim who has to scream have to be a « fag »?

(…)

With each blow and each scream, a considerable amount of the pleasure that the victim is supposed to have experienced is detached from him and penetrates the voyeur.

(…)

he important thing is to describe the bodily processes and to try to understand them. For the torturer certainly does not « want » anything that Améry attributes to him (« to go beyond this world », etc.); he strives to contain his body, and this is something that men will strive to do by torture as long as they are not able to save it from fragmentation by any other means.

In other words, one would be well advised to renounce, for example, the concept of sadism in order to unload the historical heaps that prevent one from approaching the phenomena; this is what is happening to all conceptual systems, and especially to thinking that is predominantly abstract; caught up in the eternal auto-historical-reflexive spiral, it is no longer able to pierce the crust of reality. »

Fantasmâlgories - Klaus Theweleit - L'Arche - 2015

Even if Klaus Theweleit calls for the concept to be consigned to oblivion in order to liberate the word of the sadists, it is essential to underline that the relationships between beings within the Nazi group are marked by sadism. « *Sadism is the search for pleasure in suffering (physical or moral:*

domination, control) voluntarily inflicted on others (possibly an animal or a child). (...) In psychoanalysis, sadism is most often coupled with masochism.» (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sadisme)

In the Nazi social universe, sadism is rarely coupled with masochism because sexuality is used as a dressage tool from childhood onwards, as a punitive weapon aimed at the submission of the one who is raped, with sodomy ensuring the supremacy of the victor for the dominant male, with the sodomised one, who is considered weaker, being relegated to the rank of « good wife » how says Gore Vidal, and as a torture.

> « It remains to be seen why a certain form of sexual intercourse between men can, in existing normative hetero societies and from a psychodynamic point of view, take on the function of brutal self-engendering intercourse. In other words, how does one turn anal intercourse into a maintenance process?
>
> **Two hypotheses in this regard :**
> Firstly, there is a strong possibility that the divisive tendency (which can go as far as the murder fantasy) of the (passive) object is not only directed against the abused partner.
> **If penetrating the anus implies penetrating a « forbidden territory », treading on the « non erect », wandering of desire,** as **Hocquenghem** shows, then the violent and persecutory penetration of this same territory is akin to a persecution of desire in itself.
> In so doing, anal (murderous) coitus would succeed in engendering the totality of the persecutor in the same way that the devitalizing act of white terror aims to turn the victim into a « bloody pulp »
>
> If the anus is the place targeted by the aggression, it would be because it conceals vast deterritorialization that the being, not yet arrived at term, senses and that it seeks, for this reason, to neutralize, on pain of being torn apart itself..
>
> Secondly, the anus could become the privileged place for the persecution of desires because it would make it possible to bypass engulfing femininity without having to renounce the dangerous vitality of venereal evil. This could be the case when the fear of being engulfed again by erotic femininity is so great that this type of man does not even dare to approach the woman in order to annihilate her.
>
> One could even see in it the fear of being annihilated on the spot by the symbiosis of engulfing femininity or of losing all control - to the point of becoming violent or pitiful - to the point of seeking refuge in anal sex.
>
> These two hypotheses do not relate to the attributes of a sexual organisation of the homosexual type, they only acquire their plausibility (if at all) on the condition that they are based on a social organisation where homo-masculine sexuality is forbidden. Indeed, the anus can only assume this function in a society where it

takes the place of a « desire to have desire » against which a dissolution is conducted.

Passively enduring anal intercourse is considered particularly demeaning. »

Fantasmâlgories - Klaus Theweleit - L'Arche - 2015

« Jacob stayed two days and three nights in the dungeon without receiving any food. Other prisoners brought him food at night, risking their lives. These days were before a Sunday.

That Sunday I accidentally saw how Bachmayer, in the company of Hauptscharfurher Heider, approached Jacob. He took an iron pickaxe about 30 cm long and hit Jacob ten to fifteen times in the face. Then Bachmayer took the unfortunate man and tied him up. He tied his arms and legs behind his back and hung him in a room. He pulled down the blackout curtains and closed the room.

Bachmayer was helped by the Lagerältester Schöp, a German detainee allegedly held for security reasons. The Hauptscharfurher Heider was present and looked on with interest, like a curious person watching the slaughter of an animal. At about 7 a.m. on Monday, Jacob was still in the dungeon. Bachmayer ordered an SS man to remove Jaco's jacket, which the SS did. Then he killed him.

(…)

These K prisoners were immediately sent to the prison. Their clothes were removed and they were taken to the "shower rooms". The shower room, located in the cellars of the prison, near the crematorium, was specially designed for the execution of prisoners, either by shooting or by asphyxiation.

A very special measuring rod was used for this purpose. The prisoner was placed under this measuring, which automatically dropped a bullet in the back of his head as soon as it reached the top of his skull. When « K » 's delivery was too important, instead of wasting time measuring them, it was exterminated by asphyxiation by means of a gas sent through the water pipes.

(…)

Bachmayer was a sadist. His specialty was to arouse two dogues on the inmates and have them tear. In the language of the camp, it was said: « **Inmate X died from a kiss from the dog** ».

(…)

In at least 50% of the cases, the interrogation consisted solely of beatings. When the cries of pain of the beaten inmates rang out in the premises, **all members of the SS interrupted their work and ran into the premises to participate in the action or simply to witness it.**

The 186 steps - Mathausen - Christian Bernadac -
Editions France Empire - 1974

Sadism is the backbone of the Nazi mafia system. Every authority figure is sadistic because the structure is only viable if there is drastic coercion in all its workings. The dominant one maintains himself by blackmail, fear, manipulation and torture. Theweleit puts it perfectly : « *... that it leads to a system of confusion and dependence which is only liveable i**f it is accepted as a system of unconditional obedience or as a system of endless intrigue. Only power holds it all together.*** »

As all social ascension passes through a secret sadistic initiation, an indefectible interdependence is created between individuals. They all have each other, because any perversion revealed in broad daylight can cause their downfall in a social environment that is not entirely under their dominance. Once again, Theweleit has conceptualized it perfectly : « ***On the other hand, its legalisation would have brought down a realm of transgression in which being initiated and accepted was synonymous with belonging to the secret domain of the ruling elite**. It was not possible for the Nazis to give up both, because there was no other area of social life that gave them access to power. Instead of giving the freedom to choose, **they gave the freedom to break the forbbiden.*** »

The Nazi social body is unhealthy because the cohesion between the « human-cells » that compose it is maintained only through the absolute submission of a few elements and through an education that use the fragmentation of bodies and minds, of the MKultra type. It is as if the Nazi system was constantly functioning on life support. It is enough to eradicate the « stem cells » to eradicate the whole system. Contrary to the claims of what **Joseph Goebbels** called « **political dogma** », the Nazi system does not follow the rules of natural selection, since the « human-cells » of the Nazi social body do not confront the ecosystem that colonise, but bypass it by neutralizing by cunning everything that hinders their deployment. The structure that takin place can only be maintained by remaining hidden, a bit like a cancer that advances by stealth. They lie, they cheat to prevent the « body » they colonize from setting up a defense system, if we follow their biological logic applied to the social, they prevent any colonized body from activating its « social immune defenses ». This explains why Adolf Hitler said that « ***the Empire would be made by the Sword and by the Cradle*** ». They need to infect the « stem cells » of what he call the social body, in order to ensure a fighting force. Hence the importance of Lebensborn and **Baden-Powell** type warrior trainers. Nazism is a social pathology that advocates a « **political dogma** » as Goebbels so rightly states. .

> « **The military cadet is locked within four walls**. Outings, in principle forbidden, are only allowed if he strictly observes the rules in force in the establishment.
>
> **The relationship between residents is hierarchical.** Age is the first hierarchy. Then comes the rank of the cadet according to his merit. All cadets are ranked in relation to each other, so that each can tell who is above or below him. Each has authority and the right to punish his subordinates and the duty to obey his superiors. Whoever is at the very bottom of the hierarchy must arrange for someone to be even lower.

Everything is planned and everything is public. Nowhere to retreat to. The doors of the toilets show the feet and heads of the person who occupies them. The pants have no pockets. The cadet must open the letters he receives and present the signatory.

The letters with a female signatory are read by the instructor and most often torn up; only the mothers' letters are returned.

No one lives alone. The dormitories have no doors but large openings. It is forbidden to speak from one bed to another. From a small room in the corner of the dormitory, an officer had a view of the whole room through a window hidden by a curtain.

No warning is given. A mistake is only recognized after it has been made by the reaction of the one who already knows. Each newcomer has to make all the mistakes (which can vary according to the degree of intelligence) that the predecessors have made, which the latter wait for, of course, to do to the newcomers what they endured when they arrived in the institution. **Their principle of justice: the same torments for everyone. The principle is strictly observed; there is no reason to excuse error.**

The punishments that cadets inflict on each other are exclusively about the body. Solomon witnessed, from the very first day, the torture inflicted by a non-commissioned officer on a cadet for a miserable offence: the cadet had to remain with his knees bent while holding, with his arms held, a tray in balance covered with charms, **all without being pricked by the compass the non-commissioned officer had placed, open to one hundred and eighty degrees, e<u>xactly between the heels and the buttocks of the « offender</u> ».** The accomplished task means an immediate advancement in the hierarchy. The cadet is no longer the last « bundle » - all newcomers are "bundles" and are treated accordingly**: emptied, decored, stuffed.**

(…)

Even my brother, by now, was inclined to believe <u>my theory that I was a foundling.</u> A guy like that, he said, could not be my brother.

In the end, even the shit (which return too much to the interior of the punished) turns into an attack against the peripheries of the body. It will be necessary to pay in pretium doloris, the only currency of exchange:

The cadets formed a circle around me. Each carried a badine. Leather straps were attached to the wooden handles. They were used for cleaning clothes. Glasmacher walked over to me, took me by the arm and led me to the table. I climbed it with difficulty and lay on my stomach. Glasmacher put his hands around my head. He closed my eyes and pressed my skull against the table top. I gritted my teeth and

tensed my muscles. Already the first blow was fall on me. I flinched but Glasmacher held me. Then it was a hail of blows, on my back, my shoulders, my legs. An endless fire of blows. My fingers clutched the edges of the table. I banged my knee, shin and toes against the wood to ease the pain. Now all the pain seemed to enter the table. My hips and ribs banged against the shivering wood. Each blow put a new explosive charge into the mass of muscle, skin, blood, bone and veins. In the end, my body was swollen and tense to burst. I abandoned my head entirely in Glasmacher's hands, gave one last gasp and finally collapsed with a groan.

(…)

Little by little, the body accepts the pain administered to its periphery as a response to the search for pleasure. It takes it at face value. The pleasure principle is removed. It is brought back into line, reorganising the whole into a body that masters the « pain principle » : what hurts is good.

Finally, the « ballot » receives his baptism de la ligne - no wonder this bullying appears in German naval manuals as one of the highlights of a sailor's life. But the real end of the ballotage was the visit to the town dentist, with all his instruments. The elders, jubilantly, asked the bundles to go to the company office. And, willy-nilly, each bundle had to sit in the little chair. The one the cadets called « **Uncle Dental** » worked for quite some time with his pliers in the poor victim's wide open mouth. One after the other, he pulled out all the baby teeth still present.

The dental uncle watched me with a devilish grin as I spat blood into a bucket intended for that purpose. Later, Glasmacher consoled me by telling me that in the past, it was even customary to give the "Ballots" a good portion of castor oil to swallow, so that they were cleaned, so to speak, from the inside.

With the last milk tooth, the last anchorage in the maternal sea goes away in a bucket of blood. The rock stretches its neck over its uniform collar. »

Fantasmâlgories - Klaus Theweleit - L'Arche - 2015

« Baden Powell was triumphant: for two hundred and ten days, he made the besiegers believe that he had three thousand soldiers when he did not have seven hundred. All day long, civilians disguised as soldiers unrolled skeins of wire tangled with string, simulating the missing barbed wire. They dug shafts where they buried disturbing round boxes full of sand, because they did not have any mines. Sometimes, disaster struck, one of these mines - an innocent charge - exploded. Stretcher-bearers evacuated bloody fake wounded with chromium mercury. **Ten-year-olds, many of them natives, but trained as spies, would slip into the Boer lines. They knew how to observe, how to report, how to use the codes. If they were caught, they reluctantly revealed the locations of powerful**

fortifications, innumerable artillery pieces, food and ammunition depots, and stressed that the morale of the troops was high. The Boers released them, because they did not have the heart to throw kids in jail. »

The secret life of Sir Dansey - Bob Maloubier - Albin Michel - 2015

It is not for us to determine whether **The Satanic Syndicate** is a conspiracy theory or a well-known Mafia organisation in the UK. It seems to us just important to question the justice on the relations of Mr and Mrs **Emma Aburthnot** with Mr **David Cameron** whose advisor, also in post under **Margareth Tatcher** and **John Major**, has been convicted of paedocriminality. Moreover, whether the story has been disproved or not, **Mr David Cameron was involved in the history of the Piggate.**

The Crime Survey for England and Wales (CSEW) estimated that 7.5% of adults aged 18 to 74 years experienced sexual abuse before the age of 16 years (3.1 million people). The abuse was most likely to have been perpetrated by a friend or acquaintance (37%); around a third (30%) were sexually abused by a stranger. At 31 March 2019, 2,230 children in England were the subject of a child protection plan (CPP) and 120 children in Wales were on the child protection register (CPR) for experience or risk of sexual abuse.

