

Subject: RE: CORA Request Submission: CO-ELPASO-22-0196
Date: Friday, March 25, 2022 at 5:59:27 PM Eastern Daylight Time
From: Michael Madsen
To: AO Records
Attachments: Am_Oversight_Neil_S_Dennis_H.pdf

EXTERNAL SENDER

Hello,

Please find the attached document responsive to your request. Your request is not categorized as “complete” but please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Mike Madsen
El Paso County, Public Information Office

From: AO Records <records@americanoversight.org>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 10:18 AM
To: Michael Madsen <MichaelMadsen@elpasoco.com>
Subject: Re: CORA Request Submission: CO-ELPASO-22-0196

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Hi Mike,

We received the invoice. We will send out the check at our earliest convenience.

Best,

--
Marwah Adhoob
Pronouns: she/her
Paralegal
American Oversight
records@americanoversight.org
www.americanoversight.org | @weareoversight

From: Michael Madsen <MichaelMadsen@elpasoco.com>
Date: Friday, March 4, 2022 at 5:26 PM
To: AO Records <records@americanoversight.org>
Subject: RE: CORA Request Submission: CO-ELPASO-22-0196

EXTERNAL SENDER

Hello,

Thank you for submitting your request with El Paso County. Please know that at this point, we have maxed out the one complimentary hour afforded to each CORA request. Please find the attached invoice and payment letter regarding your request and let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Mike Madsen
El Paso County, Public Information Office

-----Original Request-----

A CORA Request has been submitted by:.

Name: Hart Wood
Phone: (202) 873-1743
Email: records@americanoversight.org
From: American Oversight

Department Selected: Other

CORA Request:

NOTE: This request needs to go to both the County Clerk and the County Commissioners

March 1, 2022

VIA ONLINE FORM

El Paso County
1675 Garden of the Gods Rd

Colorado Springs, CO 80907
Via Online Form

Re: Open Records Act Request

Dear Custodian of Records:

Pursuant to the Colorado Open Records Act, C.R.S. §§ 24-72-201 et seq., American Oversight makes the following request for records.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your office produce the following records within three working days:

All electronic communications (including emails, email attachments, complete email chains, calendar invitations, text messages, or messages on messaging platforms, such as Slack, GChat or Google Hangouts, Lync, Skype, or WhatsApp) between (a) County Clerk Chuck Broerman or Commissioner Carrie Geitner, and (b) any of the specified parties listed below.

In the case of emails and texts, the search should include those sent or received from County Clerk Chuck Broerman's or Commissioner Carrie Geitner's personal accounts and devices if they were used to conduct official business, as well those sent from official email addresses or government-issued devices.

Specified Parties:

1. Mike Lindell, and/or anyone communicating on his behalf (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @mypillow.com)
2. Christina Bobb, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of the One America News Network (@oann.com)
3. Pennsylvania Senators Doug Mastriano, Dave Argall, Cris Dush, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of the Pennsylvania State Senate (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @pasen.gov)
4. Former Wisconsin Supreme Court justice Michael Gableman (including, but not limited to, mgableman@yahoo.com or wispecialcounsel@gmail.com)
5. Sidney Powell, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Sidney Powell, P.C. (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @federalappeals.com)
6. Former National Security Advisor Mike Flynn (@generalflynn.com), Joseph Flynn, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of America's Future
7. Rudolph Giuliani, and/or anyone communicating on his behalf (including, but not limited to, Jo Ann Zafonte, Christianne Allen, Beau Wagner, or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @giulianisecurity.com, @giulianipartners.com, @gdcillc.com)
8. Cleta Mitchell (including, but not limited to, cleta@cletamitchell.com, cmitchell@foley.com, or email addresses ending in @bradleyfdn.org)
9. Thomas Datwyler (tcdatwyler@gmail.com), and/or anyone communicating on behalf of the American

