
Subject: FW: Public records request NV-Elko-22-0004
Date: Monday, January 24, 2022 at 1:03:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Kris Jakeman
To: AO Records
CC: Rand Greenburg, Brenda Rodriguez, Alicia Guaman, Lisa Limaugé
Attachments: Election Machine Preparation and Audit.eml, image001.jpg, RE: Elections.eml, RE: Nevada Audit by Commissioners knocking on doors..eml

EXTERNAL SENDER

Good Morning,

Pursuant to the public records request dated January 3, 2022, attached please find my emails regarding request #1. I have no communications regarding requests #2 and #3.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Best regards,

Kristine "Kris" Jakeman
Elko County Clerk
550 Court Street, 3rd Floor
Elko, Nevada 89801
Phone: (775) 753-4600 Ext. 328
Fax: (775) 753-4610
Email: kjakeman@elkocountynv.net



From: Kris Jakeman <kjakeman@elkocountynv.net>

Sent: Thursday, September 02, 2021 3:00 PM EDT

To: Jon Karr <jkarr@elkocountynv.net>; Rex Steninger <rsteninger@elkocountynv.net>; Cliff Eklund <ceklund@elkocountynv.net>; Delmo Andreozzi <dandreozzi@elkocountynv.net>; Wilde Brough <wbrough@elkocountynv.net>; Amanda Osborne <aosborne@elkocountynv.net>

CC: Brenda Rodriguez <brodriguez@elkocountynv.net>; Damian Lusar <dlusar@elkocountynv.net>; Alicia Guaman <aguaman@elkocountynv.net>; Becky Plunkett <bplunkett@elkocountynv.net>; Michele Torrise <mtorrise@elkocountynv.net>; Rand Greenburg <rgreenburg@elkocountynv.net>; Mark Wlaschin <mwlaschin@sos.nv.gov>

Subject: Election Machine Preparation and Audit

Attachment(s): "2020 General Post Election VVPAT Audit_with Reports.pdf", "smime.p7s"

Good Morning,

With all of the information being circulated regarding the 2020 General Election, I thought it prudent to share information about processes that take place and statutes that must be followed by the Clerk's Office to conduct elections. We work as a department to conduct fair and accurate elections, along with voters that make up the election board and poll workers. Together we can provide transparency of our elections and voter confidence. Your support would mean a lot to our team during these times of misinformation.

- **Pre-LAT (Logic and Accuracy Testing) is conducted on every ICX voting machine prior to delivery to polling locations pursuant to NRS 293B.150 and 203B.155. After this testing is completed, the machines are sealed and recorded to prevent any tampering. There has been no evidence of tampering in any of the elections this office has conducted.**

NRS 293B.150 Tests required before first day of early voting. Not earlier than 2 weeks before and not later than 5 p.m. on the day before the first day of early voting, the county or city clerk shall test:

1. The mechanical recording device which directly records votes electronically, if any; or
2. The automatic tabulating equipment and programs, if any,

È to ascertain that the device or equipment and programs will correctly count the votes cast for all offices and on all measures.

(Added to NRS by [1975, 1526](#); A [1987, 357](#); [1997, 2786](#); [1999, 3554](#))

NRS 293B.155 Procedure for conducting tests; inspection of results of test.

1. The tests prescribed by [NRS 293B.150](#) and [293B.165](#) must be conducted by processing a preaudited group of logic and accuracy test ballots so voted or marked as to record a predetermined number of valid votes for each candidate and on each measure, and must include for each office one or more ballots which have votes in excess of the number allowed by law in order to test the ability of the mechanical recording device or the automatic tabulating equipment and programs to reject those votes.

2. If any error is detected, the cause therefor must be ascertained and corrected and an errorless count must be made before the mechanical recording device or the automatic tabulating equipment and programs are approved.

3. When satisfied with the accuracy of the mechanical recording device or automatic tabulating equipment and computer program, the accuracy certification board and the county or city clerk shall date and sign all reports, and seal the program, if any, and the reports and all test material in an appropriate container. The container must be kept sealed by the clerk.

4. Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the contents of such a sealed container are not subject to the inspection of anyone except in the case of a contested election, and then only by the judge, body or board before whom the election is being contested, or by the parties to the contest, jointly, pursuant to an order of that judge, body or board. For the period set forth in [NRS 293.413](#) during which a candidate may file a statement of contest, the results of the test must be made available in the clerk's office for public inspection.

(Added to NRS by [1975, 1526](#); A [1981, 1743](#); [1987, 358](#); [1989, 2171](#); [1995, 2788](#); [1999, 3554](#); [2007, 1167, 2606](#))

- **A Post-LAT audit is conducted on a random sampling of the paper VVPAT (Verifiable Voter Paper Audit Trail) pursuant to NAC 293.255. The votes on the paper record are compared to the votes on the machines. No discrepancies have been found during any audits conducted by this office. Attached is the certification from the 2020 General Election for review.**

NAC 293.255 Postelection certification audits of VVPATs. ([NRS 293.124, 293.247](#))

1. After each election, a county clerk shall conduct a postelection certification audit of VVPATs randomly selected pursuant to subsection 3 or 4, as applicable, to ensure that the paper record produced by a VVPAT accurately records all votes cast by voters on a mechanical recording device.

2. A county clerk must conduct a postelection certification audit of a VVPAT by comparing each vote cast for each candidate and on each measure which was electronically recorded on the mechanical recording device to each vote cast for each candidate and on each measure which was recorded on the attached VVPAT. Such comparison may be conducted manually or by a mechanical device determined by the Secretary of State to be capable of accurately reading the votes cast and printed and otherwise qualified for use in the State pursuant to applicable state and federal law.

3. The county clerk of a county whose population is 100,000 or more must randomly select a number of mechanical recording devices equal to 2 percent of the number of mechanical recording devices with attached VVPATs used in the election, or not less than 20 mechanical recording devices, whichever is greater, for the postelection certification audit.

4. The county clerk of a county whose population is less than 100,000 must randomly select a number of mechanical recording devices equal to 3 percent of the number of mechanical recording devices with attached VVPATs used in the election, or not less than four mechanical recording devices, whichever is greater, for the postelection certification audit.

5. The county clerk shall transmit the results of the audit to the Secretary of State within 7 working days after the date of the election.

6. Any member of the public who observes the postelection certification audit shall not interfere with the conduct of the audit.

(Added to NAC by Sec'y of State by R072-06, eff. 7-14-2006)

- **Pre-Lat and Post-LAT testing is done on the ICC tabulation equipment pursuant to NRS 293B.165. The ICCs are two stations set up to scan images of every paper ballot.**

NRS 293B.165 Tests required before and after counting of ballots; certification of tests by accuracy certification board.

