

Subject: RE: DOJ-EOIR FOIA Request # 2022-14053
Date: Friday, January 14, 2022 at 1:59:33 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)
To: FOIA
Attachments: Previously released.pdf

Good afternoon Mehreen,

Please find attached the previously released records that you agreed to accept in lieu of your request. EOIR will proceed to close this request.

Thank you,

Jeniffer Pérez Santiago
Attorney Advisor (FOIA)
Office of the General Counsel
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Department of Justice
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2600
Falls Church, VA 22041
Cell: (571)326-4838

| IDNCASE | osc_date | Case Type | BASE_CITY | HEARING_LOC_NAME | judge_name | generation | Dec Descript | comp_date | ABS ENTI | Depoted Country | input_date | Paper/e ROP |
|---------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|------------|----------|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| 9722170 | 7/29/2020 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 9/28/2021 | Y | MEXICO | 1/4/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9722171 | 7/29/2020 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 9/28/2021 | Y | MEXICO | 1/4/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9087513 | 8/11/2019 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Star, Shadee M. | 98 | Remove | 8/16/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 3/1/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9744513 | 2/23/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 10/26/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 3/1/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9750385 | 1/31/2019 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Swink, Arwen A. | 99 | Remove | 8/4/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 3/11/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9753620 | 3/10/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Figuroa, Laura C. | 99 | Remove | 8/9/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 3/16/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9753625 | 3/10/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Figuroa, Laura C. | 99 | Remove | 8/9/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 3/16/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9773005 | 4/11/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Caborn, Andrew J. | 99 | Remove | 8/9/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 4/22/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9773007 | 4/11/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Figuroa, Laura C. | 99 | Remove | 8/9/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 4/22/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9798895 | 3/21/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Swink, Arwen A. | 99 | Remove | 8/4/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 5/20/2021 | Paper ROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| 9802139 | 7/5/2019 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Star, Shadee M. | 99 | Remove | 8/16/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 5/20/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9801681 | 5/18/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Savage, Patrick S. | 99 | Remove | 9/2/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 5/25/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9801686 | 5/18/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Savage, Patrick S. | 99 | Remove | 9/2/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 5/25/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9802948 | 5/10/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Caborn, Andrew J. | 98 | Remove | 10/18/2021 | Y | INDIA | 5/25/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9804964 | 4/16/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 9/28/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 5/27/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9210492 | 9/21/2019 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Savage, Patrick S. | 98 | Remove | 9/2/2021 | Y | VENEZUELA | 6/17/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9837845 | 6/24/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/22/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 6/29/2021 | eROP |
| 9837904 | 6/24/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/22/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 6/29/2021 | eROP |
| 9808574 | 5/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Savage, Patrick S. | 99 | Remove | 10/7/2021 | Y | NICARAGUA | 6/29/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9808578 | 5/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Savage, Patrick S. | 99 | Remove | 10/7/2021 | Y | NICARAGUA | 6/29/2021 | Paper ROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 9808587 | 5/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Savage, Patrick S. | 99 | Remove | 10/7/2021 | Y | NICARAGUA | 6/29/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9858436 | 4/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 9/28/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 7/15/2021 | Paper ROP |
| 9845775 | 6/30/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 8/26/2021 | Y | NICARAGUA | 7/26/2021 | eROP |
| 9845779 | 6/30/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 8/26/2021 | Y | NICARAGUA | 7/26/2021 | eROP |
| 9824606 | 6/11/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/17/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 7/26/2021 | eROP |
| 9824618 | 6/11/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/17/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 7/26/2021 | eROP |
| 9898637 | 6/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/17/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/5/2021 | eROP |
| 9898652 | 6/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/17/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/5/2021 | eROP |
| 9835972 | 6/14/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/18/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/5/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-------------|----------|------|
| 9835980 | 6/14/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/18/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/5/2021 | eROP |
| 9864999 | 7/18/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 8/27/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 8/6/2021 | eROP |
| 9865012 | 7/18/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 8/27/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 8/6/2021 | eROP |
| 9856894 | 7/11/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/24/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 8/6/2021 | eROP |
| 9856897 | 7/11/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/24/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 8/6/2021 | eROP |
| 9856899 | 7/11/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/24/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 8/6/2021 | eROP |
| 9856900 | 7/11/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/24/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 8/6/2021 | eROP |
| 9856901 | 7/11/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/24/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 8/6/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-----------|-----------|------|
| 9906428 | 8/9/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 9/13/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/9/2021 | eROP |
| 9906467 | 8/9/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 9/13/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/9/2021 | eROP |
| 9896120 | 8/4/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/24/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/10/2021 | eROP |
| 9896130 | 8/4/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/24/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/10/2021 | eROP |
| 9898657 | 8/5/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/25/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/10/2021 | eROP |
| 9898667 | 8/5/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/25/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/10/2021 | eROP |
| 9900422 | 8/6/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/10/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/17/2021 | eROP |
| 9902397 | 8/6/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/10/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/17/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----|--------|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|------|
| 9902399 | 8/6/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/10/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/17/2021 | eROP |
| 9902277 | 8/6/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/8/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/17/2021 | eROP |
| 9902283 | 8/6/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/8/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/17/2021 | eROP |
| 9873078 | 7/24/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/8/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/18/2021 | eROP |
| 9873097 | 7/24/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/8/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/18/2021 | eROP |
| 9874476 | 7/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/9/2021 | Y | NICARAGUA | 8/18/2021 | eROP |
| 9874497 | 7/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/9/2021 | Y | NICARAGUA | 8/18/2021 | eROP |
| 9927953 | 8/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/10/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/18/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-------------|-----------|------|
| 9927963 | 8/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/10/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/18/2021 | eROP |
| 9927967 | 8/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/10/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/18/2021 | eROP |
| 9878007 | 7/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 9/13/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/18/2021 | eROP |
| 9915738 | 8/12/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/19/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 8/19/2021 | eROP |
| 9915756 | 8/12/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/19/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 8/19/2021 | eROP |
| 9933814 | 6/1/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/19/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/19/2021 | eROP |
| 9933834 | 6/1/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/19/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/19/2021 | eROP |
| 9883095 | 7/28/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/13/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/19/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-------------|-----------|------|
| 9883105 | 7/28/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/13/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/19/2021 | eROP |
| 9942868 | 8/3/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/25/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9942875 | 8/3/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/25/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9943208 | 7/4/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/27/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9943219 | 7/4/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/27/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9943230 | 7/4/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/27/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9943727 | 6/19/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/28/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9943747 | 6/19/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/28/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 8/24/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-------------|-----------|------|
| 9943776 | 6/19/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/28/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9942674 | 6/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/6/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9942695 | 6/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/6/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9901303 | 8/6/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/14/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9901318 | 8/6/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/14/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9901330 | 8/6/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/14/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/24/2021 | eROP |
| 9885749 | 7/29/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/2/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/25/2021 | eROP |
| 9885754 | 7/29/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/2/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/25/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-----------|-----------|------|
| 9904260 | 8/8/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/2/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/25/2021 | eROP |
| 9904263 | 8/8/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/2/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/25/2021 | eROP |
| 9904265 | 8/8/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/2/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/25/2021 | eROP |
| 9904266 | 8/8/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/2/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/25/2021 | eROP |
| 9946089 | 7/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 8/25/2021 | eROP |
| 9946098 | 7/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 8/25/2021 | eROP |
| 9946101 | 7/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 8/25/2021 | eROP |
| 9943454 | 6/6/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/28/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/26/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-----------|-----------|------|
| 9943466 | 6/6/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/28/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/26/2021 | eROP |
| 9848972 | 6/30/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/9/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/26/2021 | eROP |
| 9848973 | 6/30/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/9/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/26/2021 | eROP |
| 9948235 | 6/24/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 8/26/2021 | eROP |
| 9948247 | 6/24/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 8/26/2021 | eROP |
| 9948282 | 6/24/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 8/26/2021 | eROP |
| 9872687 | 7/25/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/8/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/27/2021 | eROP |
| 9872694 | 7/25/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/8/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/27/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|----------|-----------|------|
| 9872697 | 7/25/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/8/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/27/2021 | eROP |
| 9872706 | 7/25/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 9/8/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/27/2021 | eROP |
| 9948560 | 6/14/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/9/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/27/2021 | eROP |
| 9897501 | 8/5/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/18/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9897503 | 8/5/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/18/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9897507 | 8/5/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/18/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9921619 | 6/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/28/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9921639 | 6/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/28/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/30/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-----------|-----------|------|
| 9951399 | 6/2/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/29/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9951410 | 6/2/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/29/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9920032 | 8/14/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/3/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9920034 | 8/14/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/3/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9954641 | 8/30/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/17/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9954672 | 8/30/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/17/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9954130 | 8/1/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/18/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9954145 | 8/1/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/18/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/30/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-----------|-----------|------|
| 9954161 | 8/1/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/18/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/30/2021 | eROP |
| 9908174 | 8/10/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/15/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/31/2021 | eROP |
| 9908176 | 8/10/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 11/15/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 8/31/2021 | eROP |
| 9959344 | 8/5/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 9/28/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/1/2021 | eROP |
| 9933506 | 8/19/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/20/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/1/2021 | eROP |
| 9937683 | 8/22/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/21/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/1/2021 | eROP |
| 9937743 | 8/22/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/21/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/1/2021 | eROP |
| 9901841 | 8/7/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/26/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/1/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-----------|----------|------|
| 9901847 | 8/7/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 10/26/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/1/2021 | eROP |
| 9919418 | 8/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/10/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/1/2021 | eROP |
| 9919423 | 8/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 11/10/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/1/2021 | eROP |
| 9931102 | 8/18/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 12/22/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/2/2021 | eROP |
| 9931119 | 8/18/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 12/22/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/2/2021 | eROP |
| 9931138 | 8/18/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 12/22/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/2/2021 | eROP |
| 9964681 | 7/30/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/8/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/3/2021 | eROP |
| 9964701 | 7/30/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/8/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/3/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-----------|----------|------|
| 9964707 | 7/30/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/8/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/3/2021 | eROP |
| 9925145 | 8/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/15/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/7/2021 | eROP |
| 9925147 | 8/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/15/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/7/2021 | eROP |
| 9965519 | 7/24/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 11/10/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/7/2021 | eROP |
| 9965520 | 7/24/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 11/10/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/7/2021 | eROP |
| 9965523 | 7/24/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 11/10/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/7/2021 | eROP |
| 9969175 | 7/23/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/8/2021 | Y | NICARAGUA | 9/7/2021 | eROP |
| 9969182 | 7/22/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/8/2021 | Y | NICARAGUA | 9/7/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-----------|-----------|------|
| 9969188 | 7/23/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/8/2021 | Y | NICARAGUA | 9/7/2021 | eROP |
| 9969666 | 7/28/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/9/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/8/2021 | eROP |
| 9969673 | 7/28/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/9/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/8/2021 | eROP |
| 9904042 | 8/8/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 9/27/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 9/13/2021 | eROP |
| 9905273 | 8/8/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 9/27/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 9/13/2021 | eROP |
| 9985505 | 9/14/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/20/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/15/2021 | eROP |
| 9985524 | 9/14/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/20/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/15/2021 | eROP |
| 9926364 | 8/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 12/22/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/15/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-------------|-----------|------|
| 9926375 | 8/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 12/22/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/15/2021 | eROP |
| 9926385 | 8/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 12/22/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/15/2021 | eROP |
| 9926395 | 8/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 12/22/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 9/15/2021 | eROP |
| 9989239 | 7/27/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/22/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/15/2021 | eROP |
| 9989254 | 7/27/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/22/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/15/2021 | eROP |
| 9989270 | 7/27/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/22/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/15/2021 | eROP |
| 9947544 | 8/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/13/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 9/16/2021 | eROP |
| 9947552 | 8/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Walker, Nathaniel B. | 99 | Remove | 12/13/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 9/16/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----|--------|------------|---|----------|-----------|------|
| 9917422 | 8/12/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/13/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9917425 | 8/12/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/13/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9917428 | 8/12/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/13/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9917430 | 8/12/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Halperin, Ravit R. | 99 | Remove | 10/13/2021 | Y | BRAZIL | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9982209 | 9/12/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/9/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9982215 | 9/12/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/9/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9982223 | 9/12/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/9/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9982224 | 9/12/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/9/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/20/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-------------|-----------|------|
| 9988538 | 9/15/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/9/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9988549 | 9/14/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/9/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9988583 | 9/15/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/9/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9957059 | 9/1/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/15/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9957065 | 9/1/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/15/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9957071 | 9/1/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/15/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 9957080 | 9/1/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/15/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 9/20/2021 | eROP |
| 10013235 | 9/27/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/6/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/27/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-------------|-----------|------|
| 10013239 | 9/27/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/6/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/27/2021 | eROP |
| 10013245 | 9/27/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/6/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/27/2021 | eROP |
| 10013249 | 9/27/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/6/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 9/27/2021 | eROP |
| 9993247 | 9/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 11/4/2021 | Y | COLOMBIA | 9/29/2021 | eROP |
| 9993249 | 9/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 11/4/2021 | Y | COLOMBIA | 9/29/2021 | eROP |
| 9993250 | 9/17/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 11/4/2021 | Y | COLOMBIA | 9/29/2021 | eROP |
| 9972564 | 9/8/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/20/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 9/29/2021 | eROP |
| 9972570 | 9/8/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/20/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 9/29/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----|--------|-----------|---|--------|-----------|------|
| 9997601 | 9/20/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/8/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 10/1/2021 | eROP |
| 9997614 | 9/20/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Kirchner, Steven M. | 99 | Remove | 12/8/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 10/1/2021 | eROP |
| 10011137 | 9/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 10/6/2021 | eROP |
| 10011138 | 9/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 10/6/2021 | eROP |
| 10011140 | 9/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 10/6/2021 | eROP |
| 10011143 | 9/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 10/6/2021 | eROP |
| 10011144 | 9/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 10/6/2021 | eROP |
| 10011145 | 9/26/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | TURKEY | 10/6/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-------------|------------|------|
| 10037774 | 10/8/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 10/8/2021 | eROP |
| 10037780 | 10/8/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/7/2021 | Y | EL SALVADOR | 10/8/2021 | eROP |
| 10038535 | 7/2/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/16/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 10/12/2021 | eROP |
| 10038538 | 7/2/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/16/2021 | Y | HONDURAS | 10/12/2021 | eROP |
| 10075498 | 10/28/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/16/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 10/29/2021 | eROP |
| 10075504 | 10/28/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Phan, Susan | 99 | Remove | 12/16/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 10/29/2021 | eROP |
| 10044395 | 10/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/17/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 11/1/2021 | eROP |
| 10044396 | 10/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/17/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 11/1/2021 | eROP |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----|--------|------------|---|-----------|-----------|------|
| 10044397 | 10/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/17/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 11/1/2021 | eROP |
| 10044398 | 10/13/2021 | Removal | SAN FRANCISCO | SAN FRANCISCO - Dedicated Docket – DD | Seminerio, Frank A. | 99 | Remove | 12/17/2021 | Y | GUATEMALA | 11/1/2021 | eROP |

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 1:40 PM
To: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR)
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR); Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR); Cheng, Mary (EOIR); McNulty, Sheila (EOIR)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket
Attachments: image002.png (b)(5) Return Notice Docket. 11.2.2021.pdf
Categories: Blue Category

Hi Kathryn

This letter from the ACLU of Northern California comes on the heels of the San Francisco Chronical article on the returned notice docket.