Voting Rights Foundation

10. Phill Kline (including, but not limited to, phillklineva@gmail.com), Jacqueline Timmer, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of the American Voter's Alliance (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @got-freedom.org)
11. Jenna Ellis (jenna.ellis.esq@gmail.com), and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Liberty University's Falkirk Center (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @falkirkcenter.com), the Thomas More Society (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from @thomasmoresociety.org), or the American Greatness Fund (@americangreatnessfund.com)
12. Corey Lewandowski
13. Earl Eugene Kern, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Wake Technology Services, Inc. (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @waketsi.com)
14. Ben Cotton, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of CyFir (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @cyfir.com)
15. Heather Honey, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Haystack Investigations (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @haystackinvestigations.com)
16. Doug Logan, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Cyber Ninjas (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @cyberninjas.com)
17. Colonel Phil Waldron (including, but not limited to, phil@onewarrior.com, JWRLLC@gmail.com, or p@bonfiresearch.org), Russell Ramsland (including, but not limited to, ryuks9sq@alliedspecialops.us or yrku9sqs@protonmail.com), James Keet Lewis III (keet@jkeetlewis.com), and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Allied Security Operations Group (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @alliedspecialops.us or @bonfireresearch.org)
18. Jovan Hutton Pulitzer (including, but not limited to, jovanhuttonpulitzer@gmail.com)
19. Ken Bennett (kbennettaz7@gmail.com, kbazos@gmail.com, kjbennettaz@gmail.com, arizonaaudit@gmail.com), Karen Fann, Sonny Borrelli, Mark Finchem, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of the Arizona state legislature (azleg.gov)
20. Patrick Byrne, Emily Newman (enewman@protonmail.com), and/or anyone communicating on behalf of the America Project (@americaproject.com)
21. Matthew DePerno, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of the DePerno Law Office (@depernelaw.com)
22. Catherine Engelbrecht, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of True the Vote (@truethevote.org)
23. John Eastman (jeastman@claremont.org)
24. Lin Wood (including, but not limited to, from the email address lwood@fightback.law)
25. Shiva Ayyadurai (including, but not limited to, from the email address vashiva@vashiva.com)
26. Hogan Gidley, Ken Blackwell (kennethblackwell693@gmail.com), and/or anyone communicating on behalf of the America First Policy Institute (@americafirstpolicy.com)
27. Barry Farah (including, but not limited to, from the email address barry@barryfarah.com)
28. Douglas Frank (including, but not limited to, from the email address drdouglassfrank@protonmail.com)
29. Former campaign manager Sherronna Bishop
30. Representative Lauren Boebert, and/or anyone communicating on her behalf
31. Ron Watkins
32. Neil Schuerer (including, but not limited to, from the email addresses neal@pathtoreform.org or

nschuerer@outlook.com)

33. Dennis Haugh (dennis@dhaugh.com)

34. Ashe Epp, Holly Kasun, Shawn Smith (luftsas@gmail.com), and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Cause of America or the U.S. Integrity Plan

35. Conan Hayes

36. David Clements

37. Seth Keshel

38. Jeff O'Donnell

39. Jonathan Hotaling

40. Sherronna Bishop (contactamericasmom@gmail.com)

41. Katherine Friess (kfriess@protonmail.com)

42. Anyone communicating from an email address ending in @sixgen.io

43. Anyone communicating from an email address ending in @fireeye.com

Please provide all responsive records from November 3, 2020, through the date the search is conducted.

Please notify American Oversight of any anticipated fees or costs in excess of \$100 prior to incurring such costs or fee.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with C.R.S. § 24-72-205(4), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. Disclosure of the requested records will further a “public purpose” because it will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

The public has a significant interest in communications county officials may have had with entities perpetuating the big lie. Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the government, including whether and to what extent communications between county officials and big lie proponents are happening. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to open records requests publicly available, and the public’s understanding of the government’s activities would be enhanced through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook

and Twitter.

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted to its website. Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to the organization's investigations into misconduct and corruption in state governments; posting records and analysis of federal and state governments' responses to the Coronavirus pandemic; posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the Trump administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal; and the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers.

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

§ Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics.

§ Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

§ Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages.

§ In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

§ Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request.

American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Hart Wood at records@americanoversight.org or (202) 873-1743. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

/s/ Hart Wood
Hart Wood
on behalf of
American Oversight

From: [Neal Schuerer](#) on behalf of [Neal Schuerer <neal@pathtoreform.org>](#)
To: [Chuck Broerman](#); [Wayne Williams](#); [Jerry Biggs](#)
Subject: Zoom meeting invitation - Neal Schuerer's Zoom Meeting
Date: Tuesday, December 29, 2020 7:04:36 AM
Attachments: [Zoom-Meeting.ics](#)
[ATT00001.txt](#)

Neal Schuerer is inviting you to a scheduled Zoom meeting.