1. A test conducted in the manner prescribed in subsections 1 and 2 of [NRS 293B.155](#) must be conducted immediately before the start of the official count of the ballots and again within 24 hours after the official count of the ballots.
2. Such tests must be certified by the accuracy certification board.

(Added to NRS by [1975, 1526](#); A [2003, 1658](#))

- **The ICX voting machines and ICC tabulation equipment are not online. They are stand alone.**
- **The electronic pollbooks are online. They connect to a centralized PollPower system to share information with the Clerk's Office. The connection between the electronic poll books and the Clerk's Office is essential as it allows the clerks and the poll workers to view the voters' vote status in real-time. For example, if someone returns their voted mail ballot and then appears to vote in-person, that voter will not be allowed to vote twice.**
- **The Clerk's Office will be trained on conducting a Risk Limiting Audit following the 2021 Special Election. This will be an additional audit that will be conducted following each election pursuant to 293.394.**

NRS 293.394 Risk-limiting audits of election results; regulations; audits required before certification of election results. [Effective January 1, 2022.]

1. The Secretary of State shall adopt regulations for conducting a risk-limiting audit of an election, which may include, without limitation:
 - (a) Procedures to conduct a risk-limiting audit;
 - (b) Criteria for which elections must be audited; and
 - (c) Criteria to determine the scope of the risk-limiting audit.

2. In accordance with the regulations adopted by the Secretary of State pursuant to this section, each county clerk shall conduct a risk-limiting audit of the results of an election prior to the certification of the results of the election pursuant to [NRS 293.395](#).

3. As used in this section, "risk-limiting audit" means an audit protocol that:
 - (a) Makes use of statistical principles and methods; and
 - (b) Is designed to limit the risk of certifying an incorrect election outcome.

(Added to NRS by [2019, 3370](#); A [2019, 3371](#), effective January 1, 2022)

Our systems are set up to catch things like double-voting, deceased voters voting, duplicate voters, etc. After Early Voting and Election Day, we have checks and balances in place to ensure the numbers match between the poll books and the ICX voting machines.

I hope you find this information helpful. The election team and myself would be more than happy to meet with you to answer any questions and discuss all that is required to conduct elections.

Respectfully,

Kris

Kristine "Kris" Jakeman
Elko County Clerk
550 Court Street, 3rd Floor
Elko, Nevada 89801
Phone: (775) 753-4600 Ext. 328
Fax: (775) 753-4610
Email: kjakeman@elkocountynv.net





ELKO COUNTY CLERK

550 Court Street, 3rd Floor • Elko, Nevada 89801-3518
775-753-4600 • Fax 775-753-4610
www.elkocountynv.net

ELKO COUNTY CLERK
Kristine Jakeman
kjakeman@elkocountynv.net

CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK
Brenda Rodriguez
brodriguez@elkocountynv.net

Post-Election Certification 2020 General Election Audit of Voter Verifiable Paper Trail Printers

In accordance with Chapter 293B of the Nevada Administrative Code, a post-election audit was conducted and completed with 4 randomly selected ICX touchscreen voting machines and the voter verifiable paper trail printer that was used with each machine as required pursuant to NAC 293.255.

The results of the audit showed that 100% of the time, the electronically recorded results exactly matched the selections printed on the paper tape.

The numbers of the randomly selected machines were:

Audit of Voter-Verifiable Paper Trail Printers

<u>Machine Number</u>	<u>Voters</u>
908	91
912	90
960	33
961	30
TOTAL:	<u>244</u>

We certify that the official ballots were accurately counted and the final cumulative report totaled the votes cast by the voters of Elko County for the November 3rd, 2020 General Election.

Signature

Signature

Date

11/10/20

Election Summary Report

General Election

ELKO COUNTY

November 03, 2020

Summary for: All Contests, All Districts, WENDOVER CITY HALL, 960, Election Day
Lamoille Votes Cast

Elector Group	Counting Group	Voters Cast	Registered Voters	Turnout
Total	Election Day	33		0.13%
	Total	33	24,952	0.13%

Voters Cast: 33 of 24,952 (0.13%)

UNITED STATES PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT (Vote for 1)

	Total	
Times Cast	33 / 24,952	0.13%

Candidate	Party	Total	
BIDEN, JOSEPH R./HARRIS, KAMALA D.	DEM	15	✓
BLANKENSHIP, DON/MOHR, WILLIAM	IAP	0	✓
JORGENSEN, JO/COHEN, JEREMY "SPIKE"	LPN	1	✓
TRUMP, DONALD J./PENCE, MICHAEL R.	REP	17	✓
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	0	✓
Total Votes		33	✓

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

	Total	
Times Cast	33 / 24,952	0.13%

Candidate	Party	Total	
ACKERMAN, PATRICIA	DEM	15	✓
AMODEI, MARK E.	REP	16	✓
HANSEN, JANINE	IAP	2	✓
Total Votes		33	✓

STATE SENATE, DISTRICT 19 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
GOICOECHEA, PETE	REP	21	
SEEBACK, TIFFANY "GHOLSON"	IAP	9	
Total Votes		30	

✓
✓
✓

STATE ASSEMBLY, DISTRICT 33 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
ELLISON, JOHN C.	REP	26	
Total Votes		26	

✓
✓

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BROUGH, WILDE FERRIS	REP	16	
GUTIERREZ, ISMAEL "IZZY"	DEM	16	
Total Votes		32	

✓
✓
✓

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
KARR, JONATHAN	REP	27	
Total Votes		27	

✓
✓

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 5 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
STENINGER, REX	REP	28	
Total Votes		28	

✓
✓

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, SEAT B (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
PICKERING, KRISTINA	NP	21	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	11	
Total Votes		32	

✓
✓
✓

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, SEAT D (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
FUMO, OZZIE	NP	9	
HERNDON, DOUGLAS	NP	10	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	10	
Total Votes		29	

✓
✓
✓
✓

COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE, DEPARTMENT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BULLA, BONNIE	NP	10	
BUSH, SUSAN	NP	11	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	10	
Total Votes		31	

✓
✓
✓
✓

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
HILL, KRISTON N.	NP	16	
PORTER, NANCY	NP	13	
Total Votes		29	

✓
✓

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
KACIN, AL	NP	26	
Total Votes		26	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
MUIJE, JOHN W.	NP	11	
SIMONS, MASON	NP	16	
Total Votes		27	

SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
CRACRAFT DICKENSON, TAMMIE	NP	11	
LEONHARDT, ROBERT	NP	15	
Total Votes		26	

SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BRORBY, GREG	NP	16	
DASTRUP, TERESA	NP	13	
Total Votes		29	

ELKO CITY COUNCIL, 2 SEATS (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 9,168	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
MILLER, GRATTON	NP	0	
MORRIS, CLAIR	NP	0	
SIMONS, MANDY	NP	0	
SMITH, ROWENA	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

CARLIN CITY COUNCIL, 2 SEATS (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 973	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
JOHNSTON, MARGARET A.	NP	0	
KINNEY, CAMERON	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

WELLS CITY COUNCIL, 3 SEATS (Vote for 3)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 624	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
GOOLSBY, JONATHAN	NP	0	
MICHELI, CHRIS	NP	0	
MOORE DELRIO, LAURA "NIKI"	NP	0	
SAVEDRA, CARMEN "BETH"	NP	0	
SCHWAB, JAMES L.	NP	0	
SWETT, NOAH J.	NP	0	
THORNAL, SHAUNIA	NP	0	
ZANDER, ERNEST "SKIPP"	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

WEST WENDOVER MAYOR (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 1,125	2.93%
Candidate	Party	Total	
CORONA, DANIEL	NP	16	
KATSONIS, MICHAEL "MIKE"	NP	15	
Total Votes		31	

✓
✓
✓

WEST WENDOVER CITY COUNCIL, SEATS 3 AND 4 (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 1,125	2.93%
Candidate	Party	Total	
DURHAM, JEFF	NP	13	
FLORES, NICOLAS "NICK"	NP	16	
PETERSON, HEATHER	NP	9	
TRUJILLO, ROBERTO "ROBERT"	NP	18	
Total Votes		56	

✓
✓
✓
✓
✓

STATE QUESTION NO. 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	14	
NO	NP	17	
Total Votes		31	

✓
✓
✓

STATE QUESTION NO. 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	20	
NO	NP	12	
Total Votes		32	

✓
✓
✓

STATE QUESTION NO. 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	18	
NO	NP	14	
Total Votes		32	

✓
✓
✓

STATE QUESTION NO. 4 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	22	
NO	NP	8	
Total Votes		30	

✓
✓
✓

STATE QUESTION NO. 6 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	19	
NO	NP	14	
Total Votes		33	

✓
✓
✓

COUNTY QUESTION NO. 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		33 / 24,952	0.13%

Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	14	
NO	NP	19	
Total Votes		33	



Election Summary Report

General Election

ELKO COUNTY

November 03, 2020

Summary for: All Contests, All Districts, CARLIN JUSTICE BUILDING, 912, Election Day
Lamoille Votes Cast

Elector Group	Counting Group	Voters Cast	Registered Voters	Turnout
Total	Election Day	90		0.36%
	Total	90	24,952	0.36%

Voters Cast: 90 of 24,952 (0.36%)

UNITED STATES PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BIDEN, JOSEPH R./HARRIS, KAMALA D.	DEM	10	
BLANKENSHIP, DON/MOHR, WILLIAM	IAP	2	
JORGENSEN, JO/COHEN, JEREMY "SPIKE"	LPN	2	
TRUMP, DONALD J./PENCE, MICHAEL R.	REP	75	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	1	
Total Votes		90	

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
ACKERMAN, PATRICIA	DEM	12	
AMODEI, MARK E.	REP	71	
HANSEN, JANINE	IAP	3	
Total Votes		86	

STATE SENATE, DISTRICT 19 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
GOICOECHEA, PETE	REP	77	✓
SEEBACK, TIFFANY "GHOLSON"	IAP	9	✓
Total Votes		86	✓

STATE ASSEMBLY, DISTRICT 33 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
ELLISON, JOHN C.	REP	82	✓
Total Votes		82	

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BROUGH, WILDE FERRIS	REP	71	✓
GUTIERREZ, ISMAEL "IZZY"	DEM	15	✓
Total Votes		86	

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
KARR, JONATHAN	REP	83	✓
Total Votes		83	

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 5 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
STENINGER, REX	REP	80	✓
Total Votes		80	

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, SEAT B (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
PICKERING, KRISTINA	NP	60	✓
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	25	✓
Total Votes		85	

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, SEAT D (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
FUMO, OZZIE	NP	15	✓
HERNDON, DOUGLAS	NP	42	✓
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	27	✓
Total Votes		84	

COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE, DEPARTMENT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BULLA, BONNIE	NP	23	✓
BUSH, SUSAN	NP	35	✓
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	26	✓
Total Votes		84	✓

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
HILL, KRISTON N.	NP	49	✓
PORTER, NANCY	NP	33	✓
Total Votes		82	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
KACIN, AL	NP	75	✓
Total Votes		75	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
MUIJE, JOHN W.	NP	23	✓
SIMONS, MASON	NP	55	✓
Total Votes		78	

SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
CRACRAFT DICKENSON, TAMMIE	NP	45	✓
LEONHARDT, ROBERT	NP	36	✓
Total Votes		81	

SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BRORBY, GREG	NP	43	✓
DASTRUP, TERESA	NP	35	✓
Total Votes		78	

ELKO CITY COUNCIL, 2 SEATS (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		2 / 9,168	0.02%
Candidate	Party	Total	
MILLER, GRATTON	NP	1	✓
MORRIS, CLAIR	NP	1	✓
SIMONS, MANDY	NP	0	✓
SMITH, ROWENA	NP	1	✓
Total Votes		3	

CARLIN CITY COUNCIL, 2 SEATS (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		86 / 973	8.84%
Candidate	Party	Total	
JOHNSTON, MARGARET A.	NP	56	✓
KINNEY, CAMERON	NP	53	✓
Total Votes		109	✓

WELLS CITY COUNCIL, 3 SEATS (Vote for 3)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 624	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
GOOLSBY, JONATHAN	NP	0	
MICHELI, CHRIS	NP	0	
MOORE DELRIO, LAURA "NIKI"	NP	0	
SAVEDRA, CARMEN "BETH"	NP	0	
SCHWAB, JAMES L.	NP	0	
SWETT, NOAH J.	NP	0	
THORNAL, SHAUNIA	NP	0	
ZANDER, ERNEST "SKIPP"	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

WEST WENDOVER MAYOR (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 1,125	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
CORONA, DANIEL	NP	0	
KATSONIS, MICHAEL "MIKE"	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