Margaret Thatcher 'personally covered up' child sex abuse allegations against senior government ministers.

The **Dolphin Square scandal** incriminates many political figures including David Cameron and Westminster. Both the Old Bailey and the Imperial College (headquarters in Jersey since April 2020) are institutions belonging to the **English crown.**

We pray the justice of the government of the United Kingdom to kindly open an enquiry to determine the links between implications of the Crown of England, Old Bailey, Westminster and Imperial College with children trafficking and the WikiLeaks/ citizen known as Julian Paul Assange case and persecution.

What links Dolphin Square, Ben Fellows, Stringfellows, David Cameron, Max Clifford, Stephen Less and paedophiles

http://google-law.blogspot.com/2013/06/what-links-dolphin-square-ben-fellows.html

https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/crime/special-report-police-revisit-the-grim-mystery-of-elm-guest- house-8420435.html

Members of MI5 and MI6 are also believed to be involved in the Dolphin Square paedophile scandal.

The child abuse scandal of the British children sent abroad

"The children were recruited by religious institutions from both the Anglican and Catholic churches, or well-meaning charities including **Barnardo's and the Fairbridge Society**. Their motivation was to give "lost" children a new life, and it would be wrong to say that every one of Britain's exported

children suffered. Hundreds of migrant children have given accounts of poor education, hard labour, physical beatings and sexual abuse."

https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-39078652

Report - Child Migration

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i3mRkm16JXI

https://www.iicsa.org.uk/publications/investigation/child-migration/part-c-detailed-examination-institutional- responses/sending-institutions/barnardos

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Home_Children https://goodnessandharmony.wordpress.com/tag/the-fairbridge-society/

> We recall that the MKUltra programme was implemented by three scientists: the English psychiatrist **William Sargant** (**Saint Thomas Hospital**) who wrote the CIA's torture manuals, the Canadian sexologist **Even Cameron**, of Scottish origin, and the chemist **Sydney Gottlieb**, a CIA agent specialist in biological weapons. One of Sydney Gottlied's specialities was to couple bacteria and viruses and to use animals as vectors for disease transmission.
>
> Dr. Sargant worked closely with **Eli Liley Company**, **Hoffman Laroche and Geygy**. He also had the consideration of the pharmaceutical companies **Merks**, **Sharon and Dohmel**, **Park Davis and Company**; **Smith Kline**, **French Laboratories**, **Seal Laboratories**.
>
> (...)
>
> Sargant died on 27 August 1986. His death freed me from my commitments and I was able to begin, little by little, to delve into his past. The task was not easy as he had powerful friends in the **World Psychiatric Association** which he had helped to create and in the **Royal College of Psychiatrists**. They did everything they could to keep me away from his medical reports and best sellers.
>
> *Les armes secrètes de la CIA, Gordon Thomas (2006)*

Within the framework of the MKultra, William Sargant and Even Cameron worked on the notion of "psychiatric infantilism" which leads the subject of torture to ask for help from the person who makes him suffer or endangers his life. The tortured end up considering torturers as people who want their good. This is called pathological transfer. We observe this behaviour today in those who wear the mask without realising that it does little to destroy their health, both mentally and physiologically. Psychiatrists William Sargant and Jolyon West are the authors of two manuals on torture used by the CIA: Coercive Questioning and Exploitation of Human Resources.

It is important to note that **Rockefeller** funded the creation of the **Allan Memorial Hospital** where Dr. Even Cameron practiced his psychiatric experiments.

In view of the facts, it appears that the notion of child object, sexual objects, that are trained, that are torn away from their parents, is a notion common to the Pararkratos City of London Corporation and the Nazis. ***Wintson Churchill sums it up perfectly: « Your traditions I sum up in three words : Rum, Sodomy and Whip.*** I salute you Admiral! »

In view of the facts, it is legitimate to consider that children have been raised in Lebensborn and used for infiltration purposes in the countries where Parakratos, described in this complaint, have been deployed.

Therefore, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights requests the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to establish exhaustive list of children who have disappeared or been abducted from their parents by institutions and to ensure that they have not make, or don't make, object of human trafficking.

Therefore, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights requests the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to to determine whether there are Lebensborn where children are raised under false birth names and with false papers as in the Nazi Lebensborn.

Therefore, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights requests the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to to determine if the children raised in these Lebesborn be sold to pedocriminal prostitution networks or medical institutions practicing medical experimentation and organ trafficking.

Therefore, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights requests the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to to determine if the children raised in these Lebesborn are sold to parents who want to buy a child rather than adopt one.

Therefore, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights requests the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to to determine if whether the Parkaratos OMS is involved in child trafficking or the management of these Lebensborn.

Baden Powell raised « baby spies » with whom they went on missions in foreign lands, « baby mercenaries » as it were, but it seems that also there were projects to raise children conditioned to infiltrate institutions.

> **Report Aginter- Press Agency in Serac**
> « Our political action
> **We believe that the first part of our political action must be to favor the installation of chaos in all the structures of the regime. It is necessary to begin by undermining the economy of the state in order to create confusion in all the legal apparatus**.

This brings a situation of strong political tension, of fear in the industrial world, of antipathy towards the government and all the parties. For this purpose, must be ready an efficient organism able to gather and to bring back to him all the discontents of all the social classes in order to gather this vast mass to make our revolution.

In our opinion, the first action that we have to start is the destruction of the structures of the state, under the cover of the action of the communists and the pro-Chinese, we have moreover elements infiltrated in all these groups.

Depending on the environment, we will have to adapt our action **(propaganda and forceful actions which will seem to be the work of our communist opponents and pressure on individuals who centralize power at all levels).**

This will create a feeling of antipathy towards those who threaten the peace of everyone and of the Nation, and on the other hand, it will burden the national economy**.**

From this state of affairs, we must take action within the framework of the army, the judiciary, the church, in order to act on public opinion and to indicate a solution and to show the deficiency and the incapacity of the constituted legal apparatus and to make us appear as being the only ones to be able to provide a social, political and economic solution adapted to the moment.

At the same time, **we must raise a defender** of the citizens against the decay caused by subversion and terrorism. **Therefore, a phase of infiltration, information and pressure of our elements on the vital nuclei of the State**.

Our political element will have to be extremely localized, capable of intervening and putting its strength to good use. It will have to train cadres and leaders and at the same time carry out a massive and intelligent propaganda action.

This propaganda will be a psychological pressure on our friends and on our enemies and should create a current of sympathy for our political organization. It should polarize the popular attention to which we will be presented as the only instrument of salvation for the nation.

This propaganda will draw attention to the European problem and attract international political and economic support. It will must also make the army, the judiciary, the church and the industrial world act against subversion, although their action is not decisive, only the situation will matter.

To carry out such an action, it is obvious that large financial resources are needed. It will be necessary to act in this sense (This is so that the greatest possible number of men can dedicate themselves to the struggle in Italy, **and to corrupt and finance the political groups that can be useful to us.**

This document, unsigned, describing with such precision what the strategy of Tension should be - what it will be - was found, in October 1974, in the archives of the Aginter Press Agency.

It was part of a series of reports sent to the Agency by its Italian correspondents, journalists and activists **of the neo-Nazi movement**, **Ordine Nuovo**, in the **month of November 1968**, i.e. at the same time that the Strategy of Tension began in Italy. It is unambiguous and provides proof of the common links, activities and objectives of the Italian extreme right and the Aginter Agency. »

The Black Orchestra - Investigation of neo-fascist networks - Frédéric Laurent - Nouveau Monde Editions - 2013

In this document, the tactics of fascist or Nazi infiltration, the operation is the same whatever the entity, is the "kitchen recipe" of subversive colonization and destabilization of a state.

In light of current events, it is clear that the tactic has been employed in many countries.

It is therefore legitimate to think that the Parakratos, described in this complaint, have raised several leaders who can infiltrate the structures of the States and subvert them. These men of the shadows have acted according to the adage of the Hamilton Byrne sect: ***invisible, unheard of, unknown***. **Pierre Bénouville seems to have been one of them.**

But these men of the shadows cannot act alone. They need to have doubles in the window, in the spotlight, to occupy the attention of public opinion and create a fake political zizanie. It is therefore that for each invisible leader raised, has been raised teams that can act under his orders and a charismatic figure that playin his role on the mediatic scene. Binomial Pierre Bénouville - François Mitterrand for example. The men of the shade have probably understudies so that there is never a rupture within the parakratos' governance in order not to harm the campaigns of subversions.

In view of facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights requests the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to to determine if to open an investigation to identify these shadow generals and their troops, to determine their names and places of birth, to determine the authenticity of their studies and their diplomas. One of the first Nazi war tactics was to hide under false identities as in Operation T4, the Concentration Camps or their private lives. Martin Bormann had multiple identities.

In view of facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights requests the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to to determine if to open an investigation to for which Parakratos and/or for which entities of unknown legal form they work.

In view of facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights requests the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to to determine if they were born and raised in Lebensborn.

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

In view of facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights requests the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to to determine their ranks and functions within the different Parkratos and private entities for which they act and in which territories.

> « The Elysée has become a filthy brothel for the use of Madame Claude's children and the Republic. The laws which govern it are not the laws of love but of prostitution. Either Mitterrand is the boss or he makes money: it is even amazing to see how he proceeded to exploit his followers, his family or his former mistresses, some of whom knew how to take advantage of this flower of the good. (…) The headquarters of the Socialist Party, rue de Solférino, is the new service park where mysterious attachés are engaged in tasks as indefinable as their functions. Or, Mitterrand remains a client by playing the romantic voyeur, a vulgar version of Jeans Genet's screens. It is the cellar which pays but with the state's money.
> *The lost honor of François Mitterrand - Jean Edern Hallier - Editions du Rocher - Les belles Lettres - 1996*

In view of facts, the Association for the Defense of Human Rights requests the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to to determine if the parakratos who have infiltrated certain States, have not developed within the State structures a network of prostitution and brothels used to trap and blackmail certain citizens.

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

Pierre Bénouville - Behind the scenes of power since the Second World War

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

Claude Edward Marjoribanks (Dansey) - CEMD - 1876 - 1947

Aka **Claude Edward Marjoribanks (CEM)**, aka **Haywood**, aka **Claude Edward Moore,** aka **C. E. Moore,** Aka **Z**, aka **Mister Z**, aka **Oncle Claude,** aka **Dansey the Sock,** aka **The Sock,** aka **The Buteur,** aka **Mister X,** aka **James Ponfret-Seymou**

Head of the SI also known as the Firm
Mister Z has been in the Firm since Victoria's reign.
Creator of Organisation Z, aka Z

http://www.coldspur.com/claude-danseys-mischief/

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

WHO, a legal entity of unknown form used as a tool for global governance

The WHO appeared as the World Health Organisation at the International Health Conference held in New York between 19 June and 22 July 1946. 3 texts record its birth: a "constitution", an arrangement concluded between the governments represented at the International Health Conference and a Protocol relating to the International Office of Public Health (Only the latter is published in the UN). All these texts are available in all languages in the Official Journal of Poland. (http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19480610477/O/D19480477.pdf)



Constitution

"Toute Société dans laquelle la garantie des droits n'est pas assurée, ni la séparation des pouvoirs déterminée, n'a point de Constitution."
Article 16 de la Déclaration des Droits de l'Homme et du Citoyen de 1789

Définition de constitution

Etymologie : du latin cum, ensemble, et statuo, fixer, établir.

Une **constitution** est la loi fondamentale d'un Etat qui définit les droits et les libertés des citoyens ainsi que l'organisation et les **séparations du pouvoir politique** (législatif, exécutif, judiciaire). Elle précise l'articulation et le fonctionnement des différentes **institutions** qui composent l'Etat (Conseil constitutionnel, Parlement, gouvernement, administration...)

La constitution se situe au sommet du système juridique de l'Etat dont elle est le principe suprême. Toutes les lois, décrets, arrêtés et traités internationaux doivent être conformes aux règles qu'elle définit. Elle peut prendre la forme d'un texte unique ou d'un ensemble de lois. Le Royaume-Uni qui dispose d'une constitution "coutumière" (pas nécessairement écrite) est une exception. Une constitution est en général élaborée par une assemblée nationale (pouvoir constituant originaire) réunie spécialement pour cet objectif. Elle est révisée par le pouvoir constituant dérivé ou institué (prévu par la Constitution)

Constitution)

On reading these texts, it appears that the **World Health Organisation, or WHO, is a "global body of unknown legal nature" whose structure is based solely on a "global constitution". So if we refer to the definition of the legal nature of a constitution,** « *A constitution is a set of legal texts that defines the institutions of the state and organises their relations. It may also recall fundamental principles and rights. It constitutes the highest rule of the legal order.* » (https://www.vie-publique.fr/fiches/19545-quest-ce-quune-constitution-definition-dune-constitution), while not a state or country, the WHO is a privately funded global governance body that is neither a sovereign country nor a subject of international law. Neither an NGO, nor an association, nor a company, it has no legal form defined by statutes. It is therefore a phantom entity, « *which is in appearance only what it should be* ». (https://www.cnrtl.fr/definition/fantome). WHO cannot act on behalf of the UN, nor on behalf of the governments of sovereign peoples. As it has no legal status, it is an « outlaw » entity.

