

Subject: Response to Pintado, Mariuxi - OR 22-09-1077
Date: Wednesday, September 28, 2022 at 3:04:23 PM Eastern Daylight Time
From: Krysten L. Louden
To: AO Records
CC: Janet J. Moreno Farmer, Jerry W. Rucker
Attachments: image001.png, TX-TARRANT-22-0936.pdf, Color-Auto0123.pdf, Audio_07_26_2021_15_16_41.mp3

EXTERNAL SENDER

Dear Mariuxi Pintado:

The Tarrant County Criminal District Attorney's Office is in receipt of the attached request. Documents responsive to your request are also attached. Please note that e-mail addresses of members of the public have been redacted pursuant to Government Code section 552.137 and Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009).

Thank you,

Krysten Louden

Legal Assistant

Tarrant County Criminal District Attorney's Office - Civil Division

Tim Curry Justice Center

401 West Belknap St.,

Fort Worth, Texas 76196-0201

(817) 212-6960; (817) 884-1675 fax

klouden@tarrantcountytx.gov

Sharen Wilson
Criminal District Attorney
Tarrant County, Texas



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic transmission and any documents or other writings sent with it may contain information that is confidential, proprietary and/or privileged. It is intended for the sole use of the intended recipient. If you have received this communication in error, please promptly notify the sender at the Tarrant County Criminal District Attorney's Office by reply email and destroy the original message. Any inadvertent disclosure does not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action concerning the contents of this communication or any attachment(s) by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

Krysten L. Louden

Subject: FRIENDS OF PASTOR KITCHENS (JAY MEADOWS & BUFF KIZER)
Location: SHERIFF'S OFFICE
Start: Tue 4/6/2021 1:30 PM
End: Tue 4/6/2021 2:00 PM
Recurrence: (none)
Organizer: Bill E. Waybourn

CONFIRMED. (KDT 03-31-21 @ 1239)

From: jay jalwest [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 10:35 AM
To: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED] Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: Re: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katye,

Thank you so much for arranging this meeting. The Tuesday date works well for both of us. Would me meet at the Sheriff's office or at another venue? I look forward to meeting you.

Blessings,

Jay

TENTATIVE: FRIENDS OF PASTOR KITCHENS (JAY ... SHERIFF'S OFFICE Mon 4/5/2021 2:00 PM
TENTATIVE: FRIENDS OF PASTOR KITCHENS (JAY ... SHERIFF'S OFFICE Tue 4/6/2021 1:30 PM
TENTATIVE: FRIENDS OF PASTOR KITCHENS (JAY ... SHERIFF'S OFFICE Thu 4/8/2021 3:00 PM

THE SHERIFF SAID HE WILL MEET. I WILL BLOCK OFF SEVERAL DATES AND TIMES TO GIVE TO PASTOR KITCHENS AND UPDATE ACCORDINGLY. (KDT 03-30-21 @ 1155)

Katye

If Bill is interested in seeing the gentlemen, their names are Jay Meadows and Buff Kizer. They are both strong Christian brothers and at the same time interested in the election issues. Let me know the Sheriff's feelings and I will have them contact you directly for a scheduled conversation. Thank you for your help with this matter.

Sincerely

Ted kitchens

From: Katye D. Travis [mailto:kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com]

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 3:42 PM

To: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for reaching out to us! The Sheriff is currently out of the office but I will run this by him next week and keep you posted. What are their names?

Respectfully,

Katye Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn

Tarrant County Sheriff's Office

200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor

Fort Worth, Texas 76196

817-884-1388

kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:42 PM

To: Katye D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>

Subject: 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katye

My name is Pastor Ted Kitchens. I have been the Sheriffs friend and pastor for some time over the years. We have great love and respect for each other. The purpose for my short email is simple. I have 2 dear brothers who would like to have a 30 minute meeting with the Sheriff concerning some statistical anomalies in the recent Texas and Tarrant county elections. These men are not conspiracy mongers! I think they have found a few things that might need someone like the Sheriff to at least be aware of. And, when they approached me, I am not sure I totally understand what they think they have uncovered. They felt if they could have a few minutes with Bill, they would be able to better understand what they have discovered and get it placed in the bigger picture of the election. I do think they see some opportunities to put some safeguards around the upcoming mayoral election for sure.

Katye, I would not personally meet with the men and the Sheriff. I also do not want Bill to spend any energy on this if he is not inclined to do so. I happen to know both sides of the equation so I thought I would make an effort to put them together. If the Sheriff is open to the meeting, let me know and I will have the other party contact you about setting that discussion up. I know you are the gatekeeper.

Blessings

Psalm 85:10

Ted kitchens

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

Krysten L. Louden

From: todd [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2020 12:43 PM
To: Bill.E. Waybourn
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: From Todd Butterfield - are you aware of T.I.P.? An election insurrection is taking shape
Attachments: Transition-Integrity-Project-Report (1).pdf
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Howdy Sheriff Waybourn,

I'm Todd Butterfield, a concerned citizen in Tarrant County. If my last name sounds familiar, you may have heard of my relative who laid the Butterfield Trail through Texas some time ago!

Are you aware of T.I.P. ("Transition Integrity Project")? It's really a cunning domestic insurrection with designs for mass violence after the election, an important emergency to prepare for.

Please take a few minutes to view a video about this, which was produced here in Texas by Kevin Freeman and Russell Lake (Economic War Room).

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=owY7h-fozVc>

For your reference, I am including the publication produced out of June's T.I.P. event, which serves as "the insurrection playbook" to coordinate this takeover. One can see from this document that the T.I.P. movement is trying to make any potential Trump victory appear illegitimate. Please understand I am not writing to you as a partisan but as a concerned citizen who shares your belief that any unconstitutional transfer of power would be unacceptable. Here's the basic steps laid out in the attached report published in June:

- 1. *Message that the Trump administration would be unwilling to accept defeat* (when in fact, it is these schemers who are unwilling to accept a defeat)
 - o This message is being hammered in
- 2. *Use COVID as pretext to send mail-in ballots to ALL registered voters* (when in fact they use this massive procedural change to steal more votes)
 - o Federal- and State-judges accomplished this in some important battleground States; judges forced down-to-the-wire changes to State election rules;
 - o There have been lots of reports of election fraud. Maybe these incidents are the tip-of-the iceberg vs. the fraud that goes undetected.
- 3. *Get everyone to accept a delayed determination of winner in the weeks after November 3rd, while officials try to sort out winner*
 - o They have accomplished this
- 4. A fourth element still to come: *as President Trump sues/will not concede, launch mass revolt*. They are aiming for something far more serious event than the Antifa/"BLM"-related violence so far.

I am thankful for Sheriffs like you who truly will defend the Constitution of the United States, and all our people here in Tarrant County, against all domestic enemies.

Sheriff Waybourn, if you would please watch this video ASAP and before Election Day on November 3rd with consideration for how you can prepare our county, I would be extremely thankful for your reply to this email.

Sincerely,

Todd

Todd Butterfield
Concerned citizen, Tarrant County

CC: Mike Olsen, President, Parker County Conservatives
CC: Russell Lake, Executive Producer, Economic War Room
CC: Amy Butterfield (my wife)
CC: Senator Ted Cruz

Krysten L. Louden

From: Katy D. Travis
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2022 4:34 PM
To: Barbara Isaacs
Subject: FW: Invitation to the January 15th Election Integrity Meeting to be held in Collin County

Good Afternoon,

The Sheriff and I have briefed and, unfortunately, he will not be able to attend the meeting January 15th due to confirmation of a previous commitment. Thank you again for extending the invitation!

Respectfully,

Katy Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: Katy D. Travis
Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 5:09 PM
To: 'Barbara Isaacs' [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Invitation to the January 15th Election Integrity Meeting to be held in Collin County

.Received. Thank you! I will pass this along to the Sheriff next week in our briefing.

Respectfully,

Katy Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: Barbara Isaacs [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 4:58 PM
To: Katye D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Cc: Mark Brugge [REDACTED]; Barbaraisaacs [REDACTED]
Subject: Invitation to the January 15th Election Integrity Meeting to be held in Collin County

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Good afternoon, Sheriff Waybourn,

SUBJECT: Election Fraud evidence meeting with two top United States election analysis experts
TIME SENSITIVE

I have contacted Dr. Frank Dr. ,*Frank who has approximately sixty peer-reviewed scientific publications, including feature and cover articles in the leading scientific journals in the world* and Capt. Seth Keshel,, *former military analysis intelligence officer,* they are the election data experts that are traveling around the United States talking with state attorney generals, county commissioners , etc to share their findings.

Dr. Frank lives on the east coast and Capt. Keshel lives in Texas, however their travel schedules are very busy. This is a rare opportunity to to meet with these two leading election experts in a small private meeting.

They are available only on Saturday January 15th around 12 noon to provide a private presentation to only Collin/ Tarrant County Commissioners and Sheriffs , in addition, other County Commissioners from surrounding counties.

I understand that we will only invite two commissioners from each county so that we can keep this meeting private.

Sheriff Waybourn we would be honored if you are able to join us!

We are anticipating approximately eight to ten commissioners/ sheriffs total in attendance. This meeting will be small enough to permit all of your questions to be addressed.

Please let me know if Saturday January 15th will work for your schedule?Dr. Frank and Capt Keshel will need to coordinate their stay here in Texas.

Our meeting will be held in Collin County, and we will forward you the address as soon as reservations are confirmed. This will be a working lunch meeting, so a complimentary lunch will be provided.

We are so grateful that these experts are available to show you this very critical election fraud evidence that they have found uncovered in Tarrant County, Collin County and other counties.

Sheriff Waybourn , thank you for your willingness to work closely with others to help your county move forward with an election process that the voters can trust.

Please don't hesitate to contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,
Barbara Isaacs
direct 210-725-6017

'In God We Trust'

The counties noted in Red were heavily affected during this last election <https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/wp-content/uploads/texas-heat-map.jpg>

Krysten L. Louden

From: Bill [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 3:32 PM
To: Bill E. Waybourn
Cc: Jay Meadows
Subject: Problematic addresses/votes
Attachments: Revised CEITX 10-19-21.pptx.pdf

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Sheriff,

Attached is a PowerPoint with very suspicious addresses and votes. I asked our lead person on this project to provide a list of addresses that are most certain to be associated with improper votes and she indicated that "Pages 6-11 and 13-18 are as sure as I can possibly be."

If you would like to take a closer look, we encourage you to focus on these addresses. Thanks for your efforts in pursuing integrity in our elections.

Bill Fearer

Krysten L. Louden

From: Bill Fearer [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 7:19 PM
To: Heider I. Garcia; Bill E. Waybourn
Subject: Public Information Act Request December 12, 2021

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

1. Provide the Hart InterCivic Audit Log Reports for the Build Server, Central Server, Unofficial Count Server, and Official Count Server from the November 2020 election. Audit log reports should cover the necessary time frame 'before' and 'after' the November 2020 election to cover all relevant activities in all of these Election Management Servers (EMS).
2. Provide names of all counties in Texas to your knowledge currently using the Hart Intercivic Transmit Scanners (wireless transmission of vote counts).

Krysten L. Louden

From: Katye D. Travis
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 11:16 AM
To: jay jalwest [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

My apologies; I looked at the end time. Here is the actual calendar event as displayed on the Sheriff's calendar. The start time is 1:30 pm. Thank you for reaching out to clarify that!

Subject	FRIENDS OF PASTOR KITCHENS (JAY MEADOWS & BUFF KIZER)		
Location	SHERIFF'S OFFICE		
Start time	Tue 4/6/2021 [calendar icon]	1:30 PM [dropdown]	<input type="checkbox"/> All day
End time	Tue 4/6/2021 [calendar icon]	2:00 PM [dropdown]	

Respectfully,

Katye Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: jay jalwest [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 11:12 AM
To: Katye D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: Re: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Good morning,

Just for clarification, you are saying 2:00 and your original time on Tuesday was 1:30. It makes no difference to us which one it is, just want to make sure we are on the same page. Also, I would like to add another associate of ours, Bill Fearer, to the meeting if it is not a problem.

Thank You,

Jay

Sent from Jay Meadows iPhone

On Mar 31, 2021, at 12:48 PM, Katye D. Travis <kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Okay, sounds great. I have saved Tuesday 04-06-21 at 2:00 pm. You will meet the Sheriff at his office. I have pasted the parking directions below. Please let me know if you need anything else.

We are located in the Tarrant County Plaza Building – 200 Taylor Street 7th Floor, Fort Worth, Texas 76196. The entrance to our building is not on Taylor though, it is actually facing Burnett street. Once you enter from the parking lot you will automatically be on level 2 of the building. Come to the 7th floor. Take a left out of the elevators and then a quick right. You will walk down the hall and see double glass doors. We are directly through these doors. Please bring the ticket from the parking lot up with you. We will be able to validate this for you.

<image001.jpg>

Respectfully,

Katye Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn

Tarrant County Sheriff's Office

200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor

Fort Worth, Texas 76196

817-884-1388

kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com

<image002.png>

From: jay jalwest [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 10:35 AM
To: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED] Katye D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: Re: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katye,

Thank you so much for arranging this meeting. The Tuesday date works well for both of us. Would me meet at the Sheriffs office or at another venue? I look forward to meeting you.

Blessings,

Jay

On Mar 30, 2021, at 12:35 PM, Ted Kitchens [REDACTED] wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Katy D. Travis" <kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com>
Date: March 30, 2021 at 12:03:22 PM CDT
To: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon Pastor Kitchens,

The Sheriff has availability to meet with Mr. Meadows & Mr. Kizer on the following dates/times. Please let me know which is agreeable with their schedule. If you wouldn't mind, can you also send me their contact information? Thank you!

Monday 04-05-21 @ 2:00 pm
Tuesday 04-06-21 @ 1:30 pm
Thursday 04-08-21 @ 3:00 pm

Respectfully,

Katy Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com
<image001.png>

From: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:39 AM
To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katy

If Bill is interested in seeing the gentlemen, their names are Jay Meadows and Buff Kizer. They are both strong Christian brothers and at the same time interested in the election issues. Let me know the Sheriff's feelings and I will have them contact you directly for a scheduled conversation. Thank you for your help with this matter.

Sincerely

Ted kitchens

From: Katye D. Travis [<mailto:kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>]
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 3:42 PM
To: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for reaching out to us! The Sheriff is currently out of the office but I will run this by him next week and keep you posted. What are their names?

Respectfully,

Katye Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com
<image001.png>

From: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:42 PM
To: Katye D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katye

My name is Pastor Ted Kitchens. I have been the Sheriffs friend and pastor for some time over the years. We have great love and respect for each other. The purpose for my short email is simple. I have 2 dear brothers who would like to have a 30 minute meeting with the Sheriff concerning some statistical anomalies in the recent Texas and Tarrant county elections. These men are not conspiracy mongers! I think they have found a few things that might need someone like the Sheriff to at least be aware of. And, when they approached me, I am not sure I totally understand what they think they have uncovered. They felt if they could have a few minutes with Bill, they would be able to better understand what they have discovered and get it placed in the bigger picture of the election. I do think they see some opportunities to put some safeguards around the upcoming mayoral election for sure.

Katye, I would not personally meet with the men and the Sheriff. I also do not want Bill to spend any energy on this if he is not inclined to do so. I happen to know both sides of the equation so I thought I would make an effort to put them together. If the Sheriff is open to the

meeting, let me know and I will have the other party contact you about setting that discussion up. I know you are the gatekeeper.

Blessings

Psalm 85:10

Ted kitchens

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials.
Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials.
Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.


Krysten L. Louden

From: Katye D. Travis
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 12:49 PM
To: jay jalwest
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon,

Okay, sounds great. I have saved Tuesday 04-06-21 at 2:00 pm. You will meet the Sheriff at his office. I have pasted the parking directions below. Please let me know if you need anything else.


We are located in the Tarrant County Plaza Building – 200 Taylor Street 7th Floor, Fort Worth, Texas 76196. The entrance to our building is not on Taylor though, it is actually facing Burnett street. Once you enter from the parking lot you will automatically be on level 2 of the building. Come to the 7th floor. Take a left out of the elevators and then a quick right. You will walk down the hall and see double glass doors. We are directly through these doors. Please bring the ticket from the parking lot up with you. We will be able to validate this for you.



Respectfully,

Katye Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: jay jalwest [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 10:35 AM
To: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]> Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: Re: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katye,

Thank you so much for arranging this meeting. The Tuesday date works well for both of us. Would me meet at the Sheriffs office or at another venue? I look forward to meeting you.

Blessings,

Jay

On Mar 30, 2021, at 12:35 PM, Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Katy D. Travis" <kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com>
Date: March 30, 2021 at 12:03:22 PM CDT
To: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon Pastor Kitchens,

The Sheriff has availability to meet with Mr. Meadows & Mr. Kizer on the following dates/times. Please let me know which is agreeable with their schedule. If you wouldn't mind, can you also send me their contact information? Thank you!

Monday 04-05-21 @ 2:00 pm
Tuesday 04-06-21 @ 1:30 pm
Thursday 04-08-21 @ 3:00 pm

Respectfully,

Katye Travis
Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor

Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com
<image001.png>

From: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:39 AM
To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katy

If Bill is interested in seeing the gentlemen, their names are Jay Meadows and Buff Kizer. They are both strong Christian brothers and at the same time interested in the election issues. Let me know the Sheriff's feelings and I will have them contact you directly for a scheduled conversation. Thank you for your help with this matter.

Sincerely

Ted kitchens

From: Katy D. Travis [<mailto:kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>]
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 3:42 PM
To: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for reaching out to us! The Sheriff is currently out of the office but I will run this by him next week and keep you posted. What are their names?

Respectfully,

Katy Travis
Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com
<image001.png>

From: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:42 PM

To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>

Subject: 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katy

My name is Pastor Ted Kitchens. I have been the Sheriff's friend and pastor for some time over the years. We have great love and respect for each other. The purpose for my short email is simple. I have 2 dear brothers who would like to have a 30 minute meeting with the Sheriff concerning some statistical anomalies in the recent Texas and Tarrant county elections. These men are not conspiracy mongers! I think they have found a few things that might need someone like the Sheriff to at least be aware of. And, when they approached me, I am not sure I totally understand what they think they have uncovered. They felt if they could have a few minutes with Bill, they would be able to better understand what they have discovered and get it placed in the bigger picture of the election. I do think they see some opportunities to put some safeguards around the upcoming mayoral election for sure.

Katy, I would not personally meet with the men and the Sheriff. I also do not want Bill to spend any energy on this if he is not inclined to do so. I happen to know both sides of the equation so I thought I would make an effort to put them together. If the Sheriff is open to the meeting, let me know and I will have the other party contact you about setting that discussion up. I know you are the gatekeeper.

Blessings

Psalm 85:10

Ted Kitchens

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

Krysten L. Louden

From: Katy D. Travis
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 12:03 PM
To: Ted Kitchens
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon Pastor Kitchens,


The Sheriff has availability to meet with Mr. Meadows & Mr. Kizer on the following dates/times. Please let me know which is agreeable with their schedule. If you wouldn't mind, can you also send me their contact information? Thank you!

Monday 04-05-21 @ 2:00 pm
Tuesday 04-06-21 @ 1:30 pm
Thursday 04-08-21 @ 3:00 pm

Respectfully,

Katye Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:39 AM
To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katye

If Bill is interested in seeing the gentlemen, their names are Jay Meadows and Buff Kizer. They are both strong Christian brothers and at the same time interested in the election issues. Let me know the Sheriff's feelings and I will have them contact you directly for a scheduled conversation. Thank you for your help with this matter.

Sincerely

Ted kitchens

From: Katy D. Travis [<mailto:kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>]

Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 3:42 PM

To: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for reaching out to us! The Sheriff is currently out of the office but I will run this by him next week and keep you posted. What are their names?

Respectfully,

Katy Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn

Tarrant County Sheriff's Office

200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor

Fort Worth, Texas 76196

817-884-1388

kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:42 PM

To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>

Subject: 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katy

My name is Pastor Ted Kitchens. I have been the Sheriffs friend and pastor for some time over the years. We have great love and respect for each other. The purpose for my short email is simple. I have 2 dear brothers who would like to have a 30 minute meeting with the Sheriff concerning some statistical anomalies in the recent Texas and Tarrant county elections. These men are not conspiracy mongers! I think they have found a few things that might need someone like the Sheriff to at least be aware of. And, when they approached me, I am not sure I totally understand what they think they have uncovered. They felt if they could have a few minutes with Bill, they would be able to better understand what they have discovered and get it placed in the bigger picture of the election. I do think they see some opportunities to put some safeguards around the upcoming mayoral election for sure.

Katy, I would not personally meet with the men and the Sheriff. I also do not want Bill to spend any energy on this if he is not inclined to do so. I happen to know both sides of the equation so I thought I would make an effort to put them together. If the Sheriff is open to the meeting, let me know and I will have the other party contact you about setting that discussion up. I know you are the gatekeeper.

Blessings

Psalm 85:10

Ted kitchens

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

Krysten L. Louden

From: Bill [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 11:40 AM
Subject: Recent polling re: voter integrity issues

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Rasmussen Reports

October 15, 2021

- U.S. National Likely Voters

- 56% now say there was cheating in the 2020 election
- 47% of Black voters now say there was cheating in the 2020 election
- 55% support 2020 election audits including 53% of Black voters
- 60% say preventing cheating more important than making it easier to vote
- 60% agree that opponents of requiring a Photo ID to vote make it easy to cheat in elections, including 63% of Black voters
- 61% say Election Reform must happen in Swing States WI, & AZ where voters have lost confidence
- 66% say wider use of mail-in voting will lead to more cheating
- 74% say requiring Photo ID to vote is a reasonable requirement to protect the integrity of elections, including 72% of Black voters
- 75% of Black voters either believe the level of difficulty is about right or believe it is already too easy to vote
- 95% think it is Important to prevent cheating in elections, 90% who say it's Very Important. These are up from 90%

Krysten L. Louden

From: Katy D. Travis
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 12:03 PM
To: Ted Kitchens
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon Pastor Kitchens,

The Sheriff has availability to meet with Mr. Meadows & Mr. Kizer on the following dates/times. Please let me know which is agreeable with their schedule. If you wouldn't mind, can you also send me their contact information? Thank you!

Monday 04-05-21 @ 2:00 pm
Tuesday 04-06-21 @ 1:30 pm
Thursday 04-08-21 @ 3:00 pm

Respectfully,

Katy Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:39 AM
To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katy

If Bill is interested in seeing the gentlemen, their names are Jay Meadows and Buff Kizer. They are both strong Christian brothers and at the same time interested in the election issues. Let me know the Sheriff's feelings and I will have them contact you directly for a scheduled conversation. Thank you for your help with this matter.

Sincerely

Ted kitchens

From: Katy D. Travis [mailto:kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com]
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 3:42 PM
To: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for reaching out to us! The Sheriff is currently out of the office but I will run this by him next week and keep you posted. What are their names?