WEST WENDOVER CITY COUNCIL, SEATS 3 AND 4 (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 1,125	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
DURHAM, JEFF	NP	0	
FLORES, NICOLAS "NICK"	NP	0	
PETERSON, HEATHER	NP	0	
TRUJILLO, ROBERTO "ROBERT"	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

STATE QUESTION NO. 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	30	✓
NO	NP	57	✓
Total Votes		87	

STATE QUESTION NO. 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	45	✓
NO	NP	43	✓
Total Votes		88	

STATE QUESTION NO. 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	41	✓
NO	NP	46	✓
Total Votes		87	

STATE QUESTION NO. 4 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	39	✓
NO	NP	47	✓
Total Votes		86	

STATE QUESTION NO. 6 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	19	✓
NO	NP	67	✓
Total Votes		86	

COUNTY QUESTION NO. 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	31	✓
NO	NP	55	✓
Total Votes		86	

Election Summary Report

General Election

ELKO COUNTY

November 03, 2020

Summary for: All Contests, All Districts, CARLIN JUSTICE BUILDING, 908, Election Day
Lamoille Votes Cast

Elector Group	Counting Group	Voters Cast	Registered Voters	Turnout
Total	Election Day	91		0.36%
	Total	91	24,952	0.36%

Voters Cast: 91 of 24,952 (0.36%)

UNITED STATES PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BIDEN, JOSEPH R./HARRIS, KAMALA D.	DEM	6	✓
BLANKENSHIP, DON/MOHR, WILLIAM	IAP	0	✓
JORGENSEN, JO/COHEN, JEREMY "SPIKE"	LPN	3	✓
TRUMP, DONALD J./PENCE, MICHAEL R.	REP	81	✓
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	1	✓
Total Votes		91	

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
ACKERMAN, PATRICIA	DEM	8	✓
AMODEI, MARK E.	REP	76	✓
HANSEN, JANINE	IAP	6	✓
Total Votes		90	

STATE SENATE, DISTRICT 19 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
GOICOECHEA, PETE	REP	81	✓
SEEBACK, TIFFANY "GHOLSON"	IAP	9	✓
Total Votes		90	

STATE ASSEMBLY, DISTRICT 33 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
ELLISON, JOHN C.	REP	90	✓
Total Votes		90	

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BROUGH, WILDE FERRIS	REP	79	✓
GUTIERREZ, ISMAEL "IZZY"	DEM	10	✓
Total Votes		89	

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
KARR, JONATHAN	REP	89	✓
Total Votes		89	

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 5 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
STENINGER, REX	REP	90	✓
Total Votes		90	

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, SEAT B (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
PICKERING, KRISTINA	NP	65	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	21	
Total Votes		86	

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, SEAT D (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
FUMO, OZZIE	NP	23	
HERNDON, DOUGLAS	NP	42	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	23	
Total Votes		88	

COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE, DEPARTMENT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BULLA, BONNIE	NP	18	
BUSH, SUSAN	NP	41	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	27	
Total Votes		86	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
HILL, KRISTON N.	NP	60	
PORTER, NANCY	NP	24	
Total Votes		84	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
KACIN, AL	NP	79	
Total Votes		79	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
MUIJE, JOHN W.	NP	30	
SIMONS, MASON	NP	50	
Total Votes		80	

SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
CRACRAFT DICKENSON, TAMMIE	NP	41	
LEONHARDT, ROBERT	NP	39	
Total Votes		80	

SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BRORBY, GREG	NP	40	
DASTRUP, TERESA	NP	38	
Total Votes		78	

ELKO CITY COUNCIL, 2 SEATS (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		1 / 9,168	0.01%
Candidate	Party	Total	
MILLER, GRATTON	NP	0	
MORRIS, CLAIR	NP	0	
SIMONS, MANDY	NP	0	
SMITH, ROWENA	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

CARLIN CITY COUNCIL, 2 SEATS (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		90 / 973	9.25%
Candidate	Party	Total	
JOHNSTON, MARGARET A.	NP	61	
KINNEY, CAMERON	NP	57	
Total Votes		118	

WELLS CITY COUNCIL, 3 SEATS (Vote for 3)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 624	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
GOOLSBY, JONATHAN	NP	0	
MICHELI, CHRIS	NP	0	
MOORE DELRIO, LAURA "NIKI"	NP	0	
SAVEDRA, CARMEN "BETH"	NP	0	
SCHWAB, JAMES L.	NP	0	
SWETT, NOAH J.	NP	0	
THORNAL, SHAUNIA	NP	0	
ZANDER, ERNEST "SKIPP"	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

WEST WENDOVER MAYOR (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 1,125	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
CORONA, DANIEL	NP	0	
KATSONIS, MICHAEL "MIKE"	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

WEST WENDOVER CITY COUNCIL, SEATS 3 AND 4 (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 1,125	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
DURHAM, JEFF	NP	0	
FLORES, NICOLAS "NICK"	NP	0	
PETERSON, HEATHER	NP	0	
TRUJILLO, ROBERTO "ROBERT"	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

STATE QUESTION NO. 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	20	✓
NO	NP	66	✓
Total Votes		86	

STATE QUESTION NO. 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	46	✓
NO	NP	41	✓
Total Votes		87	

STATE QUESTION NO. 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	40	✓
NO	NP	46	✓
Total Votes		86	

STATE QUESTION NO. 4 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	43	✓
NO	NP	42	✓
Total Votes		85	

STATE QUESTION NO. 6 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	13	✓
NO	NP	73	✓
Total Votes		86	

COUNTY QUESTION NO. 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		91 / 24,952	0.36%
Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	24	✓
NO	NP	62	✓
Total Votes		86	○

Election Summary Report

General Election
ELKO COUNTY

November 03, 2020

Summary for: All Contests, All Districts, WENDOVER CITY HALL, 961, Election Day
Lamoille Votes Cast

Elector Group	Counting Group	Voters Cast	Registered Voters	Turnout
Total	Election Day	30		0.12%
	Total	30	24,952	0.12%

Voters Cast: 30 of 24,952 (0.12%)

UNITED STATES PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BIDEN, JOSEPH R./HARRIS, KAMALA D.	DEM	7	
BLANKENSHIP, DON/MOHR, WILLIAM	IAP	0	
JORGENSEN, JO/COHEN, JEREMY "SPIKE"	LPN	2	
TRUMP, DONALD J./PENCE, MICHAEL R.	REP	20	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	1	
Total Votes		30	

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
ACKERMAN, PATRICIA	DEM	7	
AMODEI, MARK E.	REP	19	
HANSEN, JANINE	IAP	3	
Total Votes		29	

STATE SENATE, DISTRICT 19 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
GOICOECHEA, PETE	REP	22	
SEEBACK, TIFFANY "GHOLSON"	IAP	6	
Total Votes		28	

Handwritten tally marks: 22 for REP, 6 for IAP.