> « *The STATES parties to this Constitution declare,* ***in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations,*** *that the following principles are fundamental to the happiness of peoples, their harmonious relations and their security.* » *(Constitution of the World Health Organization - World Health Conference - New York 22 July 1946)*

« ***In accordance with the Charter of the United Nations*** », *this formulation has no legal value.* Indeed, according to Article 63 of the said Charter, - « *The Economic and Social Council may enter*

into agreements with any institution referred to in Article 57, setting out the conditions under which that institution shall be brought into relationship with the Organization. Such agreements shall be submitted to the General Assembly for approval. » - should include in the body of the text of what WHO calls a "constitution", the nature of the agreement between WHO and UN member countries and the date of its approval by the General Assembly. In fact, from a legal point of view, this constitution has no legal value and, consequently, neither does the WHO because, as it has no statutes, it has no legal nature. WHO has not sign an agreement with the UN Economic and Social Council because if an agreement had been reached, the WHO statutes (*Statutes which it does not have and which are however essential for the signature of an agreement with the Economic and Social Council - Art. 57 - UN Charter*), include the words « Specialised Agency » affiliated to the UN Economic and Social Council as specified in Article 57 of the UN Charter :

1. **1. The various specialized agencies established by intergovernmental agreement and having wide international responsibilities, under their statutes,** in the economic, social, cultural, educational, health and related fields, **are connect with the Organization in accordance with the provisions of Article 63.**

2. The agencies thus connected with the Organization are hereinafter referred to as « specialized agencies ».

Furthermore, it is not intended of the UN General Assembly to write and legally validate a text that presents itself as a "World Constitution" validating a global governance that is neither state-based nor subject to international law. The UN is a tool for cooperation between sovereign peoples, « ***to develop international cooperation in the economic, social, cultural, educational and health fields and to promote the enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms by all without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion*** » *(Art. 13-2)*. If UN member states, UN subsidiary bodies, UN staff or UN-affiliated specialised agencies were to participate in the creation of global governance, they would be in violation of several articles of the UN Charter, violations that would be grounds for exclusion, temporary or permanent, or sanctions :

Charte de l'ONU

Chapitre I : Buts et principes

« Article 2

The United Nations and its Members, in pursuing the purposes set forth in Article 1, shall act in accordance with the following principles :

1. The Organisation is founded on the principle of the sovereign equality of all its Members.

4. **Members of the Organization shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state, or in any other manner inconsistent with the purposes of the United Nations.**

7. Nothing in the present Charter shall authorize the United Nations to intervene in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state, nor shall it require Members to submit such matters to settlement under the present Charter, but this principle shall not affect the application of enforcement measures under **Chapter VII.**

Article 4

1. Membership in the United Nations shall be open to all other peaceful States which accept the obligations of the present Charter and are, in the opinion of the Organization, able and willing to fulfil them.

The attention of the governments of sovereign countries, their jurisdictions, their staffs and their internal protection forces should be drawn to the danger of certain articles of what the WHO calls a "constitution" with regard to the exercise of their sovereignty and to possible meddling.

Summary analysis of the Constitution of the World Health Organization - World Health Conference - New York - 22 July 1946

I - Constitution of the World Health Organisation - World Health Conference - New York - 22 July 1946

*« The aim of the World Health Organization (**hereinafter referred to as the Organization)** is the attainment by all peoples of the highest possible level of health. »*

The name of an organisation cannot change. It is declared in an official register, either in the prefecture for an association or in the trade register for a company. « An organisation is the result of regulated actions (*a company, a public service, an administration, an association, an army, an event, etc.*) ». (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Organisation). Each organisation formalises its name, its field of competence and action, its internal structure and its means of financing. In the case of the French judicial organisation, for example, this information can be found in the Code of Judicial Organisation (https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/codes/id/LEGITEXT000006071164/) which defines its prerogatives in a so-called legislative corpus (articles beginning with the letter « L ») and a so-called regulatory corpus (articles beginning with the letter « R »).

The World Health Organisation cannot be referred to as « the Organisation » in the context of an official text describing it because the noun « Organisation » is too vague a term that can generate all sorts of usurpations, of functions or of names. As it has not filed any statutes, WHO has no legal identity and does not fall within any legal framework, so it can, by mafia drift, illegally substitute itself for any structure as its nature as a phantom entity, « *which is apparently only what it should be* », allows. It can « pretend to be… » and deceive governments and citizens.

As WHO is an entity of unknown legal form, it can be likened to a mafia : « *A mafia is a criminal organisation whose activities are subject to an occult collegiate leadership and which relies on a strategy of infiltration of civil society and institutions.* » (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mafia), or to a criminal association : « *Any group formed or agreement established with a view to the preparation, characterised by one or more material facts, of one or more crimes or one or more offences punishable by at least five years' imprisonment shall constitute a criminal association.* » (Penal Code - Art. 450-1 - https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/codes/article_lc/LEGIARTI000006418851/) - **Participation in this association is a crime against the nation, the state and public peace.** (https://www.cabinetaci.com/association-de-malfaiteurs/) - or an **organised criminal organisation** : « *An organised gang within the meaning of the law is any grouping formed or any*

agreement established with a view to the preparation, characterised by one or more material facts, of one or more offences. » (Penal Code - Art. 132-71 - https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/codes/article_lc/LEGIARTI000006417490/)

The nature and structure of WHO **violates the UN Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (**https://www.unhcr.org/fr/4b151cb21.pdf**).**

United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime

"Article 5
Criminalisation of participation in an organised criminal group

1. Each State Party shall adopt such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as criminal offences, when committed intentionally :

e) Either or both of the following acts as criminal offences distinct from those involving attempted criminal activity or its consumption

vi) **Agreeing with one or more persons to commit a serious crime for a purpose directly or indirectly related to obtaining a financial or other material benefit and, where required by domestic law, involving an act committed by one of the participants pursuant to that agreement or involving an organised criminal group;**

ii) the active participation of a person with knowledge of either the aim and general criminal activity of an organised criminal group or its intention to commit the offences in question :

a. The criminal activities of the organised criminal group;

b. Other activities of the organised criminal group when that person knows that his or her participation will contribute to the achievement of the above-mentioned criminal purpose;

3

b) Organising, directing, facilitating, encouraging or counselling the commission of a serious crime involving an organised criminal group.

2. The knowledge, intent, purpose, motivation or agreement referred to in paragraph 1 of this article may be inferred from objective factual circumstances.

The nature and operation of International Criminal Organisations is very clearly defined in US law.

> **English Version** (https://www.justice.gov/criminal-ocgs/international-organized-crime)
>
> « International Organized Crime refers to those self-perpetuating associations of individuals who operate internationally for the purpose of obtaining power, influence, monetary and/or commercial gains, wholly or in part by illegal means, while protecting their activities through a pattern of corruption or violence. There is no single structure under which international organized crime groups operate; they vary from strict hierarchies to blood clans, networks and cells, and may evolve to other structures.

- Rely upon violence, threats of violence or other acts of intimidation;
- exploit political and cultural differences between nations;
- gain influence in government, politics, and business through corrupt means;

- hold economic gain including investment in legitimate business; and
- insulate leadership from prosecution through hierarchical structure »

French Version

« **La criminalité organisée internationale désigne les associations d'individus qui se perpétuent et opèrent au niveau international dans le but d'obtenir du pouvoir, de l'influence, des gains monétaires et/ou commerciaux, entièrement ou en partie par des moyens illégaux, tout en protégeant leurs activités par la corruption ou la violence**. Il n'existe pas de structure unique sous laquelle les groupes criminels organisés internationaux opèrent ; ils varient de hiérarchies strictes à des clans de sang, des réseaux et des cellules, et peuvent évoluer vers d'autres structures.

- S'appuient sur la violence, les menaces de violence ou d'autres actes d'intimidation ;
- exploitent les différences politiques et culturelles entre les nations
- gagner de l'influence dans le gouvernement, la politique et les affaires par des moyens corrompus ;
- détenir des gains économiques, y compris des investissements dans des entreprises légitimes ; et isoler les dirigeants des poursuites judiciaires par une structure hiérarchique. »

Constitution of the World Health Organisation - World Health Conference - New York - 22 July 1946

CHAPTER II – FUNCTIONS

« Article 2

In order to achieve its purpose, the Organisation performs the following functions

- a) act as the directing and coordinating authority in the field of health for work of an international character ;
- b) establish and maintain effective collaboration with the United Nations, specialised agencies, government health administrations, professional groups and such other organisations as may be appropriate; »

An organisation must clearly define its objectives and areas of activity and state this publicly. Without a legal identity, it has no legality and no right of action.

« ***To act as the directing and coordinating authority in the health field for work of an international nature.*** » is, indeed, a governmental declaration of intent at the health level. The word « travaux » employed in French becoming « works » in English with an even broader meaning, covers indeterminate actions, « *Human activity requiring sustained effort, aimed at the modification of natural elements, the creation and/or production of new things, new ideas* » (https://www.cnrtl.fr/definition/travail), outside the field of health as it affects all human activities, the regulation of such activities being generally the responsibility of a government. Authority is the "Power to act on others" and from a governance point of view a « ***Power legally conferred*** *on a person, a human*

group to govern the whole or part of the social body, to regulate public affairs. » (https://www.cnrtl.fr/definition/Autorité). The WHO therefore presents itself as « the Organisation » (which one?), not « an Organisation » - the use of the definite article « the » determines that it is the only one in its field - invested with a power (non-legal since it does not fall within any legal framework) of an international nature, and therefore worldwide. It cannot act as a « directing and coordinating' authority » at the international level without violating the sovereignty of states and without interfering, as it has been guilty of doing (« *who has voluntarily committed an act considered reprehensible.* » - https://www.cnrtl.fr/definition/coupable) in the management of the « COVID crisis » in many sovereign countries. Article 2-a of the WHO « constitution » is a clear violation of articles 1-2, 2-1, 2-4, 2-7 of the UN Charter as well as the clearly expressed national sovereignty by the citizens of member countries, « *We peoples… »* in its Preamble (UN Charter). In fact, It is the peoples who commit themselves to respect and put into practice the UN Charter, which is the rule of conduct of Sovereign States in matters of peace and human rights.



The UN Charter is prescriptive in the same way as a constitution is in a sovereign country. It is therefore the peoples who are the custodians of the sovereign authority of the UN, and therefore in charge of applying and enforcing the legal principles of the Charter.

In article 2-b above, the word « establish », « To set up, implement… » (*Trésor de la Langue Française Informatisé - http://stella.atilf.fr/Dendien/scripts/tlfiv5/advanced.exe?8;s=250734105;*) clearly means that WHO has not signed any agreements with the UN because any collaboration with it is "established and effective" when it signs an agreement, approved by the General Assembly, with a "specialised agency" as defined in Article 57 of the Charter.

WHO thus clearly states in its so-called « constitution » that it is not a « specialised agency » with an agreement with the UN, « ***Subject to the terms of any agreement to be entered into between the United Nations and the Organisation*** *and to be approved in accordance with Chapter XVI…* » (*Art. 6 of the WHO Constitution*) illegally contradicted by Article 69 (*Chapter 16 of the WHO Constitution*), « *The Organization is attached to the United Nations as one of the specialized agencies provided for in Article 57 of the Charter of the United Nations. The agreement or agreements establishing the relationship of the Organization with the United Nations* ***shall be approved by a two-thirds majority of the Health Assembly.*** » But, Article 57 of the UN Charter

only defines the nature of the institution that may be the subject of an agreement with the UN leading to a connection. The resolution of this agreement (or these agreements) is covered by Article 63 of the UN Charter - « ***The Economic and Social Council may enter into agreements with any institution referred to in Article 57, setting out the conditions under which that institution shall be brought into relationship with the Organization. Such agreements shall be submitted to the General Assembly for approval*** ». -

It is the UN General Assembly that validates an agreement with an organisation, not a « Health Assembly » that does not exist in the Charter. An organisation applies with the UN for approval by submitting a dossier. The UN General Assembly examines the statutes of the applicant organisation, the merits of its application and decides whether or not to grant it. In no case does the organisation applying to the UN decide on anything.