Topic: Neal Schuerer's Zoom Meeting
Time: Dec 30, 2020 03:00 PM Mountain Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting
<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/9107455530?pwd=TzhidjA1SGIxbElWeGNYTzh1L1VjZz09>

Meeting ID: 910 745 5530
Passcode: 56789
One tap mobile
+13017158592,,9107455530#,,,,*56789# US (Washington D.C)
+13126266799,,9107455530#,,,,*56789# US (Chicago)

Dial by your location
+1 301 715 8592 US (Washington D.C)
+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)
+1 646 558 8656 US (New York)
+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
+1 669 900 9128 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 910 745 5530
Passcode: 56789
Find your local number: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/kdhbdUy07K>

PIO Released Pursuant to CORA, 3/25/2022

Neal Schuerer
319-551-3231 voice or text

PIO Released Pursuant to CORA, 3/25/2022

From: [Chuck Broerman](#) on behalf of [Chuck Broerman <ChuckBroerman@elpasoco.com>](#)
To: [Neal Schuerer](#)
Subject: Read: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.
Date: Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:44:56 AM
Attachments: [ATT00001.bin](#)

Your message

To: Chuck Broerman
Subject: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.
Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:26:55 AM (UTC-07:00) Mountain Time (US & Canada)

was read on Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:44:04 AM (UTC-07:00) Mountain Time (US & Canada).

PIO Released Pursuant to CORA, 3/25/2022

From: [Neal Schuerer](#) on behalf of [Neal Schuerer <neal@pathtoreform.org>](#)
To: [ChuckBroerman@elpasoco.com](#); "Angela Leath"
Cc: [Jerry Biggs \(jbiggs@proterrace.com\)](#); [mbraunlich@gmail.com](#); [Dennis Haugh](#); [Charlie Stowell \(chafra59@comcast.net\)](#); [dennymeredeth@yahoo.com](#); [luftsas@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.
Date: Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:26:59 AM

Chuck and Angie,

I have not received the final totals regarding 2020 election we discussed at our meeting on January 29th.....

Looking for:

Total number of ballots mailed
Total number of ballots cast/counted
Total number of ballots adjudicated
Total number of ballots spoiled
Total number of ballots returned to sender by USPS
Total number of returned ballots not counted
Total number of ballots received via drop boxes verses USPS
Total number of ballots cast via email
Total number of ballots case via electronic voting station
Total number of signed ballot envelopes

A copy of final votes total audit report you showed us in the tabulation room.

Another question, Denny and Heidi Merideth, who own a second home in Colorado Springs (2339 Rusty Ridge Court, Colorado Springs, CO 80921) are registered to vote in Missouri. Received several notices to register to vote in Colorado, did not change registration and did not receive a EPC ballot in 2020. Denny would like to confirm he and his wife are NOT on the EPC or Colorado voter rolls, a ballot was not mailed and a vote not cast in Colorado.

Thanks for all you help in understanding our elections processes and the gathering 2020 election information.

Neal

Neal Schuerer | Executive Director | **Act 2 Inc**
319-551-3231 voice or text | Neal@pathtoreform.org | nschuerer@outlook.com
<https://www.pathtoreform.org> | <https://www.act2reform.org>

"Supporting the legislative initiative to organize an Article V convention of states to consider constitutional amendments"

From: [Neal Schuerer](#) on behalf of [Neal Schuerer <neal@pathtoreform.org>](#)
To: [Angela Leath](#)
Cc: [Chuck Broerman](#)
Subject: RE: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.
Date: Friday, March 5, 2021 10:49:24 AM

Angie, Thank you so much for the data, can't tell you how much this helps use in our analysis. Neal

From: Angela Leath <AngelaLeath@elpasoco.com>
Sent: Friday, March 5, 2021 8:17 AM
To: Neal Schuerer <neal@pathtoreform.org>
Cc: Chuck Broerman <ChuckBroerman@elpasoco.com>
Subject: FW: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.

Neal,

Good morning.

I have put the answers to your questions below. As always, if you need additional information or clarification please call me at 719-520-7325.

Thanks,

Angie Leath | Director of Elections
Office of the El Paso County Clerk & Recorder
(719) 520-7325 | www.epcvotes.com

From: Neal Schuerer <neal@pathtoreform.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:27 AM
To: Chuck Broerman <ChuckBroerman@elpasoco.com>; Angela Leath <AngelaLeath@elpasoco.com>
Cc: Jerry Biggs (jbiggs@proterrace.com) <jbiggs@proterrace.com>; mbraunlich@gmail.com; Dennis Haugh <dennis@dhaugh.com>; Charlie Stowell (chafra59@comcast.net) <chafra59@comcast.net>; dennymerideth@yahoo.com; luftsas@gmail.com
Subject: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Chuck and Angie,

I have not received the final totals regarding 2020 election we discussed at our meeting on

January 29th.....