STATE ASSEMBLY, DISTRICT 33 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
ELLISON, JOHN C.	REP	28	
Total Votes		28	

Handwritten tally marks: 28 for REP.

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BROUGH, WILDE FERRIS	REP	16	
GUTIERREZ, ISMAEL "IZZY"	DEM	12	
Total Votes		28	

Handwritten tally marks: 16 for REP, 12 for DEM.

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
KARR, JONATHAN	REP	27	
Total Votes		27	

Handwritten tally marks: 27 for REP.

COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT 5 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
STENINGER, REX	REP	26	
Total Votes		26	

Handwritten tally marks: 26 for REP.

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, SEAT B (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
PICKERING, KRISTINA	NP	21	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	6	
Total Votes		27	

|||| |||| |||| ||||
 |||| ||

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, SEAT D (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
FUMO, OZZIE	NP	7	
HERNDON, DOUGLAS	NP	14	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	6	
Total Votes		27	

COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE, DEPARTMENT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BULLA, BONNIE	NP	10	
BUSH, SUSAN	NP	11	
NONE OF THESE CANDIDATES	NP	6	
Total Votes		27	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
HILL, KRISTON N.	NP	13	
PORTER, NANCY	NP	11	
Total Votes		24	

|||| |||| ||
 |||| |||| ||

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
KACIN, AL	NP	22	
Total Votes		22	

|||| ||||| ||||| |||||

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT 4, DEPARTMENT 3 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
MUIJE, JOHN W.	NP	6	
SIMONS, MASON	NP	18	
Total Votes		24	

||||
|||| ||||| ||||| |||||

SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
CRACRAFT DICKENSON, TAMMIE	NP	10	
LEONHARDT, ROBERT	NP	14	
Total Votes		24	

|||| ||||
|||| ||||| |||||

SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%
Candidate	Party	Total	
BRORBY, GREG	NP	10	
DASTRUP, TERESA	NP	12	
Total Votes		22	

|||| ||
|||| ||||| |||||

ELKO CITY COUNCIL, 2 SEATS (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 9,168	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
MILLER, GRATTON	NP	0	
MORRIS, CLAIR	NP	0	
SIMONS, MANDY	NP	0	
SMITH, ROWENA	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

CARLIN CITY COUNCIL, 2 SEATS (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		1 / 973	0.10%
Candidate	Party	Total	
JOHNSTON, MARGARET A.	NP	0	
KINNEY, CAMERON	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

WELLS CITY COUNCIL, 3 SEATS (Vote for 3)

		Total	
Times Cast		0 / 624	0.00%
Candidate	Party	Total	
GOOLSBY, JONATHAN	NP	0	
MICHELI, CHRIS	NP	0	
MOORE DELRIO, LAURA "NIKI"	NP	0	
SAVEDRA, CARMEN "BETH"	NP	0	
SCHWAB, JAMES L.	NP	0	
SWETT, NOAH J.	NP	0	
THORNAL, SHAUNIA	NP	0	
ZANDER, ERNEST "SKIPP"	NP	0	
Total Votes		0	

WEST WENDOVER MAYOR (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		28 / 1,125	2.49%
Candidate	Party	Total	
CORONA, DANIEL	NP	14	
KATSONIS, MICHAEL "MIKE"	NP	12	
Total Votes		26	

Handwritten tally marks for West Wendover Mayor: 14 for CORONA, DANIEL and 12 for KATSONIS, MICHAEL "MIKE".

WEST WENDOVER CITY COUNCIL, SEATS 3 AND 4 (Vote for 2)

		Total	
Times Cast		28 / 1,125	2.49%
Candidate	Party	Total	
DURHAM, JEFF	NP	16	
FLORES, NICOLAS "NICK"	NP	11	
PETERSON, HEATHER	NP	9	
TRUJILLO, ROBERTO "ROBERT"	NP	14	
Total Votes		50	

Handwritten tally marks for West Wendover City Council: 16 for DURHAM, JEFF; 11 for FLORES, NICOLAS "NICK"; 9 for PETERSON, HEATHER; and 14 for TRUJILLO, ROBERTO "ROBERT".

COUNTY QUESTION NO. 1 (Vote for 1)

		Total	
Times Cast		30 / 24,952	0.12%

Candidate	Party	Total	
YES	NP	9	
NO	NP	18	
Total Votes		27	

Handwritten tally marks: 9 vertical lines for YES and 18 vertical lines for NO.

Unable to Process

From: Kris Jakeman <kjakeman@elkocountynv.net>
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:59 AM EST
To: Rex Steninger <rsteninger@elkocountynv.net>
CC: Brenda Rodriguez <brodriguez@elkocountynv.net>; Alicia Guaman <aguaman@elkocountynv.net>; Lisa Limaige <llimaige@elkocountynv.net>
Subject: RE: Elections
Attachment(s): "RESPONSE TO REX'S ELECTIONS EMAIL DATED 10.25.2021.docx", "DVS-Updated-Customer-Notification-Chain-of-Custody-082521.pdf"

Good Morning Rex,

I'm not sure what to expect from Agenda Item F today, but I thought I would forward some answers to your questions. Please see the attached. I hope you find this helpful.

Kris

Kristine "Kris" Jakeman
Elko County Clerk
550 Court Street, 3rd Floor
Elko, Nevada 89801
Phone: (775) 753-4600 Ext. 328
Fax: (775) 753-4610
Email: kjakeman@elkocountynv.net



From: Rex Steninger <rsteninger@elkocountynv.net>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 3:43 PM
To: Kris Jakeman <kjakeman@elkocountynv.net>
Subject: Elections

Hello Kris,

I thought you did great Saturday! Hope it went OK for you, too.

I don't know if Lee Hoffman reported back to you, but the Central Committee passed a motion made by Chris Mullins to direct the drafting of a resolution calling for Elko County to end its contract with Dominion and explore other options. When they bring that resolution forward, I will feel obligated to put it on a commission agenda for discussion. Of course, that will be in consultation with you.