The WHO is therefore is defined, itself, as a UN bis, a UN granting itself international governmental authority, which is contrary to the UN Charter. It is therefore legitimate to think that the WHO is a tool of subversive conquest used by a mafia group (« *A set of animate beings or things brought together to form a whole.* » - https://www.cnrtl.fr/definition/groupuscule) to take control of the UN and some sovereign governments. The WHO's willingness to interfere is clearly expressed in Articles 66 and 67 of its « constitution »: « ***The Organization shall enjoy in the territory of each Member State such legal capacity as may be necessary for the fulfilment of its purpose and the exercise of its functions.*** » *Art.66) and « a) The Organization shall enjoy in the territory of each Member State such privileges and immunities as are necessary for the fulfilment of its purposes and functions. » - « b) Representatives of Member States, persons appointed to the Council and the technical and administrative staff of the Organization shall also enjoy such privileges and immunities as are necessary for the free exercise of their functions in connection with the Organization. » (Art. 67).*

These two articles are a restatement of Article 104, *« The Organisation shall enjoy in the territory of each of its Members such legal capacity as may be necessary for the exercise of its functions and the fulfilment of its purposes. »* and 105 *« The Organization shall enjoy in the territory of each of its Members such privileges and immunities as are necessary for the fulfilment of its purposes - 2. Representatives of Members of the United Nations and officials of the Organization shall likewise enjoy such privileges and immunities as are necessary for the independent exercise of their functions in connection with the Organization. »* of the UN Charter. WHO, which is neither a subsidiary body of the UN nor a « specialised agency » with an agreement with the UN, grants itself the same rights as UN delegates in the exercise of their functions. Those who wrote the WHO « constitution » took these articles out of the context of the UN Charter, effectively omitting that they were written to protect UN delegates, acting officially in accordance with the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, in conflict-ridden territories in the framework of peacemaking missions, which is not the case for WHO staff who belong to an entity of unknown legal form, of a private nature, whose financing is not clearly defined since its « constitution » does not clearly specify the nature of the member states that make it up and where its funds come from.

A state, without any precision as to its nature, can be summarised as a legal person exercising authority: « *The notion of state is not subject to any precise definition. In constitutional law, it can be understood as a legal person under public law representing a community, a people or a nation, within or outside a given territory, over which it exercises supreme power, sovereignty. Its forms of organisation are diverse, ranging from a centralised model to a federal model.* » (https://actu.dalloz-etudiant.fr/a-la-une/article/quest-ce-quun-etat/h/cc2b7dbab75f95b0db74949daa76f12c.html). A private entity (Foundation, Corporation, Multinational, etc.) with an identifiable legal form or an entity with an unknown legal form can therefore claim to be a « state » and sign a text if there is no definition of the notion of state in the text in question. In the UN Charter, each state is defined by the "Sovereignty of its people", a people considered as one voice in an alliance of independent nations, all sovereign, acting equally within a structure where management and personnel they pool, « *A nation is a human community that is aware of being united by a historical, cultural, linguistic or religious identity. As a political entity, the nation, which is a concept born of the construction of the great European states, is a community characterised by its own territory, organised as a state. It is the legal person made up of people governed by the same constitution* » (https://www.toupie.org/Dictionnaire/Nation.htm).

These nations of sovereign peoples have a similar legal status within the UN : « *The Organization is founded on the principle of the sovereign equality of all its Members.* » (Art. 2-1 - UN Charter) This principle of equality gives everyone decision-making power, which is why only the General Assembly can decide on all matters. The Charter guarantees a democratic functioning of the UN organisation, which is not the case of the WHO "constitution" which is a text without a legal framework. By coordinating the rights and duties of each member country within its structure and on the international scene, the Charter ensures equal treatment and action for each member country, establishing a universal democratic praxis. **Democracy (Demos = people and cratie = power) is the power of the people for the people by the people within a sovereign country. The UN Charter established the power of the people for the people by the people at the international level.**

Since the WHO constitution cannot be formalised in a legal manner since its content violates the UN Charter, the respective Constitutions and the sovereignty of the member countries, its text, which is illegal, not fixed by a formalised legal status, can be constantly modified by those who run The WHO. Thus, « *to act as a directing and coordinating authority in the field of health for work of an international character* » can become, since it has no statutes and is therefore not subject to institutional legal control, « *to act as a directing and coordinating authority in the field of health for work of an international character* », which effectively transforms what the WHO calls its constitution into a constitution of global governance. If this amended article is read in conjunction with Articles 66 and 67 of the WHO Constitution, « *the Organization shall enjoy in the territory of each Member State such legal capacity as may be necessary for the fulfilment of its purpose and the exercise of its functions.* » (Art.66) et « a) L'Organisation jouit sur le territoire de chaque État membre des privilèges et immunités qui lui sont nécessaires pour l'accomplissement de ses buts et fonctions. » - « b) Representatives of Member States, persons appointed to the Council and the

technical and administrative staff of the Organization shall also enjoy such privileges and immunities as are necessary for the free exercise of their functions in connection with the Organization. » (Art. 67), it is obvious that the WHO can give itself a fictitious dictatorial world power if it causes to convince the legality of this text through communication and propaganda subterfuges.

« Immunities » are prerogatives, privileges granted to certain citizens according to legal criteria (parliamentary immunity, diplomatic immunity, etc.). WHO members have no special status and should not have one. If the WHO had an official legal nature, its staff would at most be members of an NGO and therefore criminally liable for their actions.

Article 68 of the « WHO Constitution » - « ***Such legal capacity, privileges and immunities shall be determined in a separate arrangement to be prepared by the Organization, in consultation with the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and shall be concluded between the Member States.*** » blatantly violates the UN Charter, in particular Articles 2-1) « T*he Organisation is founded on the principle of the sovereign equality of all its Members* » and 2-2) « *Members of the Organization, in order to ensure the enjoyment by all of the rights and benefits resulting from membership, shall fulfil in good faith the obligations assumed by them under the present Charter.* »

No separate arrangements can be made between UN member states. As for the UN Secretary and UN staff, they must « *In the performance of their duties, they shall not seek or accept instructions from any government or from any authority external to the Organisation. They shall refrain from any action incompatible with their position as international civil servants and shall be responsible only to the Organisation* » (Chapitre XV - Art. 100). The UN Secretary cannot take any decision outside the General Assembly or the Security Council and, as the Charter is prescriptive, he cannot violate any of its articles. It should be noted that in Article 68 of its « constitution », WHO is maintaining confusion between its unknown legal status and that of the UN, by renaming itself in the body of the text of what it calls its « constitution », the Organisation. This is a usurpation of title and function. « ***Anyone who, without a title, interferes in public, civil or military functions, or performs the acts of one of these functions, shall be punished by imprisonment for two to five years, without prejudice to the penalty of forgery, if the act bears the character of this crime.*** » (Old French Penal Code - Article 258)

Constitution of the World Health Organization - World Health Conference - New York - 22 July 1946
Chapter X - Entry into force
Article 79
States may become parties to this Constitution by:
(i) signature, without reservation as to approval;
(ii) signature subject to approval, followed by acceptance
(iii) outright acceptance.
b) Acceptance shall become effective upon deposit of a formal instrument with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

It is clear from Article 79 of its "constitution" that the WHO is attempting to instrumentalise the UN to create a World Government by making the UN Secretary General assume a legislative role contrary to his functions. Indeed, it is not the vocation of the UN to validate a "world constitution" or any constitution of any sovereign country, nor is it the vocation of the UN to validate any document, except treaties related to its mission, which it certifies as being in conformity with international law in force and diplomatic rules. To « *be part* » of a constitution is to accept to be a member of a governance (see explanations above) and as far as WHO is concerned, it is to accept, in violation of the sovereignty of countries, to become a member of a world governance, of unknown legal form, stateless, non-sovereign, not subject to international law, and therefore illegal. It is clear that the accession of member countries, spelled out in Article 80, is a sham validation of this government: « *This Constitution shall come into force when twenty-six Member States of the United Nations have become parties to it, in accordance with the provisions of Article 79* ». (Art. 80) It is indeed through the accession of UN member countries that the WHO intends to bring its « constitution » into force, therefore, it is indeed through the accession of UN member countries that the WHO intends to homologate a world governance of a private nature, which is absurd and illegal since it is a violation of the constitutions of sovereign countries and of the right of peoples to decide for themselves, and therefore a violation of Article 1 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights guaranteed by the UN.

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

> **Article 1**
> **«All peoples have the right of self-determination. By virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development.**
>
> All peoples may freely dispose of their natural wealth and resources for their own ends, without prejudice to the obligations arising out of international economic cooperation, based on the principle of mutual benefit, and international law. In no case may a people be deprived of its own means of subsistence.
>
> The States Parties to the present Covenant, including those having responsibility for the administration of Trust Territories, shall promote the realization of the right of peoples to self-determination, and shall respect that right, in conformity with the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations. »
>
> https://www.ohchr.org/fr/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx
> https://www.erudit.org/fr/revues/ltp/1997-v53-n2-ltp2158/401080ar.pdf

As long as WHO's « constitution » has not entered into force (it cannot legally), WHO does not exist, since this "constitution" is the only text defining it as an entity. Invoke, in Article 81 of this « Constitution », - « *In accordance with Article 102 of the Charter of the United Nations, the Secretary-General of the United Nations shall register this Constitution when it has been signed without reservation as to approval by any State or at the time of deposit of the first instrument of acceptance.* » - Article 102 of the UN Charter is abused because the UN is mandated to register

« *Any international treaty or agreement concluded by a Member of the United Nations after the entry into force of the present Charter, as soon as possible, in the Secretariat and published by it.* » (Art. 102). The WHO « constitution » is not a treaty between two or more sovereign states.

In the Arrangement signed by the governments represented at the 1946 Health Conference in New York, it is stated that « *Having resolved to establish, pending the coming into force of the Constitution and the establishment of the World Health Organisation as provided for in the Constitution, an* ***Interim Commission****…* ». Since the WHO constitution cannot come into force as it is contrary to international law, **the WHO is in this case an Interim Commission on Health serving undetermined private interests**. Furthermore, as most signatories signed with the words "ad referendum" next to their signature, meaning that they have to report back to their respective governments before signing or ratifying, the 1946 texts have no official value. They are working documents.

> **French Constitution of 4 October 1958 - Article 53**
>
> **« Peace treaties, trade treaties, treaties or agreements relating to international organisation, those which commit the finances of the State, those which modify provisions of a legislative nature, those which relate to the status of persons, those which involve the transfer, exchange or addition of territory, may only be ratified or approved by virtue of a law.** They shall not take effect until they have been ratified or approved. No cession, exchange or addition of territory is valid without the consent of the populations concerned. »

Only the President of the French Republic can ratify a treaty (*Art. 52 - Constitution of 4 October 1958*). No treaty committing the sovereignty, territory or governance of a sovereign country can be signed without the agreement of its population. (*Art. 53 - Constitution of 4 October 1958)*. As far as France is concerned, any change in the Constitution must be submitted to a referendum for approval (*Art. 89 - Constitution of 4 October 1958*)

Reminder of the Objectives of the UN Charter

Article 1

« The purposes of the United Nations are to :

1. To maintain international peace and security and to this end: to take effective collective measures for the prevention and removal of threats to the peace and for the suppression of acts of aggression or other breaches of the peace, and to bring about by peaceful means, in conformity with the principles of justice and international law, adjustment or settlement of international disputes or situations which might lead to a breach of the peace;
2. To develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples and to take all other appropriate measures to strengthen world peace;
3. To achieve international co-operation in solving international problems of an economic, social, intellectual or humanitarian character, and in promoting and encouraging respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion;

4. To be a centre where the efforts of nations are harmonised towards these common ends.

In terms of international health regulation, several bodies preceded the WHO. From 1859 onwards, several world health conferences were held and conventions were signed. Then the first health organisations appeared: The High Council of Health of Constantinople (1839), the Maritime and Quarantine Sanitary Council of Egypt of Alexandria (1843), the Sanitary Council of Tangier (1840), the Sanitary Council of Tehran in (1867), the Pan-American Sanitary Bureau (1902), the Hygiene Organisation of the League of Nations (1923), the International Office of Public Hygiene or OIHP (1907). During the war, the OIHP did not have the means to carry out its mission. In 1941, an Inter-Allied Relief Committee was created, which was replaced in 1943 by the United Nations Relief and Rehabilitation Administration (UNRRA) with headquarters in Washington and a regional office in London. WHO is supposed to have taken over from these organisations on the basis of the Rome Agreement of 9 December 1907. One of the 1946 documents, registered at the UN, attests that WHO is supposed to assume the same functions as the International Office of Public Health or IOPH (1907) validated by the Rome Agreement of 1907. This is the Protocol on the Office of Public Health, published at the end of what WHO calls its "constitution". It is the only text concerning WHO published at the UN (Certified copy) (https://treaties.un.org/Pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=IX-2&chapter=9&clang=_fr**)** but it does not mention the official text of the 1907 Rome Agreement defining the prerogatives of the OIHP so the functions of the WHO are not legally established.