Looking for:

Total number of ballots mailed – 436773

Total number of ballots cast/counted – 383204 (includes provisional and special district property owner ballots)

Total number of ballots adjudicated – 34,637

Total number of ballots spoiled – 4957 (includes daily Ballot on Demand print test ballots and 4678 voided ballot packets that were not mailed because voter provided address update after printing prior to mailing – all of these are still sealed in their original envelopes and secured in our possession for the required 25 months)

Total number of ballots returned to sender by USPS – 14485 returned undeliverable. Of those, all but 7979 voters updated their address and voted on or before Election Day)

Total number of returned ballots not counted – 5667 (includes ballots returned after 7 pm Election Day, signature discrepancies, missing signatures, etc) – call me if you need more details

Total number of ballots received via drop boxes versus USPS – These are estimates by weight. There is no requirement to record the number but we use approximate numbers for statistical purpose (which boxes are used the most, which boxes need more or less pick-ups, etc). 24/7 Ballot Boxes – 307849, VSPC drop off – 9263, USPS – 27514

Total number of ballots cast via email – 2891 (includes fax ballots and email ballots that were returned to us by voters via secure methods that include military and overseas voters per federal law and emergency ballots per state statute)

Total number of ballots case via electronic voting station – 0 – we do not use electronic voting stations

Total number of signed ballot envelopes – please be more specific – are you asking for the number of ballots that were accepted?

A copy of final votes total audit report you showed us in the tabulation room. A copy of the canvass report that includes the final audit report can be found at:

<https://secure25.securewebsession.com/elpasoelections.com/2020General/FinalCanvass.pdf>

Another question, Denny and Heidi Merideth, who own a second home in Colorado Springs (2339 Rusty Ridge Court, Colorado Springs, CO 80921) are registered to vote in Missouri. Received several notices to register to vote in Colorado, did not change registration and did not receive a EPC ballot in 2020. Denny would like to confirm he and his wife are NOT on the EPC or Colorado voter rolls, a ballot was not mailed and a vote not cast in Colorado. I do not show anyone registered with the names and address you provided.

Thanks for all you help in understanding our elections processes and the gathering 2020

election information.

Neal

Neal Schuerer | Executive Director | **Act 2 Inc**

319-551-3231 voice or text | Neal@pathtoreform.org | nschuerer@outlook.com

<https://www.pathtoreform.org> | <https://www.act2reform.org>

“Supporting the legislative initiative to organize an Article V convention of states to consider constitutional amendments”

PIO Released Pursuant to CORA, 3/25/2022

From: [Dennis Haugh](#) on behalf of [Dennis Haugh <dennis@dhaugh.com>](#)
To: [Neal Schuerer](#); [Chuck Broerman](#)
Cc: [Jerry Biggs](#); [Mark Braunlich](#); [Shawn Smith](#); [Denny Merideth](#); [Charlie Stowall](#)
Subject: Clear Audit digital audit
Date: Sunday, April 4, 2021 5:03:57 PM

Hi Chuck,

As you may remember from our previous meeting, I am a fellow Buckeye who worked on a number of NASA programs. Neal asked me to send you my perspective as a mathematician and computer scientist on the pending digital audit. I am doing so in good faith.

To be clear, the reason to do an audit is to satisfy the electorate's concerns about election fraud in El Paso county during an election. As we know, there is no way to completely satisfy everyone, but we should consider the cost and the benefit.

When electronic voting systems are used, there are really three types of fraud:

1. Classic fraud, like ballot stuffing, intimidation, buying votes, etc
2. Computer system "virtualized" fraud
3. Hybrid fraud, where classic fraud is used to "set up" virtualized fraud

It should be apparent that a digital audit will not find any classic fraud. Even an audit of the physical ballots won't find things like intimidation or buying votes, but it could find ballots of wrong paper stock, printed signatures, etc.

As for number two, completely virtualized fraud, an audit done without the software system that supported the election *might* find some kinds of existing fraud - like the tallies of the virtual ballots disagreeing with what was reported. An example of this would be the Ohio King Lincoln Bronzeville Neighborhood Association v. Blackwell case in 2006. In that case, the plaintiffs' team (which included Stephen Spoonamore) pinpointed a "man in the middle" attack that changed the tabulations en route to final. There is a non-zero probability that that could have happened in El Paso county, but it is far from the only possibility.

Hybrid fraud may sound too complicated, but that is the suspicion of many skeptics about the 2020 election. A digital audit will by no means satisfy this skepticism. The only way to alleviate it is with a complete audit of the physical ballots. A partial audit won't do it, and a digital audit will not do it.

The angst over the 2020 election is high, and it will not disappear by ignoring it. It will only fester, but that doesn't mean we should spend money in a futile pursuit.