Your help in drafting an appropriate response will be greatly appreciated. Is there someone in your office that you would like ACIJ Young to work with on the response?

Please advise on what you see as the best procedure in our response.

Thanks

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office (b)(6)
Cell (b)(6)

From: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 4:55 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: Fwd: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

I have (b)(5) Let me know how you like me to proceed.
Elizabeth

Begin forwarded message:

From: Milli Atkinson <matkinson@sfbar.org>
Date: November 2, 2021 at 4:09:58 PM PDT

To: "Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)" [REDACTED] (b)(6)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Dear ACIJ Young,

I hope you are well. I am writing on behalf of the Justice & Diversity Center, the ACLU of Northern California, AILA NorCal Advocacy Liaisons and the Nation Lawyers Guild – San Francisco Bay Area Chapter to express our concern over the Court’s implementation of the Returned Notice Docket. I have mailed a copy of the attached letter via regular mail this afternoon, but wanted to provide a PDF copy via email as well.

We look forward to hearing from you soon to discuss the issues raised in the attached letter and hope that we can work together to resolve these issues.

Sincerely,

Milli Atkinson

She/her

Immigrant Legal Defense Program Director

San Francisco Immigrant Legal Defense Collaborative Legal Director

The Justice & Diversity Center of The Bar Association of San Francisco

201 Mission St., Suite 400 | San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: (415) 782-8926 | Fax: (415) 688-4633

matkinson@sfbar.org | www.sfbar.org/sfildc

The AOD Program is now offering remote consultations for the immigrant community! Call (408) 461-8795 for a free consultation with an immigration attorney. See [here](#) for more information.

Confidentiality Notice:

The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered privileged and/or confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone.



JUSTICE & DIVERSITY CENTER
OF THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO



11/2/2021

Assistant Chief Immigration Judge Elizabeth Young
Executive Office of Immigration Review
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104

Re: Returned Notice Docket

Dear ACIJ Elizabeth Young,

We write regarding the San Francisco Immigration Court's recent creation of the "Returned Notice Docket" which poses a high risk of removal to hundreds of vulnerable individuals whose hearings have been rescheduled unexpectedly. The Returned Notice Docket was first brought to our attention when we learned of a respondent who had been ordered removed *in absentia* after she missed a hearing that had been advanced and rescheduled while she was recovering from side effects from the COVID-19 vaccine. After reaching out to Court personnel, we learned that the Court has created a new docket and is rescheduling hundreds of respondents for hearings when the Court receives returned mail from the respondent's address of record. It is our understanding that the Court then sends a new notice with an advanced hearing date, expecting the respondent to appear to correct their address and/or for the Court to confer with DHS about any alternative addresses in their A-file.

Our court observers have already witnessed and documented dozens of individuals ordered removed *in absentia* at these hearings when they fail to appear. This practice is resulting in the *in absentia* removal orders of asylees and other vulnerable immigrants who the Court knows are unlikely to receive actual notice of their hearing.

We have grave concerns about the sudden implementation of these special dockets, without stakeholder engagement beforehand and using the Court's already limited resources, that are resulting in an unprecedented wave of removal orders. We respectfully request that the practice be reconsidered immediately.

1. This is not the time to create a special docket with high levels of *in absentia* removal orders in the midst of a pandemic when housing instability has been well documented and access to the court, ERO, and legal services has been limited.

The COVID-19 pandemic led to local and statewide shutdowns in early 2020. As a result, our immigration system, along with the entire nation, has had to adjust and adapt to a new normal. During the last 18 months, the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on immigrant communities has been well documented. Not only have they seen higher rates of COVID-19 infections compared to the general population, they have also been more likely to work in service jobs where they are more likely to contract COVID-19 and live in multi-family housing situations where it is impossible to safely quarantine. Immigrants were more likely to lose their jobs during the pandemic and are more likely to have experienced housing insecurity as a result of the pandemic.

Compounding the issue is the fact that many legal service providers were forced to limit or decrease capacity to provide services to the immigrant community during the pandemic. Many regular legal clinics were cancelled and many organizations were closed for intake and consultation while organizations shifted to a remote work environment. Both the private bar and community-based organizations have had to adapt to ever changing restrictions on when and how they are able to meet with clients to provide services, which further limits their capacity to provide services. In addition, many respondents face technological barriers to access services that are no longer provided in person.

Making the situation even more dire, the government offices responsible for enforcing our immigration laws have been less accessible, with limited information provided directly to respondents about changes in their cases and where to obtain information. There has been a great deal of confusion to both respondents and their legal representatives regarding appointments and hearings that have been rescheduled multiple times, often with little or late notice.

It is therefore extremely problematic for the San Francisco Immigration Court to expend its limited resources to create a special docket that is highly likely to result in an *in absentia* order of removal during a time when respondents are more likely to experience housing instability, more likely to suffer from a deadly illness, less likely to have access to legal services, and less likely to be able to access the court or their ERO officer because of travel and other COVID related restrictions.

2. The consideration given to each of the cases on the Returned Notice Docket is inconsistent.

Since August 24, 2021, we have had volunteer advocates observe six of the Returned Notice Docket hearings in order to observe and better understand what, if any, safeguards

have been put in place to avoid *in absentia* removal orders being given *en masse*. We have seen huge differences among immigration judges in how they are proceeding during these dockets. While some judges take great care in requesting address information from OPLA attorneys or calling clients directly during the hearing, others proceed with an *in absentia* removal order as long as there is a Form I-213 on file.

In the hearings we have observed, we have seen as many as 70% of respondents scheduled for the docket ordered removed *in absentia*. On average, we have observed fewer than three respondents appear in person at these dockets, where more than twenty individuals are scheduled to appear. In most cases, the only evidence the government is able to provide is a Form I-213 which solely contains uncorroborated allegations made by the government. We have observed several instances where the Notice to Appear that was filed with the court had a hearing date that was incorrect. We have also observed *in absentia* orders entered against individuals who were represented and had their cases terminated in 2018 and did not receive notice when the case was remanded to the immigration court. During one hearing, the Judge stated that she did not believe the Department of Homeland Security had met its burden regarding removability, and then proceeded with ordering the respondent removed *in absentia*. Another case involved a 19 year old who had entered the United States as a minor and it was clear that the guardian had not been served with the NTA. That case was continued to give the Department an opportunity to serve the respondent's aunt, however there was no discussion of the requirements of the Department to serve the Form I-770 or other protections specific to unaccompanied children. In many cases, these *in absentia* orders are entered against entire families, including against children who are completely reliant on their parents in notifying the court of address changes.

We acknowledge that during regular Master Calendar hearings, there may be one or two individuals that fail to appear and that the IJ may be justified in ordering removal if the government has met their burden of proof. In these cases, we often see that the respondents had received adequate notice in court and were aware of their future hearing date. Also, when only one or two individuals fail to appear, the IJ has adequate time to review each file individually and take into account any circumstances that may have prevented the respondent's appearance. We do not believe the same can be said for the Returned Notice Docket. In these hearings, every IJ is reviewing 15-25 cases at a time and some are ordering individuals removed in groups with little attention paid to each individual case besides a cursory review of the form I-213.

3. The Returned Notice Dockets violate a respondent's Fifth Amendment right to due process.

The practice of sending a notice to reschedule an existing hearing date, to an address known to be invalid, with the logically anticipated outcome of ordering a large number of individuals removed *in absentia* is fundamentally unfair and denies respondents the

opportunity to reasonably present their case. This process clearly results in prejudice to the respondent who is unaware of the change in his hearing date and therefore is ordered removed by default. Furthermore, in the majority of these cases on the Returned Notice Docket, the respondent is *pro se*. “Because aliens appearing *pro se* often lack the legal knowledge to navigate their way successfully through the morass of immigration law, and because their failure to do so successfully might result in their expulsion from this country, it is critical that the IJ’s scrupulously and conscientiously probe into, inquire of, and explore for all the relevant facts.”

The creation of the Returned Notice Docket during an unprecedented public health crisis is likely to impede the Court’s ability to meet its obligations to *pro se* respondents. Rescheduling hearings using an unworkable address where respondents are unlikely to receive notice not only denies the respondent of their right to have their cases heard, but it also denies the individual IJ’s the opportunity to “scrupulously and conscientiously” explore the reasons that a respondent failed to appear. The IJ must rely entirely on evidence provided by the government in order to move forward, and because it is likely that the respondent has no knowledge that the hearing is taking place, there is nobody in these proceedings to speak on their behalf or protect their rights.

As attorneys, we understand that the respondent has the responsibility of informing the Court of an address change within five days of moving. However, the consequences to those who fail to meet this requirement in a timely manner is severe, and there are myriad reasons why this responsibility has become more difficult during the COVID-19 pandemic. Many respondents do not fully understand when and how to inform the court of an address change, the process is often confusing to those who are unfamiliar with our legal system, and those who are illiterate and have limited education and do not understand service requirements. It is especially difficult for many because the change of address form is only provided in English and not everyone has access to translation services. Access to free or low cost legal services where *pro se* respondents would often receive this information and advice has also been more limited during the pandemic.

Additionally, we often meet with individuals who have informed ERO of their new address and mistakenly believe that this information will be submitted to the Court. Many of these respondents are under the impression that they have complied with the Court’s address requirements. There is no publicly-available information about what ERO officers do with these updated addresses, and whether this information is timely shared with OPLA attorneys, let alone the Court. While we often see OPLA attorneys in Court with updated contact information, we have also seen multiple instances where an individual with regular ERO check-ins has to repeatedly inform their deportation officer of their new address. Since the COVID-19 pandemic began, hundreds of community members have had difficulty contacting ERO and have reported to our organizations that they would often call or send emails and not receive any response. Their regular check-ins were cancelled with no information on how or when they could check in or update their address. In one instance, the Justice and Diversity Center helped a *pro se* community member update their address with ERO, in writing, via email. The respondent was later reprimanded by her deportation officer when she was eventually able to check

in and accused of not informing the office of her address change. We are also concerned that this information may not make it to OPLA's files in a timely manner, or at all, especially when these hearings are re-scheduled to be heard in the next 1-2 months. Additionally, those that do not have a secure address often rely on the EOIR Automated Case Information system to obtain information about their hearing date and are not expecting a hearing they believe to be scheduled in 2022 or 2023 to suddenly advance to within a few weeks.

It would be a mistake to assume that all respondents who fail to appear at their hearings are deliberately evading our laws. Indeed, studies have shown that those respondents who receive notice of their hearings attend them at exceptionally high rates. For example, data released by the Department of Justice on this topic suggests that asylum applicants attend their hearings at very high rates. By advancing their hearing dates and sending notices to addresses that the Court knows have already failed to reach the respondent, the Court is creating additional barriers that make it difficult for immigrants to access the judicial system and have their cases heard. While they legally have the option to file a motion to reopen after the hearing, there are strict time and numerical limitations on these motions that are difficult to overcome without the assistance of an attorney. Even if the time limitations are tolled for lack of notice, it creates yet another logistical and financial barrier for *pro se* respondents to find an attorney to help them with such a motion. In these cases, it is doubtful that a *pro se* respondent would be able to prepare and file a timely motion to reopen when they have already struggled with preparing and filing a Form EOIR-33. Again, we believe the vast majority of these respondents are eager to have their cases heard and proceed with their hearings. Instead they are being set up for failure, by having their hearings advanced unexpectedly.

4. The Returned Notice Docket is a misuse of the Court's limited resources.

Again, we are greatly concerned about the timing of the Returned Notice Dockets: they were created during a public health crisis when the Court has very limited capacity to hear cases and many respondents who are seeking a decision on the merits of their case are having their hearings postponed to 2024 and 2025. Immigration Judge, legal assistant, and OPLA attorney time is being used to schedule and attend these hearings, when such time could go to countless other cases that are being cancelled and reset far into the future. In many of the cases on the Returned Notice Docket, the issue related to the returned notice could be easily resolved by a phone call from a clerk to the respondent, without setting the stage for what is inevitably the failure to appear by many of the respondents. We are now seeing judges spend their time at hearings making phone calls or reviewing documents in the A-file to try to find a correct address and order multiple notices sent out, when their time would be better spent adjudicating cases that are ready to move forward. We are also seeing these hearings continued where there are issues related to service and notice. If there is any question regarding adequate notice, this should be resolved by terminating the case completely, instead of keeping it on the docket indefinitely and scheduling multiple hearings where the respondent is unlikely to appear.