Respectfully,

Katy Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:42 PM
To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katy

My name is Pastor Ted Kitchens. I have been the Sheriffs friend and pastor for some time over the years. We have great love and respect for each other. The purpose for my short email is simple. I have 2 dear brothers who would like to have a 30 minute meeting with the Sheriff concerning some statistical anomalies in the recent Texas and Tarrant county elections. These men are not conspiracy mongers! I think they have found a few things that might need someone like the Sheriff to at least be aware of. And, when they approached me, I am not sure I totally understand what they think they have uncovered. They felt if they could have a few minutes with Bill, they would be able to better understand what they have discovered and get it placed in the bigger picture of the election. I do think they see some opportunities to put some safeguards around the upcoming mayoral election for sure.

Katy, I would not personally meet with the men and the Sheriff. I also do not want Bill to spend any energy on this if he is not inclined to do so. I happen to know both sides of the equation so I thought I would make an effort to put them together. If the Sheriff is open to the meeting, let me know and I will have the other party contact you about setting that discussion up. I know you are the gatekeeper.

Blessings

Psalm 85:10

Ted kitchens

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.


Krysten L. Louden

From: Katy D. Travis
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 12:49 PM
To: jay jalwest [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon,

Okay, sounds great. I have saved Tuesday 04-06-21 at 2:00 pm. You will meet the Sheriff at his office. I have pasted the parking directions below. Please let me know if you need anything else.

We are located in the Tarrant County Plaza Building – 200 Taylor Street 7th Floor, Fort Worth, Texas 76196. The entrance to our building is not on Taylor though, it is actually facing Burnett street. Once you enter from the parking lot you will automatically be on level 2 of the building. Come to the 7th floor. Take a left out of the elevators and then a quick right. You will walk down the hall and see double glass doors. We are directly through these doors. Please bring the ticket from the parking lot up with you. We will be able to validate this for you.



Respectfully,

Katy Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: jay jalwest. [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 10:35 AM
To: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>, Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: Re: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katye,

Thank you so much for arranging this meeting. The Tuesday date works well for both of us. Would me meet at the Sheriffs office or at another venue? I look forward to meeting you.

Blessings,

Jay

On Mar 30, 2021, at 12:35 PM, Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Katy D. Travis" <kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com>
Date: March 30, 2021 at 12:03:22 PM CDT
To: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon Pastor Kitchens,

The Sheriff has availability to meet with Mr. Meadows & Mr. Kizer on the following dates/times. Please let me know which is agreeable with their schedule. If you wouldn't mind, can you also send me their contact information? Thank you!

Monday 04-05-21 @ 2:00 pm
Tuesday 04-06-21 @ 1:30 pm
Thursday 04-08-21 @ 3:00 pm

Respectfully,

Katye Travis
Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor

Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com
<image001.png>

From: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:39 AM
To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katye

If Bill is interested in seeing the gentlemen, their names are Jay Meadows and Buff Kizer. They are both strong Christian brothers and at the same time interested in the election issues. Let me know the Sheriff's feelings and I will have them contact you directly for a scheduled conversation. Thank you for your help with this matter.

Sincerely

Ted kitchens

From: Katy D. Travis [<mailto:kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>]
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 3:42 PM
To: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for reaching out to us! The Sheriff is currently out of the office but I will run this by him next week and keep you posted. What are their names?

Respectfully,

Katye Travis
Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com
<image001.png>

From: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:42 PM

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>

Subject: 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katy

My name is Pastor Ted Kitchens. I have been the Sheriff's friend and pastor for some time over the years. We have great love and respect for each other. The purpose for my short email is simple. I have 2 dear brothers who would like to have a 30 minute meeting with the Sheriff concerning some statistical anomalies in the recent Texas and Tarrant county elections. These men are not conspiracy mongers! I think they have found a few things that might need someone like the Sheriff to at least be aware of. And, when they approached me, I am not sure I totally understand what they think they have uncovered. They felt if they could have a few minutes with Bill, they would be able to better understand what they have discovered and get it placed in the bigger picture of the election. I do think they see some opportunities to put some safeguards around the upcoming mayoral election for sure.

Katy, I would not personally meet with the men and the Sheriff. I also do not want Bill to spend any energy on this if he is not inclined to do so. I happen to know both sides of the equation so I thought I would make an effort to put them together. If the Sheriff is open to the meeting, let me know and I will have the other party contact you about setting that discussion up. I know you are the gatekeeper.

Blessings

Psalm 85:10

Ted kitchens

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

Krysten L. Louden

From: Katye D. Travis
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 11:16 AM
To: jay jalwest [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting


My apologies; I looked at the end time. Here is the actual calendar event as displayed on the Sheriff's calendar. The start time is 1:30 pm. Thank you for reaching out to clarify that!

Subject	FRIENDS OF PASTOR KITCHENS (JAY MEADOWS & BUFF KIZER)		
Location	SHERIFF'S OFFICE		
Start time	Tue 4/6/2021 [calendar icon]	1:30 PM [dropdown]	<input type="checkbox"/> All day
End time	Tue 4/6/2021 [calendar icon]	2:00 PM [dropdown]	

Respectfully,

Katye Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com



From: jay jalwest [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 11:12 AM
To: Katye D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: Re: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Good morning,
Just for clarification, you are saying 2:00 and your original time on Tuesday was 1:30. It makes no difference to us which one it is, just want to make sure we are on the same page. Also, I would like to add another associate of ours, Bill Fearer, to the meeting if it is not a problem.

Thank You,

Jay

Sent from Jay Meadows iPhone

On Mar 31, 2021, at 12:48 PM, Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Okay, sounds great. I have saved Tuesday 04-06-21 at 2:00 pm. You will meet the Sheriff at his office. I have pasted the parking directions below. Please let me know if you need anything else.

We are located in the Tarrant County Plaza Building – 200 Taylor Street 7th Floor, Fort Worth, Texas 76196. The entrance to our building is not on Taylor though, it is actually facing Burnett street. Once you enter from the parking lot you will automatically be on level 2 of the building. Come to the 7th floor. Take a left out of the elevators and then a quick right. You will walk down the hall and see double glass doors. We are directly through these doors. Please bring the ticket from the parking lot up with you. We will be able to validate this for you.

<image001.jpg>

Respectfully,

Katy Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com
<image002.png>

From: jay jalwest <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 10:35 AM
To: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>, Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: Re: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katy,

Thank you so much for arranging this meeting. The Tuesday date works well for both of us. Would me meet at the Sheriffs office or at another venue? I look forward to meeting you.

Blessings,

Jay

On Mar 30, 2021, at 12:35 PM, Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Katy D. Travis" <kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com>
Date: March 30, 2021 at 12:03:22 PM CDT
To: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon Pastor Kitchens,

The Sheriff has availability to meet with Mr. Meadows & Mr. Kizer on the following dates/times. Please let me know which is agreeable with their schedule. If you wouldn't mind, can you also send me their contact information? Thank you!

Monday 04-05-21 @ 2:00 pm
Tuesday 04-06-21 @ 1:30 pm
Thursday 04-08-21 @ 3:00 pm

Respectfully,

Katy Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com
<image001.png>

From: Ted Kitchens <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 9:39 AM
To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katy

If Bill is interested in seeing the gentlemen, their names are Jay Meadows and Buff Kizer. They are both strong Christian brothers and at the same time interested in the election issues. Let me know the Sheriff's feelings and I will have them contact you directly for a scheduled conversation. Thank you for your help with this matter.

Sincerely

Ted kitchens

From: Katy D. Travis [<mailto:kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>]
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 3:42 PM
To: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [External] 30 minute meeting

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for reaching out to us! The Sheriff is currently out of the office but I will run this by him next week and keep you posted. What are their names?

Respectfully,

Katy Travis

Executive Assistant to Sheriff Bill E. Waybourn
Tarrant County Sheriff's Office
200 Taylor Street, 7th Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
817-884-1388
kdtravis@tarrantcounty.com
<image001.png>

From: Ted Kitchens [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:42 PM
To: Katy D. Travis <kdtravis@TarrantCounty.com>
Subject: 30 minute meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Katy

My name is Pastor Ted Kitchens. I have been the Sheriffs friend and pastor for some time over the years. We have great love and respect for each other. The purpose for my short email is simple. I have 2 dear brothers who would like to have a 30 minute meeting with the Sheriff concerning some statistical anomalies in the recent Texas and Tarrant county elections. These men are not conspiracy mongers! I think they have found a few things that might need someone like the Sheriff to at least be aware of. And, when they approached me, I am not sure I totally understand what they think they have uncovered. They felt if they could have a few minutes with Bill, they would be able to better understand what they have discovered and get it placed in the bigger picture of the election. I do think they see some opportunities to put some safeguards around the upcoming mayoral election for sure.

Katy, I would not personally meet with the men and the Sheriff. I also do not want Bill to spend any energy on this if he is not inclined to do so. I happen to know both sides of the equation so I thought I would make an effort to put them together. If the Sheriff is open to the

meeting, let me know and I will have the other party contact you about setting that discussion up. I know you are the gatekeeper.

Blessings

Psalm 85:10

Ted kitchens

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials.
Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials.
Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

Preventing a Disrupted Presidential Election and Transition

August 3, 2020

Executive Summary

In June 2020 the Transition Integrity Project (TIP) convened a bipartisan group of over 100 current and former senior government and campaign leaders and other experts in a series of 2020 election crisis scenario planning exercises. The results of all four table-top exercises were alarming. We assess with a high degree of likelihood that November's elections will be marked by a chaotic legal and political landscape. We also assess that the President Trump is likely to contest the result by both legal and extra-legal means, in an attempt to hold onto power. Recent events, including the President's own unwillingness to commit to abiding by the results of the election, the Attorney General's embrace of the President's groundless electoral fraud claims, and the unprecedented deployment of federal agents to put down leftwing protests, underscore the extreme lengths to which President Trump may be willing to go in order to stay in office.

In this report, TIP explains the basis for our assessment. Our findings are bolstered by the historical experience of *Bush v. Gore* (2000) and other U.S. electoral dysfunctions. The closest analogy may be the election of 1876, a time of extreme partisanship and rampant disenfranchisement, where multiple states proffered competing slates of electors, and the election was only resolved through a grand political bargain days before Inauguration—one that traded an end to Reconstruction for electoral peace and resulted in a century of Jim Crow, leaving deep wounds that are far from healed today.

Among the findings we highlight in the report:

- ***The concept of “election night,” is no longer accurate and indeed is dangerous.*** We face a period of contestation stretching from the first day a ballot is cast in mid-September until January 20. The winner may not, and we assess likely will not, be known on “election night” as officials count mail-in ballots. This period of uncertainty provides opportunities for an unscrupulous candidate to cast doubt on the legitimacy of the process and to set up an unprecedented assault on the outcome. Campaigns, parties, the press and the public must be educated to adjust expectations starting immediately.
- ***A determined campaign has opportunity to contest the election into January 2021.*** We anticipate lawsuits, divergent media narratives, attempts to stop the counting of ballots, and protests drawing people from both sides. President Trump, the incumbent, will very likely use the executive branch to aid his campaign strategy, including through the Department of Justice. We assess that there is a chance the president will attempt to convince legislatures and/or governors to take actions – including illegal actions – to defy the popular vote. Federal laws provide little guidance for how Congress should resolve irregularities when they convene in a Joint Session on January 6, 2021. Of particular concern is how the military would respond in the context of uncertain election results. Here recent evidence offers some reassurance, but it is inconclusive.
- ***The administrative transition process itself may be highly disrupted.*** Participants in our exercises of all backgrounds and ideologies believed that Trump would prioritize personal gain and self-protection over ensuring an orderly administrative handoff to his successor. Trump may use pardons to thwart future criminal prosecution, arrange business deals with foreign governments that benefit him financially, attempt to bribe and silence associates, declassify sensitive documents, and attempt to divert federal funds to his own businesses.

These risks can be mitigated; the worst outcomes of the exercises are far from a certainty. The purpose of this report is not to frighten, but to spur all stakeholders to action. Our legal rules and political norms don't work unless people are prepared to defend them and to speak out when others violate them. It is

incumbent upon elected officials, civil society leaders, and the press to challenge authoritarian actions in the courts, in the media, and in the streets through peaceful protest. Specific recommendations include:

- ***Plan for a contested election.*** If there is a crisis, events will unfold quickly, and sleep-deprived leaders will be asked to make consequential decisions quickly. Thinking through options now will help to ensure better decisions. Approach this as a political battle, not just a legal battle. In the event of electoral contestation, sustained political mobilization will likely be crucial for ensuring transition integrity. Dedicated staff and resources need to be in place at least through the end of January.
- ***Focus on readiness in the states, providing political support for a complete and accurate count.*** Governors, Secretaries of State, Attorneys General and Legislatures can communicate and reinforce laws and norms and be ready to confront irregularities. Election officials will need political and public support to see the process through to completion.
- ***Address the two biggest threats head on: lies about “voter fraud” and escalating violence.*** Voting fraud is virtually non-existent, but Trump lies about it to create a narrative designed to politically mobilize his base and to create the basis for contesting the results should he lose. The potential for violent conflict is high, particularly since Trump encourages his supporters to take up arms.
- ***Anticipate a rocky administrative transition.*** Transition teams will likely need to do two things simultaneously: defend against Trump’s reckless actions on his way out of office; and find creative solutions to ensure landing teams are able to access the information and resources they need to begin to prepare for governing.

About the Transition Integrity Project

The Transition Integrity Project (TIP)¹ was launched in late 2019 out of concern that the Trump Administration may seek to manipulate, ignore, undermine or disrupt the 2020 presidential election and transition process. TIP takes no position on how Americans should cast their votes, or on the likely winner of the upcoming election; either major party candidate could prevail at the polls in November without resorting to “dirty tricks.” However, the administration of President Donald Trump has steadily undermined core norms of democracy and the rule of law and embraced numerous corrupt and authoritarian practices. This presents a profound challenge for those – from either party – who are committed to ensuring free and fair elections, peaceful transitions of power, and stable administrative continuity in the United States.

The American people have the right to choose their next president without intimidation or interference in the normal electoral process. Believers in democracy and the rule of law should therefore be prepared to take action to ensure that the results of the 2020 presidential election reflect the will of the American people. Like many authoritarian leaders, President Trump has begun to lay the groundwork for potentially ignoring or disrupting the voting process, by claiming, for instance, that any mail-in ballots will be fraudulent and that his opponents will seek to have non-citizens vote through fraud. Similarly, he has frequently expressed the view that he is entitled to additional time in office and that his opponents are seeking to steal the election. If President Trump’s future actions violate long-standing legal and ethical norms relating to presidential elections, there is also a risk that they will push other actors, including, potentially, some in the Democratic Party, to similarly engage in practices that depart from traditional rule of law norms, out of perceived self-defense.

The goal of TIP is to highlight these various electoral and transition-related risks and make recommendations to all actors, individual and institutional, who share a commitment to democracy and the rule of law.² The recommendations shared here reflect input from both Republicans and Democrats committed to these values. However, because the primary threat to the integrity of the election and transition appears to come from the Trump Administration, most of the recommendations in this memo focus on how actors committed to the rule of law can restrain or counter anti-democratic actions the Trump Administration and its supporters may take in connection with the 2020 election.

That TIP’s concerns are widely shared is reflected in the media attention which this project has already begun to garner. (For a list of articles as of late July 2020, see Appendix A.)

About the Scenario Exercises

In June 2020, TIP organized four scenario exercises to identify risks to the rule of law or to the integrity of the democratic process in the period between Election Day (November 3, 2020) and Inauguration Day (January 20, 2021), with an eye toward mitigation and/or prevention of worst-case outcomes.

At this point it seems possible that either candidate may achieve a decisive electoral victory, but the goal of TIP’s scenario exercises was to gain a better understanding of the tests our democratic institutions

¹ Rosa Brooks and Nils Gilman launched the Transition Integrity Project in December 2019 to focus on identifying and mitigating threats to democracy and administrative continuity in the period between Election Day and Inauguration. TIP has received advice and input from dozens of experts representing both major political parties. TIP is directed by Zoe Hudson. Inquires can be sent to info@transitionintegrityproject.org.

² TIP recognizes and shares the view that the Electoral College is profoundly anti-democratic, and that numerous long-standing practices also function to create structural biases in our voting system. For present purposes, however, these constraints are treated as givens.

could face in the event that candidates defy the norms that have underpinned American political practice for decades. Specifically, TIP wanted to examine some of the unknowns: How far might candidates go in contesting negative electoral outcomes or disrupting the normal transition process? How well would American institutions hold up if one or both candidates refused to “play by the rules”?

The four scenarios were developed after a consultative process involving outreach to experts on elections and transitions, political violence and instability, governance, and scenario planning and game design. Each of the four scenarios developed was different. (See Appendix B for a summary of the scenarios and key actions.) In one scenario, the exercise posited that the winner of the election was not known as of the morning after the election and the outcome of the race was too close to predict with certainty; in another, the exercise began with the premise that Democratic party candidate Joe Biden won the popular vote and the Electoral College by a healthy margin; and in a third, the exercise assumed that President Trump won the Electoral College vote but again lost the popular vote by a healthy margin. The fourth exercise began with the premise that Biden won both the popular vote and the Electoral College by a narrow margin.

Sixty-seven people participated as active “players” in one or more of the scenario exercises, while dozens more participated in the exercises as observers and offered feedback during debriefing sessions. Participants included members of both major political parties, former high-ranking government officials (including, for example, two former governors), senior political campaigners, nationally prominent journalists and communications professionals, social movement leaders, and experts on politics, national security, democratic reform, election law, and media.

Each simulation exercise involved seven teams, each composed of 2-3 people. The teams were constructed to allow players considerable flexibility to adopt different identities at different points in the game. Using a “matrix game”³ format, the teams were: (1) The Trump Campaign [“Team Trump”]; (2) The Biden Campaign [“Team Biden”]; (3) Republican Elected Officials; (4) Democratic Elected Officials; (5) Career Federal Government employees (civilian and military) and political appointees; (6) Media (right wing, left wing and mainstream); and (7) the Public (this team consisted of polling experts). Teams were made up of participants with “real life” experience in the types of roles they were asked to play. Under the rules of the matrix game, teams presented with the initial scenario could take any action they wanted. The chances of success of each team action were determined based on robust argumentation among all teams and the adjudication of a White Cell, as well as a randomizing factor based on dice rolls. It is important to note that the exercises were not designed to model or simulate legal strategy, but rather to better understand the potential political mobilization and media dynamics surrounding potential electoral contestation, and how candidates might exercise political power to achieve a win.

Key Insights from the Scenario Exercises

The scenario planning exercises were conducted in June 2020. Developments since then have only confirmed that there is every reason to be concerned that our electoral rules and norms are under threat. In an interview with Chris Wallace, President Trump suggested that he might not abide by the results of the election if he loses. The President deployed agents from Homeland Security to Portland to suppress racial

³ A “matrix game” approach emphasizes and facilitates creativity and dynamic interaction between teams representing major stakeholder groups. Participants make multifaceted, competitive arguments about not only their own intended actions, but also the actions of each of their allies, partners, and competitors. The iterative “contest of ideas” design forces players to interrogate and critique actions in real-time – which provides insight not only into what could happen, but also the reactions those actions may elicit. The gameplay focuses on players’ intentions, which makes this modality useful for analyzing competing strategies.

justice protestors, a move that outraged many, including the Republican former head of Homeland Security, and indicates President Trump's appetite to deploy federal agents even against the will of local elected officials. He has announced plans to expand this deployment to blue cities in swing states, raising the specter of electoral intimidation. President Trump has speculated about whether the election should be postponed and Attorney General Bill Barr expressed confusion about whether the date of the election could be moved. (As a legal matter, only Congress can move the day of the Presidential election.) Trump also demanded that the election results be called immediately on Election Day, e.g. before all mail-in ballots can be counted. And the director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center in the Office of the Director of National Intelligence issued a statement warning that foreign countries are again trying to interfere in the US election.

Two words of caution about the findings from the exercises. First, TIP intentionally did not game legal strategies in any detail. Litigation will be an important part of the strategy for both sides, but we did not attempt to pass judgement on whether any particular claims or tactics would prevail. One question is whether a candidate is able to convince the state legislature to send a package of electoral college votes inconsistent with the certified popular vote. Even if a court disapproved of this action, Congress might nonetheless consider those votes on January 6.

Second, the exercises were not able to fully capture the ways in which the media will shape and drive public opinion, or how specific media outlets would cover events differently and drive increasingly partisan responses. Social media in particular will undoubtedly play a heavy role in how the public perceives the outcome of the election. Political operatives, both domestic and foreign, will very likely attempt to use social media to sow discord and even move people to violence. Social media companies' policy and enforcement decisions will be consequential, and this merits further exploration and consideration.

The topline findings are here. While not directly the subject of the scenario planning exercises, in debriefings our participants almost all raised questions about whether "Trumpism" would survive a Trump loss. We include a summary of that discussion in Appendix C.

1. *Campaign decisions about whether to contest the election are likely to be political calculations, rather than calculations based on legal rules alone.*

Election lawyers use the term "margin of litigation" to describe the range of reported vote tallies that would provoke legal action. Thinking about the upcoming Presidential election, the more important concept might be the "margin of contestation."⁴ In other words, what combination of factors might lead a candidate to conclude that contesting the election is (or is not) in his interest? This is a dynamic and unpredictable calculation because the outcome is likely to be fought not only in court or by counting ballots, but possibly also in state legislatures, in Congress, and on the streets.

What happens *before* Election Day will, to a large extent, determine the margin of contestation. Reporters, pollsters, pundits, political parties, and many others will communicate confidence or concern about the legitimacy of the election. Viral social media memes will play a role as well.

During the exercises, winning "the narrative" emerged as a potentially decisive factor. Either side can expand or contract the "margin of contestation" if they succeed in substantially changing how key decision makers and the public view the "facts," the risks of action or inaction, or external events such as civil unrest. An integrated strategy of legal contestation, political leadership, mass mobilization, and messaging is

⁴ This observation comes from Ohio State University law professor Edward B. Foley, author of *Presidential Elections and Majority Rule* (Oxford University Press, 2020) and *Ballot Battles: The History of Disputed Elections in the United States* (Oxford University Press, 2016).

much stronger, and Team Trump often had the advantage because they could rely on Fox News, a significant and committed base, and loyalties from law enforcement agencies. Team Biden often had the majority of the public on its side, and the ability to mobilize resentment about the structural disenfranchisement in the way we conduct presidential elections.

TIP's exercises suggest that President Trump may expand the margin of contestation primarily by contesting the legality of votes cast (e.g., by alleging fraudulent mail-in ballots, voting by ineligible voters, etc.). Meanwhile, former Vice President Biden may expand the margin of contestation by highlighting voter suppression by the GOP (purges of voter rolls, shutting down of polling places, failure to fund election administration, ID and other verification requirements, intimidation of voters, etc.). Biden may also be able to expand the margin of contestation by questioning the overall legitimacy of a system that doesn't require the winner to get a majority of the popular vote or by decrying how President Trump uses the power of the presidency to manipulate the process. Both sides may expand the margin of contestation by questioning the credibility of reported results, if, for example, they believe (or merely assert) that foreign interference or other factors compromised the integrity of the vote count.