> **Protocol on the Office of Public Hygiene**
> « The Governments signatory to this Protocol agree, as far as they are concerned, that **the tasks and functions of the International Office of Public Hygiene as defined in the Agreement signed at Rome on 9 December 1907 shall be assumed by the World Health Organisation or by the interim commission thereof** and that, subject to international obligations, they shall take the necessary steps to this end. »

The protocol would have entered into force on 20 October 1947 in accordance with Article 7 of the UN Charter. Under this protocol, the **WHO would be a subsidiary organ of the UN, and therefore like all subsidiary organs of the UN, obliged to respect the UN Charter.** Following the rules of its own constitution, whose articles contradict UN laws, is a violation of the UN Charter. As a subsidiary body of the UN, the WHO cannot « ***act as a directing and coordinating authority*** » because by doing so it violates the UN Charter and Article 1 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights guaranteed by the UN.

In the management of the COVID crisis, in terms of international treaties, the WHO has exceeded its rights and violated the laws inherent in human rights and the UN Charter of which it is supposed to be a representative. It has also overstepped its rights by establishing International Health Regulations (IHR) without submitting them to the approval of the populations concerned, subjecting them to contradictory debate during a UN General Assembly and validating them by two-thirds of the members as recommended in the Charter.

UN Charter - Article 7

1. There are established as principal organs of the United Nations: a General Assembly, a Security Council, an Economic and Social Council, a Trusteeship Council, an International Court of Justice and a Secretariat.
2. Such subsidiary bodies as may be necessary may be established in accordance with this Charter.

Article 7 does not rule on the entry into force of a protocol or the establishment of a subsidiary body. It gives the right to the UN General Assembly to establish a subsidiary body under Article 22 of the UN Charter : « *The General Assembly may establish such subsidiary bodies as it deems necessary for the performance of its functions* ». It is the UN General Assembly that decides on the creation of a subsidiary body and defines its mode of operation. It is therefore surprising that the UN validates the entry into force of a text by virtue of Article 7 of its Charter since Article 7 does not rule on the entry into force of a treaty, this is the vote in the General Assembly who rule on the entry into force of a treaty. Moreover, the text has a majority of signatures « ad referendum », so it is only a working document, not a finalised agreement.

UN Charter - Article 18

1. Each member of the General Assembly has one vote.

2. Decisions of the General Assembly on important matters shall be taken by a two-thirds majority of the members present and voting. The following are considered important matters : recommendations relating to the maintenance of international peace and security, the election of non-permanent members of the Security Council, the election of members of the Economic and Social Council, the election of members of the Trusteeship Council in accordance with paragraph 1(c) of Article 86, the admission of new Members to the Organization, the suspension of the rights and privileges of Members, the expulsion of Members, matters relating to the operation of the trusteeship system, and budgetary matters.

3. Decisions on other matters, including the determination of new categories of matters to be decided by a two-thirds majority, shall be taken by a majority of the members present and voting.

Therefore, since the creation of the WHO subsidiary body was not subject to an adversarial debate and vote in the UN General Assembly, the WHO is not a subsidiary body of the UN. Every subsidiary organisation is the subject of a resolution which validates its existence. It does not

follow a particular set of rules but applies the principles of the UN Charter, as is the case for example with the Board of Auditors.

WHO is neither a « specialised agency » with an agreement with the UN, nor a "subsidiary body". It has no statutes and therefore no identity or legal nature. It is indeed a mafia enterprise « *A **mafia** is a criminal organisation whose activities are subject to an occult collegiate management and which relies on a strategy of infiltration of civil society and institutions* » or an **association of criminals** **«** A*n association de malfaiteurs is any grouping formed or agreement established with a view to the preparation, characterised by one or more material facts, of one or more crimes or one or more offences punishable by at least five years' imprisonment* **(**Art. 450-1 of the Criminal Code**).** *Participation in this association is a crime against the nation, the state and public peace. »*

> **The Triads**
> These origins date back to the **Middle Ages**. The Triads have long been nationalistic and secretive groups. Born under the Manchu dynasty, they wanted the restoration of Ming power. The Triads we know today are heirs to the Ming dynasty, but their objectives have changed. It was in the last century, with the arrival of the communists in the country, that they were declared **outlaws**. During this period, the Triads started to move to Hong Kong, Macao or Taiwan, to leave their patriotic values and pursue a **criminal vocation**.
> At the head of these Triads, there is a leader who calls himself the « **dragon head** ». Several ranks are found below him. The whole can be drawn in a triangle as seen below. At the bottom are the **soldiers** who carry out orders and dubious transactions. Their role is the most risky, they form the armed arm of the organisation.
> Officers manage them. More specific names are given to those with certain skills. For example, members are recruited by the « Incense Master », while the « the straw sandal » is in charge of the external affairs of the mafia. The « the fan of white paper » is in charge of finances. Those who do not respect the code of the Triads are corrected by the « Red Stick », who practices martial arts. The sentences are formal.
>
> https://www.opnminded.com/2017/01/24/coeur-triades-chinoises-mafia-histoire-organisation-criminelle.html
> http://bancpublic.net/article.php?id=5224

WHO's desire to establish a governmental structure is clearly stated in its Executive Council : « *The executive (also known simply as the executive) is one of the three branches of government, together with the legislature and the judiciary, constituting the State in a democratic system respecting the separation of powers. It is responsible for managing the current policy of the State and for ensuring the application of the law drawn up by the legislative branch.* » (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pouvoir_exécutif)

Constitution of the World Health Organization - World Health Conference - New York - 22 July 1946

The functions of the Council are as follows:

a) implement the « decisions and directives » of the Health Assembly; (Legislative power)

b) act as the executive body of the Health Assembly; (Executive power)

c) to perform any other function assigned to it by the Health Assembly**;**

d) to consult the Health Assembly on matters would be referred to it by that organism and on would be matters referred to the Organization by conventions, agreements and regulations;

e) on its own initiative, submit consultations or proposals to the Health Assembly;

f) prepare the agendas of the Health Assembly sessions;

g) submit to the Health Assembly for consideration and approval a general programme of work covering a specified period;

h) to consider all matters within its competence;

i) **within the functions and financial resources of the Organisation, take any emergency measures in the case of events requiring immediate action.** (On behalf of which sovereign government? Under which international treaty? What is immediate action? What kind of event? What measures? Similar to a judicial power). It may, in particular, authorise the Director General to take the necessary steps to combat epidemics, to participate in the provision of medical assistance to the victims of a calamity and to undertake such studies or research to the urgency of which its attention will been drawn by any State or by the Director General.

« ***Within the functions and financial resources of the Organisation, to take any emergency measures in the event of events requiring immediate action.*** » is a violation of Article 1-2 (Chapter I - Purposes and Principles) of the UN Charter : «*To develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples and to take all other appropriate measures to strengthen world peace.* » No extra-territorial and extra-legal entity has the right to make decisions on behalf of sovereign peoples. Each government of a sovereign country has a legislative, a executive and a judicial power to make decisions and take action with respect to its people. WHO has no right to do this for them. In doing so, it violates the body of international law and the principle of non-aggression.

Definition of aggression - UN Resolution 3314 (http://www.derechos.org/nizkor/aggression/doc/aggression37.html)

The General Assembly,

« Basing itself on the fact that one of the essential purposes of the United Nations is to maintain international peace and security and to take effective collective measures to prevent and remove threats to the peace and to suppress any act of aggression or other breach of the peace

Recalling that the Security Council, in accordance with Article 39 of the Charter of the United Nations, determines the existence of a threat to the peace, breach of the peace or act of aggression and makes recommendations or decides what measures

shall be taken in accordance with Articles 41 and 42 to maintain or restore international peace and security,

Recalling also the duty of States under the Charter to settle their international disputes by peaceful means in order not to endanger international peace, security and justice

Bearing in mind that nothing in the present Definition shall be interpreted as affecting in any way the scope of the provisions of the Charter concerning the functions and powers of organs of the United Nations

Believing also that aggression is the most serious and dangerous form of the unlawful use of force, which contains, given the existence of all types of weapons of mass destruction, the possible threat of global conflict with all its catastrophic consequences, and that it is therefore appropriate at this stage to provide a definition of aggression,

Reaffirming the duty of States not to resort to the use of armed force to deprive peoples of their right to self-determination, freedom and independence or to undermine territorial integrity

Reaffirming also that the territory of a State is inviolable and may not be subjected, even temporarily, to military occupation or other measures of force by another State in violation of the Charter, nor shall it be subject to acquisition by another State as a result of such measures or of the threat of their use,

Reaffirming also the provisions of the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations,

Convinced that the adoption of a definition of aggression should have the effect of discouraging a potential aggressor, would facilitate the detection of acts of aggression and the implementation of measures to combat them, and would make it possible to safeguard the legitimate rights and interests of the victim and to assist it,

Being of the opinion that, although the question of whether an act of aggression has occurred must be considered in the light of all the circumstances of each case, it is nevertheless desirable to formulate basic principles to guide the determination,…

f course, in terms of the WHO's global power grab, this is not a militarised aggression. It is a 'subversive takeover' by an organised criminal group, such group defined in the UN Convention against Transnational Crime: : « *Organised criminal group" means a structured group of three or more persons existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with this Convention, in order to obtain,*

directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit. » Article 4 of UN Resolution 3314 defines that « *The above list of acts is not exhaustive and the Security Council may designate other acts as acts of aggression in accordance with the Charter.* » Whether the aggression is hot, military, or cold, subversive, « *A war of aggression is a crime against international peace. Aggression gives rise to international responsibility* » (Art.5 - Resolution 3314 - Definition of Aggression).

Since WHO is an entity of unknown legal form and mafia-like in nature, it is necessary to question the funding it receives since it is neither a subsidiary body of the UN nor a specialised agency with an agreement with its Economic and Social Council

International Convention for the Prevention of Terrorism (https://www.un.org/french/millenaire/law/cirft.htm**)**

Any person who, by any means, directly or indirectly, unlawfully and intentionally, provides or collects funds with the intention that they should be used or in the knowledge that they are to be used, in whole or in part, to commit

a) An act that constitutes an offence under and as defined in any of the treaties listed in the Annex;

b) Any other act intended to cause death or serious bodily injury to a civilian, or to any other person not taking a direct part in the hostilities in a situation of armed conflict, when the purpose of such act, by its nature or context, is to intimidate a population, or to compel a government or an international organisation to do or abstain from doing any act.

2. a) When depositing its instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession, a State Party which is not a party to a treaty listed in the annex referred to in paragraph 1 (a) of this article may declare that, in the application of the present Convention to it, the treaty shall be deemed not to be included in that annex. Such a declaration shall cease to have effect upon the entry into force of the treaty for the State Party, which shall notify the depositary thereof;

b) When a State Party ceases to be a party to a treaty listed in the annex, it may make the declaration provided for in the present article with respect to that treaty**.**

3. For an act to constitute an offence within the meaning of paragraph 1, it is not necessary that the funds were actually used to commit an offence referred to in subparagraphs (a) or (b) of paragraph 1 of this Article.

4. It is also an offence to attempt to commit an offence under paragraph 1 of this Article.

5. It is also an offence to :

a) Participates as an accomplice in an offence within the meaning of paragraphs 1 or 4 of this article;

b) Organises the commission of an offence within the meaning of paragraphs 1 or 4 of this article or directs others to commit it;

c) Contributes to the commission of one or more of the offences referred to in paragraphs 1 or 4 of this article by a group of persons acting in concert.

This competition must be deliberate and must :

i) to facilitate or serve the purpose of the criminal activity of the group, where such activity or purpose involves the commission of an offence as defined in paragraph 1 of this article;

ii) Be brought with the knowledge that the group intends to commit an offence under paragraph 1 of this article.

iii)

https://www.gavi.org/fr/modele-de-fonctionnement/modele-de-partenariat-de-gavi/oms



GAVI Alliance

« **Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance, was established in 2000 as a global health partnership to ensure that children in the world's poorest countries have equitable access to new and underused vaccines**. In particular, Gavi aims to accelerate access to vaccines, strengthen countries' health and immunisation systems, and introduce new and innovative immunisation techniques. As a result, since its inception, Gavi has enabled the immunisation of an additional 326 million children and prevented 5.5 million potential deaths.

WHO is one of the four permanent members of the Gavi Board and alternates as chair (with UNICEF) of the Gavi Executive Committee. WHO also supports Gavi's activities by facilitating vaccine research and development, standard setting and regulation of vaccine quality. It also develops evidence-based policy options to guide vaccine use and maximise country access. Gavi also benefits from WHO's input on issues ranging from cold chain and vaccine management to training and post introduction analysis of vaccines. »

GAVI, officially **Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance**

(previously the **GAVI Alliance**, and before that the **Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization**)

"GAVI facilitates vaccinations in developing countries by working with donor governments, the World Health Organization,[4] UNICEF,[5] the World Bank,[6] the vaccine industry in both industrialised and developing countries, research and technical agencies, civil society, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation[7] and other private philanthropists. GAVI has observer status at the World Health Assembly."

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/GAVI

„Die Impfallianz Gavi wurde am 29. Januar 2000 am Weltwirtschaftsforum (WEF – World Economic Forum) in Davos ins Leben gerufen."