As representatives of the non-profit legal community, there are several avenues for collaboration that would allow us to use our resources to help individuals with returned notices to update their addresses. The Justice & Diversity Center's volunteer-driven Attorney of the Day Program is able to assist by reaching out to individuals on the court's behalf and assist them with filing a Form EOIR-33. Additionally, a local non-profit is currently building an EOIR-funded program, the Immigration Court Help Desk, to provide orientations and clinics that would help respondents better understand the Court's address requirements and assist them in properly filing a change of address form.

In conclusion, we would like to offer the following recommendations to the Court:

1. Pause or discontinue the use of the Returned Notice Docket all together, or
2. If the docket continues, solicit further feedback from local stakeholders and consider greater collaboration with the local bar to prevent negative consequences arising out of the docket.

In either scenario, we hope the Court will collaborate with the local bar and non-profit community to ensure that *pro se* respondents are fully advised of their legal obligations and are getting adequate notice of their hearings before the Court. You may contact Milli Atkinson via phone or email at matkinson@sfbbar.org, (415) 782-8926, if you wish to discuss this letter further.

We appreciate your time and consideration in addressing these concerns.

Sincerely,

ACLU of Northern California
AILA NorCal Advocacy Liaisons
Justice and Diversity Center of the Bar Association of San Francisco
National Lawyers Guild – San Francisco Bay Area Chapter

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:57 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Subject: FW: 4:30pm Specialized Docket Update
Attachments: Specialized Docket Nationwide Report_As of August 23, 2021.xlsx

Categories: Blue Category

Latest report is attached.

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (West)



Office: [redacted (b)(6)]
Cell: [redacted (b)(6)]

WarningAttorney/Client Privilege***Attorney Work Product***

This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or sensitive attorney/client privileged information or attorney work product and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this e-mail has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore, do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. This document is for INTERNAL GOVERNMENT USE ONLY and may be exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 552(b)(5), (b)(7).

From: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:39 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted (b)(6)]>; Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <[redacted (b)(6)]>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <[redacted (b)(6)]>
Cc: Lin, Austin (EOIR) <Austin.Lin@usdoj.gov>; Chaban, Roman (EOIR) <Roman.Chaban@usdoj.gov>; Johnson, Hunter (EOIR) <[redacted (b)(6)]>
Subject: 4:30pm Specialized Docket Update

Good Afternoon,

Please see the most up to date Specialized Docket Reporting for this week.

If I receive any additional responses, I will provide an update tomorrow.

Have a great afternoon.

Naleshia Spencer
Program Manager, CGI Federal
Executive Office For Immigration Review
Office of the Chief Clerk
Office of the Chief Immigration Judge
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2500
Falls Church, VA 22041
703-756-8577 (office)

| Immigration Court | Number of Cases Scheduled | Number of Cases Completed | Percentage Completed | Notes |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| <u>Adelanto</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | (b)(5) |
| <u>Arlington</u> | 188 | 167 | 89% | |
| <u>Atlanta - Peachtree</u> | 103 | 33 | 32% | (b)(5) |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|------------------|--|
| | | | | (b)(5) |
| <u>Atlanta – Ted Turner</u> | 143 | 61 | 43% | |
| <u>Aurora</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Baltimore</u> | 332 | 212 | 64% | |
| <u>Batavia</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Boston</u> | 313 | 152 | 49% | Boston judges were assigned on a rotating basis to the specialized dockets since 4/19. |
| <u>Buffalo</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | No backlog of cases and no need for specialized docket at this time. |
| <u>Charlotte</u> | 29 | 28 | 97% | |
| <u>Chicago</u> | 279 | 196 | 70% | 47 scheduled cases for 6/25 |
| <u>Cleveland</u> | 712 | 463 | 65% | |
| <u>Conroe</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Dallas</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Denver</u> | 674 | 463 | 69% | |
| <u>Detroit</u> | 8 | 6 | 75% | |
| <u>El Paso</u> | 104 | 18 | 17% | Scheduled cases start on July 8th |
| <u>El Paso SPC</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Elizabeth</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Eloy</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Fishkill</u> | 47 | 0 | 0% | Detained Court |
| <u>Florence</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Ft. Snelling</u> | 89 | 0 | 0% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Harlingen</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Hartford</u> | 44 | 11 | 25% | |
| <u>Honolulu</u> | 16 | 3 | 19% | No cases to report. |
| <u>Houston</u> | 53 | 0 | 0% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Houston Greenspoint Park</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Scheduled cases start on July 27th |

| | | | | |
|---|------|-----|------------------|---|
| <u>Houston South</u> | | | | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Gessner</u> | 29 | 1 | 3% | |
| <u>Imperial</u> | 152 | 12 | 8% | |
| <u>Kansas City</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Krome</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>LaSalle</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Las Vegas</u> | 41 | 27 | 66% | |
| <u>Louisville</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Los Angeles – Olive Street</u> | 1117 | 524 | 47% | |
| <u>Los Angeles – North Los Angeles Street</u> | 165 | 16 | 10% | |
| <u>Los Angeles - Van Nuys Blvd.</u> | 447 | 314 | 70% | |
| <u>Memphis</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Miami</u> | 189 | 138 | 73% | |
| <u>Newark</u> | 601 | 473 | 79% | |
| <u>New Orleans</u> | 1206 | 23 | 2% | Most cases are scheduled in the future. |
| <u>New York - Broadway</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>New York - Federal Plaza</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Oakdale</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Omaha</u> | 10 | 0 | 0% | Scheduled cases start in July. |
| <u>Orlando</u> | 404 | 24 | 6% | |
| <u>Otay Mesa</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Otero</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Operating out of SND |
| <u>Pearsall</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Philadelphia</u> | 29 | 13 | 45% | |
| <u>Phoenix</u> | 144 | 87 | 60% | |
| <u>Port Isabel</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Portland</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Court will not reopen until July 6th. |
| <u>Sacramento</u> | 41 | 19 | 46% | |
| <u>Saipan</u> | 5 | 0 | 0% | |
| <u>Salt Lake City</u> | 102 | 54 | 53% | |

| | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| <u>San Antonio</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>San Diego</u> | 147 | 59 | 40% | |
| <u>San Francisco</u> | 1706 | 432 | 25% | |
| <u>San Juan</u> | 120 | 3 | 3% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Seattle</u> | 36 | 13 | 36% | |
| <u>Stewart</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Tacoma</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Tucson</u> | 21 | 13 | 62% | |
| <u>Ulster</u> | 29 | 4 | 14% | Detained Court |
| <u>Varick</u> | 326 | 0 | 0% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>York</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | No longer operating |
| Total | 10201 | 4062 | 40% | |

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Rosen, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Monday, December 6, 2021 1:02 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Cc: Short, Tracy (EOIR)
Subject: FW: 189625 - Milli Atkinson
Attachments: RE: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket; (b)(5) Return Notice Docket. 11.2.2021.pdf; Milli Atkinson response.docx

Judge Weiss,

Please see Zachery's email below. I have revised the response (attached) to the letter to ACIJ Young regarding the Returned Notice Docket at the San Francisco Immigration Court. I am going to recommend that (b)(5)

Please let me know when you are available to discuss. Thanks.

Scott
(703) 926-0738

From: Lampman, Zachary (EOIR) (CTR) <Zachary.Lampman@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Friday, December 3, 2021 11:41 AM
To: Rosen, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.Rosen@usdoj.gov>; Lin, Austin (EOIR) <Austin.Lin@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>
Subject: 189625 - Milli Atkinson

Good morning Austin and Scott,

I hope you both are doing well.

Scott, I have had the opportunity to interact with Austin before but not with you just quite yet. My name is Zach and I help CLAD coordinate responses to external communications. Pleasure to virtually meet you!

I am reaching out today because PIO Amanda St. Jean and ACIJ Young collaborated on drafting content for a response to the attached incoming. I have attached the draft response in hopes to get your assessment on this response.

Additionally, I provided the email conversation on (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

V/r,

Zachary Lampman | Contractor
Communications and Legislative Affairs Division
Office of Policy
Executive Office for Immigration Review
U.S. Department of Justice
(b)(6) Mobile
703 756-8476, Office
ZACHARY.LAMPMAN@USDOJ.GOV

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 12:07 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR); Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR); Cheng, Mary (EOIR); McNulty, Sheila (EOIR); Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR); Lampman, Zachary (EOIR) (CTR); St Jean, Amanda (EOIR)
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket
Attachments: (b)(5) Return Notice Docket. 11.2.2021.pdf

Hi Judge Doc - Thank you for reaching out. We handle formal correspondence for the agency and I am copying on this email Zachary Lampman who is our correspondence POC in CLAD. See below for (b)(5)

(b)(5) ft

(b)(5) Thanks again – Kathryn

BACKGROUND:

(b)(5)

ON THE RECORD:

(b)(5)

End.

Initial response to SF Chronicle:

BACKGROUND:

(b)(5)

End.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 1:40 PM
To: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Hi Kathryn

This letter from the ACLU of Northern California comes on the heels of the San Francisco Chronical article on the returned notice docket.

Your help in drafting an appropriate response will be greatly appreciated. Is there someone in your office that you would like ACIJ Young to work with on the response?

Please advise on what you see as the best procedure in our response.

Thanks

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

From: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 4:55 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Subject: Fwd: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

I have [redacted] (b)(6) Let me know how you like me to proceed.
Elizabeth

Begin forwarded message:

From: Milli Atkinson <matkinson@sfbar.org>
Date: November 2, 2021 at 4:09:58 PM PDT
To: "Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)" <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Dear ACIJ Young,

I hope you are well. I am writing on behalf of the Justice & Diversity Center, the ACLU of Northern California, AILA NorCal Advocacy Liaisons and the Nation Lawyers Guild – San Francisco Bay Area Chapter to express our concern over the Court’s implementation of the Returned Notice Docket. I have mailed a copy of the attached letter via regular mail this afternoon, but wanted to provide a PDF copy via email as well.

We look forward to hearing from you soon to discuss the issues raised in the attached letter and hope that we can work together to resolve these issues.

Sincerely,

Milli Atkinson

She/her

Immigrant Legal Defense Program Director

San Francisco Immigrant Legal Defense Collaborative Legal Director

The Justice & Diversity Center of The Bar Association of San Francisco

201 Mission St., Suite 400 | San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: (415) 782-8926 | Fax: (415) 688-4633

matkinson@sfbar.org | www.sfbar.org/sfildc

The AOD Program is now offering remote consultations for the immigrant community!

Call (408) 461-8795 for a free consultation with an immigration attorney. See [here](#) for more information.

Confidentiality Notice:

The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered privileged and/or confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone.



JUSTICE & DIVERSITY CENTER
OF THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO



11/2/2021

Assistant Chief Immigration Judge Elizabeth Young
Executive Office of Immigration Review
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104

Re: Returned Notice Docket

Dear ACIJ Elizabeth Young,

We write regarding the San Francisco Immigration Court's recent creation of the "Returned Notice Docket" which poses a high risk of removal to hundreds of vulnerable individuals whose hearings have been rescheduled unexpectedly. The Returned Notice Docket was first brought to our attention when we learned of a respondent who had been ordered removed *in absentia* after she missed a hearing that had been advanced and rescheduled while she was recovering from side effects from the COVID-19 vaccine. After reaching out to Court personnel, we learned that the Court has created a new docket and is rescheduling hundreds of respondents for hearings when the Court receives returned mail from the respondent's address of record. It is our understanding that the Court then sends a new notice with an advanced hearing date, expecting the respondent to appear to correct their address and/or for the Court to confer with DHS about any alternative addresses in their A-file.

Our court observers have already witnessed and documented dozens of individuals ordered removed *in absentia* at these hearings when they fail to appear. This practice is resulting in the *in absentia* removal orders of asylees and other vulnerable immigrants who the Court knows are unlikely to receive actual notice of their hearing.

We have grave concerns about the sudden implementation of these special dockets, without stakeholder engagement beforehand and using the Court's already limited resources, that are resulting in an unprecedented wave of removal orders. We respectfully request that the practice be reconsidered immediately.

1. This is not the time to create a special docket with high levels of *in absentia* removal orders in the midst of a pandemic when housing instability has been well documented and access to the court, ERO, and legal services has been limited.

The COVID-19 pandemic led to local and statewide shutdowns in early 2020. As a result, our immigration system, along with the entire nation, has had to adjust and adapt to a new normal. During the last 18 months, the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on immigrant communities has been well documented. Not only have they seen higher rates of COVID-19 infections compared to the general population, they have also been more likely to work in service jobs where they are more likely to contract COVID-19 and live in multi-family housing situations where it is impossible to safely quarantine. Immigrants were more likely to lose their jobs during the pandemic and are more likely to have experienced housing insecurity as a result of the pandemic.

Compounding the issue is the fact that many legal service providers were forced to limit or decrease capacity to provide services to the immigrant community during the pandemic. Many regular legal clinics were cancelled and many organizations were closed for intake and consultation while organizations shifted to a remote work environment. Both the private bar and community-based organizations have had to adapt to ever changing restrictions on when and how they are able to meet with clients to provide services, which further limits their capacity to provide services. In addition, many respondents face technological barriers to access services that are no longer provided in person.

Making the situation even more dire, the government offices responsible for enforcing our immigration laws have been less accessible, with limited information provided directly to respondents about changes in their cases and where to obtain information. There has been a great deal of confusion to both respondents and their legal representatives regarding appointments and hearings that have been rescheduled multiple times, often with little or late notice.

It is therefore extremely problematic for the San Francisco Immigration Court to expend its limited resources to create a special docket that is highly likely to result in an *in absentia* order of removal during a time when respondents are more likely to experience housing instability, more likely to suffer from a deadly illness, less likely to have access to legal services, and less likely to be able to access the court or their ERO officer because of travel and other COVID related restrictions.