The conditions and mood of the country will also inform whether and how candidates assess the window of contestation. We could be facing an alarming second wave of COVID-19, rising unemployment, a cratering stock market, growing evictions, civil unrest, and even political violence. President Trump may be seen as an asset or as a liability to down-ballot Republican candidates. The political commitment (or desperation) of rank and file members of each party will not just reflect the actions of leadership but may drive those actions as well. A close election will by definition be a fluid situation.

2. A close and contested election may be resolved through the exercise of power, not through the courts.

The scenario exercises developed by TIP were designed to encourage both the Biden and Trump teams to pursue plausible but aggressive actions in order to win. The exercises demonstrated that the very first "move" by each campaign was often decisive; it established a narrative and the overall strategy. In all four of the exercises TIP conducted, Team Trump immediately adopted a strategy of casting doubt on the official election results, even in the one scenario where he later accepted a loss. Team Trump also encouraged chaos and violence in the streets and aimed to provoke Team Biden into subverting norms — even as Team Trump itself sabotaged traditional norms— so that Team Biden could be accused of hypocrisy or illegality.

During the exercises, Team Trump and GOP elected officials took the following steps:

- Calling for recounts in all states in which victory was not already apparent.
- Launching coordinated investigations at the state and federal levels into alleged "voting irregularities" in an effort to undermine public confidence in results that did not go Trump's way and/or alter the results.
- Attempting to halt the counting of mail-in ballots by filing cases in state court or leaning on Republican leaders to stop vote counting or to certify a result early, without waiting for the certified results from the Secretary of State.
- Turning out their well-organized and committed base to take to the streets in Trump's favor, in part by disseminating disinformation about the danger posed by pro-Biden demonstrators (e.g., by suggesting likely Antifa violence, etc.).
- Relying on both FOX News and right-wing social media to echo and amplify pro-Trump messages and facilitate the harassment and bullying of election officials, to cause chaos and delay and/or to intimidate officials into taking actions that benefited Team Trump.

- Using federal agencies to justify or support Trump campaign tactics. In one of the more aggressive moves undertaken in one of the TIP exercises, Team Trump had Attorney General Bill Barr order the seizure of mail-in ballots to ensure that vote counting would stop.

In TIP's exercises, when Team Trump was behind in the popular vote, they moved quickly to try to shift the narrative and alter the standards of proof. Their strategy was to force Team Biden to "prove a negative": that there was not election fraud. The exercises suggest that Trump and his supporters are likely to engage in an orchestrated disinformation campaign to shape the public's perception — in fact, misperception — of the "facts" underpinning a dispute over electoral results. In TIP's exercises, once a narrative took hold casting the election results into doubt, Team Trump was able to successfully convince GOP-controlled state legislatures to submit separate slates of electors declaring Trump the winner.⁵

Biden's strategic assets include Democratic governors and Secretaries of State in swing states (notably in North Carolina, Michigan, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin); a broadly shared sense in the Democratic Party that current voting systems, as well as the electoral college, are structurally anti-democratic; and a widespread and deeply-held desire, on the part of Democrats nationwide, to move on from the chaos of the Trump administration. In all the scenarios examined in TIP's exercises, even as votes were still being counted, Team Biden moved quickly to try to project an ability to govern, by announcing cabinet nominees, an agenda for the first 100 days, and bipartisan support for its administration.

During the exercises, Team Biden and Democratic elected officials took the following steps:

- Organizing 1,000 "influencers" to denounce efforts to steal the election.
- Organizing all living presidents to stand with Biden and denounce Trump administration efforts to subvert the democratic process.
- Recruiting moderate Republican Governors such as Baker (MA) and Hogan (MD) to form an "Election Protection" Coalition.
- Working with local Democratic elected officials to call on the Adjutant General of the National Guard, along with representatives from the technology sector, to monitor vote counting.
- Organizing a bipartisan "National Day for Restoration of Democracy" and a "National Day of Unity," both including faith leaders.
- Attempting a capital strike and a work stoppage as part of an overall effort to push corporate leaders to insist that all ballots to be counted.

During TIP's exercises, these moves had limited ability to stop Team Trump's push to discredit or contest the results. The one exception was in the scenario, described in more detail later, where Biden won the popular vote by a large margin but still lost the electoral college, and the response was aggressive and coordinated. Team Trump was consistently more ruthless than Team Biden — more willing to ignore existing democratic norms, to make use of disinformation, to deploy federal agencies to promote Trump's personal and electoral interests, and to engage in intimidation campaigns. Team Biden generally felt constrained by a commitment to norms and a desire to tamp down violence and reduce instability.

At the same time, the scenario exercises also revealed that for many Democrats and key Democratic constituencies, this election represents an existential crisis, the last chance to stop a rapid and potentially irreversible US decline into authoritarianism and unbridled nativism. Some participants in the exercises observed that if former Vice President Biden wins the popular vote but loses the Electoral College, there will be political pressure from the Democratic Party's rank and file and from independent grassroots

⁵ This move and others would certainly be contested in court; we don't mean to imply that every action taken is strictly legal. Teams were given considerable flexibility in the actions taken.

organizations to prevent a second Trump term. In the scenario that most closely mirrored the 2016 election results (e.g., the Democratic candidate wins the popular vote but loses the Electoral College), Team Biden pushed to overturn certified results in states with Democratic Governors and negotiated hard for permanent structural reforms in exchange for recognition of a Trump victory. To take this more robust action, Team Biden had to deliver a united Democratic front, which meant coordinating effectively with state party officials as well as with grassroots and activist organizations. While most participants believed that the Trump campaign has the real-life capacity to mobilize and, to a significant extent, steer and control the actions of Trump supporters, several participants expressed serious doubt about the ability of the Biden campaign to either mobilize or control left-wing activists. (They also expressed doubt about Team Trump's future willingness to honor any agreements made during the election period.)

The period from November 4th to December 14th sets the stage for a potential fight in the Congress on January 6th, 2021. The scenario exercises did not have adequate representation from individual states to adequately understand how the full range of state-based institutions and actors would likely conduct themselves. Teams were often able to convince state legislatures or governors to submit a slate of electors contrary to the popular vote, but it isn't clear how realistic this political possibility is, or if it is being actively considered by either campaign.

3. As an incumbent unbounded by norms, President Trump has a huge advantage.

TIP's scenario exercises underscored a basic truth: an incumbent running for re-election can use the powers of the presidency to great advantage, particularly if traditional norms are viewed as unimportant and the incumbent is willing to take the risk that a court will eventually rule his actions to be unlawful. The exercise identified the following presidential powers as most likely to be misused to manipulate electoral outcomes or disrupt the transition: the President's ability to federalize the national guard or invoke the Insurrection Act to deploy active duty military domestically; his ability to launch investigations into opponents; and his ability to use Department of Justice and/or the intelligence agencies to cast doubt on election results or discredit his opponents. The President and key members of his administration can also reference classified documents without releasing them, manipulate classified information, or selectively release classified documents for political purposes, fueling manufactured rumors. Participants noted that additional presidential powers subject to misuse include the ability to freeze assets of individuals and groups the president determines to be a threat, and his ability to restrict internet communications in the name of national security.

Many participants expressed concern that the Department of Justice has been politicized and would be used to provide legal cover for the President's actions. In one TIP simulation, the teams playing the Department of Justice and the Postmaster General took action to seize ballots going through the mail, allegedly to "safeguard" the ballots pursuant to a fraud investigation. The elections also demonstrated that there is considerable room to use foreign interference, real or invented, as a pretext to cast doubt on the election results or more generally to create uncertainty about the legitimacy of the election.

The scenario exercises revealed very few meaningful checks on Team Trump's executive authorities from GOP members of Congress or from political appointees in federal agencies.⁶ During the TIP exercises, teams playing GOP elected officials and political appointees most often acted in lockstep to support Team Trump. Where the GOP broke ranks, it could be decisive. There was one instance where four GOP

⁶ This did not feature in the scenario planning exercises, but when President Trump has suggested that the date of the election should be moved, GOP leaders, including Majority Leader McConnell have been quick to clarify that the date cannot be moved. See "Trump encounters broad pushback to his suggestion to delay the Nov. 3 election," *Washington Post*, July 30, 2020.

Senators broke with Trump when the Congress considered the slate of electors, handing the victory to Biden. The one area of genuine uncertainty related to whether Team Trump could convince the military to deploy active duty troops domestically. In the scenario, the military refused to support Team Trump, but there was concern that this reflected “recency bias” given that the exercises were run shortly after participants observed the military’s cautiousness in the wake of the June 1, 2020 events in Lafayette Square.

4. *A show of numbers in the streets- and actions in the streets- may be decisive factors in determining what the public perceives as a just and legitimate outcome.*

During TIP’s exercises, Team Biden almost always called for and relied on mass protests to demonstrate the public’s commitment to a “legitimate” outcome, with the objective of hardening the resolve of Democratic elected officials to fight and take action, and to dramatize the stakes. As a practical matter, however, participants in the exercise noted that racial justice activists and others will likely act independently of the Biden campaign – players repeatedly cautioned that these social movements are independent, not beholden to, or a tool of, the Democratic party. Their support or Biden’s ability to mobilize them cannot be taken for granted. (Note: leaders of these grassroots movements were not well represented in the simulation exercises, so the scenario exercises did not robustly test their likely receptivity to a Biden call to take to the streets, or to the Biden campaign’s ability to control these actors once mobilized.) If anything, the scale of recent demonstrations has increased the stakes for the Democratic Party to build strong ties with grassroots organizations and be responsive to the movement’s demands.

In addition, the exercises suggest that there is a significant possibility of simultaneous street mobilizations by both Trump and Biden supporters, in which case the possibility for violence will increase significantly, and the actions of law enforcement will become critical. Of note here: TIP’s scenario exercise suggest that President Trump and his more fervent supporters have every incentive to try to turn peaceful pro-Biden (or anti-Trump) protests violent in order to generate evidence that a Democratic victory is tantamount to “mob rule.” In the recent past, President Trump has on numerous occasions called on “Second Amendment people” to defend their rights and has called on his supporters to “liberate” states with restrictive COVID-19-related rules. Trump can rely on surrogates to embed operatives inside protests to encourage violent action, and he can mobilize a range of law enforcement actors (including National Guard troops, whether federalized or under the control of GOP governors) who might, without proper training or if led by politicized actors, escalate matters. In some scenarios examined by TIP, Team Trump succeeded in invoking the Insurrection Act and sending active duty military troops into US cities to “restore order,” “protect” voting places, or confiscate “fraudulent” ballots.

5. *Trump is likely to prioritize his personal interests in the transition period.*

TIP constructed scenarios intended to illuminate issues in the transition period, but during the exercise, the active teams spent most of their time contesting the election results. As a result, the insights on the formal transition are somewhat limited. Nevertheless, a few themes emerged:

- ***Take the money and run.*** Participants in the scenario exercises universally believed that self-preservation for President Trump and his family will be Trump’s first and possibly only priority if he is forced to concede electoral defeat. Before he leaves office he might maximize the flow of federal money into Trump businesses (moves played: direct COVID-19 relief package for Trump hotels; relocate to Mar-a-Lago for the final months of his presidency); negotiate business deals with foreign countries; and purge documents that might incriminate foreign governments and business partners (for example, documents related to Jamal Khashoggi’s murder). President Trump could also launch his next business venture from the White House (speculations include “MAGA TV,” possibly headed by Trump’s son-in-law Jared Kushner).

- ***Pardon everyone.*** In almost every TIP scenario, Team Trump executed or prepared for the pardons of relatives, campaign associates, and himself. Players took different approaches in each of the scenarios; in one scenario Trump resigned on January 19, 2021, trusting that Pence would sign the pardons. In another scenario, Trump executed his own pardon. In the debrief, participants noted that the pardons could be challenged only after he leaves office and someone files charges. Even with an expansive understanding of pardon powers, Trump can't absolve himself of state crimes. He could, however, impugn the character of state officials, including, for instance, the character of New York State Attorney Leticia James, who has publicly threatened legal action against President Trump when he leaves office. He will certainly try to establish the narrative in advance that any efforts to hold him or his allies accountable for wrong-doing and illegality is politically motivated revenge.
- ***Wag the Dog/spark a foreign adventure.*** There was quite a bit of speculation that Trump might himself initiate a foreign crisis shortly after the election or during the transition, perhaps to change the media narrative around a contested election, attempt to rally nationalist feelings to himself, or placate foreign leaders to whom he may feel beholden, such as Vladimir Putin. Some participants noted that in the event of political chaos in the United States, certain US adversaries might be emboldened to act opportunistically, especially if electoral contestation was generating uncertainty about who precisely was acting as Commander in Chief. From a national security perspective, participants expressed concern about US vulnerability during a contested election.
- ***Destroy evidence.*** In an effort to preserve the President's legacy and thwart future criminal investigations, Team Trump ordered numerous documents destroyed in several of the exercises. Team Trump also classified many more documents as top secret and expanded the use of non-disclosure agreements.
- ***Disrupt the transition process.*** In several of the TIP exercises, Team Trump refused to provide clearances or briefings for proposed members of the incoming Biden administration, offering only what is already in the public domain. Team Trump attempted to discredit the transition team ("We're cooperating, but not with Democrat Antifa agents"). In a debrief, one participant expressed concern that Attorney General Barr could launch a bogus investigation into "terrorist ties" of the Biden transition team in order to justify surveillance, and/or facilitate a false flag operation before the election or when the election is still being contested. Others voiced the concern that if Trump loses badly, he could quit on the spot, making Vice President Pence interim president during the transition period. Few participants found it plausible to envision a defeated Trump gracefully engaging in the customary rite of passing the baton to the next President on Inauguration Day.

During several of the TIP exercises, Team Biden attempted to enter into negotiations with Team Trump about a pardon and graceful transition, but those overtures were consistently rejected. In multiple instances, Team Biden offered to talk about pardons. In one instance, Team Biden's strategy – in anticipation of an ultimate loss – was to strengthen its hand in order to negotiate a package of structural reforms to the democratic system (including making DC and Puerto Rico states, abolishing the Electoral College, and requiring Supreme Court justices to retire at 70).

It is not clear whether the failure to compromise during these scenario exercises should be viewed as predictive of likely future behavior by Trump and his representatives, or whether the game structure of the scenario exercises rewarded partisan actions. What is clear is that, if he is faced with having to negotiate an "exit package" with the incoming Biden team, President Trump has incentives to increase the chaos or damage to the institutions during the transition process, in order to improve his negotiating leverage regarding that exit package.

Recommendations to Avoid a Crisis

The recommendations below are preliminary, and TIP is actively seeking feedback on these recommendations, which will be revised as needed based on input received. Some of these recommendations are already being contemplated and implemented by independent organizations.

TIP has no role in advising campaigns on strategy, and the campaigns will take independent actions. The draft recommendations below are directed at government officials, civil society actors, and other stakeholders concerned with safeguarding the integrity of the 2020 election and transition process.

1. *Plan for a contested election.*

There will likely not be an “election night” this year; unprecedented numbers of voters are expected to use mail-in ballots, which will almost certainly delay the certified result for days or weeks. A delay provides a window for campaigns, the media, and others to cast doubt on the integrity of the process and for escalating tensions between competing camps. As a legal matter, a candidate unwilling to concede can contest the election into January.

Everyone interested in protecting the legitimacy of the election and transition planning processes needs to make plans now for how to respond in the event of a crisis.

- If there is a crisis, events will unfold quickly, and sleep-deprived leaders will be asked to make consequential decisions quickly. Thinking through options now will help to ensure better decisions.
- Planners need to take seriously the notion that this may well be a street fight, not a legal battle; technocratic solutions, courts, and a reliance on elites observing norms are not the answer here.
- Dedicated staff and resources need to be in place at least through the end of January.
- The news media has a particular responsibility to ready the public for the possibility that results will not be known on Election Night, to communicate election results accurately, and to plan to cover a contested election in a manner that reduces, rather than inflames, tensions.

2. *Focus on readiness in the states, providing political support for a complete and accurate count. Get it right here to avoid a crisis.*

Our decentralized elections system places great power in the hands of state actors, including Governors, Secretaries of State, Attorneys General and Legislatures. Election officials will need political and public support to see the process through to its conclusion.

- State and local election officials need to communicate with the public ahead of time, clarifying how ballots will be counted, when results might be known, and how disputes will be resolved. State actors should understand and use the legal remedies available under state law to address confusion and questions about the count.
- State actors, particularly those in swing states, should ask: What are specific political incentives for certain actions in the post-electoral period in their state? What is the risk that the state legislature or the governor would certify a result at odds with the popular vote? What are the risks that a complete count would be thwarted? What is the history of hate crimes and other acts of targeted violence? Who are the key influencers in the media and among local activists who can affect political perceptions, and mobilize political action? Can they be approached and briefed on these issues now, to establish pre-commitments to playing a constructive role in the event of a contested election?

- Groups, coalitions, and networks should be preparing now to establish the necessary communications and organizing infrastructure to support mass mobilization. If there is a crisis, almost every strategy to protect the democratic process is dependent on mass mobilization, and in particular, on people taking peacefully to the streets in large numbers, potentially for an extended period. Large, base-building groups on the progressive side will need to anchor this strategy, but their success will likely depend on supporting and resourcing new and emerging racial justice leaders, many of whom are not affiliated with formal institutions and coalitions.

3. *Name the elephant in the room: President Trump is not running a normal re-election campaign.*

There is a difference between running for re-election and attempting to stay in power through any means possible. A norm-disregarding incumbent has assets that can influence the outcome. President Trump's actions and statements over the course of his presidency raise serious concerns about whether he will observe the norms of our electoral system. Everyone – particularly the media and non-partisan actors — should avoid “both side-ism,” the instinct to appear neutral by positing false equivalencies between major and minor norm breaches or illegalities.

Of particular concern are the President's ability to federalize the national guard; to deploy the military domestically; to launch investigations into opponents and to freeze their assets; and even to control communication in the name of national security. The politicization of the Department of Justice adds an additional worrying dimension, including whether and how the agency could provide legal cover for the President's actions. In July, the Department of Homeland Security deployed federal agents to Portland, Oregon under questionable legal authority and against the will of local officials. Agents detained people, and used tear gas, rubber bullets and acoustic weapons on protestors. This follows the well-publicized and broadly condemned actions in Lafayette Square in June where National Guard troops and U.S. Park Police used tear gas on protestors in order to allow President Trump to have a photo op in front of a church.

- Congressional leaders should conduct oversight hearings, set clear expectations ahead of time about the conduct of the election, and seek advance assurances from the military and agency heads about their plans and conduct. The Department of Homeland Security should be pushed to more vigorously investigate and publicize evidence of foreign interference.
- Military and law enforcement leaders need to be particularly attuned to the possibility that partisan actors will seek to manipulate or misuse their coercive powers for inappropriate political ends. Concretely, at both the state and federal level, partisan actors (including President Trump himself) may seek to deploy law enforcement, national guard troops and potentially active duty military (under the Insurrection Act) to “restore order” in a manner that primarily benefits one candidate, or to participate in efforts that interrupt the process to count ballots. Military and law enforcement leaders need to plan now for these possibilities to avoid becoming unwitting pawns in a partisan battle.
- Civil servants should be educated about their legal obligations to uphold the constitution. Those who speak out about abuses of power may need political protection and support, and legal assistance, as they may face retaliation.
- Journalists and independent watch dogs can begin to cultivate sources and research stories now so that they are positioned to sound the alarm should actors inside or outside of government attempt to discredit the legitimate results of the election.

4. *Address the two biggest threats head on: lies about “vote fraud” and escalating violence.*

Despite overwhelming evidence that voting fraud is extremely rare, falsely claiming fraud is a common tactic to undermine voter confidence in election results, and Trump is using it already. Another significant concern is that if both Trump and Biden supporters take to the streets, it will increase the risk of violent conflict, particularly since President Trump has repeatedly encouraged his supporters to take up arms.

Foreign intelligence operations are also likely to attempt to stoke domestic discord. Extremists can use social media platforms and communications tools like Facebook Messenger to quickly and broadly disseminate lies and to organize people to take extreme action. (We have seen this already, e.g., through hoaxes aimed at encouraging armed right-wing activists to assemble against non-existent threats.)

- Trusted leaders and the media should publicly challenge President Trump's claims of fraud, including that all mail-in ballots are fraudulent. This should be described as the first step of a strategy to interrupt or disregard the official results. Election officials and others in a position to launch large public information campaigns need to explain the long tradition of absentee voting as well as the safeguards in place to secure mail-in ballots and protect the results.
- Public officials and law enforcement need to plan for large-scale protests, provide support for peaceful demonstrations that are protected by the First Amendment, and think carefully about how to deal quickly with violent elements, some of whom may be *agents provocateurs*.
- Peaceful protestors will need specialized training on de-escalation and non-violent techniques – and on how to document the non-violent nature of their protests, given the likelihood that agitators will attempt to blame any violence on them.
- Media outlets, organizers and campaigns need to develop strategies to both promote accurate reporting with trusted leaders and to interrupt and limit disinformation campaigns.
- Social media platforms should take a leadership role in ensuring, at a minimum, the safety of election workers and others involved in counting ballots by, for example, keeping personal information and accounts secure. Social media platforms should also elevate trustworthy officials and accurate information, remove lies and disinformation, and refuse to give voice to those provoking or organizing violent action.

5. *Anticipate a rocky administrative transition.*


As is required by law, the formal transition process has already started. There are rules that ensure transition teams have access to government resources and are being briefed even if the election is still contested. But these legal protections do not guard against the potential for the reckless, self-serving actions that President Trump might take if he is on his way out of office.

- Transition teams will need to plan to do two things simultaneously: possibly defend against Trump's disruptive actions on his way out of office; and find creative solutions to ensure landing teams are able to access the information and resources they need to begin to prepare for governing.
- Here too civil servants will need independent legal guidance and possibly whistle-blower protections. They need to know what information they can and can't disclose to transition teams, how to preserve government documents, what constitutes an unlawful instruction, and how to sound the alarm.
- Congressional leaders and lawyers need to anticipate that Trump will make strategic use of pardons to thwart future criminal prosecution, arrange business deals with foreign governments that benefit President Trump and his family, attempt to bribe and silence associates, declassify sensitive documents, and attempt to divert federal funds to Trump's businesses.