Things that will need to be considered are:

- Penalties for breaking the contract with Dominion. Also, I need to know more about the relationship between the county and Dominion. You said that the county owns the machines, but we are still under contract with Dominion. What is Dominion under contract to provide, if we own the machines?
- Audits - You have told me that there are a limited set of forensic vendors certified to conduct audits. But Saturday, you told us the window for an audit closed 14 days after the election. I need to know more about that. Are we talking about two different kinds of audits? If a forensic audit was possible, how much would it cost? Also, what good would a forensic audit of Elko's vote do, since we are all certain there was no fraud locally.
- Can you explain the state requirement to retain vote records? Are the records retained inside the machine or on a thumb drive of some sort? I was in contact with a Texas outfit that wanted to "copy" our machines. The guy said he could do it in an afternoon or two and leave the machines as they were. Is something like that possible?
- Paper ballots - You have said they would take more time, money and space. Could you please be prepared to elaborate on that? You have also said you would anticipate the need for 25 ballot on demand printers at \$9,000 a pop. What are they? Are the ballots printed on the spot when a voter asks for one?
- You have said another voting machine - ES&S - is certified for use in Nevada, but only Carson City used it. Was Carson City happy with its performance?
- Earlier, a guy was asking us to conduct our own audit of a couple random precincts. Would that be possible and what would it involve?

Finally, in your email response to me you said 12,000 voters surrendered their ballots because they wanted to vote on the machines. I was among those, but did not surrender my mailed ballot so I could vote on the machine. I surrendered my ballot because I wanted to vote in person on election day. I suspect most of those 12,000 voters you cite shared my feeling. Again, Kris, I trust you completely and am comfortable that our local election was honest. My suspicions are aimed at Clark County and nationally. But the only way to calm the distrust out there is to be open and honest and let them look at what they want.

I'll let you know when I receive the resolution from the GOP and we can decide when to put it on a commission agenda. Thanks again for helping me get through all this.

Rex



Updated August 25, 2021

Customer Notification: Maintaining Secure Chain of Custody for Your Dominion Voting System

Please use this guidance to help you maintain the security and integrity of your voting system.

Your Dominion voting system is installed in full compliance with federal and/or state certification requirements, with associated test reports from a federally-accredited [Voting System Test Lab](#) ("VSTL"). In addition to undergoing U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) certification, VSTL testing, state certification (as applicable by law), installation of the "trusted build" of approved software with hash calculations for security checks, the system is placed into a jurisdiction's custody where it must be protected/physically secured. If chain of custody is breached and the certification status of your equipment cannot be guaranteed, it may be rendered unqualified for official use.

Pursuant to the license and warranty terms of your Dominion agreement, any unauthorized transfer of voting equipment can void this agreement. While Dominion does not object to audits by federally accredited Voting System Test Labs ("VSTLs"), a non-exclusive, non-transferable licensing agreement has clear limitations and prohibitions on the following, without Dominion's prior written permission:¹

- Transferring or copying onto any other storage device or hardware or otherwise copying the software in whole or in part except for purposes of system backup.
- Reverse engineering, disassembling, decompiling, deciphering, or analyzing the software in whole or in part.
- Altering or modifying the software in any way or preparing any derivative works of the software or any part or parts of the software.
- Altering, removing, or obstructing any copyright or proprietary notices from the software, or failing to reproduce the same on any lawful copies of the software.

Accordingly, the Dominion agreement prohibits a jurisdiction from releasing any Dominion voting machines, in whole or in part, for purposes of "forensic audits" conducted by third parties.

Should you feel the need to conduct further examination of your voting equipment, please consult with your legal advisors and Dominion about the appropriate options that are available. Your Secretary of State or State Elections Board can advise you on legal guidelines for testing, use, and auditing of voting systems.

Remember, your voting system is deemed critical infrastructure by the U.S. government and should be utilized, maintained, and protected as such. Chain of custody breaches may require a separate forensic audit and software reinstallation by an accredited lab with experts trained to work with voting system technology according to industry best practices for auditing and security.

Related resources include the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) guidance on [Chain of Custody for U.S. Critical Infrastructure Systems](#) and best practices [published](#) by U.S. Election Assistance Commission.

MORE: www.dominionvoting.com. **THANK YOU** for your support!

¹ Some state election auditing programs also have similar restrictions for maintaining chain of custody protections.

RESPONSE TO REX'S ELECTIONS EMAIL DATED 10/25/2021

- Penalties for breaking the contract with Dominion. Also, I need to know more about the relationship between the county and Dominion. You said that the county owns the machines, but we are still under contract with Dominion. What is Dominion under contract to provide, if we own the machines?
 - **The Dominion contract covers annual license and warranty fees, along with election setup fees. Rand would have more information regarding penalties if the contract were broken.**
- Audits - You have told me that there are a limited set of forensic vendors certified to conduct audits. But Saturday, you told us the window for an audit closed 14 days after the election. I need to know more about that. Are we talking about two different kinds of audits? If a forensic audit was possible, how much would it cost? Also, what good would a forensic audit of Elko's vote do, since we are all certain there was no fraud locally.
 - **We are talking about one kind of audit. According to NRS 293.413, a statement of contest must be filed in the District Court within 14 days following the election. Even if a forensic audit could be performed, we are sure of the validity of the 2020 General Election in Elko County. I do not believe that spending money for an audit is a good use of taxpayer dollars, especially if we are looking at investing in new equipment from another vendor.**
- Can you explain the state requirement to retain vote records? Are the records retained inside the machine or on a thumb drive of some sort? I was in contact with a Texas outfit that wanted to "copy" our machines. The guy said he could do it in an afternoon or two and leave the machines as they were. Is something like that possible?
 - **The minimum records retention schedule pursuant to NRS 293.391(1) is 22 months. The results are stored in the machines and on USB drives. We cannot have an outside firm have custody of the Dominion machines. If the chain of custody is breached and the certification status of the equipment cannot be guaranteed, it may be rendered unqualified for official use. (see attached Customer Notification: Maintaining Secure Chain of Custody for Your Dominion Voting System)**
- Paper ballots - You have said they would take more time, money and space. Could you please be prepared to elaborate on that? You have also said you would anticipate the need for 25 ballot on demand printers at \$9,000 a pop. What are they? Are the ballots printed on the spot when a voter asks for one?
 - **Mobile ballot printers do print ballots on the spot when a voter asks for one. This procedure is much more efficient and cost effective. It eliminated the guesswork on how many of each ballot style must be sent to each polling place. If ballots were pre-printed, we would have approximately 35,000+ ballots on hand to cover all active and inactive voters, plus anticipate same day voter registration numbers and reissuing ballots for various reasons. We simply do not have enough storage space in our office to accommodate that large number of ballots. If we were to transition to all paper ballots, we will still have to contract with a company whose equipment is certified by the EAC and the SOS to create the ballots and provide tally equipment. We also need to look at the ADA compliance laws.**
- You have said another voting machine - ES&S - is certified for use in Nevada, but only Carson City used it. Was Carson City happy with its performance?
 - **Carson City really likes the ES&S system. I have been in contact with a representative from ES&S. She is in the process of putting packets together regarding their products and the cost. They are also willing to present a demonstration of their equipment.**
- Earlier, a guy was asking us to conduct our own audit of a couple random precincts. Would that be possible and what would it involve?
 - **I believe you are referring to the suggestion posed by Richard Lee. As I stated, voter registration changes in each precinct on a daily basis. The number of registered voters is different today than at the time of the 2020 General Election. Since we are in the middle of a Special Election, voters may respond that they voted in the 2020 General**

Election, when in fact, they voted in the Special Election instead. I do not think an audit would be prudent.