2. The consideration given to each of the cases on the Returned Notice Docket is inconsistent.

Since August 24, 2021, we have had volunteer advocates observe six of the Returned Notice Docket hearings in order to observe and better understand what, if any, safeguards

have been put in place to avoid *in absentia* removal orders being given *en masse*. We have seen huge differences among immigration judges in how they are proceeding during these dockets. While some judges take great care in requesting address information from OPLA attorneys or calling clients directly during the hearing, others proceed with an *in absentia* removal order as long as there is a Form I-213 on file.

In the hearings we have observed, we have seen as many as 70% of respondents scheduled for the docket ordered removed *in absentia*. On average, we have observed fewer than three respondents appear in person at these dockets, where more than twenty individuals are scheduled to appear. In most cases, the only evidence the government is able to provide is a Form I-213 which solely contains uncorroborated allegations made by the government. We have observed several instances where the Notice to Appear that was filed with the court had a hearing date that was incorrect. We have also observed *in absentia* orders entered against individuals who were represented and had their cases terminated in 2018 and did not receive notice when the case was remanded to the immigration court. During one hearing, the Judge stated that she did not believe the Department of Homeland Security had met its burden regarding removability, and then proceeded with ordering the respondent removed *in absentia*. Another case involved a 19 year old who had entered the United States as a minor and it was clear that the guardian had not been served with the NTA. That case was continued to give the Department an opportunity to serve the respondent's aunt, however there was no discussion of the requirements of the Department to serve the Form I-770 or other protections specific to unaccompanied children. In many cases, these *in absentia* orders are entered against entire families, including against children who are completely reliant on their parents in notifying the court of address changes.

We acknowledge that during regular Master Calendar hearings, there may be one or two individuals that fail to appear and that the IJ may be justified in ordering removal if the government has met their burden of proof. In these cases, we often see that the respondents had received adequate notice in court and were aware of their future hearing date. Also, when only one or two individuals fail to appear, the IJ has adequate time to review each file individually and take into account any circumstances that may have prevented the respondent's appearance. We do not believe the same can be said for the Returned Notice Docket. In these hearings, every IJ is reviewing 15-25 cases at a time and some are ordering individuals removed in groups with little attention paid to each individual case besides a cursory review of the form I-213.

3. The Returned Notice Dockets violate a respondent's Fifth Amendment right to due process.

The practice of sending a notice to reschedule an existing hearing date, to an address known to be invalid, with the logically anticipated outcome of ordering a large number of individuals removed *in absentia* is fundamentally unfair and denies respondents the

opportunity to reasonably present their case. This process clearly results in prejudice to the respondent who is unaware of the change in his hearing date and therefore is ordered removed by default. Furthermore, in the majority of these cases on the Returned Notice Docket, the respondent is *pro se*. “Because aliens appearing *pro se* often lack the legal knowledge to navigate their way successfully through the morass of immigration law, and because their failure to do so successfully might result in their expulsion from this country, it is critical that the IJ’s scrupulously and conscientiously probe into, inquire of, and explore for all the relevant facts.”

The creation of the Returned Notice Docket during an unprecedented public health crisis is likely to impede the Court’s ability to meet its obligations to *pro se* respondents. Rescheduling hearings using an unworkable address where respondents are unlikely to receive notice not only denies the respondent of their right to have their cases heard, but it also denies the individual IJ’s the opportunity to “scrupulously and conscientiously” explore the reasons that a respondent failed to appear. The IJ must rely entirely on evidence provided by the government in order to move forward, and because it is likely that the respondent has no knowledge that the hearing is taking place, there is nobody in these proceedings to speak on their behalf or protect their rights.

As attorneys, we understand that the respondent has the responsibility of informing the Court of an address change within five days of moving. However, the consequences to those who fail to meet this requirement in a timely manner is severe, and there are myriad reasons why this responsibility has become more difficult during the COVID-19 pandemic. Many respondents do not fully understand when and how to inform the court of an address change, the process is often confusing to those who are unfamiliar with our legal system, and those who are illiterate and have limited education and do not understand service requirements. It is especially difficult for many because the change of address form is only provided in English and not everyone has access to translation services. Access to free or low cost legal services where *pro se* respondents would often receive this information and advice has also been more limited during the pandemic.

Additionally, we often meet with individuals who have informed ERO of their new address and mistakenly believe that this information will be submitted to the Court. Many of these respondents are under the impression that they have complied with the Court’s address requirements. There is no publicly-available information about what ERO officers do with these updated addresses, and whether this information is timely shared with OPLA attorneys, let alone the Court. While we often see OPLA attorneys in Court with updated contact information, we have also seen multiple instances where an individual with regular ERO check-ins has to repeatedly inform their deportation officer of their new address. Since the COVID-19 pandemic began, hundreds of community members have had difficulty contacting ERO and have reported to our organizations that they would often call or send emails and not receive any response. Their regular check-ins were cancelled with no information on how or when they could check in or update their address. In one instance, the Justice and Diversity Center helped a *pro se* community member update their address with ERO, in writing, via email. The respondent was later reprimanded by her deportation officer when she was eventually able to check

in and accused of not informing the office of her address change. We are also concerned that this information may not make it to OPLA's files in a timely manner, or at all, especially when these hearings are re-scheduled to be heard in the next 1-2 months. Additionally, those that do not have a secure address often rely on the EOIR Automated Case Information system to obtain information about their hearing date and are not expecting a hearing they believe to be scheduled in 2022 or 2023 to suddenly advance to within a few weeks.

It would be a mistake to assume that all respondents who fail to appear at their hearings are deliberately evading our laws. Indeed, studies have shown that those respondents who receive notice of their hearings attend them at exceptionally high rates. For example, data released by the Department of Justice on this topic suggests that asylum applicants attend their hearings at very high rates. By advancing their hearing dates and sending notices to addresses that the Court knows have already failed to reach the respondent, the Court is creating additional barriers that make it difficult for immigrants to access the judicial system and have their cases heard. While they legally have the option to file a motion to reopen after the hearing, there are strict time and numerical limitations on these motions that are difficult to overcome without the assistance of an attorney. Even if the time limitations are tolled for lack of notice, it creates yet another logistical and financial barrier for *pro se* respondents to find an attorney to help them with such a motion. In these cases, it is doubtful that a *pro se* respondent would be able to prepare and file a timely motion to reopen when they have already struggled with preparing and filing a Form EOIR-33. Again, we believe the vast majority of these respondents are eager to have their cases heard and proceed with their hearings. Instead they are being set up for failure, by having their hearings advanced unexpectedly.

4. The Returned Notice Docket is a misuse of the Court's limited resources.

Again, we are greatly concerned about the timing of the Returned Notice Dockets: they were created during a public health crisis when the Court has very limited capacity to hear cases and many respondents who are seeking a decision on the merits of their case are having their hearings postponed to 2024 and 2025. Immigration Judge, legal assistant, and OPLA attorney time is being used to schedule and attend these hearings, when such time could go to countless other cases that are being cancelled and reset far into the future. In many of the cases on the Returned Notice Docket, the issue related to the returned notice could be easily resolved by a phone call from a clerk to the respondent, without setting the stage for what is inevitably the failure to appear by many of the respondents. We are now seeing judges spend their time at hearings making phone calls or reviewing documents in the A-file to try to find a correct address and order multiple notices sent out, when their time would be better spent adjudicating cases that are ready to move forward. We are also seeing these hearings continued where there are issues related to service and notice. If there is any question regarding adequate notice, this should be resolved by terminating the case completely, instead of keeping it on the docket indefinitely and scheduling multiple hearings where the respondent is unlikely to appear.

As representatives of the non-profit legal community, there are several avenues for collaboration that would allow us to use our resources to help individuals with returned notices to update their addresses. The Justice & Diversity Center's volunteer-driven Attorney of the Day Program is able to assist by reaching out to individuals on the court's behalf and assist them with filing a Form EOIR-33. Additionally, a local non-profit is currently building an EOIR-funded program, the Immigration Court Help Desk, to provide orientations and clinics that would help respondents better understand the Court's address requirements and assist them in properly filing a change of address form.

In conclusion, we would like to offer the following recommendations to the Court:

1. Pause or discontinue the use of the Returned Notice Docket all together, or
2. If the docket continues, solicit further feedback from local stakeholders and consider greater collaboration with the local bar to prevent negative consequences arising out of the docket.

In either scenario, we hope the Court will collaborate with the local bar and non-profit community to ensure that *pro se* respondents are fully advised of their legal obligations and are getting adequate notice of their hearings before the Court. You may contact Milli Atkinson via phone or email at matkinson@sfbbar.org, (415) 782-8926, if you wish to discuss this letter further.

We appreciate your time and consideration in addressing these concerns.

Sincerely,

ACLU of Northern California
AILA NorCal Advocacy Liaisons
Justice and Diversity Center of the Bar Association of San Francisco
National Lawyers Guild – San Francisco Bay Area Chapter



U.S. Department of Justice
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Office of Policy

Communications and Legislative Affairs Division

*5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1800
Falls Church, Virginia 22041*

[Date]

(b)(5)

Dear Ms. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Sincerely,

Communications and Legislative Affairs Division

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 10:41 AM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Categories: Blue Category

Sir

Below are the combined edits that I will push to Kathern Mattingly for the response to the San Francisco Chronicle and SFR NPR.

Do you concur?

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

From: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:24 AM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted]>
Cc: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <[redacted]>
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

If it is not too late, I made [redacted] Thanks,

[redacted]
(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Mary Cheng
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge - Eastern Region



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 8:36 PM
To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Great addition.

Thanks
Doc

D. J. Daugherty
RDCIJ West

On Oct 27, 2021, at 4:31 PM, McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)> wrote:

Hi Judge,

(b)(5)

(b)(5) Not sure if it

helps, butthere you go!

Sheila

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 5:24 PM
To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized

Masters (Returned Notices)

Importance: High

RDC's

(b)(5)

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

All thoughts are greatly appreciated!

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office (b)(6)

Cell: (b)(6)

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:03 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Lin, Austin (EOIR) <Austin.Lin@usdoj.gov>; Foster, Sean (EOIR) <Sean.Foster@usdoj.gov>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>
Subject: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)
Importance: High

Hello Judge Doc and Jude Young,

As you both know, the San Francisco Chronicle and KQED, the NPR affiliate in SF, visited the San Francisco Immigration Court this week. Both outlets reached out to me regarding the "returned notice" specialized dockets that (b)(5) (b)(5) I've been in meetings and tied up so I've asked the SF Chronicle for more time and Ms. Kopan said tomorrow would work. The KQED reporter did not leave a deadline.

Please see below for the two inquiries (full emails attached if needed). I started a draft (b)(5) (b)(5) and if you can please get back to me by noon tomorrow, Oct. 28, or sooner, that would be great. (b)(5) (b)(5)

From SF Chronicle:

My colleague Deepa and I are working on a story about the San Francisco immigration court. We understand that the court set up a special docket for master calendar hearings for "returned notices" – people whose mail was returned to the court as undeliverable. According to AILA liaison minutes we reviewed, this was theoretically to allow judges to find valid addresses for respondents.

But in talking with attorneys and in our direct observations, some of these masters end up being a way to rapidly order dozens of immigrants removed in absentia. We plan to report on this.

A few questions for you:

- Was headquarters aware of and supportive of this pilot? Is it exclusive to SF?
- How many returned notice hearings have happened in the SF court? When was the docket first created?
- How many people have been ordered removed in absentia through this docket?
- Does EOIR believe this is a docket worth continuing, given due process concerns of advocates about targeting a group likely to result in mass absentia removal orders?

End.

Draft:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

End.

From KQED Inquiry:

Does EOIR have a description somewhere of the objective of the “returned notice” docket, which I gather is a relatively recent innovation?

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 6:31 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)
Attachments: [EXTERNAL] Time sensitive question about SF Courts; [EXTERNAL] Re: [EXT] Reporter questions
Importance: High
Categories: Blue Category

FYI

The San Francisco Chronicle and KQED, the NPR affiliate in SF, visited the San Francisco Immigration Court this week. Both outlets reached out to PIO regarding the "returned notice" specialized dockets. Both media outlets intend to report on that docket.

(b)(5) a couple of improvements to the response as follows:

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: (b)(6)
Cell: 7

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:03 PM

To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>

Cc: Lin, Austin (EOIR) <Austin.Lin@usdoj.gov>; Foster, Sean (EOIR) <Sean.Foster@usdoj.gov>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>

Subject: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Importance: High

Hello Judge Doc and Jude Young,

As you both know, the San Francisco Chronicle and KQED, the NPR affiliate in SF, visited the San Francisco Immigration Court this week. Both outlets reached out to me regarding the "returned notice" specialized dockets that (b)(5) (b)(5) I've been in meetings and tied up so I've asked the SF Chronicle for more time and Ms. Kopan said tomorrow would work. The KQED reporter did not leave a deadline.

Please see below for the two inquiries (full emails attached if needed). I started a draft with the (b)(5) (b)(5) and if you can please get back to me by **noon** tomorrow, Oct. 28, or sooner, that would be great. (b)(5)

From SF Chronicle:

My colleague Deepa and I are working on a story about the San Francisco immigration court. We understand that the court set up a special docket for master calendar hearings for "returned notices" – people whose mail was returned to the court as undeliverable. According to AILA liaison minutes we reviewed, this was theoretically to allow judges to find valid addresses for respondents.

But in talking with attorneys and in our direct observations, some of these masters end up being a way to rapidly order dozens of immigrants removed in absentia. We plan to report on this.

A few questions for you:

- Was headquarters aware of and supportive of this pilot? Is it exclusive to SF?
- How many returned notice hearings have happened in the SF court? When was the docket first created?
- How many people have been ordered removed in absentia through this docket?
- Does EOIR believe this is a docket worth continuing, given due process concerns of advocates about targeting a group likely to result in mass absentia removal orders?

End.

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

From KQED Inquiry:

Does EOIR have a description somewhere of the objective of the “returned notice” docket, which I gather is a relatively recent innovation?