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



The financial links between the WHO, the UN and the private sector are contrary to the UN Charter. The UN is financed solely by the contributions of member countries and has control over the finances of the "subsidiary bodies" it has established and the « specialised agencies » with which it has entered into an agreement. It should be noted that the Charter does not refer to any « specialised agency », which is legally logical since the word agency refers to the private sector. An agency is « *an entity or body responsible for managing the day-to-day affairs of a company or parent company* ».

According to Article 100-2 of the Charter « *Each Member of the Organisation undertakes to respect the exclusively international character of the duties of the Secretary General and the staff and not to seek to influence them in the performance of their duties.*» The UN General Assembly cannot allow private companies to interfere with its work, either directly within its structure or through a WHO-type agency, as this is a clear conflict of interest under Article 2-1 (Prevention of conflicts of interest and transparency in French public life - https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/loda/id/JORFTEXT000028056315/) : « *For the purposes of this law, a conflict of interest is any situation of interference between a public interest and public or private interests which is likely to influence or appear to influence the independent, impartial and objective exercise of a function.* » and Article 18 of the United Nations Convention against Corruption (https://www.unodc.org/pdf/corruption/publications_unodc_convention-f.pdf) concerning trading in influence.

In view of the financial interests at stake, it is legitimate to fear that the UN Secretary General, the organisation's staff, the members of the General Assembly are subject to attempts at corruption by the agency of unknown legal nature called WHO and its financial partners.

In conjunction with the WHO and its financial partners, the UN is no longer able to act neutrally on the international scene and to play its role as mediator because it can only be suspected of promoting private interests instead of those of sovereign peoples. The UN, its subsidiary bodies and its specialised agencies can only be financed by the contributions paid by the member countries, otherwise it is no longer the sovereignty of the peoples that is expressed through it but that of private interests.

> **United Nations Convention against Corruption** (https://www.unodc.org/pdf/corruption/publications_unodc_convention-f.pdf)
> **Article 18 - Influence peddling**
> « Each State Party shall consider adopting such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence when committed intentionally**:**
> a) Promising, offering or giving a public official or any other person, directly or indirectly, an undue advantage in order that the official or person abuse his or her real or supposed influence in order to obtain from an administration
> or a public authority of the State Party an undue advantage to the original instigator of the act or to any other person;

b) The solicitation or acceptance by a public official or any other person, directly or indirectly, of an undue advantage for himself or herself or for another person in order to abuse his or her real or supposed influence with a view to obtaining an undue advantage from an administration or a public authority of the State Party

UN Charter - Article 17 (https://www.un.org/fr/about-us/un-charter/full-text)

1. « The General Assembly shall consider and approve the budget of the Organisation.
2. The expenses of the Organisation shall be borne by the Members according to the distribution determined by the General Assembly.
3. The General Assembly shall consider and approve any financial and budgetary arrangements with the specialized agencies referred to in Article 57 and shall examine the administrative budgets of such agencies with a view to making recommendations to them. »

The corporate or parent company nature of the WHO is evident in the above diagrams. The parent company could be the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation or the Welcome Trust (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wellcome_Trust). With regard to what appears to be an opaque multinational, it is difficult at this stage of the ongoing investigations to determine who is at the helm of this nebulous assembly of heterogeneous structures.

UN Charter
Article 57

1. The various specialized agencies established by intergovernmental agreement and having wide international responsibilities under their constitutions in the economic, social, cultural, educational, health and related fields shall be brought into relationship with the Organization in accordance with the provisions of Article 63.
2. **The institutions thus linked to the Organisation are hereinafter referred to as « specialised institutions ».**

Article 63

1. The Economic and Social Council may enter into agreements with any institution referred to in Article 57, setting out the conditions under which that institution shall be brought into relationship with the Organization. **Such agreements shall be submitted to the General Assembly for approval.**
2. It may coordinate the work of the specialized agencies by consulting with them and making recommendations to them, and by making recommendations to the General Assembly and the Members of the United Nations.

Under UN Articles 57 and 63, it is clear that there are no « specialised agencies » of the UN, but « specialised institutions », which conclude agreements subject to approval by the General Assembly. A clear definition of an agency and an institution is needed.

Agency

« **An agency is by definition an entity or body responsible for managing the day-to-day affairs of a company or parent company which it represents in a given ridership area.** (Who is the parent company of the WHO? The UN is not a company. The UN is not intended to be a parent company. / catchment area: « the area around the business in which potential customers live, work or travel » - https://www.legalstart.fr/fiches-pratiques/immobilier-patrimoine/achalandage/ The UN has no customers).

Like a branch, an agency does not have a legal personality. Unlike a branch, an agency does not have financial autonomy.

There are several types of agencies in all areas of activity, including telecommunication agencies, travel agencies, shipping agencies, electricity and water agencies, etc. (https://www.boursedescredits.com/lexique-definition-agence-197.php)

Institution

« Institutions are born, live and die legally" Maurice Hauriou

"Etymology: from Latin institutio, disposition, arrangement, formation, derived from instituere, to establish, to institute.

"An institution is nothing other than a human action dissociated from its author and considered in itself. (https://www.cairn.info/le-droit-constitutionnel--9782130619765-page-9.htm)

« A group of people organised to achieve a higher purpose under the control of an authority. »

Here, we find the distinction already made by Hauriou between personalised institutions, such as the state, associations or companies, and non-personalised institutions, which may be rules of law. Hauriou defines an institution as « **an idea of work or enterprise which is realised and legally durable in a social environment; for the realisation of this idea, a power is organised which provides it with organs; on the other hand, between the members of the social group interested in the realisation of the idea, there are manifestations of communion directed by the organs of power and regulated by procedures** ».2 Thus, three elements constitute an institution in the sense of a personalised institution. Thus, three elements constitute an institution in Hauriou's sense: the idea of work, the organised power and the manifestation of communion » (https://www.legavox.fr/blog/francois-fournier-murphy/encadrement-etat-dans-theorie-institution-5457.htm)

The three poles of the institution

a) an idea for a work or business

b) which is realised and legally sustainable

c) in a social environment.

« The institution is made up of a group of natural or legal persons with their own individuality. In order to ensure the cohesion between these persons necessary for the maintenance of the institution, but also to guarantee each of them individually, it is necessary for the institution to give rise to rules of law. » (https://www.doc-du-juriste.com/droit-public-et-international/histoire-et-philosophie-du-droit/cours-de-professeur/droit-comme-institution-institution-fonde-droit-628697.html)

« What is instituted" (...) "Public or private body, legal or social regime established to meet some specific need of a given society. »

The agency is thus a private entity without legal personality at the service of a private entity, whereas the institution, a working tool given to it by contractors, is constituted on a legal basis which acts on its own behalf or on behalf of a supervisory authority, which corresponds perfectly to the mode of creation of the specialised agencies and subsidiary bodies of the UN as defined in the UN Charter.

The WHO, by presenting itself as a UN agency, is engaging in misleading advertising: « Any advertising which contains, in any form whatsoever, false or misleading claims, indications or presentations concerning one or more of the following elements is prohibited existence, nature, composition, substantial qualities, content of useful principles, species, origin, quantity, method and date of manufacture, properties, prices and conditions of sale of goods or services which are the subject of the advertisement, conditions of their use, results which can be expected from their use, reasons for or procedures of the sale or provision of services, scope of the commitments made by the advertiser, identity, qualities or abilities of the manufacturer, resellers, promoters or service providers. » (Art. L 121-1 - Code de la Consommation - https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/codes/id/LEGISCTA000006161818/1993-07-27/) and commits a **breach of trust**, « A breach of trust is the fact that a person embezzles, to the detriment of another person, funds, securities or any property whatsoever which have been handed over to him and which he has accepted on condition that he return them, represent them or make a specific use of them. »

The WHO Health Assembly

WHO does not specify the composition of the Health Assembly.

« *Each Member State shall be represented by not more than three delegates, one of whom shall be designated by the Member State as head of delegation.* » (Chapter V - Art 11 - WHO Constitution). The constitution does not define what a Member State is, nor how it is recruited, as it is the WHO Health Assembly that « ***elects the States to be nominated to the Board*** ». Delegates may therefore be from the private sector and not officially represent their respective states. Only citizens with a legal status that allows them to represent their sovereign states can do so.

Decree n°69-222 of 6 March 1969 on the special status of diplomatic and consular agents

Art. 62

« French ambassadors and plenipotentiary ministers are eligible for the posts of head of diplomatic mission.

Foreign affairs advisors who have at least ten years' experience in a category A body, including at least three years abroad, and who have demonstrated their suitability for these posts, particularly through the exercise of managerial responsibilities, may also be called upon to occupy these posts.

Diplomatic agents in charge of an embassy enjoy the rank and prerogatives of an ambassador for the duration of their mission.

In the absence of the head of mission, the acting head of mission shall be the official occupying the most senior diplomatic post. »

https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/loda/article_lc/LEGIARTI000020668572

«**The assembly adopts its own rules** » (Art. 17 - WHO constitution) **is illegal**. An organisation of any kind must declare its rules at the time of its creation. With regard to a Society, « *The statutes must be drawn up in writing. They shall determine, in addition to the contributions of each partner, the form, object, name, registered office, share capital, duration of the company and the manner of its operation. The Articles of Association may specify a purpose, consisting of the principles which the company has adopted and for the respect of which it intends to allocate resources in the performance of its activity.* » (Art. 1835 - Civil Code - On the Company). With regard to an association, « *Any association wishing to obtain the legal capacity provided for in Article 6 must be made public by its founders.* ***The prior declaration shall be made to the representative of the State in the department where the association has its registered office. It shall state the title and purpose of the association, the location of its establishments and the names, occupations, residences and nationalities of those who, in any capacity, are responsible for its administration. A copy of the statutes shall be attached to the declaration****. A receipt shall be issued within five days.* » (Law of 1 July 1901 - Art. 5)

No association can exist without statutes, nor without being officially registered. This is the sine qua non for raising funds and becoming a legal person.

Draft Convention on the Legal Status of International Associations (https://uia.org/archive/legal-status-4-1https://ial-online.org)

« The Governments signatory to the present Convention undertake (without prejudice to the right of each of them to determine according to its own laws, in each particular case, whether to authorise the acceptance of donations or legacies made by its nationals to international associations, or having as their object property situated in its territory), to recognise as legal persons having the right to

make contracts, to acquire by gift or will, as well as the right to sue and be sued, international public benefit societies, under the following conditions :

Such societies must have articles of association stating precisely:

(a) those of their officers whose acts, to the extent of the property of the company and the contributions of their members, render the company liable, and

(b) the method of election or appointment of such officers.

2. They must deposit a sufficient number of copies of their Articles of Association and, in the event of amendments thereto, of their amended Articles of Association, with the Office mentioned below.

3. **In depositing their Articles of Association, they shall communicate the name, profession or position and domicile of each of the above-mentioned officials, and if there is any change, they shall as soon as possible inform the same Bureau, which shall send two copies of the Articles of Association to each Government signatory to the present Convention**.

Two copies signed by the principal officials of the company and certified by a notary public shall in addition remain on deposit with the Bureau.

4. These companies must undertake to recognise, if an action were brought against them, the jurisdiction

(a) Of the "forum rei sitae" in case of an action in rem concerning immovable property;

(b) the court of the signatory State in whose territory a contract has been concluded or should be executed by the company;

(c) the court of the domicile of the donor or testator in the case of a gift, legacy or succession;

(d) the court of the domicile of the official who is the principal manager of the company for all actions brought against it, except for actions in rem concerning immovable property.

5. The signatory states will establish an International Bureau in the territory of a neutral signatory state or in that of the Dutch government, if that government is a signatory. This Bureau will be responsible for the functions mentioned above (2 and 3).

6. If, at the request of a company seeking recognition as an international juridical person, the Bureau sends two copies of the Articles of Association and the names, etc., of the persons who are at that time officials of that company, the recognition of that company as a juridical person shall be deemed to be granted by all Governments which, within a period of four months from the date of sending by the Bureau, have not communicated their refusal to the Bureau. No reasons shall

be given for such refusal; the effect of such refusal shall be that the company in question shall not be recognised as a legal person within the limits of the sovereignty of the refusing State,

The same rules shall be observed in the case of a change of statutes.

The names, etc., of the officers of a company shall be communicated to the signatory States only the first time, when the Articles of Association are communicated.

7. Recognition may always be revoked by simple communication to the Bureau, which shall inform the society in question as soon as possible. However, the revocation shall not have retroactive effect and shall not come into force until the expiry of six months after the communication made.

8. The special costs incurred by the applications and communications of a society shall be borne by that society. The general maintenance costs of the Bureau (*) shall be borne by the signatory States.

« *The General Assembly shall establish its own rules of procedure. It shall appoint its President for each session* » is Article 21 of the UN Charter, but the UN General Assembly can only establish its rules of procedure in compliance with the Charter, and therefore within a defined legal framework that has been deposited in advance, which is not the case for the WHO, which has no statutes and therefore no legal nature. Here again, the WHO positions itself, in its constitution, as a UN bis whose prescriptive text, the Charter, it does not respect.