From: Kris Jakeman <kjakeman@elkocountynv.net>
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 5:14 PM EDT
To: Rex Steninger <rsteninger@elkocountynv.net>
CC: Brenda Rodriguez <brodriguez@elkocountynv.net>; Damian Luser <dluser@elkocountynv.net>; Alicia Guaman <aguaman@elkocountynv.net>
Subject: RE: Nevada Audit by Commissioners knocking on doors.
Attachment(s): "smime.p7s"

Hi Rex,

I do not believe that you are suggesting a door-to-door audit, but, if so, I do not think that is a good idea. A formal complaint was filed with the Washoe County Registrar after a person came to someone's door asking about their vote history. That person felt intimidated and would not answer any questions. I believe others would, as well, because they believe their vote is a private matter.

Following are my thoughts about reaching out to a precinct:

- Voter registration changes in each precinct on a daily basis. The number of registered voters is different today than at the time of the November 2020 General Election. Voters move or pass away.
- Voters do not always remember if they voted. We had one voter who insisted that he did not vote in the 2020 Primary Election, but we had the returned ballot with his signature and he acknowledged that he did indeed vote. A person may have voted in the Primary Election and not the General Election.
- A newly registered voter could indicate that they voted, but it may have been in another jurisdiction.
- A voter may have returned a ballot but it was missing a signature or the signature did not match, so the ballot would not have counted if they did not cure the discrepancy.
- We typically do not get a huge response from voters on mail we send and, generally, get a large number of undeliverable mail returned to us. It would probably be a big expense, with little return.

If you are interested, I have some comments to statements made by Mr. Lee to the Lander County Commissioners.

Having said all of that, I'm not opposed to an audit, per say. I just don't know how to best handle one, especially since we are focusing our time on the December 2021 Special Election, getting ready for the 2022 Elections and will be redistricting soon.

Kris

Kristine "Kris" Jakeman
Elko County Clerk
550 Court Street, 3rd Floor
Elko, Nevada 89801
Phone: (775) 753-4600 Ext. 328
Fax: (775) 753-4610
Email: kjakeman@elkocountynv.net



From: Rex Steninger <rsteninger@elkocountynv.net>
Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2021 9:56 AM
To: Kris Jakeman <kjakeman@elkocountynv.net>
Subject: Fw: Nevada Audit by Commissioners knocking on doors.

Hello Kris,

I thought you should see this.

I have to be honest with you, Kris. I strongly suspect voter fraud in our last election. Maybe not here in Elko County and certainly not with you. I trust you implicitly. But I do not trust the Dominion machines

We have all been warned to tape over the camera lens on our computer and smart TVs because computer hackers can be watching us. It just makes sense to me that they can also get into the Dominion machines.

Anyhow, back to this email. What would you think of an audit like this guy is talking about? Maybe pick one precinct and ask everyone in the precinct that voted to reply to your office. Then we can see if we have people replying that were listed as not voting.

Just a thought and am interested in yours.

Rex

From: Richard Lee <richard@chi.us>
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 3:08 PM
To: vhartung@washoecounty.us <vhartung@washoecounty.us>; ahill@washoecounty.us <ahill@washoecounty.us>; kjung@washoecounty.us <kjung@washoecounty.us>; fgcarbone@co.nye.nv.us <fgcarbone@co.nye.nv.us>; coxdonnac@msn.com <coxdonnac@msn.com>; dlstrickland@co.nye.nv.us <dlstrickland@co.nye.nv.us>; Wilde Brough <wbrough@elkocountynv.net>; Jon Karr <jkarr@elkocountynv.net>; Rex Steninger <rsteninger@elkocountynv.net>; cshank@pershingcountynv.gov <cshank@pershingcountynv.gov>; jberg@eurekacountynv.gov <jberg@eurekacountynv.gov>; howe@whitepinecountynv.gov

<rhowe@whitepinecountynv.gov>; ibullis@whitepinecountynv.gov <ibullis@whitepinecountynv.gov>;
icarson@whitepinecountynv.gov <icarson@whitepinecountynv.gov>; polsen@churchillcountynv.gov
<polsen@churchillcountynv.gov>; gkoenig@churchillcountynv.gov <gkoenig@churchillcountynv.gov>; ccb@mineralcountynv.org
<ccb@mineralcountynv.org>; cca@mineralcountynv.org <cca@mineralcountynv.org>; Thipp@esmeraldcountynv.org
<Thipp@esmeraldcountynv.org>; commissionerdewinsor@gmail.com <commissionerdewinsor@gmail.com>;
commissionerkeyes13@yahoo.com <commissionerkeyes13@yahoo.com>

Subject: Fwd: Nevada Audit by Commissioners knocking on doors.

Greetings Nevada County Commissioner,

I wrote this email to the Lander County Commissioners, but it is quite valuable to all County Commissioners in Nevada who have questions about the legitimacy of the 2020 General Election, specifically the systems imposed on each county by the Secretary of State's office.

The intention is to give you the ability to make an educated decision based on first hand experience of Election Fraud. The voter fraud I identified was witnessed by me, not by you. Nearly everything you have been told has been second, third, or 4th hand, and most of it theoretical and cloaked in computer terms. With the method described here, you can spend an hour or two knocking on doors in your community and compare with your County's 2020 General Election Spreadsheet. Then you will know for sure if your County's voters were disenfranchised. You are the ones who need first-hand evidence, not lobbyists from the State or from Dominion.

Please discover fraud for yourself, then decertify the election for your county.

Richard H. Lee, Systems Engineer

Begin forwarded message:

From: Richard Lee <richard@chi.us>

Subject: Audit by Commissioners knocking on doors.