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Kopan, Tal <Tal.Kopan@sfchronicle.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 12:59 PM
To: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR)
Cc: Fernandes, Deepa
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Time sensitive question about SF Courts

Hi Kathryn,

My colleague Deepa and I are working on a story about the San Francisco immigration court. We understand that the court set up a special docket for master calendar hearings for “returned notices” – people whose mail was returned to the court as undeliverable. According to AILA liaison minutes we reviewed, this was theoretically to allow judges to find valid addresses for respondents.

But in talking with attorneys and in our direct observations, some of these masters end up being a way to rapidly order dozens of immigrants removed in absentia. We plan to report on this.

A few questions for you:

- Was headquarters aware of and supportive of this pilot? Is it exclusive to SF?
- How many returned notice hearings have happened in the SF court? When was the docket first created?
- How many people have been ordered removed in absentia through this docket?
- Does EOIR believe this is a docket worth continuing, given due process concerns of advocates about targeting a group likely to result in mass absentia removal orders?

If you could get back to us by the end of the day, that would be wonderful, but let us know if that’s not doable for some reason.

Thank you!
Tal and Deepa

Tal Kopan

Washington Correspondent | *San Francisco Chronicle*

Cell/Signal: (b)(6)

tal.kopan@sfchronicle.com

Twitter: @TalkKopan

[SFChronicle.com/Subscribe](https://www.sfchronicle.com/subscribe)

San Francisco Chronicle

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Tyche Hendricks <thendricks@KQED.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:40 PM
To: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: [EXT] Reporter questions

Hi Kathryn,

Thanks for your help thus far! The EOIR security guys at 100 Sansome St. had gotten your heads up that I'd be carrying recording equipment in my bag and so everything went smoothly.

A couple of questions:

- * What is the simplest and swiftest way for me to obtain audio recordings of immigration court proceedings?
- * Does EOIR have a description somewhere of the objective of the "returned notice" docket, which I gather is a relatively recent innovation?

Thanks much,

Tyche

Tyche Hendricks
Senior Editor, Immigration
Pronouns: she/her
415.553.2826 T

(b)(6) M

We've moved temporarily. You can find us at:

KQED

50 Beale Street, 5th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94105-1813

https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=dc0cbdd4-83978498-dc0b9931-0cc47adca7cc-17239752ea1a849f&q=1&e=869a2e8a-bfdd-418a-80b6-bbcb1323817b&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.kqed.org%2F<https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=0eee002e-51753962-0ee924cb-0cc47adca7cc-c91695ca974fe6c6&q=1&e=869a2e8a-bfdd-418a-80b6-bbcb1323817b&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv2%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D>

<https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=dc0cbdd4-83978498-dc0b9931-0cc47adca7cc-17239752ea1a849f&q=1&e=869a2e8a-bfdd-418a-80b6-bbcb1323817b&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.kqed.org%2F>

https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=0eee002e-51753962-0ee924cb-0cc47adca7cc-c91695ca974fe6c6&q=1&e=869a2e8a-bfdd-418a-80b6-bbcb1323817b&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv2%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D>

https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=0eee002e-51753962-0ee924cb-0cc47adca7cc-c91695ca974fe6c6&q=1&e=869a2e8a-bfdd-418a-80b6-bbcb1323817b&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv2%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D>

https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=0eee002e-51753962-0ee924cb-0cc47adca7cc-c91695ca974fe6c6&q=1&e=869a2e8a-bfdd-418a-80b6-bbcb1323817b&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv2%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D>

https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=0eee002e-51753962-0ee924cb-0cc47adca7cc-c91695ca974fe6c6&q=1&e=869a2e8a-bfdd-418a-80b6-bbcb1323817b&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv2%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D>

https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=0eee002e-51753962-0ee924cb-0cc47adca7cc-c91695ca974fe6c6&q=1&e=869a2e8a-bfdd-418a-80b6-bbcb1323817b&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv2%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D>

https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=0eee002e-51753962-0ee924cb-0cc47adca7cc-c91695ca974fe6c6&q=1&e=869a2e8a-bfdd-418a-80b6-bbcb1323817b&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv2%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D>

On Oct 25, 2021, at 4:41 PM, Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR)

<Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov<mailto:Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>> wrote:

EXTERNAL

Hi again Tyche - Thanks also for letting me know your plans to attend IJ Phan's specialized master calendar docket tomorrow afternoon as well. - Kathryn

-----Original Message-----

From: Tyche Hendricks <thendricks@KQED.org<mailto:thendricks@KQED.org>>

Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 7:06 PM

To: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov<mailto:Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>>

Cc: EOIR, PAO (EOIR) <PAO.EOIR@usdoj.gov<mailto:PAO.EOIR@usdoj.gov>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: [EXT] RE: Reporter questions

Thanks Kathryn, for getting back to me. Very helpful!

I also plan to attend the specialized "returned notice" docket in the afternoon, with, I believe, IJ Phan, FYI.

I know my team at KQED has worked with you in the past and I look forward to being in touch.

Best, Tyche

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 25, 2021, at 3:51 PM, Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR)

<Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov<mailto:Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>> wrote:

EXTERNAL

Hello Tyche,

Thank you for letting us know your plans. To confirm, the San Francisco Immigration Court<<https://www.justice.gov/eoir/san-francisco-immigration-court><<https://www.justice.gov/eoir/san-francisco-immigration-court>>> is scheduled to conduct Dedicated Docket cases tomorrow, Oct. 26, at 8:30 a.m. and at 1 p.m. on the 4th Floor, Courtroom 26.

As indicated in our Public Health

Notice<<https://www.justice.gov/file/1398881/download><<https://www.justice.gov/file/1398881/download>>> and FAQs on Practices to Help to Protect all People Working in and Visiting EOIR Spaces<<https://www.justice.gov/eoir-operational-status/page/file/1419176/download><<https://www.justice.gov/eoir-operational-status/page/file/1419176/download>>>, limited attendance practices are in place. Also, to confirm, usage of cameras or recording devices in courtrooms or other EOIR space is prohibited. See our fact sheet, Observing Immigration Court Hearings<<https://www.justice.gov/eoir/page/file/1079306/download><<https://www.justice.gov/eoir/page/file/1079306/download>>>, and our security directive, PM 19-10 <<https://www.justice.gov/eoir/eoir-policy-manual/OOD1910/download><<https://www.justice.gov/eoir/eoir-policy-manual/OOD1910/download>>> Policy for Public Use of Electronic Devices in EOIR Space. I have notified my colleagues in San Francisco that you will be carrying your recording devices in your bag and that you are aware of our policies.

Our office, EOIR's Communications and Legislative Affairs Division, handles all questions related to EOIR, as such, I would be happy to answer your written questions. Also, it would be most helpful if you can email me directly going forward, especially for last minute inquiries like this one. Feel free to also include our general mailbox on your inquiries.

Best,

Kathryn

Kathryn Mattingly

Assistant Press Secretary
Communications and Legislative Affairs Division Executive Office for Immigration Review U.S. Department of Justice 703-305-0289, Main
www.justice.gov/eoir<<http://www.justice.gov/eoir>><<http://www.justice.gov/eoir>><<http://www.justice.gov/eoir>><<http://www.justice.gov/eoir>>>

-----Original Message-----

From: Tyche Hendricks <thendricks@KQED.org<<mailto:thendricks@KQED.org>>>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 3:44 PM
To: EOIR, PAO (EOIR) <PAO.EOIR@usdoj.gov<<mailto:PAO.EOIR@usdoj.gov>>>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Reporter questions

Good afternoon,

I am a longtime immigration reporter and editor and I'm beginning to do some reporting on immigration courts in general and the San Francisco court in particular. And I have a couple of things I wanted to check with you.

First, I plan to attend a "dedicated docket" hearing at San Francisco's non-detained court location at 100 Sansome St. tomorrow, Oct. 26. I understand that your rules prevent me from recording or photographing in "EOIR space" and I will not do so. I will be carrying my radio recording equipment in my bag, however, and I would like to confirm that I won't encounter a problem with keeping it with me. I would not take it out or use it while at court.

Second, as I pursue my reporting, I expect to have questions for EOIR about court processes. I wanted to let you know that I will be wanting to arrange an interview with an EOIR representative.

Thank you,

Tyche

Tyche Hendricks

Senior Editor, Immigration

Pronouns: she/her

415.553.2826 T

510.612.7924 M

We've moved temporarily. You can find us at:

KQED

50 Beale Street, 5th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94105-1813

[AMERICAN
OVERSIGHT](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=afd8574c-f0436fbc-afdf73a9-ac1f6b01744c-0f1e3eab2c94b3e7&q=1&e=f2772b73-dc63-40d7-9e4b-4564ace9b24e&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.kqed.org%2F<https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=afd8574c-f0436fbc-afdf73a9-ac1f6b01744c-0f1e3eab2c94b3e7&q=1&e=f2772b73-dc63-40d7-9e4b-4564ace9b24e&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.kqed.org%2F><https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=aeed7a83-f1764273-aeaa5e66-ac1f6b01744c-ef740bcef25a35eb&q=1&e=f2772b73-dc63-40d7-9e4b-4564ace9b24e&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D<https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=aeed7a83-f1764273-aeaa5e66-ac1f6b01744c-ef740bcef25a35eb&q=1&e=f2772b73-dc63-40d7-9e4b-4564ace9b24e&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D><https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=afd8574c-f0436fbc-afdf73a9-ac1f6b01744c-0f1e3eab2c94b3e7&q=1&e=f2772b73-dc63-40d7-9e4b-4564ace9b24e&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.kqed.org%2F%3chttps://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=aeed7a83-f1764273-aeaa5e66-ac1f6b01744c-ef740bcef25a35eb&q=1&e=f2772b73-dc63-40d7-9e4b-4564ace9b24e&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D<https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=afd8574c-f0436fbc-afdf73a9-ac1f6b01744c-0f1e3eab2c94b3e7&q=1&e=f2772b73-dc63-40d7-9e4b-</p></div><div data-bbox=)

4564ace9b24e&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.kqed.org%2F%3Chttps://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=aeed7a83-f1764273-
aeea5e66-ac1f6b01744c-ef740bcef25a35eb&q=1&e=f2772b73-dc63-40d7-9e4b-
4564ace9b24e&u=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv2%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-
3A__www.kqed.org%26d%3DDwMF-g%26c%3DE2nBno7hEddFhl23N5nD1Q%26r%3D7ERcy5z-
dXwLGHu0XrDPFA%26m%3D8UI_-nftSZt5XJoS-EV24Aynw0Vai0cmO4wZCp6dTQc%26s%3DCP92B4Z4cy1XxB-
Sg_vgwOBKH3PyNCSYypcd5rTQRjw%26e%3D>>>

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:43 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Categories: Blue Category

Judge Weiss – we quickly coming up on the deadline, and Kathryn [redacted] (b)(5)

Doc

Daniel “Doc” Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office [redacted] (b)(6)
Cell: 7 [redacted]

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:39 AM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Cc: Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Hi Judge Doc – I like all the [redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5) Thanks so much. – Kathryn

[redacted] (b)(5)

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:05 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Cc: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

(b)(5)

Doc

(b)(5)

Mary Cheng
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge - Eastern Region



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6) [redacted]>

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 8:36 PM

To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6) [redacted]

Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6) [redacted]>

Subject: Re: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Great addition.

Thanks
Doc

D. J. Daugherty
RDCIJ West

On Oct 27, 2021, at 4:31 PM, McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi Judge,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Not sure if it helps, butthere you go!
Sheila

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 5:24 PM
To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) [REDACTED]
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)
Importance: High

RDC's

[REDACTED]
(b)(5)

Draft:

[REDACTED]
(b)(5)

(b)(5)

End.

All thoughts are greatly appreciated!

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted] (b)(6)

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:03 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6) Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)
Cc: Lin, Austin (EOIR) <Austin.Lin@usdoj.gov>; Foster, Sean (EOIR) <Sean.Foster@usdoj.gov>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>
Subject: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)
Importance: High

Hello Judge Doc and Jude Young,

As you both know, the San Francisco Chronicle and KQED, the NPR affiliate in SF, visited the San Francisco Immigration Court this week. Both outlets reached out to me regarding the "returned notice" specialized dockets that [redacted] (b)(5) [redacted] (b)(5) I've been in meetings and tied up so I've asked the SF Chronicle for more time and Ms. Kopan said tomorrow would work. The KQED reporter did not leave a deadline.

Please see below for the two inquiries (full emails attached if needed). I started a draft [redacted] (b)(5) [redacted] (b)(5) and if you can please get back to me by **noon** tomorrow, Oct. 28, or sooner, that would be great. [redacted] (b)(5) [redacted] (b)(5)

From SF Chronicle:

(b)(5)

End.

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

From KQED Inquiry:

Does EOIR have a description somewhere of the objective of the “returned notice” docket, which I gather is a relatively recent innovation?

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:19 PM
To: Lin, Austin (EOIR)
Cc: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Subject: FW: Monday 5:00pm Update: Specialized Docket Reporting
Attachments: Specialized Docket Nationwide Report_As of August 23, 2021.xlsx

Categories: Blue Category

Austin

This is as of last night.

Daniel “Doc” Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (West)



Office: [Redacted]
Cell: [Redacted]

WarningAttorney/Client Privilege***Attorney Work Product***

This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or sensitive attorney/client privileged information or attorney work product and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this e-mail has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore, do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. This document is for INTERNAL GOVERNMENT USE ONLY and may be exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 552(b)(5), (b)(7).

From: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 2:04 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)>
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)> McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)>
Subject: Monday 5:00pm Update: Specialized Docket Reporting

Good Afternoon RDCIJ Daugherty,

I am attaching the Monday update for the Specialized Docket report. I am sure that I will receive some additional updates overnight and tomorrow so I will provide an updated version tomorrow.

Have a great day and stay safe!