Appendix A: Press Coverage of TIP through late July 2020

- David Frum, “Where the System May Break,” *The Atlantic*, January 31, 2020: <https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2020/07/how-2020-election-could-go-wrong/614842/>
- Paul Egan, “Granholm issues controversial warning about potential Trump election interference,” *Detroit Free Press*, July 31, 2020: <https://www.freep.com/story/news/politics/elections/2020/07/31/trump-election-granholm-ballot-boxes/5553878002/>
- “Experts Game Out What Might Happen if the Election Goes Off the Rails,” All Things Considered, July 30, 2020: <https://www.npr.org/2020/07/30/897345056/experts-game-out-what-might-happen-if-the-election-goes-off-the-rails>
- “This ‘War Game’ Maps Out What Happens if the President Contests the Election,” WBUR, July 28, 2020: <https://www.wbur.org/onpoint/2020/07/28/election-war-games-trump-scenario>
- Paul Steinhauser, “Group is Quietly Planning for What Happens if Trump-Biden Election is Contested,” *Fox News*, July 27, 2020: <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/group-is-quietly-planning-for-what-happens-if-trump-biden-election-is-contested>
- Jess Bidgood, “A bipartisan group secretly gathered to game out a contested Trump-Biden election. It wasn’t pretty,” *Boston Globe*, July 26, 2020: <https://www.bostonglobe.com/2020/07/25/nation/bipartisan-group-secretly-gathered-game-out-contested-trump-biden-election-it-wasnt-pretty/?event=event12>
- Jason Lemon, Bipartisan Group Predicts Violence If Trump Loses Election and Refused to Leave White House, *Newsweek*, July 26, 2020: <https://www.newsweek.com/bipartisan-group-predicts-violence-if-trump-loses-election-refuses-leave-white-house-1520561>
- “Election Gaming Scenario: Is this what 2020 has been about?” Augusta Free Press, July 26, 2020: <https://augustafreepress.com/election-gaming-scenario-is-this-what-2020-has-been-about/>
- Ben Riley-Smith, “Revealed: Republicans and DC veterans fear Donald Trump won’t accept election defeat,” *The Telegraph*, July 7, 2020: <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/07/07/revealed-republicans-dc-veterans-fear-donald-trump-wont-accept/>
- Max Boot, “What if Trump loses but insists he won,” *The Washington Post*, July 6, 2020: <https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2020/07/06/what-if-trump-loses-insists-he-won/>
- Ed Luce, “How America could fail its democracy test,” *The Financial Times*, July 2, 2020: <https://www.ft.com/content/250c79f3-f1e8-4251-a224-ee819c6af6b>
- Peter Nicolas, “Trump could still break democracy’s biggest norm,” *The Atlantic*, June 16, 2020: <https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2020/06/when-does-trump-leave-white-house/613060/>
- Reid Epstein, “Trump Sows Doubt on Voting. It Keeps Some People Up at Night,” *New York Times*, May 24, 2020 <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/24/us/politics/trump-2020-election-voting-rights.html>

Appendix C: Game Summaries



TIP hosted four separate games. Key actions and insights from each turn in each game are outlined below. Turns Two and Three are combined in these descriptions as all games reached a logical concluding point either at the end of Turn Two or early in Turn Three. Parts of Turn Three were also used to summarize key game take-aways. These are not comprehensive descriptions, but they note important moves and strategies from each game.

Game One: Ambiguous Result

The first game investigated a scenario in which the outcome of the election remained unclear from election night and throughout gameplay. The election outcome turned on results of three states: North Carolina, Michigan and Florida. Different combinations of outcomes from those states could result in a range of final election results – including a 269-269 Electoral College tie. A ‘blue shift’ occurred during the game whereby what initially looked like a likely Trump win shifted in the second turn to looking like a Biden win.

Turn One (November 3 – November 10)

- The Trump Campaign began the game by calling on Biden to concede based on the election night in-person voting returns, which skewed toward President Trump and the GOP. The Trump Campaign also used the “bully pulpit” of the Presidency and its influence with right wing media to lock in the election night returns, call into question mail-in ballots or the legitimacy of post-election day vote counts, and enlist the support of Republican officials in several states to immediately halt further vote counting.
- The Trump Campaign team asked the Department of Justice (DoJ) to deploy federal agents across the nation to “secure” voting sites and prepare the National Guard for possible deployment to maintain order against potential protests. Attorney General Barr instructed the DoJ to support litigation that would prevent further counting of mail-in ballots.
- On election night, the Biden Campaign declared that victory was imminent and called for every vote to be counted. The team mobilized a network of influential bipartisan elites, elected officials, and retired military officers to speak to the press and denounce any effort to suppress counting the vote. The Biden Campaign also called for peaceful rallies, echoing a call to count every vote.
- GOP Elected Officials publicly supported Trump’s victory and claims of voter fraud but stopped short of supporting the deployment of military forces. Democratic Elected Officials were proactive in the states where they held offices to ensure votes would be counted and to build bipartisan coalitions to oversee and protect the count.

Turn Two and Three

- The Trump Campaign team again attempted to federalize the National Guard to end further vote counting and called on supporters to turn out in large numbers. The Biden Campaign established a bipartisan transition team and mobilized supporters to ensure vote counting was completed thoroughly.
- Officials from both parties sought to block or overturn results in key states, including seeking to use friendly state legislatures and governors to send alternate or additional sets of electors. After dice-rolls, most of these efforts failed.
- As the scenario played out, North Carolina went to Biden and Florida to Trump, leaving Michigan as the deciding state. There, a rogue individual destroyed a large number of ballots believed to have supported Biden, leaving Trump a narrow electoral win. The Governor of Michigan used this abnormality as justification to send a separate, pro-Biden set of electors to DC.
- Neither campaign was willing to accept the result, and called on their supporters to turn out in the streets to sway the result. The Trump Campaign team attempted to coerce or influence the individual electors. President Trump also invoked the Insurrection Act.
- The outcome of the scenario hinged on how the elected officials from the two parties addressed the separate slate of electors from Michigan. GOP officials asserted that as the President of the Senate, Vice President Pence could legally choose to accept or reject electors as he wished. There was no clear resolution of the conflict in the January 6 joint session of Congress; the partisans on both sides were still claiming victory, leading to the problem of two claims to Commander-in-Chief power (including access to the nuclear codes) at noon on January 20.

Game Two: Clear Biden Victory

In this scenario, Biden won outright in the Electoral College and the popular vote. The Trump Campaign initially contested the outcome of the vote. Once it became clear that efforts to overturn that outcome were unlikely to succeed, the Trump Campaign pivoted to a strategy of self-preservation and limiting future legal liability.

Turn One

- The Trump Campaign initially alleged massive fraud and called for joint DNI-DOJ investigations into the election results. These allegations were reinforced by GOP elected officials. Both the Trump Campaign and GOP team called on media to cast doubt on the outcomes. (Unlike in other scenarios, they never attempted to get state legislatures to repudiate the certified popular vote in the states, or to thwart the state-law processes for counting ballots.)
- The Trump Campaign maximized federal funding for Trump businesses by temporarily relocating the President and his staff to Mar-A-Lago and pursuing murky overseas business deals.
- GOP-controlled Senate pushed through outstanding judicial nominations.
- The Trump Campaign sought to shield President Trump and his team from any future criminal jeopardy by preparing pardons for all individuals connected to the administration – regardless of admitted or perceived guilt.
- The Biden Campaign successfully secured the election result and also worked to forge coalitions with elected GOP officials – which the dice rolls granted to a limited extent - while taking public steps to ‘heal the country’ through public rallies and addresses.
- The Democratic Elected Representatives team also pushed for a bipartisan alliance through an Election Protection Coalition as a way to insulate results from the DOJ/DNI investigations. They also sought to enlist ‘faithless electors’, though the game play did not grant this. (Since the game was played, SCOTUS has unanimously ruled against faithlessness.)

Turns Two and Three

- The Biden Campaign team emphasized standing up a credible transition process. The Trump Campaign sought to hinder this, but the Federal Government Team confirmed that a number of civil servants would seek to enable it regardless of directions from the White House.
- The Trump team issued pardons for Trump family members, political allies, and cabinet officials, along with prominent Democrats, including Hunter Biden and Hillary Clinton, for unspecified crimes.
- The Trump Campaign took steps to position either Donald Trump or his son Donald Trump Jr. to run for reelection in 2024. The Trump Campaign announced the new “MAGA TV” station, featuring documentary footage from Trump’s final weeks in office.
- The Trump Campaign team also sought to install close allies in positions of influence in the RNC and to distract public attention from the President’s efforts at self-preservation and continued influence in the party by escalating rhetoric with Iran.
- The Biden Campaign remained focused on the transition and laying the groundwork for governing. The Democratic Elected Representatives team supported the Biden effort, but also began the process of preparing for investigation into Trump, his family, and his associates.
- GOP elected officials re-focused on state responses to COVID-19, while the Federal Government saw a mass exodus of Trump aligned political appointees.

Game Three: Clear Trump Win

The third scenario posited a comfortable Electoral College victory for President Trump — 286-252 — but also a significant popular vote win—52% - 47%--for former Vice President Biden. The game play ended in a constitutional crisis, with threats of secession, and the potential for either a decline into authoritarianism or a radically revamped set of democratic rules that ensure the popular will prevails (abolishment of the Electoral College, making DC and Puerto Rico states, and other changes). Key moves and actions include:

Turn One

- The Trump Campaign had two main objectives at the outset of the scenario. The first priority was to legitimize the Electoral College results by pushing narratives that cast doubt on former Vice President Biden’s popular vote victory and portraying wide-spread protests of President Trump as anti-American, undemocratic, and promoting mob rule. The Trump Campaign planted agent provocateurs into the protests throughout the country to ensure these protests turned violent and helped further the narrative of a violent insurrection against a lawfully elected president.
- The second Trump Campaign priority was to consolidate power to reduce or eliminate the “Deep State” and broader institutional resistance to President Trump’s agenda for his second term. Specific measures included selective promotions of military personnel with “pro-American views”, rushing judicial nominations, increasing financial incentives to big business, and working with states to maximize GOP control through redistricting.
- The GOP Elected Officials team was supportive of Trump’s efforts to crack down on protests. Establishing “law and order” and defeating the “anarchists” was a unifying call. But they pressed President Trump to “slow down” on the campaign’s more aggressive and overt efforts to consolidate power, partly out of concern that they would lose the support of moderate Democrats needed to publicly declare Trump’s victory legitimate.
- The most consequential action of the first turn was the Biden Campaign’s retraction of its election night concession. It capitalized on the public’s outrage that for the third time in 20 years a candidate lost the popular vote but won the Electoral College. They also capitalized on concern about widespread voter suppression before and on Election Day. The Biden Campaign began the game

by encouraging three states with Democratic governors—North Carolina, Wisconsin, and Michigan—to ask for recounts. As the game developed, governors in two of the three (Wisconsin and Michigan) sent separate slates of electors to counter those sent by the state legislature.

- The GOP failed to convince moderate Democrats in the House to break ranks with the Democratic resistance and support Trump’s electoral victory, much to the GOP’s surprise. Part of the strategy here was to attack the Electoral College *and* to claim that the certified popular votes in these states were questionable because of voter suppression.
- At the end of the first turn, the country was in the midst of a full-blown constitutional crisis characterized by: 1) Political chaos; 2) Widespread threats of violence, and sporadic actual violence in the streets; and 4) A hostile, dangerous, highly-partisan, and frequently unconstrained information and media environment.

Turns Two and Three:

- The Biden Campaign encouraged Western states, particularly California but also Oregon and Washington, and collectively known as “Cascadia,” to secede from the Union unless Congressional Republicans agreed to a set of structural reforms to fix our democratic system to ensure majority rule. With advice from President Obama, the Biden Campaign submitted a proposal to 1) Give statehood to Washington, DC and Puerto Rico; 2) Divide California into five states to more accurately represent its population in the Senate; 3) Require Supreme Court justices to retire at 70; and 4) Eliminate the Electoral College, to ensure that the candidate who wins to the popular vote becomes President.
- As the scenario evolved, the Trump Team focused its efforts on driving a wedge into the disparate and, in the view of many participants, fragile Democratic coalition. For example, during the second turn, Trump gave an interview to *The Intercept* in which he stated that he would have lost the election if Bernie Sanders had been nominated.
- The Trump Team’s approach in turns two and three also emphasized creating the conditions to force the Biden Campaign into taking provocative, unprecedented actions—such as supporting California’s secession or sending a second slate of electors—that played into a broader narrative of the Democrats attempting to orchestrate an illegal coup. The team also tried to position President Trump as a “unifier”—working with top CEOs, holding a unifying event at the Lincoln Memorial, offering to establish a commission to review electoral rules—and as prioritizing safety and security in the face of radical groups supporting Joe Biden and trying to destroy America.
- One of the most consequential moves was that Team Biden on January 6 provoked a breakdown in the joint session of Congress by getting the House of Representatives to agree to award the presidency to Biden (based on the alternative pro-Biden submissions sent by pro-Biden governors). Pence and the GOP refused to accept this, declaring instead that Trump was reelected under the Constitution because of his Electoral College victory. This partisan division remained unresolved because neither side backed down, and January 20 arrived without a single president-elect entitled to be Commander-in-Chief after noon that day. It was unclear what the military would do in this situation.

Game Four: Narrow Biden Win

The final scenario explored a narrow Biden win where he leads with less than 1% of the popular vote the day after the election, and is predicted to win 278 electoral votes. Fox News is among the major networks that called the election for Biden, though the Trump campaign does not concede, setting up an intense competition that concludes with an uneasy and combative but ultimately successful transition.

Turn One:

- The Trump Campaign began the game by encouraging the state legislatures in Pennsylvania, Wisconsin and Michigan to certify a separate slate of electors in support of President Trump. Despite opposition from the Biden Campaign and Democrats, both Michigan's and Pennsylvania's legislatures agree to send two sets of electors in support of Trump.
- The Trump Campaign engaged in a large and coordinated disinformation campaign primarily focused on the legitimacy of the mail-in ballots. This campaign used the media to amplify "stolen election" and "voter fraud" narratives, and launched noisy DoJ investigation into voter fraud. Attorney General Barr also took action to stop ballot counting. Trump Campaign surrogates released false information that Joe Biden had suffered a heart-attack in an attempt to undermine perceptions of Biden's fitness to hold office. The Biden Campaign quickly dispelled this information, but Facebook kept posts about the heart-attack up.
- Despite all of these moves during the first week after the election, dice rolls confirmed that the Biden Campaign maintained a narrow lead.
- The Trump Campaign understood that its most effective strategy was not just to create more doubt about the validity of votes for Biden, but also to sew more chaos and disruption so that President Trump could position himself as the only one capable of ensuring law and order. The Trump Campaign stoked chaos and mayhem by urging local police forces to break up Black Lives Matter and pro-Biden demonstrations and encouraging Alt Right / Boogaloo supporters to confront liberal protestors.

Turns Two and Three

- The Biden Campaign framed Trump's actions as ugly and divisive; engaging independents and moderate Republicans to speak out against this threat. Mitt Romney tried to convince Republican senators to support the Biden victory. After first failing, Romney prevailed and convinced three other GOP Senators to recognize Biden as the President-elect.
- As it became evident that the Biden victory would be certified, Senator Majority Leader Mitch McConnell privately signaled to several Republicans they could support Romney's cross-the-aisle effort, recognizing that moderate Republicans are more likely to prevail in 2022.
- The Biden Campaign organized massive protests across the country. A dice roll determined that over four million Americans took to the streets across the country in support of Biden, enabling his campaign to gain momentum in the battle for public opinion. Violent skirmishes and vandalism took place during these demonstrations.
- A critical moment in the game play was the Joint Chiefs of Staff leaking that internal discussions had taken place about how to handle the escalating situation, including the consideration of resigning in protest of Trump's continued efforts to sow unrest. The leak indicated that the Joint Chiefs' commitment was to the Constitution rather than to the President or to a particular party. Once the Senate voted in agreement with the House on January 6, the military made it clear that it was ready to support Biden as the newly inaugurated president on January 20.
- Sensing the election slipping away, right-wing media pursued particularly aggressive and provocative strategies. Infowars published a list of addresses, phone numbers, and other personal information of electors pledged to vote for Joe Biden. The announcement included spurious claims linking 88 of these electors to Soros and 14 to child sex trafficking. Rush Limbaugh and others accused the Biden campaign of accepting help from China, a message picked up by the mainstream media. Right-wing meme pages, which have a 340% greater reach than any other piece of content on Facebook, saturated the on-line landscape with appeals to defend the Constitution from enemies both foreign AND DOMESTIC."

- Biden’s electoral victory was certified but Trump refused to leave the White House. He began to burn documents and potentially incriminating evidence, and continued to launch attacks against the legitimacy of the election. President Trump released a series of pardons for members of his administration as well as himself before the Secret Service escorted him out of the White House. But the Secret Service demonstrated its “culture of professionalism” (as one member of the Federal Government Team indicated) by indicating that it would be “loyal to the office, not to the person” and therefore it would escort Trump out of the White House on January 20.
- Trump transitions into running TRUMP TV, a new media outlet that immediately upon its founding calls for the impeachment of President Biden.
- By early January 2021, Biden has begun the tasks of uniting the country and trying to pull America out of its lingering COVID-19 related economic and public health crisis. He articulates a series of packages focused on infrastructure and healthcare / COVID-19 and actively seeks to involve Senate Republicans in the process. The Biden Campaign also announces that moderate Republican governors Larry Hogan and Charlie Baker will be nominated to serve in his cabinet. The President-Elect also establishes a joint investigation from the House and Senate Intelligence Committees to examine all forms of foreign interference in the 2020 election.
- The Biden Campaign had originally sought to create a “way out” for Trump to concede the election during early turns. By the end of the game, though, the Democratic Party had begun investigations into the criminal activities of President Trump and his family.

Appendix C: Will “Trumpism” Survive a Trump Loss?

While it was not squarely in the scope of TIP’s investigation, almost all of the debriefings included some discussion about whether “Trumpism” is likely to survive Trump after he leaves office. President Trump has cultivated and mobilized a significant base; many believe it won’t easily be demobilized after Trump leaves office (“Trumpiness is built in now,” said one participant). There are immediate implications for an incoming Administration.

Many observers expect President Trump to try to extend his norm-disrupting influence after he leaves office through an independent media company or partnerships. Participants predict that in the event of a Biden victory, Trump will attack President Biden early and consistently, blaming all problems in the country on a combination of the stolen election and the incompetence of the Biden administration; the message will be clear, consistent, and relentlessly hammered in: “If only the election hadn’t been stolen from me, everything in the country would be great again.” Such a message could fuel political violence. QAnon could play a role in electing far-right candidates to Congress, providing an anchor for ex-President Trump’s proposals.

A minority view was that once Trump is a “big loser,” he’ll lose face with the GOP base and Republicans will move on. If he tries to look like a martyr, these participants suggest, he may come across as merely pathetic. However, if the pandemic and the economy continue to get worse after the 2020 election, it may become more likely that Trump (or a Trump-like figure) will again be a serious contender for the presidency in 2024.

How should anti-authoritarian interests respond? A number of participants urged Democrats to embrace a new playbook. President Obama’s working assumption was that “the fever would break on the back of electoral defeat,” but this proved to be mistaken; throughout the Obama administration, Republicans refused to compromise or engage in customary negotiations over policy, counting instead on blocking every possible Democratic initiative and waiting for their chance to regain the presidency. These participants cautioned that Democrats should not rely on litigation, moral suasion, or merely hoping that Republicans in Congress or state elected office will “come to their senses.” Instead, they should focus on building more authentic relationships with the left’s base, including by publicly supporting the peaceful protest movement that has emerged since late May, rather than continuing to seek conciliation and compromise with the GOP.

There was near universal agreement that in the event of a Trump loss, the GOP’s strategy will be to create trouble for the incoming Biden administration, in order to regain ground in 2022 and retake the White House in 2024. GOP activists (possibly encouraged by Trump himself and by far-right media) may seek to create ongoing street-level chaos and conflict. Meanwhile, GOP party leaders will likely focus on post-census redistricting. Senate Republicans are also likely to try to block one or more Biden cabinet or court nominees as a show of political nerve. If the GOP holds the Senate, even more dramatic blocking actions will be contemplated, tempting the Biden Administration to continue President Trump’s approach of appointing “acting” appointees, thus institutionalizing the nullification of the “advise and consent” role of the Senate in executive branch appointments.


Republicans will likely also push hard on judicial nominations, trying to seat as many nominees as possible before President Trump leaves office. This could potentially include a Supreme Court nomination, which would further undermine the legitimacy of the Court in the eyes of many Democrats and heighten demands from the left for “court packing.” Conversely, it is also possible that if the GOP holds the Senate, Majority Leader McConnell could refuse to hold any votes on any Biden court nominees, allowing the vacancies to pile up until a Republican president is again in place to make the appointments.

Some exercise participants noted, however, that there are conflicting interests for the GOP and, in particular, for Republicans running in 2022 in states where moderates have an advantage, as well as for Republican leaders with Presidential ambitions for 2024. Some GOP presidential hopefuls might define their candidacy as anti-Trump (Rubio, Johnson, Toomey), while some Republican Governors might quickly shift back to state priorities such as COVID-19. An early defining battle between approaches will likely take place over choosing the party chair.

TIP offers these preliminary recommendations based on the input from participants in the scenario planning exercises:

- There was no agreement among participants in the scenario planning exercises about what specific actions should be taken with regard to pursuing investigations and possible charges against President Trump and members of his Administration. A new Administration, in partnership with a broad range of stakeholders, could consider a range of options including those used by other countries, such as truth and reconciliation commissions.
- One question is whether to continue the tradition of offering legal immunity or pardons to Trump and his family. There was a lively debate about whether any short-term gain from this diplomatic effort was worth a longer-term risk that corrupt or criminal practices would continue. This may come up during the transition itself, if Trump decides to negotiate an “exit package” for himself.
- More broadly, there needs to be a robust, intentional, and specific strategy to challenge the white supremacist and extremist networks that enabled Trump’s rise to power and were in turn enabled by Trump’s administration. This base will not automatically demobilize if and when Trump leaves office, and it is inimical to the kind of pluralist democracy the founders intended.
- Finally, it is imperative that Congress take decisive action to limit executive power, as happened with in the wake of President Nixon’s abuses of power in the early 1970s. One of the key findings of these scenario exercises is the extraordinary degree of de facto power that a President unbounded by norms or human decency can wield. No president should be able to use the executive branch to settle political scores, damage perceived adversaries, or pursue personal financial gain. Principles of political decency that had been maintained through norms will have to be codified through law.