In regards to my personal perspective, my opinions as a computer scientist are in complete agreement with that of Stephen Spoonamore in the 2006 Blackwell case - especially:

- The voting system software is VERY unprofessional. All existing EVM software is derived from the same software he was critiquing, and the evidence I saw reinforces his claim that the engineers were bad - and it doesn't appear to have been fixed. It is not a field that attracts the best talent. I know I never paid any attention to it until I heard all the accusations after the election.
- Network security and anonymity cannot coexist. You need to pick one. The only reason people trust credit cards is because the credit card companies can pair you with your credit card. Since that can't be done with ballots, there is no way to ever make any EVM secure enough - let alone one done by poor engineering.

I have been asked to check the mathematics of Dr. Shiva and Edward Solomon - and now, Dr. Franks. I am unsure what Dr. Franks has found, but there are some puzzling coincidences that I need to understand. As for Shiva and Solomon, their mathematics is solid. I am slightly skeptical of the source of the data since it was supposedly gathered from the NYT Edison feed in real-time. Unfortunately, the person who gathered it cannot be found, so it is prudent to be somewhat skeptical of it. I will say that the final numbers do match the final results.

I have also been asked to check Mary Fanning's data and sanity check Josh Merritt's work (as a hacker). Josh definitely knows what he's doing, and has a lot of data - more than I have yet digested. That said, I wrote a couple of Python and bash scripts to sanity check the Fanning spreadsheet. There were some head scratchers, but in general, it looked like legitimate IP packets. It showed that every "point of entry" was wifi. There was no wired access. I know you believe you had an air lock, with no cable through the wall. That doesn't mean anything in this age of "systems on a chip" and wifi.

The problem with the Fanning data is that it contained no information about the "payload" within the IP packets. Just like Larry Johnson at the Gateway Pundit, I am skeptical of "Hammer" and "Scorecard"; however, my time with Josh jolted that a little bit. While we were going through his data on "The Professor's Record" podcast, one URL popped up that was "scorecard.<domain>.<tld>." In this case, the scorecard label is in the same position as "mail.example.com" or "<ftp.example.com>". The initial label cannot only designate a target application on a target node, it can designate a protocol. If a scorecard protocol can be shown to exist, it would tell the tale. The fact that such a protocol has not been brought forward just leaves me wondering. It could be under lock & key, or it might not exist.

In short, there is a lot of skepticism that will not be resolved by a digital audit in El Paso county, so it is not clear to me that there will be any benefit to it.

Cheers,
Dennis Haugh

On Apr 2, 2021, at 1:14 PM, Neal Schuerer <nschuerer@gmail.com> wrote:

Neal Schuerer
319-551-3231

From: Ana Konduris <ackonduris@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 3:23:21 PM
To: nschuerer@gmail.com <nschuerer@gmail.com>; 'RatiInvictus' <RatiInvictus@protonmail.com>; mbraunlich@gmail.com <mbraunlich@gmail.com>
Subject: FW: CORA REQUEST

Gentlemen:

Here is EPC's response to my CORA #1.

Ana

From: Kristi Ridlen <KristiRidlen@elpasoco.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 2:57 PM
To: Ana Konduris <ackonduris@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: CORA REQUEST

March 23, 2021

Ms. Konduris,

The El Paso County Clerk & Recorder's Office (C&R) has been in receipt of the below CORA request dated March 18, 2021; however, because this request was submitted outside of normal business hours, we were in receipt of it on March 19, 2021. Please note, there is no formal contract, however, attached are

the records most responsive to your request.

Thank you,
Kristi Ridlen, Public Information Officer
El Paso County Clerk and Recorder's Office

From: Ana Konduris <ackonduris@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 18, 2021 7:51 PM
To: Kristi Ridlen <KristiRidlen@elpasoco.com>
Cc: Chuck Broerman <ChuckBroerman@elpasoco.com>; Angela Leath <AngelaLeath@elpasoco.com>
Subject: CORA REQUEST

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Ms. Ridlen,

Pursuant to CORA, I request the following documentation:

1. El Paso County's contract with Clear Audit to perform services regarding the 2020 El Paso County General Election.
2. El Paso County's Invoice with Clear Audit to perform services regarding the 2020 El Paso County General Election.

Thanks & God bless you,

Ana Konduris
719 440 0548
<Clear Audit Quote.pdf><Clear Audit Purchase Order.pdf>

PIO Released Pursuant to CORA, 3/23/2022

From: [Neal Schuerer](#) on behalf of [Neal Schuerer <neal@pathreform.org>](#)
To: [Chuck Broerman](#); [Wayne Williams](#); [Jerry Biggs](#)
Subject: Zoom meeting invitation - Neal Schuerer's Zoom Meeting
Date: Tuesday, December 29, 2020 7:04:36 AM
Attachments: [Zoom-Meeting.ics](#)
[ATT00001.txt](#)

Neal Schuerer is inviting you to a scheduled Zoom meeting.