Naleshia Spencer
Program Manager, CGI Federal

Executive Office For Immigration Review
Office of the Chief Clerk
Office of the Chief Immigration Judge
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2500
Falls Church, VA 22041
703-756-8577 (office)

| Immigration Court | Number of Cases Scheduled | Number of Cases Completed | Percentage Completed | Notes | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| <u>Adelanto</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | (b)(5) | |
| <u>Arlington</u> | 188 | 167 | 89% | | |
| <u>Atlanta - Peachtree</u> | 103 | 33 | 32% | | |
| <u>Atlanta – Ted Turner</u> | 143 | 61 | 43% | | |
| <u>Aurora</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | | Detained Court |
| <u>Baltimore</u> | 294 | 186 | 63% | | |
| <u>Batavia</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | | Detained Court |
| <u>Boston</u> | 292 | 141 | 48% | | Boston judges were assigned on a rotating basis to the specialized dockets since 4/19. |
| <u>Buffalo</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | | No backlog of cases and no need for specialized docket at this time. |
| <u>Charlotte</u> | 29 | 28 | 97% | | |
| <u>Chicago</u> | 279 | 196 | 70% | 47 scheduled cases for 6/25 | |
| <u>Cleveland</u> | 712 | 463 | 65% | | |
| <u>Conroe</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court | |
| <u>Dallas</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 | |

| | | | | |
|---|------|-----|------------------|---|
| <u>Denver</u> | 674 | 463 | 69% | |
| <u>Detroit</u> | 8 | 6 | 75% | |
| <u>El Paso</u> | 104 | 18 | 17% | Scheduled cases start on July 8th |
| <u>El Paso SPC</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Elizabeth</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Eloy</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Fishkill</u> | 47 | 0 | 0% | Detained Court |
| <u>Florence</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Ft. Snelling</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Harlingen</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Hartford</u> | 44 | 11 | 25% | |
| <u>Honolulu</u> | 7 | 1 | 14% | No cases to report. |
| <u>Houston</u> | 53 | 0 | 0% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Houston Greenspoint</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Scheduled cases start on July 27th |
| <u>Houston South Gessner</u> | 29 | 1 | 3% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Imperial</u> | 136 | 11 | 8% | |
| <u>Kansas City</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Krome</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>LaSalle</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Las Vegas</u> | 41 | 27 | 66% | |
| <u>Louisville</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Los Angeles – Olive Street</u> | 920 | 458 | 50% | |
| <u>Los Angeles – North Los Angeles Street</u> | 165 | 16 | 10% | |
| <u>Los Angeles - Van Nuys Blvd.</u> | 402 | 272 | 68% | |
| <u>Memphis</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Miami</u> | 139 | 96 | 69% | |
| <u>Newark</u> | 601 | 473 | 79% | |
| <u>New Orleans</u> | 1206 | 23 | 2% | Most cases are scheduled in the future. |
| <u>New York - Broadway</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <u>New York - Federal Plaza</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Oakdale</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Omaha</u> | 10 | 0 | 0% | Scheduled cases start in July. |
| <u>Orlando</u> | 404 | 24 | 6% | |
| <u>Otay Mesa</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Otero</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Operating out of SND |
| <u>Pearsall</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Philadelphia</u> | 29 | 13 | 45% | |
| <u>Phoenix</u> | 144 | 87 | 60% | |
| <u>Port Isabel</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Portland</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Court will not reopen until July 6th. |
| <u>Sacramento</u> | 28 | 11 | 39% | |
| <u>Saipan</u> | 5 | 0 | 0% | |
| <u>Salt Lake City</u> | 93 | 47 | 51% | |
| <u>San Antonio</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>San Diego</u> | 147 | 59 | 40% | |
| <u>San Francisco</u> | 1706 | 432 | 25% | |
| <u>San Juan</u> | 120 | 3 | 3% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Seattle</u> | 36 | 13 | 36% | |
| <u>Stewart</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Tacoma</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Tucson</u> | 21 | 13 | 62% | |
| <u>Ulster</u> | 29 | 4 | 14% | Detained Court |
| <u>Varick</u> | 326 | 0 | 0% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>York</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | No longer operating |
| Total | 9714 | 3857 | 40% | |

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 12:07 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR); Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR); Cheng, Mary (EOIR); McNulty, Sheila (EOIR); Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR); Lampman, Zachary (EOIR) (CTR); St Jean, Amanda (EOIR)
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket
Attachments: Letter of Concern. Return Notice Docket. 11.2.2021.pdf
Categories: Blue Category

Hi Judge Doc - Thank you for reaching out. We handle formal correspondence for the agency and I am copying on this email Zachary Lampman who is our correspondence POC in CLAD. See below (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thanks again – Kathryn

BACKGROUND:

(b)(5)

ON THE RECORD:

(b)(5)

End.

Initial response to SF Chronicle:

BACKGROUND:

(b)(5)

End.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>

Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 1:40 PM

To: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>

Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <(b)(6)>

Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>

Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Hi Kathryn

This letter from the ACLU of Northern California comes on the heels of the San Francisco Chronical article on the returned notice docket.

Your help in drafting an appropriate response will be greatly appreciated. Is there someone in your office that you would like ACIJ Young to work with on the response?

Please advise on what you see as the best procedure in our response.

Thanks

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: [redacted] (b)(6)
Cell: 7

From: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)

Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 4:55 PM

To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>

Subject: Fwd: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

I have se [redacted] (b)(6) Let
me know how you like me to proceed.
Elizabeth

Begin forwarded message:

From: Milli Atkinson <matkinson@sfbar.org>
Date: November 2, 2021 at 4:09:58 PM PDT
To: "Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)"
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Dear ACIJ Young,

I hope you are well. I am writing on behalf of the Justice & Diversity Center, the ACLU of Northern California, AILA NorCal Advocacy Liaisons and the Nation Lawyers Guild – San Francisco Bay Area Chapter to express our concern over the Court’s implementation of the

Returned Notice Docket. I have mailed a copy of the attached letter via regular mail this afternoon, but wanted to provide a PDF copy via email as well.

We look forward to hearing from you soon to discuss the issues raised in the attached letter and hope that we can work together to resolve these issues.

Sincerely,

Milli Atkinson

She/her

Immigrant Legal Defense Program Director

San Francisco Immigrant Legal Defense Collaborative Legal Director

The Justice & Diversity Center of The Bar Association of San Francisco

201 Mission St., Suite 400 | San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: (415) 782-8926 | Fax: (415) 688-4633

matkinson@sfbar.org | www.sfbar.org/sfildc

The AOD Program is now offering remote consultations for the immigrant community!

Call (408) 461-8795 for a free consultation with an immigration attorney. See [here](#) for more information.

Confidentiality Notice:

The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered privileged and/or confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone.



JUSTICE & DIVERSITY CENTER
OF THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO



11/2/2021

Assistant Chief Immigration Judge Elizabeth Young
Executive Office of Immigration Review
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104

Re: Returned Notice Docket

Dear ACIJ Elizabeth Young,

We write regarding the San Francisco Immigration Court's recent creation of the "Returned Notice Docket" which poses a high risk of removal to hundreds of vulnerable individuals whose hearings have been rescheduled unexpectedly. The Returned Notice Docket was first brought to our attention when we learned of a respondent who had been ordered removed *in absentia* after she missed a hearing that had been advanced and rescheduled while she was recovering from side effects from the COVID-19 vaccine. After reaching out to Court personnel, we learned that the Court has created a new docket and is rescheduling hundreds of respondents for hearings when the Court receives returned mail from the respondent's address of record. It is our understanding that the Court then sends a new notice with an advanced hearing date, expecting the respondent to appear to correct their address and/or for the Court to confer with DHS about any alternative addresses in their A-file.

Our court observers have already witnessed and documented dozens of individuals ordered removed *in absentia* at these hearings when they fail to appear. This practice is resulting in the *in absentia* removal orders of asylees and other vulnerable immigrants who the Court knows are unlikely to receive actual notice of their hearing.

We have grave concerns about the sudden implementation of these special dockets, without stakeholder engagement beforehand and using the Court's already limited resources, that are resulting in an unprecedented wave of removal orders. We respectfully request that the practice be reconsidered immediately.

1. This is not the time to create a special docket with high levels of *in absentia* removal orders in the midst of a pandemic when housing instability has been well documented and access to the court, ERO, and legal services has been limited.

The COVID-19 pandemic led to local and statewide shutdowns in early 2020. As a result, our immigration system, along with the entire nation, has had to adjust and adapt to a new normal. During the last 18 months, the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on immigrant communities has been well documented. Not only have they seen higher rates of COVID-19 infections compared to the general population, they have also been more likely to work in service jobs where they are more likely to contract COVID-19 and live in multi-family housing situations where it is impossible to safely quarantine. Immigrants were more likely to lose their jobs during the pandemic and are more likely to have experienced housing insecurity as a result of the pandemic.

Compounding the issue is the fact that many legal service providers were forced to limit or decrease capacity to provide services to the immigrant community during the pandemic. Many regular legal clinics were cancelled and many organizations were closed for intake and consultation while organizations shifted to a remote work environment. Both the private bar and community-based organizations have had to adapt to ever changing restrictions on when and how they are able to meet with clients to provide services, which further limits their capacity to provide services. In addition, many respondents face technological barriers to access services that are no longer provided in person.

Making the situation even more dire, the government offices responsible for enforcing our immigration laws have been less accessible, with limited information provided directly to respondents about changes in their cases and where to obtain information. There has been a great deal of confusion to both respondents and their legal representatives regarding appointments and hearings that have been rescheduled multiple times, often with little or late notice.

It is therefore extremely problematic for the San Francisco Immigration Court to expend its limited resources to create a special docket that is highly likely to result in an *in absentia* order of removal during a time when respondents are more likely to experience housing instability, more likely to suffer from a deadly illness, less likely to have access to legal services, and less likely to be able to access the court or their ERO officer because of travel and other COVID related restrictions.

2. The consideration given to each of the cases on the Returned Notice Docket is inconsistent.

Since August 24, 2021, we have had volunteer advocates observe six of the Returned Notice Docket hearings in order to observe and better understand what, if any, safeguards

have been put in place to avoid *in absentia* removal orders being given *en masse*. We have seen huge differences among immigration judges in how they are proceeding during these dockets. While some judges take great care in requesting address information from OPLA attorneys or calling clients directly during the hearing, others proceed with an *in absentia* removal order as long as there is a Form I-213 on file.

In the hearings we have observed, we have seen as many as 70% of respondents scheduled for the docket ordered removed *in absentia*. On average, we have observed fewer than three respondents appear in person at these dockets, where more than twenty individuals are scheduled to appear. In most cases, the only evidence the government is able to provide is a Form I-213 which solely contains uncorroborated allegations made by the government. We have observed several instances where the Notice to Appear that was filed with the court had a hearing date that was incorrect. We have also observed *in absentia* orders entered against individuals who were represented and had their cases terminated in 2018 and did not receive notice when the case was remanded to the immigration court. During one hearing, the Judge stated that she did not believe the Department of Homeland Security had met its burden regarding removability, and then proceeded with ordering the respondent removed *in absentia*. Another case involved a 19 year old who had entered the United States as a minor and it was clear that the guardian had not been served with the NTA. That case was continued to give the Department an opportunity to serve the respondent's aunt, however there was no discussion of the requirements of the Department to serve the Form I-770 or other protections specific to unaccompanied children. In many cases, these *in absentia* orders are entered against entire families, including against children who are completely reliant on their parents in notifying the court of address changes.

We acknowledge that during regular Master Calendar hearings, there may be one or two individuals that fail to appear and that the IJ may be justified in ordering removal if the government has met their burden of proof. In these cases, we often see that the respondents had received adequate notice in court and were aware of their future hearing date. Also, when only one or two individuals fail to appear, the IJ has adequate time to review each file individually and take into account any circumstances that may have prevented the respondent's appearance. We do not believe the same can be said for the Returned Notice Docket. In these hearings, every IJ is reviewing 15-25 cases at a time and some are ordering individuals removed in groups with little attention paid to each individual case besides a cursory review of the form I-213.

3. The Returned Notice Dockets violate a respondent's Fifth Amendment right to due process.

The practice of sending a notice to reschedule an existing hearing date, to an address known to be invalid, with the logically anticipated outcome of ordering a large number of individuals removed *in absentia* is fundamentally unfair and denies respondents the

opportunity to reasonably present their case. This process clearly results in prejudice to the respondent who is unaware of the change in his hearing date and therefore is ordered removed by default. Furthermore, in the majority of these cases on the Returned Notice Docket, the respondent is *pro se*. “Because aliens appearing *pro se* often lack the legal knowledge to navigate their way successfully through the morass of immigration law, and because their failure to do so successfully might result in their expulsion from this country, it is critical that the IJ’s scrupulously and conscientiously probe into, inquire of, and explore for all the relevant facts.”

The creation of the Returned Notice Docket during an unprecedented public health crisis is likely to impede the Court’s ability to meet its obligations to *pro se* respondents. Rescheduling hearings using an unworkable address where respondents are unlikely to receive notice not only denies the respondent of their right to have their cases heard, but it also denies the individual IJ’s the opportunity to “scrupulously and conscientiously” explore the reasons that a respondent failed to appear. The IJ must rely entirely on evidence provided by the government in order to move forward, and because it is likely that the respondent has no knowledge that the hearing is taking place, there is nobody in these proceedings to speak on their behalf or protect their rights.

As attorneys, we understand that the respondent has the responsibility of informing the Court of an address change within five days of moving. However, the consequences to those who fail to meet this requirement in a timely manner is severe, and there are myriad reasons why this responsibility has become more difficult during the COVID-19 pandemic. Many respondents do not fully understand when and how to inform the court of an address change, the process is often confusing to those who are unfamiliar with our legal system, and those who are illiterate and have limited education and do not understand service requirements. It is especially difficult for many because the change of address form is only provided in English and not everyone has access to translation services. Access to free or low cost legal services where *pro se* respondents would often receive this information and advice has also been more limited during the pandemic.