Tarrant County Voting Analysis



Executive Summary

- **This Analysis Focused on the Recent Tarrant County Election Results.**
- **Two Major Areas of Concern were Identified that, without a doubt, Indicate Additional Investigation is Warranted:**
 - 1. Anomalies of Texas Election Code (TEC) 1.015: Residence, Examples of which Include
 - Invalid Addresses
 - PO Box / Postal Business- No Residential Addresses Provided
 - Inaccurate Registered Voter Roll Addresses
 - Cancelled Voters List Anomalies
 - Deceased Voter Records on Cancelled Voters List -Unable to Verify Death
 - Multi Voters Addresses
 - 2. Anomalies of TEC Ch. 13: Application For Registration; Initial Registration Voters, and TEC Ch. 18: Procedures for Identifying Registered Voters, Examples of which include:
 - First Time Since 2016 Voters
 - Cancelled Voters -- Voters who voted in May / June 2021 Elections and were subsequently Cancelled
 - Recent Registrations Trends -- Surge in Registrations over last 2 -5 Years and Older Voter Registrations
 - Invalid Registration Dates
 - Low Voter Opportunity Score - Phantom voters added to a registration list for the purpose of defrauding an election would have voted very little compared to all the opportunities they've had to vote (based on their age)



Voting Anomalies

Texas Election Code 1.015: Residence

Residence Required for Registration

🏠 / Texas Statutes / Election Code / Title 1 / Chapter 1 / Section 1.015

Election Expenses

1.015
Residence

1.016
Oaths by Election Officers

1.017
Ineligibility No Defense to
Prosecution

1.018
Applicability of Penal
Code

1.019
Required Evidence or
Testimony

1.020
Voting Disability or
Candidacy

Texas Election Code

Sec. 1.015 Residence

- (a) In this code, "residence" means domicile, that is, one's home and fixed place of habitation to which one intends to return after any temporary absence.
- (b) Residence shall be determined in accordance with the common-law rules, as enunciated by the courts of this state, except as otherwise provided by this code.
- (c) A person does not lose the person's residence by leaving the person's home to go to another place for temporary purposes only.
- (d) A person does not acquire a residence in a place to which the person has come for temporary purposes only and without the intention of making that place the person's home.
- (e) A person who is an inmate in a penal institution or who is an involuntary inmate in a hospital or eleemosynary institution does not, while an inmate, acquire residence at the place where the institution is located.

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 4

Invalid Addresses

INVALID ADDRESS

Summary

Voter from a Vacant Lot

- Carol K. Brown
- 5021 Blackmore Ave, Fort Worth, 76107
- May 2021 Fort Worth Primary
- June 2021 Run-off

<https://www.tad.org/property/00512109/>


Voter Info

ID Number	Name	Address Line1	City	State	Zip	Precinct	style	Ballot Site	Early Voting Date	Voting Unit	Voting Subunit
	CAROL K BLACKMORE	5021 BROWN AVE	FORT WORTH TX	76107	1120	30	COMO		4/26/2021	1	1120

Note: Alternate address found for Carol K. Brown of 4900 Horne Street in Fort Worth, which is the Como Community Center.



Photos



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 6

INVALID ADDRESS

Summary



Voter from a Vacant Commercial Property

- Kimberly Agoro
- 3601 Horne Street, Ft. Worth, TX 76107-6660
- May 2021 Fort Worth Primary

Voter Info

ID Number	Name	Address Line1	City	State	Zip	Precinct	Subcode	Ballotstyle	Code	Early Voting Site	Date Voted	Voting Unit	Voting Subunit
40247563	AGORO	KIMBERLY 3601 HORNE ST	FORT WORTH	TX	76107	1120	1	30	5214343M		5/1/2021	1120	0

Photos



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 7

INVALID ADDRESS

Summary

Voter from a Vacant Residence

- Bertha Obregon
- 101 Year Old Early Voter
- 6550 Westcreek Circle, Ft. Worth, TX 76126
- May 2021 Fort Worth Primary

Voter Info

ID number	voter_lastname status	firstname	middle name	street number	street name	street type	city	zip	sex	birthdate date	eligible date	effective date	precinct
40024721	A	OBREGON	BERTHA	GONZALEZ	6550	WESTCREEK CIR	FORT WORTH	76126	F	1919	2/8/2008	2/8/2008	1111

Photos



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 8

INVALID ADDRESS

Summary

Voter from a Nonexistent Address

- Johnnie Daniels
- 5025 Glen Eden Drive, Ft. Worth, TX 76119
- Nov 2020 and June 2021 Voter

Voter Info

idnumber	voter status	lastname	firstname	street number	street name	street type	city	zip	sex	birthdate	election code1	vote type1	election code4	vote type4
41044225	A	DANIELS	JOHNNIE	5025	GLEN EDEN	DR	FORT WORTH	76119	M	1939	0621	P	1120	E

Photos



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 9

INVALID ADDRESS

Summary

Voter from a Nonexistent Address

- Taylor Kyle Newton
- 1024 Summit Ave, Ft. Worth, Texas 76102
- November 2020 voter

Voter Info

id number	voter status	last name	first name	middle name	street number	street name	street type	city	zip	sex	birthdate	eligible date	effective date	precinct
41096832A		NEWTON	TAYLOR	KYLE	1024	SUMMIT	AVE	FORT WORTH	76102M		1992	7/5/2020	7/5/2020	4492

Photos




1020 Summit Ave



1020 Summit Ave

1024 Summit Ave. does not exist



INVALID ADDRESS

Summary

Voted from the Tarrant County Courthouse

- Three early voters with no alternate address
- 100 E. Weatherford Street, Ft. Worth, TX 76102
- Voted in May and June

Voter Info

ID Number	Name	Address Line1	City	State	Zip	Ballot style	Election Code	Early Voting Site	Date Voted
1697672	LEIGH ANN JONES	100 E WEATHERFORD ST	FORT WORTH TX		76102	1	521SOUTHSIDEC		4/22/2021
2057640	DEREK LATRON GRACE	100 E WEATHERFORD ST	FORT WORTH TX		76102	1	521SUMMER_GLEN		4/26/2021
40417767	STEVEN EDMUND DEANE	100 E WEATHERFORD ST	FORT WORTH TX		76102	1	521ALL_SAINTS_CCPH		4/19/2021

Photos



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 11

**PO Box / Postal Business
No Residential Address Provided**

...


PO BOX/POSTAL BUSINESS-No Residential Address Provided

Summary

Multiple voters from a Pack 'n' Mail

- 24 active voters are registered at this address
- 6731 Bridge Street, Fort Worth, TX 76112
- The Pack 'n' Mail closed a year ago
- Diana Shipman voted in June 2021
- Debra Powell voted in May and June 2021

Photos



10/4/21


Voter Info

id number	voter status	lastname	firstname	middle name	unit type	Sex	birthdate	eligible date	effective date
1699247A		CARD	RAYMOND	DEWEY		M	1951	7/22/1998	1/1/2006
40007710A		CLIFF	KEITH	LEONZIA	APT	M	1970	9/28/2007	9/9/2015
1614980A		DOW	SCOTT	RUSSELL		M	1961	12/8/1996	1/1/2006
40488912A		EDWARD	MONDAY	MARCUS		M	1955	5/27/2014	2/9/2017
40780294A		EVANS	DONALD	LEE		M	1977	3/4/2017	3/4/2017
1228578A		FISHER	EVELYN	RUSSELL		F	1950	8/15/1992	8/9/2014
1262945A		FLOYD	STEPHEN	CHARLES	APT	M	1973	10/21/1992	6/10/2015
1723062A		GREEN	NATASHA	SHAUNTA		F	1980	11/25/1998	8/22/2012
40107926A		GREEN	PETRINA	D	APT	F	1985	10/29/2008	10/29/2008
40686376A		HABERER	JOSEPH	FRANKLIN		M	1953	7/31/2016	7/31/2016
2197960A		HORNER	CELESTE	CLAIRE		U	1964	4/9/2005	1/1/2006
1397451A		KELLEY	RONALD	R		M	1944	5/4/1994	1/1/2006
40415344A		LEE	JULIE	MARIE	APT	F	1973	4/12/2013	12/11/2018
40757242A		LOUDERMILK	DAVID	CORNELL		M	1961	11/20/2016	11/20/2016
40994712A		MARTIN	SEAN	DEMETRICE	APT	M	1971	6/29/2019	6/29/2019
1594285A		MCCLFISH	ESTHER	VIRGINIA		F	1947	4/13/2006	4/13/2006
1098409A		MCGHEE	ERNEST	ANTHONY		M	1971	12/30/1989	11/10/2012
40590821A		MONDOUX	JAMES	ANTHONEY	STE	M	1973	9/11/2015	9/11/2015
1503589A		POWELL	DEBRA	DEVON	APT	F	1976	9/9/1995	11/6/2016
1709199A		RICHARDSON	STEPHEN	CRAIG		M	1965	9/17/1998	1/1/2006
1908502A		SEPULVEDA	JOSEPHINE	VICTORIA		U	1983	6/9/2001	1/1/2006
204202A		SHIPMAN	DIANA	MARIE		F	1950	2/27/1972	4/1/2018
40111133A		TURNER	AVERY	JAMES		M	1985	10/25/2008	11/29/2012
40125712A		WELLS	MICHAEL	RAY	#	M	1958	11/4/2008	1/6/2019


CEITX.ORG

Slide 13

PO BOX/POSTAL BUSINESS-No Residential Address Provided



3000 South Hulen, 124
Is Pack 'N' Mail which
includes private P.O.
Boxes



3000 South Hulen is the Trinity Commons Shopping Center

Suspicious: There are no apartments at 3000 South Hulen and the highest # is 182.

ID Number	Name	Address 1	Address 2	City	State	Zip	Precinct	Early Voting Site	Date Voted	Voting Unit	Tabulation Unit	Ballot ID	SOS Voter ID
40984524	TORRANCE FRANCHON STRONG	3000 S HULEN ST	STE #124	FORT WORTH	TX	76109	4343	JAMES_AVE_SC	4/24/2021	4343	4343-030-NP		1151006664
41038103	LAKISHA DEVONNA BOYD	3000 S HULEN ST	#124-185	FORT WORTH	TX	76109	4343	4343M	5/1/2021	4343	4343-030-NP		2161202054
1202995	NATHAN LYNN MCCARTNEY	3000 S HULEN ST	APT #255	FORT WORTH	TX	76109	4343	SOUTHWEST_SUB	4/24/2021	4343	4343-030-NP		1046315633

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 14

PO BOX/POSTAL BUSINESS-No Residential Address Provided

<https://tools.usps.com/find-location.htm?l>

RIDGLEA — Post Office™

3020 CHERRY LN
FORT WORTH, TX 76116-3944
Street Parking Available

For facility accessibility, please call the Post Office.

1-800-ASK-USPS® (800-275-8777)

<https://tools.usps.com/find-location.htm?l>

TRINITY RIVER — Post Off

4450 OAK PARK LN
FORT WORTH, TX 76109-9998
Lot Parking Available

For facility accessibility, please call the Post Office.

1-800-ASK-USPS® (800-275-8777)

<https://tools.usps.com/find-location.htm?l>

CITYVIEW — Post Office™

7101 BRYANT IRVIN RD
FORT WORTH, TX 76132-4135
Lot Parking Available

For facility accessibility, please call the Post Office.

1-800-ASK-USPS® (800-275-8777)

US Post Office 3020 S Cherry Ln, Ft. Worth 76116 – Early Voter from P.O.Box 121806 at this Post Office –May 2021 Primary & Nov. 2020 Gen. Election:

SOS_VoterID	ID Number	Voter_status	Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Sex	Precinct	Birth date	Election Code	Vote_Type1	Election_Code 2	Vote_Type2
1045714440	979804	A	MARGARET V LEWIS	3020 S CHERRY LN #121806	FORT WORTH	TX	76116	F	4203	1960	521	E	1120	E

US Post Office 4450 Oak Park, Ft. Worth 76109 – Absentee Voter from P.O.Box 100216 at this Post Office –May 2021 Primary & Nov. 2020 Gen. Election:

SOS_VoterID	ID Number	Voter_status	Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Sex	Precinct	Birth date	Election Code	Vote_Type1	Election_Code 2	Vote_Type2
1183184981	40292064	A	BLACKWELL, MADELON MATHIEU	4450 OAK PARK LN APT # 100216	FORT WORTH	TX	76106	F	4343	1935	521	A	1120	A

US Post Office 7101 Bryant Irvin Rd, Ft. Worth 76132 – Early Voter from P.O.Box 16361- at this Post Office –May 2021 Primary & Nov. 2020 Gen. Election:

SOS_VoterID	ID Number	Voter_status	Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Sex	Precinct	Birth date	Election Code	Vote_Type1	Election_Code 2	Vote_Type2
1047973508	2017615	A	ERIC TYRONE SMITH	7101 BRYANT IRVIN RD #16361	FORT WORTH	TX	76132		1377	1968	521	P	1120	E

US Post Office 7101 Bryant Irvin Rd, Ft. Worth 76132 – In person voting from P.O.Box 16040 –May 2021 Primary, early voter, & Nov. 2020 Gen. Election:

SOS_VoterID	ID Number	Voter_status	Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Sex	Precinct	Birth date	Election Code	Vote_Type1	Election_Code 2	Vote_Type2
1051506261	202311	A	EDNA PAIGE	7101 BRYANT IRVIN RD #16040	FORT WORTH	TX	76132		1377	1939	521	E	1120	E

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 15

PO BOX/POSTAL BUSINESS-No Residential Address Provided

SOS_VoterID	ID Number	Voter_status	Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Sex	Precinct	Birth date	Election Code	Vote_Type1	Election Code 2	Vote_Type2
1045843796	40606122	A	DENNIS LYNN CREECH	8101 BOAT CLUB RD STE #240	FORT WORTH	TX	76179	M	4375	1959	521	P	1120	E
1045386479	871216	A	DECKERT	8101 BOAT CLUB RD	FORT WORTH	TX	76179	F	4375	1956	521	P	1120	E
1088155872	40308136	A	GEORGE S GRAHAM	8101 BOAT CLUB RD 121-130	FORT WORTH	TX	76179	M	4375	1986			1120	E
2160389326	41027825	A	DIANA MARIE DANIELS	8101 BOAT CLUB RD #240-210	FORT WORTH	TX	76179	F	4375	1970			1120	E
1150191049	40039450	A	GREGORY OLANDER DANIELS	8101 BOAT CLUB RD Ste 240-210	FORT WORTH	TX	76179	M	4375	1964			1120	E



Class Mail & Business

FedEx Authorized ShipCenter
8101 Boat Club Rd
Fort Worth, TX 76179 US

FedEx Authorized shipping center - Class Mail & Business Center: 8101 Boat Club Rd. Fort Worth 76179 – Five Early Voters in Nov 2020, two in person voters in May 2021 with June 2021 voting not having been submitted to the USPS with the May 2021 voters:

The UPS Store #5536

Get directions, store hours & UPS pickup times. If you need printing, shipping, shredding, or mailbox services, visit us at 8553 N Beach St. Locally owned and operated.

8553 N Beach St
Keller, TX 76244

Across Walmart Super Center (N Tarrant & N Beach Intersection)

UPS Store, 8553 N Beach St. Ft. Worth 76244 – 6 early voters in May 2021, 3 of whom also voted early in Nov 2020. An additional 2 individuals who voted early in Nov 2020 Gen. Election:

SOS_VoterID	ID Number	Voter_status	Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Sex	Precinct	Birth date	Election Code	Vote_Type1	Election Code 2	Vote_Type2
2134982084	40777852	A	CHRISTOPHER JAMES RIVERS	8553 N BEACH ST	FORT WORTH	TX	76244	M	3637	1974	521	E	1120	E
2134982111	40777855	A	MANDI GAYLE RIVERS	8553 N BEACH ST	FORT WORTH	TX	76244	M	3637	1976	521	E	1120	E
1161212851	40129903	A	TODD MARTIN ALLEN	8553 N BEACH ST #200	FORT WORTH	TX	76244	M	3637	1975	521	E	1120	E
2125980775	40690780	A	THEODORE JR HINCHMAN	8553 N BEACH ST APT #344	FORT WORTH	TX	76244	M	3637	1954	521	p		
1045500072	40567728	A	PIKE MAHANNAH	8553 N BEACH ST	FORT WORTH	TX	76244	F	3637	1947			1120	E
1221299719	40579514	A	TAYLOR MARCY	8553 N BEACH ST	FORT WORTH	TX	76244	F	3637	1972			1120	E

PO BOX/POSTAL BUSINESS-No Residential Address Provided

UPS Stores:

SOS_VoterID	ID Number	Voter Status	Also Voted in May 2021	Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Sex	BIRTH DATE	ELIGIBLE DATA	EFFECTIVE DATE	Precinct
2160890408	41035758	A		MICHEL JOSEPH ANSARA	209 W 2ND ST #377	FORT WORTH	TX	76102		1981	11/20/2019	7/5/2021	1001
1007419179	40128922			JORJAN BERRY	209 W 2ND ST #401	FORT WORTH	TX	76102	F	1957	11/4/2008	11/26/2020	1001
1046565402	1317378		Yes	ROBERT WELLINGTON MCKEE	209 W 2ND ST #212	FORT WORTH	TX	76102	M	1974	12/3/1992	11/24/2008	1001
1027517905	41083535		Yes	ISAAC H SPURLOCK	6387 CAMP BOWIE BLVD #161	FORT WORTH	TX	76116					4118
1182803628	40479530	A		MARY CATHRYN DUNN-BRUMFIELD	6387 CAMP BOWIE BLVD APT 170	FORT WORTH	TX	76116	F	1970	4/12/2014	1/28/2016	4118
1051779334	2031544		Yes	ANGELIA DENISE HILLIARD	6387 CAMP BOWIE BLVD #208	FORT WORTH	TX	76116	F	1972	3/4/2004	3/29/2020	4118

FedEx Stores:

SOS_VoterID	ID Number	Voter Status	Also Voted in May 2021	Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Sex	BIRTH DATE	ELIGIBLE DATA	EFFECTIVE DATE	Precinct
1043780808	2228162		Yes	PATRICK KELLEY FRENCH	4455 CAMP BOWIE BLVD #71	FORT WORTH	TX	76107		1944	10/29/2005	11/23/2013	4086
1047316619	1523646	A	Yes	GINA E FULTZ	4455 CAMP BOWIE BLVD #114-24	FORT WORTH	TX	76107	F	1971	12/18/1995	8/14/2019	4086

Inaccurate Registered Voter Roll Addresses

VOTER RECORD INACCURATE

Summary


Voter with incorrect address

- Monica Rosette Fletcher
- Correct address is 3301 Gettysburg Lane
- Voted November 2020 and June 2021

Voter Info

idnumber	Voter status	Last name	First name	Middle name	Street number	Street name	Street type	city	zip	sex	birthdate	Election code1	Vote type1	Election code4	Vote type4
20608109	A	FLETCHER	MONICA	ROSETTE	3307	GETTYSBURG LN	LN	FORT WORTH	76123	F	1974	0621	P	1120	E

Photos



There is no 3307 Gettysburg Ln



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 19

VOTER RECORD INACCURATE

Summary



Voter from a Nonexistent Address

- Tracy Lee Darty
- 5656 E. Lancaster Ave, Ft. Worth, TX 76112
- May 2021 Fort Worth Primary Early Voter

Voter Info

ID Number	Name	Address Line 1	City	State	Zip	Precinct	Ballot Subcode	Election style	Early Voting Code	Voting Site	Date Voted
40233325	DARTY	TRACY LEE LANCASTER AVE 5656 E	FORT WORTH	TX	76112	1099	1	25	521	GRIFFIN	4/19/2021

Photos



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 20

VOTER RECORD INACCURATE

Summary



Voter from a Vacant Lot

- Voter info Marsha Kay Hampton
- 5916 Farnsworth Ave Fort Worth, TX 76107
- May 2021 Fort Worth Primary
- Should be 5616 Farnsworth
- June 2021 Run-off

Voter Info

ID Number	Name	Address Line1	City	State	Zip	Precinct	Sub	Ballot style	Election Code	Early Voting Site	Date Voted	Unit	Voting Subunit
683457	MARSHA KAY HAMPTON	5916 FARNSWORTH AVE	FORT WORTH	TX	76107	71120	1	30	521	COMO	4/25/2021	1120	0

Photos



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 21

VOTER RECORD INACCURATE

Summary

Voter with incorrect address

- Jennie R. McDowell owns 704 Lake Louise Road
- 706 Lake Louise Road does not exist
- May 1, 2021 Ft. Worth Primary Voter

Voter Info

ID	Address	Precinc	Election	Early	Date				
Number Name	Line1	City	State	Zip	t	Ballotstyle	Code	Voting Site	Voted
405169	JENNIE R	706 LAKE	FORT						
	94 MCDOWELL	LOUISE RD	WORTH	TX	76103	1277	44	521.1170M	5/1/2021

Photos



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 22

VOTER RECORD INACCURATE

Summary


Voter with incorrect address

- Betty Colbeck is at 1904 Bolingbroke Place
- 1904 Bolingbroke Ct. does not exist
- November 2020 Voter

Voter Info

SOS_VoterID	idnumber	status	lastname	firstname	middlename	Street number	Street name	Street type	city	zip	sex	birthdate	Vote type1
1045487159	900166	A	COLBECK	BETTY		1904BOLINGBROKE	CT		FORT WORTH	76140	F	1937	P

Photos



1904 Bolingbrooke Ct, Ft. Worth, Texas 76140 does not exist but 1904 Bolingbroke Place Does



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 23

VOTER RECORD INACCURATE

Summary

Voter with incorrect address


- Ronald Boozer is at 1812 Otwell Drive
- 1802 Otwell Drive does not exist
- November 2020 Voter

Photos



Voter Info

SOS_VoterID	id number	status	lastname	firstname	middlename	Street number	Street name	Street type	city	zip	sex	birthdate	Election code1	Vote type1	Election code4
1043551803	2145586	A	BOOZER	RONALD	LAMAR	1802	OTWELL DR		HASLET	76052	M	1965	0621	E	1120



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 24

Cancelled Voters List Anomalies

137 Voted in *May* and were cancelled in *June*

9/12/2021

Voters on Cancelled Voters List

Voter#40542903 ARCE, MILDRED IDALIA

- Voter's registered address was wrong. No record related this address to the voter.
- Per TAD, Voter lived in 2208 E Mitchell St Arlington, TX. The property was listed on 4/9/2021 and sold on 5/11/2021.
- Any voter at the registered address?
- May 2021 Primary

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason
ARCE, MILDRED IDALIA	2206 E MITCHELL ST ARLIN 76010	2210-01	40542903	12/05/14	F	06/10/21	17

Photos

TAD TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS
 How Do I (FAQ) ▾ Advanced Search Forms ▾ Resources ▾ Calendar Ne

Owner Information

Current Owner:
LUGO BENITO V
2208 E MITCHELL ST
 ARLINGTON, TX 76010

Deed Date: 05-11-2021
 Instrument: D221135363

→ mylife.com/mildred-arce/e16582543338


Apps

Previous Owners:

Name	Date	Instrument
ARA		
MANUEL;ARCE	05-26-2016	<u>D216118355</u>
MILDRED I		
BIGNON		

Mildred Arce
 ♀ Arlington, TX
 ⚡ Mildred Santiago Arce, Santiago I Arce,...