Topic: Neal Schuerer's Zoom Meeting
Time: Dec 30, 2020 03:00 PM Mountain Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/9107455530?pwd=TzhidjA1SGIxbEIWeGNYTzh1L1VjZz09>

Meeting ID: 910 745 5530

Passcode: 56789

One tap mobile

+13017158592,,9107455530#,,,,*56789# US (Washington D.C)

+13126266799,,9107455530#,,,,*56789# US (Chicago)

Dial by your location

+1 301 715 8592 US (Washington D.C)

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

+1 646 558 8656 US (New York)

+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

+1 669 900 9128 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 910 745 5530

Passcode: 56789

Find your local number: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/kdhbdUy07K>

PIO Released Pursuant to CORA, 3/25/2022

Neal Schuerer
[REDACTED] voice or text

PIO Released Pursuant to CORA, 3/25/2022

From: [Chuck Broerman](#) on behalf of [Chuck Broerman <ChuckBroerman@elpasoco.com>](#)
To: [Neal Schuerer](#)
Subject: Read: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.
Date: Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:44:56 AM
Attachments: [ATT00001.bin](#)

Your message

To: Chuck Broerman
Subject: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.
Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:26:55 AM (UTC-07:00) Mountain Time (US & Canada)

was read on Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:44:04 AM (UTC-07:00) Mountain Time (US & Canada).

PIO Released Pursuant to CORA, 3/25/2022

From: [Neal Schuerer](#) on behalf of [Neal Schuerer <neal@pathtoreform.org>](#)
To: [ChuckBroerman@elpasoco.com](#); "Angela Leath"
Cc: [Jerry Biggs \(jbiggs@proterrace.com\)](#); [mbraunlich@gmail.com](#); [Dennis Haugh](#); [Charlie Stowell \(chafra59@comcast.net\)](#); [dennymideth@yahoo.com](#); [luftsas@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.
Date: Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:26:59 AM

Chuck and Angie,

I have not received the final totals regarding 2020 election we discussed at our meeting on January 29th.....

Looking for:

Total number of ballots mailed
Total number of ballots cast/counted
Total number of ballots adjudicated
Total number of ballots spoiled
Total number of ballots returned to sender by USPS
Total number of returned ballots not counted
Total number of ballots received via drop boxes verses USPS
Total number of ballots cast via email
Total number of ballots case via electronic voting station
Total number of signed ballot envelopes

A copy of final votes total audit report you show us the tabulation room.

Another question, [REDACTED], who own a second home in Colorado Springs [REDACTED] Colorado Springs, CO 80921) are registered to vote in Missouri. Received several notices to register to vote in Colorado, did not change registration and did not receive a EPC ballot in 2020. Denny would like to confirm he and his wife are NOT on the EPC or Colorado voter rolls, a ballot was not mailed and a vote not cast in Colorado.

Thanks for all you help in understanding our elections processes and the gathering 2020 election information.

Neal

Neal Schuerer | Executive Director | **Act 2 Inc**
319-551-323 voice or text | Neal@pathtoreform.org | nschuerer@outlook.com
<https://www.pathtoreform.org> | <https://www.act2reform.org>

"Supporting the legislative initiative to organize an Article V convention of states to consider constitutional amendments"

From: [Neal Schuerer](#) on behalf of [Neal Schuerer <neal@pathtoreform.org>](#)
To: [Angela Leath](#)
Cc: [Chuck Broerman](#)
Subject: RE: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.
Date: Friday, March 5, 2021 10:49:24 AM

Angie, Thank you so much for the data, can't tell you how much this helps use in our analysis. Neal

From: Angela Leath <AngelaLeath@elpasoco.com>
Sent: Friday, March 5, 2021 8:17 AM
To: Neal Schuerer <neal@pathtoreform.org>
Cc: Chuck Broerman <ChuckBroerman@elpasoco.com>
Subject: FW: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.

Neal,

Good morning.

I have put the answers to your questions below. As always, if you need additional information or clarification please call me at 719-520-7325.

Thanks,

Angie Leath | Director of Elections
Office of the El Paso County Clerk & Recorder
(719) 520-7325 | www.epcvotes.com

From: Neal Schuerer <neal@pathtoreform.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:27 AM
To: Chuck Broerman <ChuckBroerman@elpasoco.com>; Angela Leath <AngelaLeath@elpasoco.com>
Cc: Jerry Biggs (jbiggs@proterrace.com) <jbiggs@proterrace.com>; mbraunlich@gmail.com; Dennis Haugh <dennis@dhaugh.com>; Charlie Stowell (chafra59@comcast.net) <chafra59@comcast.net>; dennymerideth@yahoo.com; luftsas@gmail.com
Subject: Continued review of EPC Election Processes and Systems.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Chuck and Angie,

I have not received the final totals regarding 2020 election we discussed at our meeting on

January 29th.....