Arrangement concluded by the governments represented at the International Health Conference. (19 June to 22 July 1946)

In this text, it is explained that a text of the constitution has been adopted « *having today adopted a text of the constitution of the World Health Organisation* » but that it does not enter into force « *having decided to create, pending the entry into force of the Constitution and the establishment of the World Health Organisation, as provided for in the Constitution, an Interim Commission.* »

It is therefore clear that the World Health Organisation cannot exist until its « constitution » comes into force. However, this constitution cannot come into force either within the UN or outside it, since the World Health Organisation is not a sovereign state, subject to international law. Legally, the WHO does not exist. Only an unnamed interim commission can act in its name and place. This commission comprises 18 countries in charge of appointing their representatives. By what criteria, since the « constitution » is not in force and the WHO is neither a subsidiary body of the UN nor a specialised agency that has concluded an agreement with the UN? This is clearly stated in the document:

"(c) enter into negotiations with the United Nations with a view to preparing an agreement or agreements as provided for in the Charter of the United Nations and in Article 69 of the Constitution. This agreement or agreements shall:

(i) Establish effective collaboration between the two organizations in the pursuit of their common purposes.

(ii) facilitate, in accordance with Article 59 of the Charter, the co-ordination of the general policy and activities of the Organization with those of other specialized agencies; and

(iii) At the same time to recognise the autonomy of the Organisation within the field of its competence as defined in its competition.

(d) to take all necessary steps to effect the transfer from the United Nations to the Interim Commission of the functions, activities and knowledge of the League of Nations Health Organisation hitherto assigned to the United Nations

(...)

(1) establish effective liaison with the Economic and Social Council and those of its committees with which it appears useful to do so, in particular the Committee on Narcotic Drugs.

This arrangement is supposed to enter into force on the day of signature, except that the signatories have followed their signatures with the words "ad referendum" which means that they have no power to validate the Arrangement and must refer to their respective governments. The Arrangement has also not been submitted to the UN General Assembly.

On reading this document, it is clear that WHO is not a subsidiary body of the UN nor a specialised agency and that it even wishes to be totally autonomous from the UN: « ***At the same time recognising the autonomy of the Organisation in the field of competence as defined in its constitution*** », relying only on its « constitution » which is however illegal.

Legal value of the Protocol on the Office of Public Health 1946 only text published at the UN (https://treaties.un.org/Pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=IX-2&chapter=9&clang=_fr**)**

This text, certified by the UN, is signed by a majority of the signatories with the words "ad referendum", which means that the signatories did not have the power of their country to validate this Protocol at the time of its signature, in 1946, and that they had to make a reference to their respective governments before a final decision could be taken. This transitional text has no legal value from the point of view of international law since the document has not been updated with the ratified signatures of the governments concerned, even though the UN mentions the dates of ratification in the listing that accompanies the document. It is the signatures, together with a date and place, side by side, that are authentic in a legal document. At the very least, contract ratification addenda bearing the signatures of the national officials responsible for doing so should be certified and attached to the original document if the agreement is an international treaty. However, as long as a document does not bear the signatures of legal representatives, it is only a working document without legal value

This protocol does not make WHO a Subsidiary Body or Specialised Agency of the UN, as it would require a General Assembly to have validated an agreement or defined its prerogatives as a subsidiary body (Art. 18, Art. 57, Art. 63 of the UN) for it to qualify.

Furthermore, the attention of sovereign governments and their judicial institutions should be drawn to the irregularities that can be observed in the signatures. Indeed, a certain **F. G. Krotkov** appears in the document as a signatory for the USSR but the USSR is not mentioned in the list of signatories by the UN (see UN document). Only the Russian Federation, which did not sign the original document, appears in the listing. Who signed the protocol for the Russian Federation? As for France, according to the UN listing, it has never ratified the document. Jacques Parisot, who signed for France, did so « ad referendum », i.e. with the intention of referring it to his government. Indeed, his functions did not allow him to represent the French government and sign on its behalf.

isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19480610477/O/D19480477.pdf
https://www.who.int/governance/eb/who_constitution_fr.pdf
https://nice.cnge.fr/IMG/pdf/Alma_Ata.pdf

UN Member States cannot condone the activities and violations of laws by the WHO, a legal entity of unknown form similar to the City of London Corporation, or the « Franco-German Council of Ministers » or (and) « Franco-German Defence and Security Council ». Using the WHO as a global government structure is a violation of the right of peoples to self-determination.

WHO's membership of the Global Health Security Initiative and its role as a tool of the GHSI in psychological warfare makes it a hostile entity acting to destroy the national sovereignties of countries.

Comment en 20 ans la « pandémie grippale » covid a été préparée comme une guerre bioterroriste – WordPress (wikijustice-contre-la-dictature-sanitaire.com)

Exercise.pdf (rki.de)

Questions arise: Why does the UN validate agencies, such as the WHO, which were not created in accordance with the UN Charter, are financed by private entities and have the status of an agency, i.e. an entity without legal identity? Who runs the United Nations Global Compact entity? What kind of legal entity is the United Nations Global Compact?

This is contrary to the principles of the Charter. « *The Members of the Organization agree to accept and carry out the decisions of the Security Council in accordance with the present Charter.* » (Art.25 - UN Charter) « *In the performance of their duties, the Secretary-General and the staff shall not seek or accept instructions from any government or from any authority external to the Organization. They shall refrain from any action incompatible with their position as international civil servants and shall be responsible only to the Organization.* » (Art.100 - UN Charter).

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

Petitions OHCHR <petitions@ohchr.org> 17 déc. 2019 10:27
À SR, moi

anglais > français Traduire le message Désactiver pour : anglais

Dear Ms Pidancet Barrière,

We hereby acknowledge receipt of your complaint dated 16 December 2019.

Please note that the individual complaint procedures under the United Nations Human Rights Treaties require that certain preliminary criteria are satisfied before the relevant Treaty Bodies (Committees) can proceed with the examination of a complaint.

After having carefully reviewed the content of your complaint, we have noted that the following preliminary criteria do not appear to be met:

A complaint under one of the nine treaties can be brought only against a State that satisfies two conditions. First, it must be a party (through ratification or accession) to the treaty that provides for the rights, which have allegedly been violated. **Second, the State party must have recognized the competence of the committee monitoring that treaty to receive and consider complaints from individuals. The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland has not recognized the competence of the Human Rights Committee or the Committee against Torture.** These treaty bodies will therefore unfortunately be unable to examine your complaint.

Given the content of your complaint, we are forwarding your message to the Special Rapporteur on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CC).

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

WJJA has approached the UN on numerous occasions about the Assange case. To no avail!

Requesting the UN to investigate the torture of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange in the UK and to intervene with the UK government to stop the torture and release him - no one can be arbitrarily detained - WJJA was very surprised in December 2019 to receive the above response when it had sent medical reports attesting to this torture. This response is unacceptable and unworthy of the UN as Britain has ratified the Convention against Torture. (http://indicators.ohchr.org/)

All the UN Directorates, the OCCHR, all the Permanent Delegations, have received 3 medical reports, a situation report, fifteen requests for release from the WJJA concerning the Assange case and the only response obtained while a Man is sequestered incommunicado by a private entity in a dark place and tortured on a territory that has ratified the UN Charter was this one, published above.

It should be noted that the UN has done nothing to save the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange except for a ruling (22 janvier 2016 - 54-2015. http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/Detention/A.HRC.WGAD.2015.docx) which was not followed up and which it did not follow up and a report by the rapporteur against torture **Nils Melzer.** It is a less than minimal service to ensure the defence and protection of a man illegally sequestered incommunicado by an entity of unknown legal nature and whose physical degradation is complacently described in the media and whose death is regularly announced.

To do nothing, to say nothing is to condone the crime, to be an accomplice to the crime. The UN's mission is to protect citizens from torture and to do everything possible to ensure that their fundamental rights are respected. The UN has not even demanded that the Belmarsh prison allow WJJA delegates to check on the health of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange as required by international prison regulationsNils Melzer, the rapporteur against torture, contacted several times by WJJA, never replied to any of his letters. He, too, has received all reports and requests for release concerning the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. The only response we received was from one of our delegates via a newspaper (see copy below).



It is clear from these documents that the UN seems to have forgotten

the nature of its mission and that it is the duty of member countries to respect and enforce the principles of the Charter.

Indeed, their mission is:

WE THE PEOPLES OF THE UNITED NATIONS DETERMINED

- to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war, which twice in our lifetime has brought untold sorrow to mankind
- **to reaffirm faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and worth of the human person, in the equal rights of men and women and of nations large and small**
- to create the conditions necessary for the maintenance of justice and respect for the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law,
- to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom (UN Charter - Preamble)

Néanmoins, les lecteurs nous ont demandé à maintes reprises ce que les avocats d'Assange entreprennent. Je ne peux pas vraiment répondre à cette question, mais j'espère que c'est tout seulement du à la discrétion, ou à un manque de communication, plus qu'à un manque d'inaction.

Savez-vous, ou pouvez-vous me dire s'il y a en ce moment des tentatives de nature juridique pour obtenir de meilleures conditions de détention pour M. Assange ou pour le libérer?

Merci encore et bonne chance pour votre important travail,

Moritz Müller/ NachDenkSeiten

Réponse de Nils Melzer:

Très rapidement puisque je suis effectivement surchargé.

(...)

D'après les rapports de Pilger et d'autres, j'essaie moi aussi actuellement de me faire une idée objective de la situation actuelle, ce qui n'est évidemment pas facile, et le branle-bas lié au BREXIT n'aide pas non plus pour attirer l'attention sur cette affaire.

Je continue de m'accrocher!

Meilleures salutations,

Nils Melzer

The Security Council and the General Assembly of the UN should have demanded that France respect its commitments when, in violation of the Charter and all the texts relating to human rights, its government had its eyes cut out, mutilated, gassed and incarcerated citizens simply because they were demonstrating (an inalienable, inalterable and imprescriptible fundamental human right), citizens whose protection it is supposed to ensure, depriving them of their fundamental human rights, discriminating against them (the civilian population was targeted for its political and trade union opinions, etc.) in violation of Art. 212-1-8 of the French Criminal Code and Article 7 of the Rome Statute, identifiable by a yellow waistcoat) in violation of Art. 212-1-8 of the French Penal Code and Article 7 of the Rome Statute.

The UN Security Council and the General Assembly should have demanded that the government of the United Kingdom, which has ratified the UN Charter, do everything in its power to ensure that the entity of unknown legal nature that is secretly sequestering and torturing the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange releases him. How can a private entity grant itself the right to secretly confine a citizen in violation of all international laws in a so-called democratic sovereign territory that has ratified the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights?

The UN Security Council and the General Assembly should have opposed the implementation of the COVID measures, which violate all fundamental human rights and the Nuremberg Code, and which could result in major global genocide. How can the UN claim to « ensure that States which are not Members of the United Nations act in accordance with these principles to the extent necessary for the maintenance of international peace and security » if UN member countries themselves violate the Charter and Fundamental Human Rights.

How can citizens trust an international court on which countries that constantly violate fundamental human rights sit despite their commitment not to do so when ratifying the Charter: "1. All Members of the United Nations are ipso facto parties to the Statute of the International Court of Justice. - The conditions under which States which are not Members of the United Nations may become parties to the Statute of the International Court of Justice shall be determined in each case by the General Assembly on the recommendation of the Security Council. (Art. 93 - 1 and 2)

A new question arises as a consequence of the previous ones. Has the UN become an agency of the private entity of unknown legal form WHO and is it working for the private interests that fund it? Has it forgotten that those who work for it must not "In the performance of their duties, seek or accept instructions from any government or from any authority external to the Organization. They shall refrain from any action inconsistent with their status as international civil servants and shall be responsible only to the Organization"?

- The WJJA Human Rights association has proved that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka…, cannot be in custody. **The pré-trial detention is very clearly set out in British law, by Section 22 of the Prosecution of Offences Act 1985 (POA 1985), and the Prosecution of Offences (CTL) Regulations 1987.** The limit of this detention is 56 or 70 days for offences under the juridiction of Magistrate Court and 182 days for offences under the juridiction of a Crown Court. **Extradition is always tried by a Magistrate Court. The citizen known as Julian Paul Assange has been imprisoned for 20 months, more than 600 days, 10 times more than the legal standard of the remand in Custody Time Limit**.