Photos



Summary Court Records Reviews

Address & Property Info | [View All](#)

Current Address: 2208 E MITCHELL ST, ARLINGTON, TX 76010-3209
 Year Built: 1965
 Bedrooms: 3
 Baths: 2.00
 Garage Size: 2
 Property Lot Size: 7920 SF
 Building Area: 1764
 Owner: ara manuel and arce mildred i

Voters on Cancelled Voters List

Voter#41107375 BEGHDADI, TAOUFIK ABADJI

- Voter has two current addresses per online search:
- Voter registered in 6004 Walraven Cir. Ft. Worth, TX 76133
- 12812 Council Bluff Dr. Austin, TX 78727. Per Voter's LinkedIn account, he worked in Austin after he came to US. No other locations were associated with the voter.
- Voter came to US 9/2017?
- May 2021 Fort Worth Primary

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason
BEGHDADI, TAOUFIK ABADJI	6004 WALRAVEN CIR FTWOR 76133	1264-01	41107375	06/27/20	M	06/25/21	17

Photos

People Search Now

First and Last Name: Austin, Texas

Name: Last Name (B) / Deghdadi, Taoufik A Deghdadi

Taoufik A. Deghdadi
Approximate Age: 48

12812 Council Bluff Dr. Austin, TX 78727-2815



Taoufik BEGHDAI, MBA - 3rd
Service Delivery Associate Manager at Accenture
Austin, Texas, United States · Contact Info

500+ connections

Message More

About

I have over 10 years of experience working in Operations. Currently, I work as Analytics Lead, improving services for our customers by using advanced analytics, standing up big-data analytical tools, creating and maintaining models, and onboarding compelling new data sets.

6004 Walraven Cir, Fort Worth, TX 76133

6004 Walraven Cir, Fort Worth, TX 76133

CURRENT RESIDENTS

6004 Walraven Cir, Fort Worth, TX 76133

LOCATION: 6004 Walraven Cir, Fort Worth, TX 76133

RELATIVES: L. Deghdadi

Taoufik BEGHDAI AIBA
Service Delivery Associate Manager at Accenture

See all activity

Experience

- Accenture**
4 yrs 1 mo
- Service Delivery Associate Manager / Risk Delivery Lead
Full-time
May 2021 - Present · 5 mos
Austin, Texas, United States
- Service Delivery Ops Team Lead / Analytics Lead
Full-time
Aug 2018 - Apr 2021 · 2 yrs 9 mos
Austin, Texas, United States
- Designing performance measurement tools, business proc forecasting
- Data interpretation and results analysis (descriptive and pr
- Helping business decisions by using data visualization tool
- Service Delivery Operations Senior Analyst / Team Lead
Full-time
Sep 2017 - Jul 2018 · 11 mos
Austin, Texas Area
- Team Lead (Major Social Media Platform). Assigned work as quality of the work, and drove the people management act of reporting and data analysis.
- Country Operations Manager
EnerMech
Jan 2015 - Sep 2015 · 9 mos
Doha, Qatar

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 27

Voters on Cancelled Voters List

Voter#40366022 BEASLEY, HEDI BELLINGRODT

- TAD showing the voter is the owner of the property in the registered address. Voter lives in the property due to Homestead exemption filed.
- There is no current listing of the property for sale or lease as of 9/2021. There is no deed change since the property last time sold in 2017, per TAD.
- June 2021 Fort Worth run-off

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason
DANIEL, NICOLE SUZANNE	553 FRANKLIN DR ARLING 76011	2281-01	41076280	02/21/20	F	06/22/21	17

Photos



Owner Information

Current Owner: BEASLEY, HEDI B. 2816 LIPSCOMB ST FORT WORTH, TX 76118	Previous Owners:									
Deed Date: 10-26-2017 Instrument: D217249932	<table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Name</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Instrument</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FOURSQUARE AT FAIRMOUNT LLC</td> <td>02-22-2017</td> <td>D217039948</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FORT WORTH LIVING</td> <td>06-06-2006</td> <td>D206217877</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Name	Date	Instrument	FOURSQUARE AT FAIRMOUNT LLC	02-22-2017	D217039948	FORT WORTH LIVING	06-06-2006	D206217877
Name	Date	Instrument								
FOURSQUARE AT FAIRMOUNT LLC	02-22-2017	D217039948								
FORT WORTH LIVING	06-06-2006	D206217877								



Exemptions

- HOMESTEAD GENERAL 11.13(b)



2021 PROPERTY VALUE NOTICE

04-15-2021

TARRANT APPRAISAL DISTRICT
2500 HANDLEY-EDERVILLE ROAD
FORT WORTH, TX 76118

★ ACCOUNT NUMBER: 02814188 ★

To file a protest and see additional value, exemption and sales information, go to:
www.TAD.org
Your online PIN is:

Duplicate of Original
92401 ***** AUTO**5-DIGIT 76106 T343 P1
HEIDI B. BEASLEY
2810 LIPSCOMB ST
FORT WORTH TX 76110-3133

Property Description and Address
SOUTH HIGHLAND CHS ADDN BLOCK 12 LOT 20 & PT
1/4 ACRES ALLEYWAY
2816 LIPSCOMB ST



MAY 17, 2021
IS YOUR PROTEST DEADLINE

2020 Market Value 145,467	For Questions Please Call: Values (817) 284-3025 Address (817) 284-4063	2021 Market Value 49,000 LAND 139,139 IMPR 188,139 TOTAL	2021 Appraised Value Homestead Limited 151,210 TOTAL	Message For estimated taxes and rate information go to: www.TarrantTaxInfo.com
------------------------------	---	---	--	---

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 28

Voters on Cancelled Voters List

Voter#40830159 GOUDY, LUKE SHELDON

Registered address is:

- 2515 Walnut Hill Cir #713 Arlington, TX, Spokeo listed current and past residents names for this location, but Goudy is not listed.
- Per usa-people-search.com, the voter might live at 22 Highway 60, Apt. 12 Canyon, TX.
- May, 21 Primary

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fxed	Can. Reason
GOUDY, LUKE SHELDON	2515 WALNUT HILL CIR APT 713 ARLIN 76006	2315-01	40830159	10/04/17	M	06/04/21	17

Photos

The screenshot shows two web pages. The top page is from Spokeo, displaying a map of 2515 Walnut Hill Cir, Unit 713, Arlington, TX 76006. It includes a 'BROWSE MAP' button and 'PROPERTY DETAILS' section. The bottom page is from USA People Search, showing a profile for Luke Sheldon Goudy, Age 31. It lists his current address as 22 Highway 60, APT 12, Canyon, TX 79018-2401 and provides a phone number (620) 290-4362. It also lists three prior addresses: 2511 12th Ave, Canyon, TX 79015-5421; 300 Steamboat Rd, Great Neck, NY 11024-1634; and 3285 Road 320, Deerfield, KS 67828-2839.

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 29

Voters on Cancelled Voters List

Voter#40500249 Jessica Marie Hersh

Voter#40565569 Scott Kenneth Hersh

- Per TAD, the couple's residential address is in 3332 W 6th St. Ft. Worth since 2014.
- The registered address 2213 W ROSEDALE ST S FTWOR 76110, is their rental property.
- May and June 2021 Fort Worth primary and run-off

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason
HERSH, JESSICA MARIE	2213 W ROSEDALE ST S FTWOR 76110	1076-01	40500249	06/26/14	F	06/17/21	17
HERSH, SCOTT KENNETH	2213 W ROSEDALE ST S FTWOR 76110	1076-01	40565569	04/13/15	M	05/17/21	17

Photos



Owner Information

Current Owner:
HERSH SCOTT K
HERSH JESSICA M
3332 W 6TH ST
 FORT WORTH, TX 76107

Deed Date: 06-13-2014
Deed Volume: 0000000
Deed Page: 0000000
Instrument: D214126567

Previous Owners:

Name	Date	Ins'
WOOTEN JEFFREY M	03-11-2008	<u>D214126567</u>
MDJ HUGHES PROPERTIES LLC	03-05-2004	<u>D214126567</u>



Exemptions

- HOMESTEAD GENERAL 11.13(b)

Voters on Cancelled Voters List

Voter# 1609896 KISSEL, Kevin Keith

- Per mylife.com, Voter is about 69 yr old.
- TAD, Voter sold the property on 4/7/2021, and moved to Georgetown, TX
- May 2021 Fort Worth primary

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason
KISSEL, KEVIN KEITH	1020 CROSS ST KENDL 76060	2029-01	1609896	10/22/96	M	06/23/21	17

Photos



Owner Information

Current Owner:
 ONTIVEROS ARTURO
 ONTIVEROS LAURA M
 1020 CROSS ST
 KENNEDALE, TX 76060

Deed Date: 04-07-2021
 Instrument: D221097218

Previous Owners:

Name	Date
KISSEL KEVIN K; KISSEL REBECCA	12-13-
ROBERT W HINES PROPERTIES INC	02-14-
FOUR OAKS LLC	01-01-

https://www.whitepages.com/name/Kevin-Kissel

Checks | Tenant Screening

PEOPLE	PHONE	ADDRESS	BUSINESS
Kevin Kissel		City, State, or ZIP	

AGE
60s

Kevin Keith Kissel
Georgetown, TX [View Full](#)

MAY GO BY K Kissel
USED TO LIVE IN Kennedale, TX • Arlington, TX • Garland, TX • Overland Park, KS • Pocatello, ID
RELATED TO Eunice Mae Kissel • Rebecca Jo Kissel • Jessica Jo Logsdon

Voters on Cancelled Voters List

Voter# 41226909 KNUTSON, ROBERT FRANK

- Voter record has been cancelled due to "DUPLICATE CANCEL - NO HISTORY"
- He registered to vote on 3/11/2021.
- Voter passed away on 11/16/2014 at age of 82.
- No record showing voter lived in Texas.
- How was voter's ID verified?
- May 2021 Fort Worth primary

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason
KNUTSON, ROBERT FRANK	706 CREEKSIDE DR EULES 76040	3329-01	41226909	03/11/21	M	06/15/21	14

Photos

myLife.com/frank-knutson/415364431486

Search by Name Phone Address or Email

Home > People Search > CA > Kelseyville > Frank Knutson

Not the right Frank Knutson? View

Lawsuits, Liens or Bankruptcies found on Frank's Background View All Criminal or Court Report

Frank Knutson, 82

Kelseyville, CA

Frank R Knutson, Robert F Knutson, Frank Robert Knutson, ...

On 11/16/2014, Frank Knutson passed away and was 82 at the time. Frank's last home was located in Kelseyville, CA. Frank R Knutson, Robert F Knutson, Frank Robert Knutson and F Robert Knutson are some of the alias or nicknames that Frank had used. Read Full Summary

2 Visits | Photos

Summary Court Records Reviews Contact & Personal Details Relatives & Associates

findagrave.com/memorial/139109909/frank-robert-knutson

MEMORIALS CEMETERIES FAMOUS CONTRIBUTE

Frank Robert Knutson Jr.

BIRTH: 7 Dec 1931

DEATH: 16 Nov 2014 (aged 82)
Kelseyville, Lake County, California, USA

BURIAL: Sacramento Valley National Cemetery
Dixon, Solano County, California, USA
Section 5, Site 1039

PLOT

MEMORIAL ID: 139109909 - View Source

SHARE SAVE TO SUGGEST EDITS

MEMORIAL PHOTOS FLOWERS

Age 82.

He is survived by his son of Commerce, Texas.

Voters on Cancelled Voters List


Voter# 2017615 LOWE, SHELDON WEBSTER

- Cannot find an exact name match.
- The name searching matches to Lowe, Sheldon W, record showed the person passed away in 1988.
- Another name matches to Lowe, Sheldon W., showing the person lives in Marcus Hook, PA
- Voter registered on 12/4/20, and voted May 2021 Fort Worth primary.

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason
LOWE, SHELDON WEBSTER	5427 WHISPER GLEN DR ARLIN 76017	2519-01	2017615	12/04/20	M	06/09/21	17

Photos



spokeo.com/purchase?pid=2015022700103626902016437323235q+Sheldon+W+Lowe&type=name&url=%2FSheldon-Lowe%2FPennsylvania%2FPA/

OKEO

Get Instant Information on Sheldon W Lowe
Your Purchase is confidential. Sheldon W Lowe will NOT be notified of your purchase.

RESULTS ARE READY!
Sheldon W Lowe
Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania
Last report as of 05/08/2021

PICK YOUR OPTION!

FULL NAME REPORT
\$0.95 61% OFF
SPECIAL PRICE

Report Includes Available Information On:
25 matches for Sheldon W Lowe in the US

- CURRENT ADDRESS: ✓ 444 Thornton Rd, Marcus Hook, Delaware
- PHONE NUMBERS: ✓ See Available Results
- EMAIL ADDRESS: ✓ See Available Results
- FAMILY MEMBERS: ✓ See Available Results
- LOCATION HISTORY: ✓ See Available Results
- MARITAL STATUS: ✓ See Available Results
- COURT RECORDS: ✓ 2 Found

- Special Offer with FREE Trial Membership gives
- ✓ Full Access to Name Search
- Find people in the U.S. by name to quickly get number, home address, email address, and
- ✓ Instant Access to Phone, Email & Address

Name Report Only
\$1.95 regular price

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 33

Voters on Cancelled Voters List

Voter# 2101686 PAYAN, LENESA ANICOLE

- Voter owned registered property 906 Churchill Rd. FTWORTH 76114, and sold on 4/22/2021 per deed date on TAD. New owners filed homestead, so the voter should be moved out.
- Voter still voted May and June 2021 Fort Worth primary and run-off with the property address.

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date Fixed	Can. Reason
PAYAN, LENESA ANICOLE	906 CHURCHILL RD FTWOR 76114	4125-01	2101686	04/19/04	F	06/14/21	17

Photos

TAD TARRANT APPRAISAL DISTRICT
How Do I (FAQ) Advanced Search Forms Resources Calendar News About

Owner Information

Current Owner:
HURST-REBOLLAR, CAMILLE T
906 CHURCHILL RD
RIVER OAKS, TX 76114

Deed Date: 04-22-2021
Instrument: 0221114831

Previous Owners:

Name	Date
PAYAN JOSE L, PAYAN LENESA A	07-22-2016
ESPINOZA DAVID JR	08-22-2001
JAMES HOLLIS R	12-31-1900



Exemptions

- DISABLED VET 70 to 99 PCT 11.22
- HOMESTEAD GENERAL 11.13(b)

Voters on Cancelled Voters List

Voter# 1633921 SMITH, BRIDGET LASHAE


- The registered voter residence address is a brand new home sold on 6/24/2021. No TAD record showing the owner info of the property.
- Per TAD, the voter sold their home on 6604 Sandgate Dr ARLTN, TX to Hector and Karina Galvez on 5/20/2021 with homestead filed.
- The contact address of Galvez is the voter's registered address – the brand new home on 1509 Blanco Trl, Mansfield TX 76063.
- May 2021 Fort Worth primary

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason
SMITH, BRIDGET LASHAE	1509 BLANCO TRAIL MANSFIELD TX 76063	2635-01	1633921	03/31/97	F	06/22/21	MV2

10/4/21

Photos



TAD
TARRANT COUNTY
PROPERTY

How Do I (FAQ) Advanced Search Forms Resources Calendar News About

Back to Search Print Page Page Results All Results Property

Page View

Property Search

Account #	Owner Address	Property Address	Property City	Primary Owner Name
07288958	1509 BLANCO TRL MANSFIELD, TX 76063	6604 SANDGATE DR	ARLINGTON	GALVEZ HECTOR GALVEZ KARINA

Residential
 Commercial
 Mineral
 Personal Property



Owner Information

PUBLIC INFORMATION ACT

Current Owner:

GALVEZ HECTOR
GALVEZ KARINA
1509 BLANCO TRL
MANSFIELD, TX 76063

Previous Owners:

Name	Date	Instrument
SMITH BRIDGET; SMITH MYRON	06-27-2005	D205198425
PALMER DIANA		

Deed Date: 05-20-2021

Instrument: D221147772



Exemptions

- HOMESTEAD GENERAL 11.13(b)

CEITX.ORG

Zillow

Save Share

3 bd 2 ba • 2,171 sqft
1509 Blanco Trl, Mansfield, TX 76063
Sold | Sold on 06/24/21 Zestimate: None (R)
Est. refl payment: \$54/mo Refinance your loan

Zillow Offers Home value Owner tools Home details Neighborhood

Price and tax history

Price history

Date	Event	Price	
6/24/2021	Sold	--	
4/9/2021	Pending sale	\$352,493	\$162/sqft
4/9/2021	Listed for sale	\$352,493	\$162/sqft

Public tax history

Slide 35

Deceased Voter Records in Cancelled Voters List Unable to Verify Deaths

9/12/2021

Deceased Voter Cancelled

Voter# 40558863 CASTLE, REMONA RENEE

- Voter record has been cancelled on 6/25/2021 noted as deceased.
- There is no record found to verify her death.
- TAD has no new deed change on her residential property.
- Licensee.io still shows her active Real Estate Sales Agent license.
- June 2021 Fort Worth run-off.

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason	Event Code	Party	Cancel Reason
CASTLE, REMONA RENEE	4941 OBRIEN WAY FTWOR 76244	3695-01	40558863	03/11/15	F	06/25/21	19	TEAM_MOV_NOT		DECEASED (No Record of death)

Photos

Owner Information

Current Owner:
CASTLE REMONA
4941 OBRIEN WAY
KELLER, TX 76244

Deed Date: 01-16-2015
Instrument: D215013889

Previous Owners:

Name	Date	Instrument
DONNER PRESTON G	06-28-2005	D205188838
TOUSA HOMES INC	01-01-2003	000000000C

Licensee.io Real Estate Licensees Blog Legal

Home / Texas Real Estate / Fort Worth / Real Estate Agent / Remona Renee Castle

Remona Renee Castle

Real Estate Agent

📍 4941 Obrien Way, Fort Worth, Texas,
☎ 817-709-4648

Remona Renee Castle is a licensed real estate sales agent in Fort Worth, Texas and has a total experience of 2 years in real estate business. The real estate license number **726164** was issued by TREC to Remona Renee Castle on 13 August, 2019. The current status of license is **Current and Active** and address listed on Remona Renee Castle's license document is **4941 Obrien Way, Fort Worth, Texas**. The license will expire on 31 August, 2021. You can reach out to Remona Renee Castle via phone number **817-709-4648**.

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 38

Deceased Voter Cancelled

Voter# 1286728 GAMBLE, WILLIAM HOWARD JR

- Voter record has been cancelled on 6/5/2021 noted as deceased.
- There is no record to verify his death available.
- TAD has no new deed change on his residential property.
- May 2021 Fort Worth Primary.

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason	Event Code	Party	Cancel Reason
GAMBLE, WILLIAM HOWARD JR	923 SHANNON CREEK DR MANSF 76053	2636-01	1286728	10/03/92	M	06/05/21	19	TEAM_CANCEL		DECEASED (No Record of death)

Photos



Owner Information



Current Owner:

GAMBLE WILLIAM JR
GAMBLE ANAHI
923 SHANNON CREEK DR
MANSFIELD, TX 76063-2809

Deed Date: 05-14-2012
Deed Volume: 0000000
Deed Page: 0000000
Instrument: D212125647

Previous Owners:

Name	Date	Instrument
GAMBLE WILLIAM H	10-22-1996	00125620001652
WILLIAMSON CHARLA D; WILLIAMSON MICHAEL	04-11-1988	00092460001950
DUFFY & DUFFY		

Deceased Voter Cancelled

Voter# 1473500 HINTON, LISA NICOLE

- Voter record has been cancelled on 6/12/2021 noted as deceased.
- There is no record to verify her death available.
- TAD shows the property owner might be related to the voter.
- May 2021 Fort Worth Primary.