Date: September 22, 2021 at 10:08:07 AM PDT

Lander County Board of Commissioners Alternative Audit Method

Wednesday, Sep 22, 2021 by Richard H Lee

I am Richard Lee, a Systems Engineer living in Reno, Nevada. I am proud of you for standing up for voter integrity. I had planned to present this to you in person at your Commissioner Board meeting tomorrow but I was not included on your agenda. Instead, I am submitting my notes for you to read.

I was speaking with Mark Wlaschin, Deputy Secretary of State who told me he believed you wanted to break open Dominion machines to see if there were wireless communication devices. As he explained it, they would need to make a forensic copy of each machine (as was done by the County Clerk in Mesa, Colorado) before transferring the computers to your custody, and that this would, in his judgment, be TOO burdensome. My understanding is that it takes just 10 minutes to make a forensic copy. He presented this issue in Lander County as a conflict between the County Clerk and Commissioners. That didn't sound right. Today, I am proposing an alternate and much simpler way for you to audit your Dominion system. It will require one or two evenings of your time.

The reason I was talking with the Deputy Secretary of State was that I had been doing research on the Washoe election and, after weeks of tracking down mailing records for 290,000 mail-in ballots allegedly sent to Washoe voters, I found lots of anomalies. The Deputy SoS claimed he wanted to learn from me how they could strengthen election security. I told him that he sees everything through theoretical complexities. If he really wants to understand what's really going on, he needs to compare theory to reality, to go door to door and compare election records to actual voter testimonies. Strangely, he claimed that regulations prohibited him from doing so.

After Challenging the Deputy Secretary of State to go door to door, I decided I should go door-to-door in my neighborhood. I had voted in the 2020 Election but my ballot had not been posted on the SoS website. I emailed the SoS and they told me to check back later. Two weeks after my complaint, a ballot had been inserted under my name. I wondered if others in my neighborhood had the same problem so I made a list of all voters within ¼ mile of my home who were shown as having "not voted" and went out one evening knocking on doors. I found 9 voters at home. Only one said they had not voted. Two said the listed person had moved away years ago. **Five** said they had voted, three in person and two by mail. These personal statements showed that 5 of the 9 "non-voters" had, in fact, voted. It wasn't just me. It was systemic voter disenfranchisement. In fact, an extensive private canvass of Maricopa County in Arizona found that 34% of voters whom the voter logs reported as not having voted said they had voted. If my findings are representative and 5 of 9 of the 67,280 "non-voting" voters in Washoe County really did vote and their ballots were not counted, that's 37,400 disenfranchised voters, more than the margin of victory in the presidential race and sufficient to influence many down-ballot races. This seriously undermines the credibility of both the SoS voter database and the ERIC system. It also indicates a huge pool of phantom voters. The 5 of 9 whose ballots got lost or ignored included both in-person and by-mail. The only place of commonality between lost mail-in votes and lost in-person votes is the Dominion tabulating system. A corrupt Dominion system also throws every race on the ballot into question. I do not expect the Washoe County Commissioners to have the courage to take action.

Texas did a study which found the ICX Prime tablets and the associated Dominion tabulation system as used in all

Nevada counties to be so easy to hack that Texas rejected Dominion entirely. A California study found similar election fraud vulnerabilities, but the California SoS chose to ignore forensic experts and buy the Dominion system anyway. Georgia chose Dominion. The Nevada SoS also ignored the forensics experts and chose to force Dominion on all Nevada Counties. Further, we are discovering that the CIA has been involved in election manipulation for decades.

Brutal Kangaroo is a CIA tool suite for Microsoft Windows that targets *closed networks or air-gapped computers* within an enterprise or organization without requiring any direct access. This includes your voting system.

When I chose to present this information to you I realized that what you really need is data from smaller, more rural counties like Lander: So.... In a rural corner of Gardnerville in Douglas County I knocked on doors and found that *7 of the 14 people who reported voting showed no record of a ballot being recorded*. Further, in the Lockwood community of Storey County we found about a 23% overall error in voter reporting in the county voter spreadsheet. In 5 of 8 homes where the database showed no voters, actual voters reported that they had cast a ballot. As you can see, we found disenfranchised voters in all three counties. In some cases voters specified that they voted in person when the database showed a mail-in ballot. We confirmed with them that they had marked an in-person ballot.

These findings mean to me that the cause of voter disenfranchisement is likely be the SoS-dictated systems rather than County elected officials or employees. This means you are likely to find similar voter disenfranchisement, or ballot theft, in Lander County as well. Anyone who discourages you is likely part of a conspiracy against Lander County residents, and against all US voters. While we found up to 50% uncounted voters in our surveys of the second and third largest counties in Nevada, my guess is that you are not likely to see more than 10% to 20% disenfranchised voters, unless it was done to influence down-ballot races.

You were right to attempt a forensic examination of your Dominion equipment. I explained to the Deputy SoS that Nevada is a bottom-up state where the power comes from the people and is delegated to the County Commissioners and County Clerks who run and oversee the elections. These county-based elections determine ALL city, county, state, and federal elected representatives. Any interference from the State, federal, or political level can easily disenfranchise voters. This would also include interference from corporations like Facebook and Dominion. It is now clear to me that the Secretary of State, in conjunction with Dominion and other non-County actors, is imposing top-down control on the Counties in violation of the US Constitution, largely using Dominion tabulators, ERIC system and a corrupt State voter database to put their chosen people into local, State and Federal offices.

You are the only representatives of the people of Lander within the constraints of the US Constitution. Any laws, rules, or policies, whether federal or state, that violate the US Constitution and disenfranchise the voters of Lander County must be opposed and discarded. You are the only County Commissioners who have shown a willingness to stand up for the rights of the people. You must act in accordance with the US Constitution to fulfill the will and uphold the rights of the people of Lander County. You may need the support of the Lander County Sheriff to withstand State pressures.

Please go into your communities, knock on doors and see what you find. Ask "Did anyone in this home vote in the 2020 General Election?" and "Did they vote by-mail or in-person?". Then, based on what you find, do what is right for Lander County Residents. Personally, I believe it is best going forward to have real county residents hand-count ballots at the precinct level, and for precinct leaders to report results to the local, county, and blockchain level for the world to see. Let the results be tabulated publicly. This is particularly easy for the 3200 voters in Lander County.

My sincere thanks for standing up and being the leaders whom Lander County, and all of Nevada, need now. If you act boldly, other Counties will follow your lead.

Richard H. Lee, Biosystems Engineer
CEO, Director of Research and Development
CHI Institute
Reno NV 89509 USA