Additionally, we often meet with individuals who have informed ERO of their new address and mistakenly believe that this information will be submitted to the Court. Many of these respondents are under the impression that they have complied with the Court’s address requirements. There is no publicly-available information about what ERO officers do with these updated addresses, and whether this information is timely shared with OPLA attorneys, let alone the Court. While we often see OPLA attorneys in Court with updated contact information, we have also seen multiple instances where an individual with regular ERO check-ins has to repeatedly inform their deportation officer of their new address. Since the COVID-19 pandemic began, hundreds of community members have had difficulty contacting ERO and have reported to our organizations that they would often call or send emails and not receive any response. Their regular check-ins were cancelled with no information on how or when they could check in or update their address. In one instance, the Justice and Diversity Center helped a *pro se* community member update their address with ERO, in writing, via email. The respondent was later reprimanded by her deportation officer when she was eventually able to check

in and accused of not informing the office of her address change. We are also concerned that this information may not make it to OPLA's files in a timely manner, or at all, especially when these hearings are re-scheduled to be heard in the next 1-2 months. Additionally, those that do not have a secure address often rely on the EOIR Automated Case Information system to obtain information about their hearing date and are not expecting a hearing they believe to be scheduled in 2022 or 2023 to suddenly advance to within a few weeks.

It would be a mistake to assume that all respondents who fail to appear at their hearings are deliberately evading our laws. Indeed, studies have shown that those respondents who receive notice of their hearings attend them at exceptionally high rates. For example, data released by the Department of Justice on this topic suggests that asylum applicants attend their hearings at very high rates. By advancing their hearing dates and sending notices to addresses that the Court knows have already failed to reach the respondent, the Court is creating additional barriers that make it difficult for immigrants to access the judicial system and have their cases heard. While they legally have the option to file a motion to reopen after the hearing, there are strict time and numerical limitations on these motions that are difficult to overcome without the assistance of an attorney. Even if the time limitations are tolled for lack of notice, it creates yet another logistical and financial barrier for *pro se* respondents to find an attorney to help them with such a motion. In these cases, it is doubtful that a *pro se* respondent would be able to prepare and file a timely motion to reopen when they have already struggled with preparing and filing a Form EOIR-33. Again, we believe the vast majority of these respondents are eager to have their cases heard and proceed with their hearings. Instead they are being set up for failure, by having their hearings advanced unexpectedly.

4. The Returned Notice Docket is a misuse of the Court's limited resources.

Again, we are greatly concerned about the timing of the Returned Notice Dockets: they were created during a public health crisis when the Court has very limited capacity to hear cases and many respondents who are seeking a decision on the merits of their case are having their hearings postponed to 2024 and 2025. Immigration Judge, legal assistant, and OPLA attorney time is being used to schedule and attend these hearings, when such time could go to countless other cases that are being cancelled and reset far into the future. In many of the cases on the Returned Notice Docket, the issue related to the returned notice could be easily resolved by a phone call from a clerk to the respondent, without setting the stage for what is inevitably the failure to appear by many of the respondents. We are now seeing judges spend their time at hearings making phone calls or reviewing documents in the A-file to try to find a correct address and order multiple notices sent out, when their time would be better spent adjudicating cases that are ready to move forward. We are also seeing these hearings continued where there are issues related to service and notice. If there is any question regarding adequate notice, this should be resolved by terminating the case completely, instead of keeping it on the docket indefinitely and scheduling multiple hearings where the respondent is unlikely to appear.

As representatives of the non-profit legal community, there are several avenues for collaboration that would allow us to use our resources to help individuals with returned notices to update their addresses. The Justice & Diversity Center's volunteer-driven Attorney of the Day Program is able to assist by reaching out to individuals on the court's behalf and assist them with filing a Form EOIR-33. Additionally, a local non-profit is currently building an EOIR-funded program, the Immigration Court Help Desk, to provide orientations and clinics that would help respondents better understand the Court's address requirements and assist them in properly filing a change of address form.

In conclusion, we would like to offer the following recommendations to the Court:

1. Pause or discontinue the use of the Returned Notice Docket all together, or
2. If the docket continues, solicit further feedback from local stakeholders and consider greater collaboration with the local bar to prevent negative consequences arising out of the docket.

In either scenario, we hope the Court will collaborate with the local bar and non-profit community to ensure that *pro se* respondents are fully advised of their legal obligations and are getting adequate notice of their hearings before the Court. You may contact Milli Atkinson via phone or email at matkinson@sfbbar.org, (415) 782-8926, if you wish to discuss this letter further.

We appreciate your time and consideration in addressing these concerns.

Sincerely,

ACLU of Northern California
AILA NorCal Advocacy Liaisons
Justice and Diversity Center of the Bar Association of San Francisco
National Lawyers Guild – San Francisco Bay Area Chapter

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Short, Tracy (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 2:47 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Categories: Blue Category

Do you have time to discuss this?

From: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 12:46 PM
To: Short, Tracy (EOIR) <Tracy.Short@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

FYSA

Daniel H. Weiss
Principal Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 12:07 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>; Lampman, Zachary (EOIR) (CTR) <Zachary.Lampman@usdoj.gov>; St Jean, Amanda (EOIR) <Amanda.StJean@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Hi Judge Doc - Thank you for reaching out. We handle formal correspondence for the agency and I am copying on this email Zachary Lampman who is our correspondence POC in CLAD. See below for (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thanks again – Kathryn

BACKGROUND:

(b)(5)

ON THE RECORD:

(b)(5)

End.

Initial response to SF Chronicle:

BACKGROUND:

(b)(5)

End.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 1:40 PM
To: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <E (b)(6)>; Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) (b)(6); Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Hi Kathryn

This letter from the ACLU of Northern California comes on the heels of the San Francisco Chronical article on the returned notice docket.

Your help in drafting an appropriate response will be greatly appreciated. Is there someone in your office that you would like ACIJ Young to work with on the response?

Please advise on what you see as the best procedure in our response.

Thanks

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: [redacted]
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 4:55 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Subject: Fwd: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

I have [redacted] (b)(5) Let
me know how you like me to proceed.
Elizabeth

Begin forwarded message:

From: Milli Atkinson <matkinson@sfbar.org>
Date: November 2, 2021 at 4:09:58 PM PDT
To: "Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)" <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Dear ACIJ Young,

I hope you are well. I am writing on behalf of the Justice & Diversity Center, the ACLU of Northern California, AILA NorCal Advocacy Liaisons and the Nation Lawyers Guild – San Francisco Bay Area Chapter to express our concern over the Court’s implementation of the Returned Notice Docket. I have mailed a copy of the attached letter via regular mail this afternoon, but wanted to provide a PDF copy via email as well.

We look forward to hearing from you soon to discuss the issues raised in the attached letter and hope that we can work together to resolve these issues.

Sincerely,

Milli Atkinson

She/her

Immigrant Legal Defense Program Director
San Francisco Immigrant Legal Defense Collaborative Legal Director
The Justice & Diversity Center of The Bar Association of San Francisco
201 Mission St., Suite 400 | San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: (415) 782-8926 | Fax: (415) 688-4633
matkinson@sfbar.org | www.sfbar.org/sfildc

The AOD Program is now offering remote consultations for the immigrant community!
Call (408) 461-8795 for a free consultation with an immigration attorney. See [here](#) for more information.

Confidentiality Notice:

The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered privileged and/or confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone.

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Short, Tracy (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:00 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR); Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR); McNulty, Sheila (EOIR)
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Categories: Blue Category

[Redacted] (b)(5) Thanks.

From: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:56 PM
To: Short, Tracy (EOIR) [Redacted] (b)(6)
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)>; Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)>
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

[Redacted] (b)(5)

Daniel H. Weiss
Principal Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Short, Tracy (EOIR) [Redacted] (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:51 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)>
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)>; Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) [Redacted] (b)(6); McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)>
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

I made some minor edits. [Redacted] (b)(5)
[Redacted] (b)(5) I welcome feedback. Thanks.

From: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <[Redacted] (b)(6)>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:46 PM
To: Short, Tracy (EOIR) [Redacted] (b)(6)
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) [Redacted] (b)(6); Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) [Redacted] (b)(6)

McNulty, Sheila (EOIR)

(b)(6)

Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Judge,

Please see draft below. CLAD is trying to meet a 3 p.m. deadline.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)

(b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:39 PM

To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Sir, Do you concur?

(b)(5)



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 8:36 PM
To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: Re: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Great addition.

Thanks
Doc

D. J. Daugherty
RDCIJ West

On Oct 27, 2021, at 4:31 PM, McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)> wrote:

Hi Judge,

(b)(5)

(b)(5) Not sure if it

helps, butthere you go!

Sheila

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 5:24 PM
To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)
Importance: High

RDC's

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

All thoughts are greatly appreciated!

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: [redacted]
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:03 PM

To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted]> [redacted]ung, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)
<[redacted]>

Cc: Lin, Austin (EOIR) <Austin.Lin@usdoj.gov>; Foster, Sean (EOIR) <Sean.Foster@usdoj.gov>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>

Subject: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized

Masters (Returned Notices)

Importance: High

Hello Judge Doc and Jude Young,

As you both know, the San Francisco Chronicle and KQED, the NPR affiliate in SF, visited the San Francisco Immigration Court this week. Both outlets reached out to me regarding the "returned notice" specialized dockets that (b)(5) (b)(5) I've been in meetings and tied up so I've asked the SF Chronicle for more time and Ms. Kopan said tomorrow would work. The KQED reporter did not leave a deadline.

Please see below for the two inquiries (full emails attached if needed). I started a draft (b)(5)

(b)(5) and if you can please get back to me by **noon** tomorrow, Oct. 28, or sooner, that would be great. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

From SF Chronicle:

My colleague Deepa and I are working on a story about the San Francisco immigration court. We understand that the court set up a special docket for master calendar hearings for "returned notices" – people whose mail was returned to the court as undeliverable. According to AILA liaison minutes we reviewed, this was theoretically to allow judges to find valid addresses for respondents.

But in talking with attorneys and in our direct observations, some of these masters end up being a way to rapidly order dozens of immigrants removed in absentia. We plan to report on this.

A few questions for you:

- Was headquarters aware of and supportive of this pilot? Is it exclusive to SF?
- How many returned notice hearings have happened in the SF court? When was the docket first created?
- How many people have been ordered removed in absentia through this docket?
- Does EOIR believe this is a docket worth continuing, given due process concerns of advocates about targeting a group likely to result in mass absentia removal orders?

End.

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

From KQED Inquiry:

Does EOIR have a description somewhere of the objective of the “returned notice” docket, which I gather is a relatively recent innovation?

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Cc: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR); Cheng, Mary (EOIR)
Subject: Re: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Categories: Blue Category

None from me.

D. J. Daugherty
RDCIJ West

On Oct 28, 2021, at 11:17 AM, Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)> wrote:

Doc,

Any further comments/edits before it goes to CIJ?

Daniel H. Weiss
Principal Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:39 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Sir, Do you concur?

[redacted] (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Mary Cheng
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge - Eastern Region



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 8:36 PM

To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)

Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Great addition.

Thanks
Doc

D. J. Daugherty
RDCIJ West

On Oct 27, 2021, at 4:31 PM, McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
wrote:

Hi Judge,

[redacted] (b)(5) d

[redacted] (b)(5) Not sure if it helps, butthere you go!

Sheila

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 5:24 PM

To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>

Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>

Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Importance: High

RDC's

[redacted] (b)(5)

Draft:

[redacted] (b)(5)

(b)(5)

End.

All thoughts are greatly appreciated!

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: (b)(6)
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:03 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>, Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Lin, Austin (EOIR) <Austin.Lin@usdoj.gov>; Foster, Sean (EOIR) <Sean.Foster@usdoj.gov>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>
Subject: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)
Importance: High

Hello Judge Doc and Jude Young,

As you both know, the San Francisco Chronicle and KQED, the NPR affiliate in SF, visited the San Francisco Immigration Court this week. Both outlets reached out to me regarding the "returned notice" specialized dockets that (b)(5) (b)(5) (b)(5) I've been in meetings and tied up so I've asked the SF Chronicle for more time and Ms. Kopan said tomorrow would work. The KQED reporter did not leave a deadline.

Please see below for the two inquiries (full emails attached if needed). I started a draft (b)(5), and if you can please get back to me by **noon** tomorrow, Oct. 28, or sooner, that would be great (b)(5) (b)(5)

From SF Chronicle:

My colleague Deepa and I are working on a story about the San Francisco immigration court. We understand that the court set up a special docket for master calendar hearings for “returned notices” – people whose mail was returned to the court as undeliverable. According to AILA liaison minutes we reviewed, this was theoretically to allow judges to find valid addresses for respondents.

But in talking with attorneys and in our direct observations, some of these masters end up being a way to rapidly order dozens of immigrants removed in absentia. We plan to report on this.

A few questions for you:

- Was headquarters aware of and supportive of this pilot? Is it exclusive to SF?
- How many returned notice hearings have happened in the SF court? When was the docket first created?
- How many people have been ordered removed in absentia through this docket?
- Does EOIR believe this is a docket worth continuing, given due process concerns of advocates about targeting a group likely to result in mass absentia removal orders?

End.

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

From KQED Inquiry:

Does EOIR have a description somewhere of the objective of the “returned notice” docket, which I gather is a relatively recent innovation?

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:57 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Categories: Blue Category

Ugg – sorry about that.

Daniel “Doc” Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

From: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 10:55 AM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Doc,

[redacted] (b)(5)

Daniel H. Weiss
Principal Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:39 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Sir, Do you concur?

(b)(5)

Mary Cheng
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge - Eastern Region



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 8:36 PM

To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)

Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)

Subject: Re: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Great addition.

Thanks
Doc

D. J. Daugherty
RDCIJ West

On Oct 27, 2021, at 4:31 PM, McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)> wrote:

Hi Judge,

(b)(5)

(b)(5) Not sure if it

helps, butthere you go!

Sheila

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 5:24 PM

To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>

Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Importance: High

RDC's

(b)(5)

Draft:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

End.