Voter Info

Name	Address	Precinct	Voter #	Reg. Date	Sex	Cancel Date - Fixed	Can. Reason	Event Code Party	Cancel Reason
HINTON, LISA NICOLE	1706 BLUEBONNET TRL ARLRI 76013	2205-01	1473500	02/23/95	F	06/12/21	19	TEAM_CANCEL	DECEASED (No Record of death)

Photos

Lisa Hinton, 49

[Arlington, TX](#)
[Lisa Nicole Hinton, Lisa N Northcutt, Lisa N Hinton, ...](#)
[Manager At Exxon](#)

21 Visits / Photos



[Summary](#) | [Court Records](#) | [Reviews](#) | [Contact & Personal Details](#) | [Relatives & Associates](#)

Lisa Hinton's birthday is 03/30/1972 and is 49 years old. Lisa calls Arlington, TX, home. Lisa also answers to Lisa Nicole Hinton, Lisa N Northcutt, Lisa N Hinton and Lisa Nicole Northcutt, and perhaps a couple of other names. For work these days, Lisa is a Manager at Exxon. We know that Lisa's political affiliation is currently a registered Democrat; ethnicity is Caucasian; and religious views are listed as Christian. As of this date, Lisa is married. Lisa maintains relationships with many people -- family, friends, associates, & neighbors -- including Olevia Northcutt, Dorothy Johnson, Christopher Hinton, Carl Hinton and Neva Hinton. Lisa's reported annual income is about \$70 - 79,999; with a net worth that tops \$250,000 - \$499,999. [Read Full Summary](#)

Owner Information

Current Owner:
HINTON CHRISTOPHER
1706 BLUEBONNET TR
 ARLINGTON, TX 76013-4903

Previous Owners:


Name	Date	Instrument
HINTON CARL FRANCIS	02-09-2010	D210295718
HINTON CARL F; HINTON JOANNE	09-13-2000	0014528000

Deed Date: 11-10-2014
Instrument: [D214246803](#)

Large Numbers of Voters at Single Addresses


Multi Voters Addresses [\[LINK\]](#)

Address	Count at Address
1513 E PRESIDIO ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	513
1100 E LANCASTER AVE , FORT WORTH, Texas	284
2901 STADIUM DR , FORT WORTH, Texas	143
2400 CYPRESS ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	139
2209 S MAIN ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	95
1501 W DIVISION ST , ARLINGTON, Texas	90
2710 AVE J , FORT WORTH, Texas	72
600 SPANIOLO DR , ARLINGTON, Texas	71
801 W 1ST ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	64
901 S OAK ST , ARLINGTON, Texas	63
587 SPANIOLO DR , ARLINGTON, Texas	63
6324 BAKER BLVD APT , RICHLAND HILLS, Texas	60
916 UTA BLVD , ARLINGTON, Texas	55
100 E WEATHERFORD ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	50
3500 NE LOOP 820 , FORT WORTH, Texas	49
4900 E BERRY ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	46
6925 LIBERTY SCHOOL TAP RD , AZLE, Texas	46
200 N BOOTH CALLOWAY RD APT , HURST, Texas	45
201 SYCAMORE SCHOOL RD , FORT WORTH, Texas	43
1331 E LANCASTER AVE , FORT WORTH, Texas	43
3001 W DIVISION ST , ARLINGTON, Texas	41



Multi Voters Addresses [LINK]

Address	Count at Address
1513 E PRESIDIO ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	513
1100 E LANCASTER AVE , FORT WORTH, Texas	284
2901 STADIUM DR , FORT WORTH, Texas	143
2400 CYPRESS ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	139
2209 S MAIN ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	95
1501 W DIVISION ST , ARLINGTON, Texas	90
2710 AVE J , FORT WORTH, Texas	72
600 SPANIOLO DR , ARLINGTON, Texas	71
801 W 1ST ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	64
901 S OAK ST , ARLINGTON, Texas	63
587 SPANIOLO DR , ARLINGTON, Texas	63
5324 BAKER BLVD APT , RICHLAND HILLS, Texas	60
916 UTA BLVD , ARLINGTON, Texas	55
100 E WEATHERFORD ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	50
3500 NE LOOP 820 , FORT WORTH, Texas	49
4900 E BERRY ST , FORT WORTH, Texas	46
6925 LIBERTY SCHOOL TAP RD , AZLE, Texas	46
200 N BOOTH CALLOWAY RD APT , HURST, Texas	45
201 SYCAMORE SCHOOL RD , FORT WORTH, Texas	43
1331 E LANCASTER AVE , FORT WORTH, Texas	43
8001 W DIVISION ST , ARLINGTON, Texas	41




1513 E Presidio St, Fort Worth

JPS Health – Medical Center

513 Registered Voters

- 402 Active voters
- 112 Suspended voters
- 20 PO Box alternative addresses
- 4 voted in June 2021; 3 voted in May 2021; 30 voted in November 2020
- 2 of the suspended voted in November 2020



Medical Home True Worth

1513 E. Presidio Street
Fort Worth, TX 76102

817-702-4895


10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 44

1100 E Lancaster Ave, Fort Worth

- When we Love - Serving Youth, Single Parent Families & Veterans Struggling with Poverty and Homelessness.
- Does not appear to be a shelter
- 284 registered voters, 255 with active status
 - 255 Have alternate address of the same PO Box- PO Box 871
 - 2 voted in June, 1 Voted in May
 - 14 voted in November, 9 Early, 4 in Person, 1 Mail In
- 29 Registered in 2021
- 51 registered in 2020; 33 in time to vote for Nov 2020
- 74 registered in 2019




10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 45

3500 NE LOOP 820, Fort Worth

- Budget Suites Inn
- 87 registrants, 64 voted in November
 - 16 have no Unit/Room Number
 - None voted in May/June
 - 3 have alt PO Boxes; didn't vote in 2020 or 2021
 - 7 voted in November 2020, all early votes
 - 2 have alternate addresses for mail
 - 3 also voted in 2016, all early votes



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 46

3704 South Littlejohn Ave, Fort Worth

- 17 people registered; all active
 - 5 different last names
- No Secondary Addresses Listed
- 0 voted in June; 1 voted in May; 4 voted in November



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 47

2901 Stadium Drive, Fort Worth

- TCU
- 176 people registered; 136 active
 - Of the active voters, 50 have no room number listed, of which 7 have PO boxes
- 1 voted in June; 1 voted in May; 23 voted in November




10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 48

1132 E Leuda Street, Fort Worth

- 17 people registered; all active
- No Secondary Addresses Listed
- 1 voted in June; 0 voted in May; 7 voted in November



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 49

3205 W Cantey St, Fort Worth

- TCU Sherley Hall
- 5 registered, 2 active
 - 1 active voted in June, with appropriate alternate address



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 50

901 S Oak St, Arlington

- University of Texas - Arlington Housing
- 72 people registered; 69 Active
- Of the active,
 - 39 have no Room or Appropriate Alt Address listed
 - 25 redirect to Univ. Center
 - 18 of the University Center Addresses have PO Boxes also Listed
 - 4 have only PO Boxes listed; 1 with a Curacao alt address
 - Of those with no appropriate alt address,
 - 0 voted in June; 1 voted in May; 18 voted in November



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 51

6925 Liberty School Tap Road, Azle

- West Bay Marina & RV Park
- 74 people registered; 69 Active
- Of the active,
 - 31 have no Unit Listed, 4 only have PO Boxes listed, 4 have commercial addresses as alternate addresses
 - Of those with no appropriate alt address,
 - 0 voted in June; 3 voted in May with no units; 26 voted in November



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 52

7800 MOCKINGBIRD LN , NORTH RICHLAND HILLS, Texas

- Mobile Home Park
- 7 Registered, all active
 - 2 PO Boxes as Alternate addresses
 - 5 no units
- 1 voted in May, 5 in November 2020




10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 53

1050 NE State Highway 360, Grand Prairie

- 128 Registrants at Budget Suites of America
- 27 registrants with no rooms/Units identified
 - 15 Active
 - 9 voted in November 2020; 0 in 2021
 - 12 suspended – 0 votes in 2020/2021




10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 54

1120 HARBOR HAVEN ST , SOUTHLAKE, Texas

- 19 registered voters, all active status
 - 0 voted in June; 16 voted in May, 2 Absentee, 14 early
 - 16 voted in November, 4 Absentee, 12 Early
- Only 4 have alternate addresses
 - 1 is a PO Box




10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 55

916 UTA Blvd, Arlington

- UTA Housing West Hall
- 63 Registered, 53 Active
- 8 with no rooms listed
- 4 with PO Boxes
- 4 with no Alt Addresses
- 0 Voted in June, 0 Voted in May, 4 Voted in November



10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 56

For Further Research

Additional Suspicious Data to be Researched

- 71% primary and 125% increase in this year's FW mayoral election voting when the population growth was 3.45%
- 36% increase in FW City Council District 6 voting between the primary and runoff in which the runoff vote difference was 273
- Voting patterns among older voters
 - In Nov 20, 76% of registered voters between 60 and 90 voted with two ages where there were more registrations than population
- Voting patterns where 3 or more are voting from the same address with one or more having very dissimilar names
- Voting patterns in large apartment complexes and college dorms
- Understanding greatly increased voter registrations
 - 19,297 new voters registered in FW between Nov 20 and May 21
- Tarrant County 6th Polynomial results suggest potential external access to our voting systems in Nov 20

Unusual Number of Votes in Runoff -- FW Mayoral Race

https://www.fairvote.org/primary_runoff_elections_and_decline_in_voter_turnout

PRIMARY RUNOFF ELECTIONS AND DECLINE IN VOTER TURNOUT

Near-Universal Decline in Turnout. Turnout declined between the primary and the runoff in 240 of the 248 regularly scheduled primary runoffs in the U.S House and U.S. Senate from 1994 to 2020. In other words, in 97% of primary runoff elections fewer people voted in the second round than in the first. The average decline in turnout was 38% and the median decline was 37%.

Despite the typical decline in the number of voters in runoff elections, in the Fort Worth Mayoral election runoff in June, 2021, there was a **30.5% increase in the number of voters over the number of voters in the primary election.**

City of Fort Worth Office of the Mayor

Choice	Party	Absentee Voting		Early Voting		Election Day Voting		Total	
Mike Haynes		33	0.59%	426	1.31%	433	1.51%	892	1.34%
Steve Penate		101	1.81%	2,818	8.69%	3,329	11.62%	6,248	9.37%
Cedric C. Kanyinda		3	0.05%	156	0.48%	170	0.59%	329	0.49%
Chris Rector		13	0.23%	145	0.45%	135	0.47%	293	0.44%
Mattie Parker		2,056	36.81%	10,131	31.25%	8,364	29.19%	20,551	30.83%
W. Brian Byrd		1,039	18.60%	4,713	14.54%	4,083	14.25%	9,835	14.75%
Ann Zadeh		394	7.05%	2,637	8.13%	2,729	9.53%	5,760	8.64%
Daniel "DC" Caldwell, I		15	0.27%	138	0.43%	153	0.53%	306	0.46%
Mylene George		8	0.14%	14	0.04%	27	0.09%	49	0.07%
Deborah Peoples		1,924	34.44%	11,245	34.68%	9,226	32.20%	22,395	33.60%
Cast Votes:		5,586	100.00%	32,423	100.00%	28,649	100.00%	66,658	100.00%

In the May Election, the total number of votes for City of Fort Worth Mayor was 66,658.

In the Runoff election in June, the number of votes was 87,072 votes.

City of Fort Worth Office of the Mayor

Choice	Party	Absentee Voting		Early Voting		Election Day Voting		Total	
Mattie Parker		2,991	46.49%	25,796	54.29%	17,510	52.87%	46,297	53.17%
Deborah Peoples		3,442	53.51%	21,721	45.71%	15,612	47.13%	40,775	46.83%
Cast Votes:		6,433	100.00%	47,517	100.00%	33,122	100.00%	87,072	100.00%

Unusual Number of Votes in Runoffs--FW City Council Races

Despite the typical decline in the number of voters in runoff elections, in the Fort Worth City Council races, similar to the Mayoral elections, there were significant increases in the number of voters in June over the numbers in the May election.

City of Fort Worth Member of the City Council, District 6

Choice	Party	Absentee Voting		Early Voting		Election Day Voting		Total	
Jungus Jordan		629	64.51%	2,165	41.03%	1,757	42.43%	4,551	43.79%
Jared Williams		248	25.44%	1,909	36.18%	1,420	34.29%	3,577	34.42%
Tiesha Leggett		98	10.05%	1,203	22.80%	964	23.28%	2,265	21.79%
Cast Votes:		975	100.00%	5,277	100.00%	4,141	100.00%	10,393	100.00%

In the May Election, the total number of votes for Council 6 was 10,393.

In the Runoff election in June, the number of votes was 14,121 votes.

**District 6--
35.8% increase over Primary**

Similar results for other two runoff elections District 8-- 34.2% and District 9--20.0% increases over May

City of Fort Worth Member of the City Council, District 6

Choice	Party	Absentee Voting		Early Voting		Election Day Voting		Total	
Jungus Jordan		828	63.69%	3,914	48.63%	2,182	45.72%	6,924	49.03%
Jared Williams		472	36.31%	4,134	51.37%	2,591	54.28%	7,197	50.97%
Cast Votes:		1,300	100.00%	8,048	100.00%	4,773	100.00%	14,121	100.00%

City of Fort Worth Member of the City Council, District 7

Choice	Party	Absentee Voting		Early Voting		Election Day Voting		Total	
Zeb Pent		162	23.86%	1,686	30.36%	1,665	36.98%	3,513	32.72%
Cornelia (Connie) Cottrell		31	4.57%	233	4.20%	206	4.58%	470	4.38%
Michele Stephens McNeill		27	3.98%	152	2.74%	126	2.80%	305	2.84%
Leonard Firestone		285	41.97%	1,674	30.14%	1,017	22.59%	2,976	27.72%
Lee Henderson		124	18.26%	874	15.74%	682	15.15%	1,680	15.65%
Miguel Zamora		20	2.95%	284	5.11%	292	6.49%	596	5.55%
Jake Wurman		12	1.77%	367	6.61%	250	5.55%	629	5.86%
Irvin (Tee) Thomas		2	0.29%	38	0.68%	39	0.87%	79	0.74%
Joseph Lockhart Jr.		12	1.77%	154	2.77%	129	2.87%	295	2.75%
Morris Curlee Jr		4	0.59%	92	1.66%	96	2.13%	192	1.79%
Cast Votes:		679	100.00%	5,554	100.00%	4,502	100.00%	10,735	100.00%

District 7 May voters: 10,735. Runoff voters in June: 14,307

District 7-- 33.3% increase over Primary

City of Fort Worth Member of the City Council, District 7

Choice	Party	Absentee Voting		Early Voting		Election Day Voting		Total	
Zeb Pent		271	32.03%	3,392	42.58%	2,630	47.87%	6,293	43.99%
Leonard Firestone		575	67.97%	4,575	57.42%	2,864	52.13%	8,014	56.01%
Cast Votes:		846	100.00%	7,967	100.00%	5,494	100.00%	14,307	100.00%

Military Voters that Don't Appear on Registered Voter Roll

Summary

All these voters voted in May, and are not on the cancelled voters list for June, but are not included in the Registered Voters List at the end of June

Ballot sent electronically means that the overseas military member requested a ballot via FPCA (Federal Post Card Application) for that particular election. The ballot must then be mailed back to the Election Administration. No such ballots were sent out for the June runoff.

Unclear why these voters would be removed from voter rolls and not appear in the cancelled voters list as of June 29, 2021

ID Number	Name	Address Line1	Address Line2	City	State	Zip	Precinct	Ballot style	Party of Voter	Election Code	Early Voting Site	Date Voted
683784	HIGHTOWER, PO BOX BILLIE JENE 8836	FT WORTH		TX		76124	1126	6 NP		521 OK		4/7/2021
ID Number	Name	Address Line1	Address Line2	City	State	Zip	Precinct	Ballot style	Party of Voter	Election Code	Date Voted	
41233402	CANNADY, PAULA SUE	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					1034	1034	21	NP		5/1/21
41232999	DICKEY, ASHLEY LAUREN	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2171	2171	127	NP		4/27/21
41232998	DICKEY, JEFFREY LEE	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2220	2220	127	NP		4/27/21
41227637	WHEELER, KENDON	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2280	2280	127	NP		4/19/21
41227638	WHEELER, WENDY	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2280	2280	127	NP		4/19/21
41231694	MYER, RICHARD EDWARD	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2383	2383	132	NP		4/22/21
41231695	MYER, RACHEL CAROLANN	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2383	2383	132	NP		4/22/21
41222586	GRAVES, DAVID NORMAN	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2556	2556	128	NP		4/16/21
41227639	FOSTER, JASON PAUL	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					4046	4046	218	NP		3/30/21
41216933	MIESEN, ROBERT LEWIS	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					3323	3323	170	NP		4/21/21
41234821	HAGLE, STEVEN CHARLES	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					1023	1023	12	NP		4/15/21
41224335	BROWN, DAVID ARONLD	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					1024	1024	13	NP		4/23/21
41236525	MARQUEZ, NICOLAS J	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					1641	1641	26	NP		5/7/21
41230683	BUTLER, ANDREA JANIA	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					3695	3695	199	NP		4/21/21
41231698	BUTLER, RICHARD GENE	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					3695	3695	199	NP		4/21/21
41223630	HURLEY, MARTHA	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2158	2158	83	NP		4/8/21
41230659	KHANGURA, LORETTA LOUISE	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2029	2029	117	NP		4/22/21
41231696	KHANGURA, KANWAR SINGH	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2029	2029	117	NP		4/22/21
41234352	BOWEN, NATHAN G	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2029	2029	117	NP		4/30/21
41234353	JAMES, CHRISTIAAN K	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2029	2029	117	NP		4/30/21
41216930	HAMMONS, ALYSSA CHRISTINE	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2033	2033	123	NP		4/27/21
41216932	VACEK, PATRICK THOMAS	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2033	2033	123	NP		4/27/21
41235753	WESTON, MARCIA JO	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2308	2308	123	NP		4/27/21
41234820	WALSTON, LAUREN RENEE	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2524	2524	123	NP		4/27/21
41236495	BOSWELL, JOHN BENTLEY	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					2524	2524	123	NP		4/27/21
41228892	SHAFI, SHAHID	***BALLOT SENT ELECTRONICALLY***					3728	3728	153	NP		5/6/21

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 61

Additional Suspicious Data to be Researched

VOTERS THAT SAID THEY VOTED, BUT THEIR VOTE DID NOT APPEAR IN VOTER RECORDS

SOS_VoterID	idnumber	voter_status	lastname	firstname	middlename	streetnumber	streetname	streettype	city	zip	sex	birthdate	eligible_date	effective_date	precinct
002025424	40605367	A	MULROY	MADELEINE	SIMONE	6524	VALENCIA GROVE PASS		FORT WORTH	76132	F	1997	11/6/15	11/6/15	1296

Ms. Mulroy indicated that she did vote in the May, 2021 election, and the June election. The May election did not include her vote.

VOTERS THAT SAID THEY DID NOT VOTE, BUT THEIR VOTE IS INCLUDED IN VOTER RECORDS

SOS_VoterID	idnumber	voter_status	lastname	firstname	middlename	streetnumber	streetname	streettype	city	zip	zip4	sex	birthdate	eligible_date	effective_date	precinct	election_code1	vote_type1
1011382506	41056710	A	SANDERS	GAYLE	SMITH	5301	BRYANT IRVIN	RD	FORT WORTH	76132		F	1931	02/04/2020	02/04/2020	1071	521	A

Pursuing affidavits for both these voters.


10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 62

Additional Suspicious Data to be Researched

Distribution of Nov 2020 and May 2021 Primary "Bad Addresses"* Detected by Initial Investigation by Precinct - Now being further researched
 Bad Addresses by Precinct and Election 1120 and 0521



* - "Bad Addresses" = Vacant Lots, Fictitious Addresses, P.O. Box / Exec Office Suite Voters, etc.

precinct	1120 Bad Addresses	0521 Bad Addresses
Totals	943	134

Anomalies

**Texas Election Code Ch. 13: Application For
Registration; Initial Registration Voters**

**Texas Election Code Ch. 18: Procedures For
Identifying Registered Voters**

Suspicious Voter Lists

- Introduction
- First Time Voters
- Cancelled Voters
- Registrations Trends
- Summary

Introduction

- Data Analyzed

- May 2021 Voter Data
- June 2021 Voter Data
 - Provided Voter History
- June 2021 Cancelled Voter list

- Approach

- Identify Voters that voted in May and / or June for first time since 2016 Presidential Election or earlier.
- Identify Voters that voted in May and / or June and were subsequently cancelled

First Time Voters

- ▶ 2252 County / 1537 FW Voters voted in May and / or June for first time since 2016 Presidential Election or earlier.
 - ▶ 1436 County / 1033 FW June Only Voters
 - ▶ Did not vote in May 01 Election
 - ▶ 816 County / 504 FW May and June Voters
- ▶ Total Voter Count
 - ▶ May ≈165k in County / 64k in Fort Worth
 - ▶ Out of 1,170,379 Registered Voters = 14.17%
 - ▶ June ≈129k in County/ 85k in Fort Worth
 - ▶ Out of 881,914 = 14.59%
- ▶ For Comparison: Nov 2020 - 838,968 Voters. 1,216,618 Registered. 68.96%

May General Election Results

City of Fort Worth Office of the Mayor									
Choice	Party	Absentee Voting		Early Voting		Election Day Voting		Total	
Mike Haynes		33	0.59%	426	1.31%	433	1.51%	892	1.34%
Steve Penate		101	1.81%	2,818	8.69%	3,329	11.62%	6,248	9.37%
Cedric C. Kanyinda		3	0.05%	155	0.48%	170	0.59%	329	0.49%
Chris Rector		13	0.23%	145	0.45%	135	0.47%	293	0.44%
Mattie Parker		2,056	36.81%	10,131	31.25%	8,364	29.19%	20,551	30.83%
W. Brian Byrd		1,039	18.60%	4,713	14.54%	4,083	14.25%	9,835	14.75%
Ann Zadeh		394	7.05%	2,637	8.13%	2,729	9.53%	5,760	8.64%
Daniel "DC" Caldwell, I		15	0.27%	138	0.43%	153	0.53%	306	0.46%
Mylene George		8	0.14%	14	0.04%	27	0.09%	49	0.07%
Deborah Peoples		1,924	34.44%	11,245	34.68%	9,226	32.20%	22,395	33.60%
Cast Votes:		5,586	100.00%	32,423	100.00%	28,649	100.00%	66,658	100.00%
Undervotes:		19		377		334		730	
Overvotes:		15		0		0		15	

June Runoff Results


City of Fort Worth Office of the Mayor									
Choice	Party	Absentee Voting		Early Voting		Election Day Voting		Total	
Mattie Parker		2,991	46.49%	25,796	54.29%	17,510	52.87%	46,297	53.17%
Deborah Peoples		3,442	53.51%	21,721	45.71%	15,612	47.13%	40,775	46.83%
Cast Votes:		6,433	100.00%	47,517	100.00%	33,122	100.00%	87,072	100.00%
Undervotes:		21		44		27		92	
Overvotes:		5		0		0		5	

Many Elections City / District Specific

2252 Suspicious Voters Identified

First Time Voters County Wide

Distribution by Zip Code



Zip Code Candidates for Future Investigation

First Time Voters County Wide


Distribution by Zip Code

Average Zip Code Population ≈ 27k

- Largest 76063 Mansfield 61,361,
- Smallest 76127 Naval Air Station JRB 2,003

	Zip Code	Voter Count	Pop Rank	Zip Code	Voter Count	Pop Rank
FW	76107	144	34	76177	37	61
FW	76109	130	44	76102	36	59
FW	76244	130	2	75054	35	Partial
FW	76179	113	6	75052	31	Partial
FW	76133	95	5	76017	28	9
FW	76116	92	7	76002	26	24
FW	76051	83	8	76016	26	19
	76063	82	1	76106	26	13
	76034	79	40	76134	26	38
FW	76112	74	11	76114	25	36
FW	76123	73	25	76001	22	22
FW	76131	69	27	76105	22	43
FW	76137	69	4	76120	22	54
	76040	66	29	76126	21	49
FW	76110	62	23	76005	18	24
FW	76132	58	37	76103	17	55
	76104	46	50	76111	17	45
	76052	40	53	76006	16	41
	76108	40	12	76018	16	31
	76119	38	10	76013	15	20
	76039	37	18	76164	15	51

Zip Code	Voter Count	Pop Rank
76135	14	48
76140	14	33
76028	13	57
76036	13	42
76012	11	35
76115	9	47
76011	7	46
76118	7	56
76010	6	3
76014	6	15
76015	6	52
76262	6	14
75022	5	Partial
76008	4	41
76060	4	60
76155	4	62
76054	3	58
75028	2	Partial
75050	1	Partial




Voter Count Independent of Zip Code Population

First Time Voters County Wide

Distribution by Zip Code / Precinct

Zip Code Precinct	Voter Count												
76107	144	76244	130	76133	95	76051	83	76034	79	76123	73	76040	66
1015	4	3240	10	1004	7	3035	3	3193	5	1119	2	1388	8
1094	11	3372	13	1022	7	3038	3	3038	3	1186	5	3160	18
1120	11	3417	9	1105	5	3114	9	3330	3	1207	1	3183	26
1684	4	3465	9	1119	5	3321	8	3331	19	1251	10	3247	2
4016	8	3486	13	1133	4	3361	9	3390	6	1255	7	3329	12
4086	31	3552	10	1142	3	3384	6	3421	10	1347	13	76110	62
4116	15	3570	2	1165	1	3385	8	3510	11	1459	3	1076	10
4137	19	3637	11	1167	4	3396	10	3563	2	1639	8	1078	3
4344	13	3647	7	1207	7	3469	3	76112	78	1641	8	1095	1
4350	14	3648	6	1238	16	3530	14	1012	14	1715	3	1108	9
4493	8	3695	13	1257	4	3542	7	1089	1	1718	2	1408	2
4496	3	3696	9	1264	8	3706	3	1126	3	1723	11	1608	9
4634	3	3698	15	1265	11	76063	82	1127	5	76131	69	4060	5
76109	130	3709	3	1273	5	1034	3	1146	7	4338	10	4077	5
1081	3	76179	113	1292	5	2033	6	1151	1	4452	8	4096	8
1095	8	4018	2	1440	1	2221	4	1170	5	4499	5	4097	1
1117	13	4044	8	1718	2	2262	1	1188	2	4591	7	4107	1
1298	9	4045	18	76116	92	2308	2	1199	2	4638	13	4155	1
1472	1	4232	11	4069	1	2355	3	1270	2	4694	18	4195	1
4130	20	4375	13	4118	11	2357	6	1278	9	4711	8	4201	3
4163	18	4429	13	4129	10	2360	10	1279	5	76137	69	4233	2
4182	38	4452	2	4135	1	2426	6	1311	5	4234	9	4475	1
4343	16	4454	5	4136	4	2435	4	1415	3	4250	15	76132	58
4498	4	4531	3	4178	13	2524	8	1460	9	1071	9	1071	9
		4532	4	4179	1	2548	2	1622	1	1296	10	1296	10
		4551	6	4203	3	2614	1			1377	8	1377	8
		4649	1	4230	13	2636	2			1423	24	1423	24
		4650	14	4342	19	2643	4			4070	7	4070	7
		4702	4	4456	12	2645	7						
		4703	7	4497	2	2697	8						
		4707	2	4713	2	2708	3						
						2712	2						



Cancelled Voters County Wide

Voters that voted in May and / or June and were subsequently cancelled

Voted in June Only	12
DECEASED	1
GENERAL (VTR RQST/DEC/MEN/DUP)	9
NO RESPONSE - EXAM LTR(30 DAY)	1
#N/A	1
Voted in May and June	18
DUPLICATE CANCEL - NO HISTORY	1
GENERAL (VTR RQST/DEC/MEN/DUP)	15
MOVED OUT OF COUNTY (REIMBURS)	1
NO RESPONSE - EXAM LTR(30 DAY)	1
Voted in May Only	107
DECEASED	22
DUPLICATE CANCEL - NO HISTORY	2
GENERAL (VTR RQST/DEC/MEN/DUP)	78
MOVED OUT OF COUNTY (REIMBURS)	3
NO RESPONSE - EXAM LTR(30 DAY)	2
Grand Total	137 *

*59 / 137 FW Voters

Found: 137 Suspicious Cancelled Voters

Summary

- ▶ 2252 Voters voted in May and / or June for first time since 2016 Presidential Election or earlier.
 - ▶ 1436 June Only Voters
 - ▶ Did not vote in May 01 Election
 - ▶ 816 May and June Voters
- ▶ 137 Voters were cancelled after voting in May and / or June.