Looking for:

Total number of ballots mailed – 436773

Total number of ballots cast/counted – 383204 (includes provisional and special district property owner ballots)

Total number of ballots adjudicated – 34,637

Total number of ballots spoiled – 4957 (includes daily Ballot on Demand print test ballots and 4678 voided ballot packets that were not mailed because voter provided address update after printing prior to mailing – all of these are still sealed in their original envelopes and secured in our possession for the required 25 months)

Total number of ballots returned to sender by USPS – 14485 returned undeliverable. Of those, all but 7979 voters updated their address and voted on or before Election Day)

Total number of returned ballots not counted – 5667 (includes ballots returned after 7 pm Election Day, signature discrepancies, missing signatures, etc) – call me if you need more details

Total number of ballots received via drop boxes versus USPS – These are estimates by weight. There is no requirement to record the number but we use approximate numbers for statistical purpose (which boxes are used the most, which boxes need more or less pick-ups, etc). 24/7 Ballot Boxes – 307849, VSPC drop off – 9263, USPS – 27514

Total number of ballots cast via email – 2891 (includes fax ballots and email ballots that were returned to us by voters via secure methods that include military and overseas voters per federal law and emergency ballots per state statute)

Total number of ballots cast via electronic voting station – 0 – we do not use electronic voting stations

Total number of signed ballot envelopes – please be more specific – are you asking for the number of ballots that were accepted?

A copy of final votes total audit report you showed us in the tabulation room. A copy of the canvass report that includes the final audit report can be found at:
<https://secure25.securewebsession.com/elpasoelections.com/2020General/FinalCanvass.pdf>

Another question, [REDACTED], who own a second home in Colorado Springs ([REDACTED], CO 80921) are registered to vote in Missouri. Received several notices to register to vote in Colorado, did not change registration and did not receive a EPC ballot in 2020. Denny would like to confirm he and his wife are NOT on the EPC or Colorado voter rolls, a ballot was not mailed and a vote not cast in Colorado. I do not show anyone registered with the names and address you provided.

Thanks for all you help in understanding our elections processes and the gathering 2020

election information.

Neal

Neal Schuerer | Executive Director | **Act 2 Inc**

319-551-3231 voice or text | Neal@pathtoreform.org | nschuerer@outlook.com

<https://www.pathtoreform.org> | <https://www.act2reform.org>

“Supporting the legislative initiative to organize an Article V convention of states to consider constitutional amendments”

PIO Released Pursuant to CORA, 3/25/2022

From: [Dennis Haugh](#) on behalf of [Dennis Haugh <dennis@dhaugh.com>](#)
To: [Neal Schuerer](#); [Chuck Broerman](#)
Cc: [Jerry Biggs](#); [Mark Braunlich](#); [Shawn Smith](#); [Denny Merideth](#); [Charlie Stowall](#)
Subject: Clear Audit digital audit
Date: Sunday, April 4, 2021 5:03:57 PM

Hi Chuck,

As you may remember from our previous meeting, I am a fellow Buckeye who worked on a number of NASA programs. Neal asked me to send you my perspective as a mathematician and computer scientist on the pending digital audit. I am doing so in good faith.

To be clear, the reason to do an audit is to satisfy the electorate's concerns about election fraud in El Paso county during an election. As we know, there is no way to completely satisfy everyone, but we should consider the cost and the benefit.

When electronic voting systems are used, there are really three types of fraud:

1. Classic fraud, like ballot stuffing, intimidation, buying votes, etc
2. Computer system "virtualized" fraud
3. Hybrid fraud, where classic fraud is used to "set up" virtualized fraud

It should be apparent that a digital audit will not find any classic fraud. Even an audit of the physical ballots won't find things like intimidation or buying votes, but it could find ballots of wrong paper stock, printed signatures, etc.

As for number two, completely virtualized fraud, an audit done without the software system that supported the election *might* find some kinds of existing fraud - like the tallies of the virtual ballots disagreeing with what was reported. An example of this would be the Ohio King Lincoln Bronzeville Neighborhood Association v. Blackwell case in 2006. In that case, the plaintiffs' team (which included Stephen Spoonamore) pinpointed a "man in the middle" attack that changed the tabulations en route to final. There is a non-zero probability that that could have happened in El Paso county, but it is far from the only possibility.

Hybrid fraud may sound too complicated, but that is the suspicion of many skeptics about the 2020 election. A digital audit will by no means satisfy this skepticism. The only way to alleviate it is with a complete audit of the physical ballots. A partial audit won't do it, and a digital audit will not do it.