Law texts

https://www.inbrief.co.uk/court-proceedings/remand-in-custody-while-awaiting-trial/
https://www.nidirect.gov.uk/articles/custody-and-bail
https://www.sfo.gov.uk/publications/guidance-policy-and-protocols/sfo-operational-handbook/custody-time-limits/

https://www.bindmans.com/insight/blog/those-awaiting-trial-to-spend-longer-in-custody-the-ministry-of-justices-latest-attempt-to-tackle-the-backlog-by-extending-custody-time-limits
https://www.gov.uk/charged-crime
https://api.parliament.uk/historic-hansard/commons/1988/jun/28/remands-in-custody-for-more-than-eight
Remand in custody | Prisoners' Families Helpline
https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/extradition-in-criminal-investigation-cases

- **The human rights organisation WJJA has proved that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange has been legally free since the hearing of the …**
- **The Human Rights Association WJJA proved that the Biden Administration could not appeal Vanessa Baraister's decision to refuse extradition. The Biden administration had 7 days to appeal the decision after the discharge order was issued.** This is legally impossible. Indeed, the discharge of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange was pronounced on 2021 January 4 and the Biden administration officially and legally took office on 2021 January 20. The Biden administration had until 2021 January 15 to appeal, which it was unable to do because Biden was not yet officially president. **The Biden administration's request for an appeal is unlawful. It is a sham and an illegal judicial staging.**

Extradition Act 2003
https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/41/contents (In Release Request 15)

- As the State of Virginia is not a sovereign state, subject to international law, it cannot issue an arrest warrant. Moreover, for an arrest warrant to be issued against a citizen, the citizen must have committed a crime in the territory on which the warrant is issued. We remind you that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is not a U.S. citizen and has not committed a crime in the USA territory. Moreover, it is not subject to any légal procedure.
- WJJA has legally demonstrated that the entity of unknown legal form « Mountbatten-Windsor » controls the territorial binomial City of London Corporation UK - State of Virginia (Washington DC) United States of America, more the colonies of the dominions. It applies illegal extra-territorial and extra-constitutional governance of unknown legal form over these formerly sovereign countries, which endangers the peace and threatens the territorial and institutional security of all sovereign countries on all continents.
- WJJA has legally demonstrated that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka... is being held incommunicado and tortured by the entity of unknown legal form Mountbatten-Windsor which runs the City of London Corporation and the State of Virginia (Washington DC), he is therefore being held incommunicado by those who pretend to be legally persecuting him, the

US government. The false legal procedures to which the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka…, must submit are staged by his torturers.

- Indeed, WJJA has legally demonstrated that under the Navigation Act of 1660, legally all American presidents are governors of the City of London Corporation and thus employees of the entity of unknown legal form Mountbatten-Windsor.
- The citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is therefore being held incommunicado and tortured by the entity of unknown legal form "Mountbatten-Windsor" which runs the City of London Corporation UK - State of Virginia (Washington DC) USA. He is therefore sequestered and tortured by those who pretend to want to extradite and incarcerate him in Guantanamo.
- In view of the facts, it is legitimate to believe that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka…, has already been held incommunicado and tortured in Guantanamo and other dark places of the CIA, agency under the orders of unknown legal form "Mountbatten-Windsor" which runs the City of London Corporation UK - State of Virginia (Washington DC) USA.

From all the investigative documents provided by WJJA to date to the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, it is clear that **the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka... is a hostage prisoner of war whose official name is unknown to date, sequestered incommunicado in a Dark Place, in the United Kingdom or in any other country**.

According to the facts brought to the attention of the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the United Nations Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange could be held incommunicado, in a Dark Place which could be a Psychiatric Hospital, in France, Germany, in the United Kingdom, Switzerland, Argentina, Guantanamo, or in any country in the hands of the mercenaries of the Nazi and Mafia Parakratos, so far unidentified (WHO, City of London-Corporation-Washinton DC, Franco-German Defence and Security Council), or in a territory infiltrated by the entities with an iconic legal form which serve their interests.

To date, no one can say that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, who is identifiable by identifying marks, is alive. No one has been in contact with him and he was not physically present at the last « **hearing** », **<u>the last of which was held in a museum,</u> which explains why none of the rules of the Fair Trial were respected and that the delegate from the Human Rights Association, Monika Karbowska, who came from France to ensure that the citizen Julian Paul Assange was still alive, was refused entry to the courtroom in violation of international law.** Human Rigts Association, **WJJA reminds the British sovereign government and its Prime Minister, Boris Johnson, that it is a legal entity, officially in charge of the Defence of Human Rights by its country. Sovereign countries that respect the UN Charter and the Universal**

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

Declaration of Human Rights <u>must thus facilitate access to courtrooms, places of detention or any place where there is a suspicion of a human rights violation, to its delegates</u>.

The press announced the marriage of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. The Human Rights Association, WJJA, recalls that it has demonstrated that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange has no official birth name, that he is sequestered incommunicado in a Dark Place, that he is being tortured, and therefore unable to take decisions freely, that the citizen who is playing at being his wife in front of the TV cameras, has no official birth name, is connected to agents working for the CIA, such as **MC McGrath** who works for **Palantir**, thus with the torturers who are sequestering the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange.

In fact, this marriage can only be a Fake and a staged event, but to protect the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange <u>from any spoliation</u>, <u>from being put under curatorship</u> or abusive guardianship, the Association for the Defence of Human Rights, WJJA, **in duty to defend his interests since he sent him an SOS, is publicly, officially and formally opposed to any marriage until the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange has regained his full Freedom and the management of his assets.**

Wikijustice Julian Assange, la 15ème demande de Libération de Julian Assange .
Pourquoi la demande d'extradition en appel est juridiquement impossible – Liberté pour Julian Assange – Monika Karbowska (monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh)

Forced and secret marriage

Julian Assange, a forced and secret marriage? – Liberté pour Julian Assange – Monika Karbowska (monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh)

The Governor of Belmarsh Prison, **Jenny Louis**, has publicly announced in the press that she has authorized the Assange's wedding fake, wedding between two citizens whose birth names are not known. The banns attesting to their authentic birth names (one can only marry under one's birth name) should have been published. This is the law. The Human Rights Association WJJA, recalls that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is a hostage held in secret and tortured in a Dark Place. **WJJA therefore takes note of the public confession of the governor of Belmash** who, by validating this marriage, in addition to violating the English law concerning marriages, **declares herself, publicly, through the press, Director of a Dark Place where a citizen is illegally sequestered, under a false name, in violation of all international laws and tortured.**

Contact Belmarsh

Governor: Jenny Louis

Telephone: 020 8331 4400
Monday to Friday, 7am to 8pm, weekends, 7am to 5pm
Fax: 020 8331 4401
Find out about call charges

Email: businesshub.belmarsh@justice.gov.uk

Twitter: Follow Belmarsh on Twitter

https://www.theguardian.com/media/2022/mar/24/julian-assange-marries-stella-moris-in-london-prison-ceremony

https://news.sky.com/story/wikileaks-founder-julian-assange-marries-at-belmarsh-prison-12572697

WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange marries at Belmarsh Prison

Assange's partner Stella Moris arrives at the southeast Loncon jail wearing a wedding dress designed by Dame Vivienne Westwood.

Megan Baynes
News reporter @megbaynes

Confessions.
https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1984/60/section/76

« (1) In any proceedings a confession made by an accused person may be given in evidence against him in so far as it is relevant to any matter in issue in the proceedings and is not excluded by the court in pursuance of this section.

(2) If, in any proceedings where the prosecution proposes to give in evidence a confession made by an accused person, it is represented to the court that the confession was or may have been obtained—

(a) by oppression of the person who made it; or

(b) in consequence of anything said or done which was likely, in the circumstances existing at the time, to render unreliable any confession which might be made by him in consequence thereof, the court shall not allow the confession to be given in evidence against him except in so far as the prosecution proves to the court beyond reasonable doubt that the confession (notwithstanding that it may be true) was not obtained as aforesaid.

(3) In any proceedings where the prosecution proposes to give in evidence a confession made by an accused person, the court may of its own motion require the prosecution, as a condition of allowing it to do so, to prove that the confession was not obtained as mentioned in subsection (2) above.

(4) The fact that a confession is wholly or partly excluded in pursuance of this section shall not affect the admissibility in evidence—

(a) of any facts discovered as a result of the confession; or

(b) where the confession is relevant as showing that the accused speaks, writes or expresses himself in a particular way, of so much of the confession as is necessary to show that he does so.

(5) Evidence that a fact to which this subsection applies was discovered as a result of a statement made by an accused person shall not be admissible unless evidence of how it was discovered is given by him or on his behalf.

(6)Subsection (5) above applies—

(a) to any fact discovered as a result of a confession which is wholly excluded in pursuance of this section; and

(b) to any fact discovered as a result of a confession which is partly so excluded, if the fact is discovered as a result of the excluded part of the confession.

(7) Nothing in Part VII of this Act shall prejudice the admissibility of a confession made by an accused person.

(8) In this section "oppression" includes torture, inhuman or degrading treatment, and the use or threat of violence (whether or not amounting to torture). »

The Human Rights Association, WJJA, request upon the judiciary authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation **to determine which entity of legal unknown form is running the « Dark Place Belmarsh » and <u>who,</u> within this <u>illegal structure</u>, has <u>tortured</u> or <u>ordered the torture</u> of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange.**

The Human Rights Association, WJJA, request upon the judiciary authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights **require that the mercenaries in charge of the Dark Place Belmarsh immediately and unconditionally release the hostage, held incommunicado and tortured, known as Julian Paul Assange**.

The Human Rights Association, WJJA, request upon the judiciary authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to publicly **state in the press the birth name of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange (or give him a surname if he does not have one) in order to protect him, to demand that his patrimony and property be returned to him and that he be taken to a country of his choice where he will consider himself safe.**

The Human Rights Association, WJJA, request the judiciary authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights **to investigate whether other political opponents or citizens are hostages held incommunicado, under false names and tortured in the Dark Place Belmarsh, to compile a complete list of them, to demand their release and to accompany them to countries of their choice where they will feel safe.**

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

The Human Rights Association, WJJA, request upon the judiciary authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights **to open an investigation into whether Guantanamo Express have transported prisoners who had been kidnapped, later held incommunicado and tortured in the Dark Place Belmarsh.**

The Human Rights Association, WJJA, request upon the judiciary authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights **to ensure that the illegal Dark Place Belmarh is closed. Dark Places are illegal.**

In addition, The Human Rights Association, WJJA, request upon the legal authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights **open an investigation to determine whether any of the media staff or management who covered the Assange affair and Assnage's were guilty of complicity in torture, dissemination of false information, slander or defamation.**

Incitement to crime or offence

Law of 29 July 1881 on the Freedom of the Press
Amended by Law n°2021-1109 of 24 August 2021 - art. 38

Article 23
« Those who, either by speeches, shouts or threats uttered in public places or meetings, or by writings, printed matter, drawings, engravings, paintings, emblems, images or any other written, spoken or pictorial material sold or distributed, or by placards or posters displayed in public places or meetings, or by any means of communication to the public by electronic means, will be punished as accomplices to an action qualified as a crime or offence, (b) by means of writings, printed matter, drawings, engravings, paintings, emblems, images or any other written, spoken or pictorial material sold or distributed, offered for sale or displayed in public places or meetings, or by placards or posters displayed for public view, or by any means of communication to the public by electronic means, directly provoked the perpetrator or perpetrators to commit the said act, if the provocation was followed by its effect. »

Incitment

11.4 Incitement
https://www.ag.gov.au/crime/publications/commonwealth-criminal-code-guide-practitioners-draft/part-24-extensions-criminal-liability/division-11/114-incitement

(1) A person who urges the commission of an offence is guilty of the offence of incitement.

(2) For the person to be guilty, the person must intend that the offence incited be committed.

- (2A) Subsection (2) has effect subject to subsection (4A).

(3) A person may be found guilty even if committing the offence incited is impossible.

(4) Any defences, procedures, limitations or qualifying provisions that apply to an offence apply also to the offence of incitement in respect of that offence.

WJJA
W751254170
wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

- (4A) Any special liability provisions that apply to an offence apply also to the offence of incitement in respect of that offence.

(5) It is not an offence to incite the commission of an offence against section 11.1 (attempt), this section or section 11.5 (conspiracy).

- Penalty:
- (a) if the offence incited is punishable by life imprisonment— imprisonment for 10 years; or
- (b) if the offence incited is punishable by imprisonment for 14 years or more, but is not punishable by life imprisonment—imprisonment for 7 years; or
- (c) if the offence incited is punishable by imprisonment for 10 years or more, but is not punishable by imprisonment for 14 years or more—imprisonment for 5 years; or
- (d) if the offence is otherwise punishable by imprisonment— imprisonment for 3 years or for the maximum term of imprisonment for the offence incited, whichever is the lesser; or
- (e) if the offence incited is not punishable by imprisonment— the number of penalty units equal to the maximum number of penalty units applicable to the offence incited.

Note: Under section 4D of the Crimes Act 1914, these penalties are only maximum penalties. Subsection 4B(2) of that Act allows a court to impose an appropriate fine instead of, or in addition to, a term of imprisonment. If a body corporate is convicted of the offence, subsection 4B(3) of that Act allows a court to impose a fine of an amount not greater than 5 times the maximum fine that the court could impose on an individual convicted of the same offence. Penalty units are defined in section 4AA of that Act.



For WikiJustice Julian Assange and his teams

The president