Voter Registration Information

To register to vote in Texas, simply complete a voter registration application and return it to your county election office at least 30 days before the upcoming election date. To complete an application, you may:

1. Complete an application using the SOS ONLINE VOTER REGISTRATION APPLICATION. Simply fill in the required information, print, sign and mail directly to your county election office.
2. Request a PRINTED APPLICATION. Our office will mail a voter registration application to the address provided.
3. Contact or visit your local VOTER REGISTRAR to complete the voter registration process.

You are eligible to register to vote if:


- You are a United States citizen;
- You are a resident of the county where you submit the application;
- You are at least 17 years and 10 months old, and you are 18 years of age on Election Day.
- You are not a convicted felon (you may be eligible to vote if you have completed your sentence, probation, and parole); and
- You have not been declared by a court exercising probate jurisdiction to be either totally mentally incapacitated or partially mentally incapacitated without the right to vote.

A voter registration agency shall provide a voter registration application form to each person who is of voting age and a United States citizen in connection with the person's application for initial services, and also in connection with any recertification, renewal, or change of address, unless the person declines in writing to register to vote. Added by Acts 1995, 74th Leg., ch. 797, Sec. 33, eff. Sept. 1, 1995.


The following are considered voter registration agencies:

- Health and Human Services
- Any SOS approved agency that provides public assistance or services to persons with disabilities
- Department of Public Safety (Driver Licenses)
- All Public Libraries
- Marriage License offices

Registration Trends




Substantial Registration Increases



Substantial Registration Increases

Registration Trends

Voter Count - First Time Registrants in 2020 & 2021



Substantial Registration Increases

Invalid Registration Dates < 17 yrs of age

Slide 77

idnumber	voter_status	lastname	firstname	middlename	namesuffix	streetnumber	streetbuilding	streetprefix	streetname	streettype	unit	city	zip		
2064145A		DAVIS	DEOSRAE	DASHAUN		1132		E	LEUDA	ST		FORT WORTH	76104	2003	16
618949A		JACKSON	ELLA			6400			FOREST HILL	DR		FORT WORTH	76119	1980	15
1524904A		WOODS	MELVIN	EUGENE		3428			FALCON	DR		FOREST HILL	76119	1995	16
6086945		HIGGINS	RANDY	GUY		1829			CARVER	AVE		FORT WORTH	76102	1980	16
1266813A		ARMSTEAD	TONY	LAVON		3429		N	LITTLEJOHN	AVE		FORT WORTH	76105	1992	16
289609A		WILLIAMS	AZELL			6925			GETTYSBURG	DR		FOREST HILL	76140	1972	15
745468A		LYDIA	VICTOR	LABRAY	II	3101			GETTYSBURG	LN		FORT WORTH	76123	1984	-6
40242270S		BEAUFORD	BRANDON	KEITH		1600			CAROL OAKS	TRL	2505	FORT WORTH	76112	2008	16
141108A		JONES	SHEILA	ANN		6100			AVERY	DR	1107	FORT WORTH	76132	1972	15
300206A		YOUNG	CHARLES	EDWARD		4609			LOIS	ST		FORT WORTH	76119	1972	10
1869889A		LOCKRIDGE	TERRICK	DENARD		7320			DARIEN	ST		FORT WORTH	76140	2000	12
290473A		WILLIAMS	IMA			5872			BINDWEED	ST		FORT WORTH	76123	1972	-9
1266043A		GRANT	JAMIE	DESEAN		2016			HILL COUNTRY	CT		ARLINGTON N	76012	1992	16
30024167A		GROMACKI	CHRISTOPHE R	SCOTT		6001			CASTANADA	DR		ARLINGTON N	76018	1980	0
40187498A		CYPRIEN	CARISSA	JAYE		221			HIBISCUS	DR		ARLINGTON N	76018	1991	0
40355692A		JACKSON	SARAH	ELISABETH		109			SOUTHERN PINE	CT		ARLINGTON N	76018	1978	0
319678A		WILSON	MILDRED	ANN		709			STONE MESA	CT		ARLINGTON N	76001	1976	8

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 77

Invalid Registration Dates < 17 yrs of age

Slide 78

idnumber	voter_status	lastname	firstname	middleinitial	namesuffix	streetnumber	streetbuilding	streetpre	streetname	streettype	streetpostal	unit_type	unit	city	zip	Reg Year	Reg Age
873A		HARRIS	GLENNA	JEANETTE		6933		NE	LOOP 820			APT		NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	76180	1971	-3
30003171A		SEEVER	TRACI	KRISTINE		1226			KILLARNEY	LN				GRAPEVINE	76051	1980	0
30020083A		WAGNER	NICK	BRUCE		1719			MAGNOLIA	LN				EULESS	76039	1944	0
40568847A		KELLY	JACK	THOMAS		1105			OAKWOOD	DR				KELLER	76248	2013	16
40165764A		GORGI	EVA	BARBARA		6000			PONDEROSA	ST				COLLEYVILLE	76034	1967	0
40081620A		FRAZIER	ADRIENNE	ELIZABETH		6541			PARKWOOD	DR				FORT WORTH	76148	1990	0
30006052A		MARQUEZ	KRYSTAL	LEIGH		6529			HIGH LAWN	TER				FORT WORTH	76148	1989	0
30022451A		MCKASSON	DOUGLAS	B		9724			BEN HOGAN	LN				FORT WORTH	76244	1970	0
40242271A		CHARLESTON	MARICA	CHRISHAWN		9012			HEARTWOOD	DR				FORT WORTH	76244	2008	15
40003996A		GOMEZ	ANTOLIN			1504			LEE	AVE				FORT WORTH	76164	1962	0
40060858B		BLUM	MATTHEW	AARON		4717			DEXTER	AVE				FORT WORTH	76107	1990	0
1790882A		HERNANDEZ	CINDERELLA	RODRIGUEZ		1321			PARSONS	LN				FORT WORTH	76106	1999	16
40359827A		MUNOZ	MAYRA	ALEJANORA		3851			OSCAR	AVE				FORT WORTH	76106	1912	-79
40187708A		CANALES	ERNEST		JR	2315			GRAYSON	AVE				FORT WORTH	76106	1976	0
40128705A		GARCIA	JEFFREY	MARK		1936			ARROYO VERDE	TRL				FORT WORTH	76131	2003	19
222089A		REYES	MARION	DANIEL		2455			CAPRI	DR				FORT WORTH	76114	1972	11
30024200A		BURGOS	HECTOR			5136			WEATHER ROCK	LN				FORT WORTH	76179	1961	0
2145276A		RAMIREZ HERRERA	SAN	JUANA		2412			WAKECREST	DR				FORT WORTH	76108	2004	12

10/4/21

CEITX.ORG

Slide 78

Voter Opportunity Score

SOS	VoteID	Idnumber	Voter Status	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Street Number	Street Building	Street Prefix	Street Name	Street Type	Street Position	Unit Type	Unit	City	Zip	Birthdate				
	2144525995	40871710	A	ABATAYO	HAZEL MARI	PINO	250		W	LANCASTER	AVE		APT		1303 FORT WOI	76102	1985	36	0	6.0	0.000
	1011248924	40614091	A	ABBOTT	WELDON	LEON	222		W	4TH	ST		APT		409 FORT WOI	76102	1948	73	1	6.0	0.167
	1052018645	1623361	S	ABDELHADI	OMAR	SAID	501		E	WEATHERFORD	ST		APT	G	FORT WOI	76102	1957	64	0	6.0	0.000
	1047447772	40900932	A	ABLES	KEE	AUCE	500			MAIN	ST				645 FORT WOI	76102	1959	62	2	6.0	0.333
	1185538586	41012137	A	ACOSTA	EUGENIA		605		W	1ST	ST		APT		408 FORT WOI	76102	1958	63	1	6.0	0.167
	1152669411	40052985	A	ACOSTA	SHEILA	MAY	605		W	1ST	ST		APT		408 FORT WOI	76102	1975	46	1	6.0	0.167
	1049399249	2259513	A	ACREE	JOHN	CURTIS	605		W	1ST	ST		APT		704 FORT WOI	76102	1952	69	4	6.0	0.567
	2174280670	41179918	A	ACUNA	DORENE	LYNN	250		W	LANCASTER	AVE				1519 FORT WOI	76102	1976	45	1	6.0	0.167
	1045080762	723874	A	ADAMS	BRIAN	KEITH	221		W	LANCASTER	AVE		UNIT		8002 FORT WOI	76102	1958	63	4	6.0	0.667
	1052224113	723870	A	ADAMS	CYNTHIA	SCURLOCK	221		W	LANCASTER	AVE		UNIT		8002 FORT WOI	76102	1958	63	4	6.0	0.667
	1083606615	40322678	A	ADAMS	TAMMY	JOAN	221		W	LANCASTER	AVE		UNIT		4013 FORT WOI	76102	1959	62	5	6.0	0.833
	1205418037	40463417	A	ADAMS	THOMAS	WAYNE	221		W	LANCASTER	AVE		UNIT		4013 FORT WOI	76102	1949	72	4	6.0	0.667
	1070852347	41182116	A	AGUILAR	NORA	LEE	815		E	4TH	ST				FORT WOI	76102	1976	45	1	6.0	0.167

Zip Code	Sum of # Votes	Sum of Opps	VOS
76129	51	205	25%
75051	2121	8501	25%
76155	3596	13909	26%
76102	5410	10085	27%
76010	29181	94154	31%
76117	516	1517	32%
76011	18732	57468	33%
76105	17291	52667	33%
76040	6061	24444	33%
76115	7996	23959	33%
75050	11283	32760	34%
76111	7116	20244	35%
76014	29781	84524	35%
76104	13108	36434	36%
76180	7488	20742	36%
76005	7909	19351	38%
76006	28007	73017	38%
76119	44458	114394	39%
76039	5076	13032	39%
75052	47323	120963	39%
76120	22824	56907	39%
76107	14491	36592	40%
76018	35639	87934	41%
76002	47407	113865	42%
75054	21572	50819	42%
76140	37818	88992	42%
76103	16047	38913	43%
76036	42373	97858	43%
76112	56979	129312	44%
76015	23443	53103	44%
76028	34250	77636	44%
76118	12234	27456	45%
76134	36306	81060	45%
76123	57594	128510	45%
76248	8584	18759	46%
76053	8093	19353	46%
76052	8695	18837	46%
76063	116368	248380	47%
76139	78283	161273	48%
76132	37158	77147	48%
76001	57324	117922	49%
76051	18587	37855	49%
76017	81566	165345	49%
76109	17468	35142	50%
76050	15785	31372	50%
76110	13049	27252	51%
76012	49243	95199	52%
76126	47891	92569	52%
76013	57305	105240	52%
76016	71343	129346	55%
76116	9302	16736	56%
76021	8968	16115	56%
76092	7159	12500	57%
Total	1456596	3305788	44%

Targeting Precincts

Voter Opportunity Score – Theory and Requirements

- Theory
 - Phantom voters added to a registration list for the purpose of defrauding an election would have voted very little compared to all the opportunities they've had to vote (based on their age)
- Required Data
 - Voter History Data
 - Voter ID
 - Estimated age at time of election
 - All dates of votes



Voter Opportunity Score

SOS_VoterID	Idnumber	voter_status	lastname	firstname	middlename	streetnumber	streetbuilding	streetprefix	streetname	streettype	streetpostdir	unit_type	unit	city	zip	birthdate	V	O		
2144525995	40871710	A	ABATAYO	HAZEL MARI	PINO	250		W	LANCASTER	AVE		APT		1303 FORT WOI	76102	1985	36	0	6.0	0.000
1011248924	40614091	A	ABBOTT	WELDON	LEON	222		W	4TH	ST		APT		409 FORT WOI	76102	1948	73	1	6.0	0.167
1052218645	1623361	S	ABDELHADI	OMAR	SAID	501		E	WEATHERFORD	ST		APT	G	FORT WOI	76102	1957	64	0	6.0	0.000
1047447772	40900932	A	ABLES	KEE	AUCE	500			MAIN	ST				645 FORT WOI	76102	1959	62	2	6.0	0.333
1185538686	41012137	A	ACOSTA	EUGENIA		605		W	1ST	ST		APT		403 FORT WOI	76102	1958	63	1	6.0	0.167
1152669411	40059585	A	ACOSTA	SHEILA	MAY	605		W	1ST	ST		APT		408 FORT WOI	76102	1975	46	1	6.0	0.167
1049999249	2259513	A	ACREE	JOHN	CURTIS	605		W	1ST	ST		APT		704 FORT WOI	76102	1952	69	4	6.0	0.667
2174280670	41175918	A	ACUNA	DORENE	LYNN	250		W	LANCASTER	AVE				1519 FORT WOI	76102	1976	45	1	6.0	0.167
1045030762	723874	A	ADAMS	BRIAN	KEITH	221		W	LANCASTER	AVE		UNIT		8002 FORT WOI	76102	1958	63	4	6.0	0.667
1052224113	723870	A	ADAMS	CYNTHIA	SCURLOCK	221		W	LANCASTER	AVE		UNIT		8002 FORT WOI	76102	1958	63	4	6.0	0.667
1083306615	40322678	A	ADAMS	TAMMY	JOAN	221		W	LANCASTER	AVE		UNIT		4013 FORT WOI	76102	1959	62	5	6.0	0.833
1205418037	40463417	A	ADAMS	THOMAS	WAYNE	221		W	LANCASTER	AVE		UNIT		4013 FORT WOI	76102	1949	72	4	6.0	0.667
1070952347	41182116	A	AGUILAR	NORA	LEE	815		E	4TH	ST				FORT WOI	76102	1976	45	1	6.0	0.167

Zip Code	Sum of # Votes	Sum of Opps	VDS
76129	51	205	25%
75051	2121	8501	25%
76155	3596	13909	26%
76102	5410	20885	27%
76010	29101	94154	31%
76117	516	1617	32%
76011	18732	57468	33%
76105	17291	52667	33%
76040	8061	24444	33%
76115	7996	23959	33%
75050	11283	32760	34%
76111	7116	20244	35%
76014	29781	84524	35%
76104	13108	36434	36%
76180	7488	20742	36%
76005	7309	19351	36%
76006	28007	73917	36%
76119	44458	114394	39%
76039	5076	13092	39%
75052	47323	120962	39%
76120	22324	56907	39%
76107	14491	36592	40%
76018	35689	87934	41%
76002	47407	113865	42%
75054	21572	50819	42%
76140	37818	88992	42%
76103	16847	38913	43%
76036	42373	97858	43%
76112	56373	129312	44%
76015	23343	53103	44%
76028	34250	77636	44%
76118	12334	27456	45%
76134	36306	81080	45%
76123	57594	126510	45%
76248	6584	18759	46%
76053	8893	19353	46%
76052	8695	18837	46%
76063	116388	248380	47%
76139	78283	164173	48%
76132	37158	77147	48%
76001	57324	117922	49%
76051	18587	37855	49%
76017	01566	165345	49%
76109	17458	35142	50%
76060	15785	31372	50%
76110	13949	27252	51%
76012	49243	95193	52%
76126	47891	92569	52%
76013	57305	109240	52%
76016	71343	129346	55%
76116	9302	16736	56%
76021	8969	16115	56%
76092	7159	12500	57%
Total	1466396	3339768	44%

Targeting Precincts

Voter Opportunity Score – Calculation

- $$\text{Voter Opportunity Score} = \frac{\text{Number of times a voter has historically voted}}{\text{Total opportunities a voter has had to vote}}$$
 - Total opportunities a voter has had to vote
 - IF (Estimated Age – 17) > (Maximum Number Of Elections in Voter History File)
 - THEN (Maximum Number Of Elections in Voter History File)
 - ELSE (Estimated Age – 17)
- Lower score indicates a voter has voted infrequently compared to the opportunities they've had
- This formula can be rolled up at a precinct level to target initial precincts for canvassing
 - Replace numerator with the total number of times all voters in a precinct voted
 - Replace denominator with the total opportunities all voters in a precinct have had to vote

10/4/21

WHAT IS NEEDED GOING FORWARD

1. Voter Rolls must be cleaned up with public oversight
2. A Forensic Audit of all Paper, Electronic, and Mail in Ballots to ensure 1 vote for each legitimate voter
3. More oversight including regular audits of voting systems to be reported back to the citizens
4. Canvassing as required as part of a forensic audit
5. Transition from electronic voting system to secure paper ballots

Summary

IMMEDIATE CALL TO ACTION

- **Forensic Inspection of the Ballots and Voting Machines**
- **Evidence presented here clearly shows anomalies found in the recent Tarrant County Election results per Texas Election Codes:**
 - TEC 1.015 – Resident Requirements
 - TEC Ch 13 – Application for Voter Registrations
 - TEC Ch 18 – Identifying Registered Voters
- **Texas Secretary of State has Called for a Tarrant County Election Audit**
 - Based on evidence presented here this needs to be a deep analysis of equipment, procedures and inspection of ballots versus a simple recount of suspect results
 - Needs to remove any doubt of whether we have fair and open elections
- **Establish Bi-Partisan Team to Begin Working to Review and Audit the Systems and Equipment within 60 Days**
- **Complete and Report Findings within 90 Days of Review Start**

Election Day Voting Experience – Richard Jimmink

6/5/2021


My name is Richard Jimmink. This is a note regarding my experience voting in an election in 2021.

First a bit about my background. I have two degrees in business and finance from USC. I have spent over 30 years working in information technology. During that period, I was responsible for the development and management of a global financial reporting system, the creation of neural analytic optimization methods, and the development of a unique method for determining genetic data values associated with physical performance characteristics in cattle. I also have experience working in cyber security, developing, and executing strategies to safeguard data assets. Some of this work was with members of the intelligence community in the DoD.

I went to the voting location, Southwest Sub-Courthouse at 6551 Granbury Road in Fort Worth, after running an errand in the middle of the day. This was on the day of the election, June 5, 2021.

When I arrived at the location, there were people holding up candidate signs, including candidate Jared Williams. While I waited in line, Jared, and others holding signs, were talking to the voters in line. These people were clearly inside of the 100-foot limit from the entrance to the polling location.

Moving forward in the line, I noticed that the 100-foot marker had been placed approximately 50 feet from the entrance to the polling location. I assume the misplacement of this sign was intentional as each polling location is equipped with a 100-foot tape measure to accurately determine this distance.



Election Day Voting Experience – Richard Jimmink 6/5/2021


As I reached the entrance to the polling location, there were candidate brochures displayed at the entrance.

On entering the location, I noted that all poll workers, with one exception, were African American. That seemed odd as poll workers in past elections were represented by a mix of all ethnicities.

The poll worker inside the door was busy with his cell phone and paid no attention to those entering the location. On entering the location, I turned to my left in line with those waiting to be checked in and receive their ballot. To my immediate right, as I waited in line, was a table that contained blank ballots. Any of us, prior to checking in, could have picked up these ballots and left the location. Some of these ballots were stamped with the signature of the election judge while others were blank. Again, I noticed candidate brochures displayed on this table.

On entering the location, I noticed a Hispanic gentleman that appeared to be voting at a voting booth on the other side of the tables to my right. I was surprised to see this gentleman leave the voting booth and begin to pass out ballot stock to voters waiting in line, prior to our checking in. At the time he gave me a ballot, I was the fifth person in line waiting to check in.

At the front of the line was an African American couple. He gave them both ballots that were stamped with the judge's signature. Immediately in front of me was an older white couple. He gave them ballots that had not been stamped by the election judge. He then turned to me, looked at my face, and gave me a ballot that had not been stamped by the election judge.



I looked at the ballot, and said, with a raised voice, that this was an invalid ballot. The couple immediately ahead of me in the line ask me what I meant. I indicated that it didn't contain the judge's signature stamp and therefore the ballot wasn't valid. This couple then began to complain that they had invalid ballots.

Election Day Voting Experience – Richard Jimmink
6/5/2021

A tall African American lady, who had previously been standing behind the check in staff, approached us and asked what the problem was. I explained to her what had taken place and asked who was running this location. She ignored my question and told the guy that had given us our ballots to stamp the unstamped ballots. I asked who she was, and she indicated that she was a poll worker. She also told the gentleman, who had passed out ballots, to get the candidate brochures off the table.

None of the staff at this location were wearing name tags.

As I left the voting location, I noticed that the gentleman that had passed out ballots, had returned to a voting booth and appeared to be voting.