The angst over the 2020 election is high, and it will not disappear by ignoring it. It will only fester, but that doesn't mean we should spend money in a futile pursuit.

In regards to my personal perspective, my opinions as a computer scientist are in complete agreement with that of Stephen Spoonamore in the 2006 Blackwell case - especially:

- The voting system software is VERY unprofessional. All existing EVM software is derived from the same software he was critiquing, and the evidence I saw reinforces his claim that the engineers were bad - and it doesn't appear to have been fixed. It is not a field that attracts the best talent. I know I never paid any attention to it until I heard all the accusations after the election.
- Network security and anonymity cannot coexist. You need to pick one. The only reason people trust credit cards is because the credit card companies can pair you with your credit card. Since that can't be done with ballots, there is no way to ever make any EVM secure enough - let alone one done by poor engineering.

I have been asked to check the mathematics of Dr. Shiva and Edward Solomon - and now, Dr. Franks. I am unsure what Dr. Franks has found, but there are some puzzling coincidences that I need to understand. As for Shiva and Solomon, their mathematics is solid. I am slightly skeptical of the source of the data since it was supposedly gathered from the NYT Edison feed in real-time. Unfortunately, the person who gathered it cannot be found, so it is prudent to be somewhat skeptical of it. I will say that the final numbers do match the final results.

I have also been asked to check Mary Fanning's data and sanity check Josh Merritt's work (as a hacker). Josh definitely knows what he's doing, and has a lot of data - more than I have yet digested. That said, I wrote a couple of Python and bash scripts to sanity check the Fanning spreadsheet. There were some head scratchers, but in general, it looked like legitimate IP packets. It showed that every "point of entry" was wifi. There was no wired access. I know you believe you had an air lock, with no cable through the wall. That doesn't mean anything in this age of "systems on a chip" and wifi.

The problem with the Fanning data is that it contained no information about the "payload" within the IP packets. Just like Larry Johnson at the Gateway Pundit, I am skeptical of "Hammer" and "Scorecard"; however, my time with Josh jolted that a little bit. While we were going through his data on "The Professor's Record" podcast, one URL popped up that was "scorecard.<domain>.<td>." In this case, the scorecard label is in the same position as "mail.example.com" or "<ftp.example.com>". The initial label cannot only designate a target application on a target node, it can designate a protocol. If a scorecard protocol can be shown to exist, it would tell the tale. The fact that such a protocol has not been brought forward just leaves me wondering. It could be under lock & key, or it might not exist.

In short, there is a lot of skepticism that will not be resolved by a digital audit in El Paso county, so it is not clear to me that there will be any benefit to it.

Cheers,
Dennis Haugh

On Apr 2, 2021, at 1:14 PM, Neal Schuerer <nschuerer@gmail.com> wrote:

Neal Schuerer
319-551-3231

From: Ana Konduris <ackonduris@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 3:23:21 PM
To: nschuerer@gmail.com <nschuerer@gmail.com>; 'RatiInvictus' <RatiInvictus@protonmail.com>; mbraunlich@gmail.com <mbraunlich@gmail.com>
Subject: FW: CORA REQUEST

Gentlemen:

Here is EPC's response to my CORA #1.

Ana

From: Kristi Ridlen <KristiRidlen@elpasoco.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 2:57 PM
To: Ana Konduris <ackonduris@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: CORA REQUEST

March 23, 2021

Ms. Konduris,

The El Paso County Clerk & Recorder's Office (C&R) has been in receipt of the below CORA request dated March 18, 2021; however, because this request was submitted outside of normal business hours, we were in receipt of it on March 19, 2021. Please note, there is no formal contract, however, attached are

the records most responsive to your request.

Thank you,
Kristi Ridlen, Public Information Officer
El Paso County Clerk and Recorder's Office

From: Ana Konduris <ackonduris@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 18, 2021 7:51 PM
To: Kristi Ridlen <KristiRidlen@elpasoco.com>
Cc: Chuck Broerman <ChuckBroerman@elpasoco.com>; Angela Leath <AngelaLeath@elpasoco.com>
Subject: CORA REQUEST

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Ms. Ridlen,

Pursuant to CORA, I request the following documentation:

1. El Paso County's contract with Clear Audit to perform services regarding the 2020 El Paso County General Election.
2. El Paso County's Invoice with Clear Audit to perform services regarding the 2020 El Paso County General Election.

Thanks & God bless you,

Ana Konduris
719 440 0548
<Clear Audit Quote.pdf><Clear Audit Purchase Order.pdf>

PIO Released Pursuant to CORA, 3/25/2022