All thoughts are greatly appreciated!

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: (b)(6)
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:03 PM

To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) (b)(6) Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)

<(b)(6)>

Cc: Lin, Austin (EOIR) <Austin.Lin@usdoj.gov>; Foster, Sean (EOIR) <Sean.Foster@usdoj.gov>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>

Subject: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Importance: High

Hello Judge Doc and Jude Young,

As you both know, the San Francisco Chronicle and KQED, the NPR affiliate in SF, visited the San Francisco Immigration Court this week. Both outlets reached out to me regarding the "returned notice" specialized dockets that (b)(5) (b)(5) I've been in meetings and tied up so I've asked the SF Chronicle for more time and Ms. Kopan said tomorrow would work. The KQED reporter did not leave a deadline.

Please see below for the two inquiries (full emails attached if needed). I started a draft with the (b)(5) (b)(5) and if you can please get back to me by **noon** tomorrow, Oct. 28, or sooner, that would be great. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

From SF Chronicle:

My colleague Deepa and I are working on a story about the San Francisco immigration court. We understand that the court set up a special docket for master calendar hearings for “returned notices” – people whose mail was returned to the court as undeliverable. According to AILA liaison minutes we reviewed, this was theoretically to allow judges to find valid addresses for respondents.

But in talking with attorneys and in our direct observations, some of these masters end up being a way to rapidly order dozens of immigrants removed in absentia. We plan to report on this.

A few questions for you:

- Was headquarters aware of and supportive of this pilot? Is it exclusive to SF?
- How many returned notice hearings have happened in the SF court? When was the docket first created?
- How many people have been ordered removed in absentia through this docket?
- Does EOIR believe this is a docket worth continuing, given due process concerns of advocates about targeting a group likely to result in mass absentia removal orders?

End.

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

From KQED Inquiry:

Does EOIR have a description somewhere of the objective of the “returned notice” docket, which I gather is a relatively recent innovation?

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Short, Tracy (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:04 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR); Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR); McNulty, Sheila (EOIR)
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Categories: Blue Category

Yes, please.

From: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:00 PM
To: Short, Tracy (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Judge,

After conferring with Doc, we struck the language in green. Ok to send to CLAD?

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Daniel H. Weiss
Principal Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Short, Tracy (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:51 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

I made some minor edits. (b)(5)
(b)(5) I welcome feedback. Thanks.

From: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:46 PM
To: Short, Tracy (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Judge,

Please see draft below. CLAD is trying to meet a 3 p.m. deadline.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:39 PM
To: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Sir, Do you concur?

(b)(5)

Mary Cheng
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge - Eastern Region



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 8:36 PM
To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)
Subject: Re: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Great addition.

Thanks
Doc

D. J. Daugherty
RDCIJ West

On Oct 27, 2021, at 4:31 PM, McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)> wrote:

Hi Judge,

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Not sure if it

helps, butthere you go!
Sheila

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 5:24 PM
To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)
Importance: High

RDC's

(b)(5)

Draft:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

All thoughts are greatly appreciated!

Doc

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office: [redacted]
Cell: 7 [redacted]

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:03 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted]> Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <[redacted]>
Cc: Lin, Austin (EOIR) <Austin.Lin@usdoj.gov>; Foster, Sean (EOIR) <Sean.Foster@usdoj.gov>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>
Subject: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)
Importance: High

Hello Judge Doc and Jude Young,

As you both know, the San Francisco Chronicle and KQED, the NPR affiliate in SF, visited the San Francisco Immigration Court this week. Both outlets reached out to me regarding the "returned notice" specialized dockets that [redacted] [redacted] I've been in meetings and tied up so I've asked the SF Chronicle for more time and Ms. Kopan said tomorrow would work. The KQED reporter did not leave a deadline.

Please see below for the two inquiries (full emails attached if needed). I started a draft with the [redacted] [redacted] and if you can please get back to me by **noon** tomorrow, Oct. 28, or sooner, that would be great. [redacted] [redacted]

From SF Chronicle:

My colleague Deepa and I are working on a story about the San Francisco immigration court. We understand that the court set up a special docket for master calendar hearings for “returned notices” – people whose mail was returned to the court as undeliverable. According to AILA liaison minutes we reviewed, this was theoretically to allow judges to find valid addresses for respondents.

But in talking with attorneys and in our direct observations, some of these masters end up being a way to rapidly order dozens of immigrants removed in absentia. We plan to report on this.

A few questions for you:

- Was headquarters aware of and supportive of this pilot? Is it exclusive to SF?
- How many returned notice hearings have happened in the SF court? When was the docket first created?
- How many people have been ordered removed in absentia through this docket?
- Does EOIR believe this is a docket worth continuing, given due process concerns of advocates about targeting a group likely to result in mass absentia removal orders?

End.

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

From KQED Inquiry:

Does EOIR have a description somewhere of the objective of the “returned notice” docket, which I gather is a relatively recent innovation?

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

Perez Santiago, Jeniffer (EOIR)

From: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR)
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:16 PM
To: Chaban, Roman (EOIR); Johnson, Hunter (EOIR)
Cc: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR)
Subject: RE: specialized docket inquiry from the CIJ
Attachments: Specialized Docket Nationwide Report_FY 2021.xlsx; FY22 Specialized Docket Nationwide Report_As of November 1, 2021.xlsx

Hi Roman,

Please see the attached spreadsheets for Specialized dockets for FY21 and FY22. Going forward, I will submit updates on the FY22 document.

Naleshia Spencer
Program Manager, CGI Federal
Executive Office For Immigration Review
Office of the Chief Clerk
Office of the Chief Immigration Judge
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2500
Falls Church, VA 22041
703-756-8577 (office)

From: Chaban, Roman (EOIR) <Roman.Chaban@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 1:02 PM
To: Johnson, Hunter (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Weiss, Daniel H (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: specialized docket inquiry from the CIJ
Importance: High

(b)(5)

Thank you!

(b)(5)

Roman M. Chaban
Senior Counsel
Office of the Chief Immigration Judge
Executive Office for Immigration Review

U.S. Department of Justice

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

| Immigration Court | Number of Cases Scheduled | Number of Cases Completed | Percentage Completed | Notes |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--|
| <u>Adelanto</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | (b)(5) |
| <u>Arlington</u> | 188 | 167 | 89% | |
| <u>Atlanta - Peachtree</u> | 182 | 57 | 31% | |
| <u>Atlanta – Ted Turner</u> | 143 | 61 | 43% | |
| <u>Aurora</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | |
| <u>Baltimore</u> | 350 | 224 | 64% | |
| <u>Batavia</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Boston</u> | 327 | 307 | 94% | Boston judges were assigned on a rotating basis to the specialized dockets since 4/19. |
| <u>Buffalo</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | No backlog of cases and no need for specialized docket at this time. |
| <u>Charlotte</u> | 29 | 28 | 97% | |
| <u>Chicago</u> | 279 | 196 | 70% | 47 scheduled cases for 6/25 |
| <u>Cleveland</u> | 1138 | 754 | 66% | |
| <u>Conroe</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Dallas</u> | 50 | 45 | 90% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Denver</u> | 1180 | 799 | 68% | |
| <u>Detroit</u> | 8 | 6 | 75% | |
| <u>El Paso</u> | 104 | 18 | 17% | Scheduled cases start on July 8th |
| <u>El Paso SPC</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----|------------------|---|
| <u>Elizabeth</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Eloy</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Fishkill</u> | 226 | 6 | 3% | Detained Court |
| <u>Florence</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Ft. Snelling</u> | 70 | 24 | 34% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Harlingen</u> | 5 | 2 | 40% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Hartford</u> | 44 | 11 | 25% | |
| <u>Honolulu</u> | 31 | 15 | 48% | No cases to report. |
| <u>Houston</u> | 12 | 9 | 75% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Houston Greenspoint</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Scheduled cases start on July 27th |
| <u>Houston</u> | 56 | 12 | 21% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Imperial</u> | 250 | 28 | 11% | |
| <u>Kansas City</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Krome</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>LaSalle</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Las Vegas</u> | 47 | 33 | 70% | |
| <u>Louisville</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Los Angeles – Olive Street</u> | 1650 | 816 | 49% | |
| <u>Los Angeles – North Los</u> | 60 | 37 | 62% | |
| <u>Los Angeles - Van Nuys</u> | 723 | 555 | 77% | |
| <u>Memphis</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Miami</u> | 135 | 103 | 76% | |
| <u>Newark</u> | 722 | 563 | 78% | |
| <u>New Orleans</u> | 819 | 66 | 8% | Most cases are scheduled in the future. |
| <u>New York - Broadway</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>New York - Federal Plaza</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Oakdale</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Omaha</u> | 10 | 0 | 0% | Scheduled cases start in July. |
| <u>Orlando</u> | 540 | 37 | 7% | |
| <u>Otay Mesa</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <u>Otero</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Operating out of SND |
| <u>Pearsall</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Philadelphia</u> | 29 | 13 | 45% | |
| <u>Phoenix</u> | 192 | 122 | 64% | |
| <u>Port Isabel</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Detained Court |
| <u>Portland</u> | 22 | 18 | 82% | Court will not reopen until July 6th. |
| <u>Sacramento</u> | 70 | 39 | 56% | |
| <u>Saipan</u> | 7 | 1 | 14% | |
| <u>Salt Lake City</u> | 162 | 99 | 61% | |
| <u>San Antonio</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>San Diego</u> | 225 | 98 | 44% | |
| <u>San Francisco</u> | 1352 | 1129 | 84% | |
| <u>San Juan</u> | 126 | 126 | 100% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Seattle</u> | 310 | 215 | 69% | |
| <u>Stewart</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Tacoma</u> | 407 | 49 | 12% | Detained Court |
| <u>Tucson</u> | 21 | 13 | 62% | |
| <u>Ulster</u> | 222 | 13 | 6% | Detained Court |
| <u>Varick</u> | 1888 | 21 | 1% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>York</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | No longer operating |
| Total | 14411 | 6935 | 48% | |

| Immigration Court | Number of Cases Scheduled | Number of Cases Completed | Percentage Completed | Notes |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--|
| <u>Adelanto</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | (b)(5) |
| <u>Arlington</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | |
| <u>Atlanta - Peachtree</u> | 27 | 6 | 22% | |
| <u>Atlanta – Ted Turner</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | |
| <u>Aurora</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Detained Court |
| <u>Baltimore</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | |
| <u>Batavia</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Detained Court |
| <u>Boston</u> | 69 | 69 | 100% | Boston judges were assigned on a rotating basis to the specialized dockets since 4/19. |
| <u>Buffalo</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | No backlog of cases and no need for |
| <u>Charlotte</u> | 8 | 8 | 100% | |
| <u>Chicago</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | 47 scheduled cases for 6/25 |
| <u>Cleveland</u> | 327 | 210 | 64% | |
| <u>Conroe</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Detained Court |
| <u>Dallas</u> | 2 | 2 | 100% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Denver</u> | 264 | 166 | 63% | |
| <u>Detroit</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | |
| <u>El Paso</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Scheduled cases start on July 8th |
| <u>El Paso SPC</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Detained Court |
| <u>Elizabeth</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Detained Court |
| <u>Eloy</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Fishkill</u> | 175 | 9 | 5% | Detained Court |
| <u>Florence</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Ft. Snelling</u> | 51 | 15 | 29% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Harlingen</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Added on July 26, 2021 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|------------------|---|
| <u>Hartford</u> | 2 | 2 | 100% | |
| <u>Honolulu</u> | 27 | 16 | 59% | No cases to report. |
| <u>Houston</u> | 38 | 22 | 58% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Houston Greenspoint</u> | 8 | 8 | 100% | Scheduled cases start on July 27th |
| <u>Houston South Gessner</u> | 84 | 8 | 10% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Imperial</u> | 72 | 10 | 14% | |
| <u>Kansas City</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Krome</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Detained Court |
| <u>LaSalle</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Detained Court |
| <u>Las Vegas</u> | 5 | 5 | 100% | |
| <u>Louisville</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Los Angeles – Olive Street</u> | 64 | 37 | 58% | |
| <u>Los Angeles – North Los</u> | 24 | 18 | 75% | |
| <u>Los Angeles - Van Nuys Blvd.</u> | 116 | 93 | 80% | |
| <u>Memphis</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Miami</u> | 5 | 2 | 40% | |
| <u>Newark</u> | 124 | 94 | 76% | |
| <u>New Orleans</u> | 387 | 23 | 6% | Most cases are scheduled in the future. |
| <u>New York - Broadway</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data Received | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>New York - Federal Plaza</u> | 70 | 7 | 10% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Oakdale</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Detained Court |
| <u>Omaha</u> | 10 | 5 | 50% | Scheduled cases start in July. |
| <u>Orlando</u> | 74 | 2 | 3% | |
| <u>Otay Mesa</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Otero</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Operating out of SND |
| <u>Pearsall</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Detained Court |
| <u>Philadelphia</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | |
| <u>Phoenix</u> | 36 | 24 | 67% | |
| <u>Port Isabel</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Detained Court |
| <u>Portland</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Court will not reopen until July 6th. |
| <u>Sacramento</u> | 11 | 9 | 82% | |
| <u>Saipan</u> | 2 | 1 | 50% | |
| <u>Salt Lake City</u> | 40 | 25 | 63% | |
| <u>San Antonio</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>San Diego</u> | 58 | 22 | 38% | |
| <u>San Francisco</u> | 352 | 307 | 87% | |
| <u>San Juan</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Seattle</u> | 25 | 11 | 44% | |
| <u>Stewart</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>Tacoma</u> | 211 | 14 | 7% | Detained Court |

| | | | | |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------------------|
| <u>Tucson</u> | 18 | 13 | 72% | |
| <u>Ulster</u> | 77 | 4 | 5% | Detained Court |
| <u>Varick</u> | 3270 | 98 | 3% | Added on July 26, 2021 |
| <u>York</u> | 0 | 0 | No Data | No longer operating |
| Total | 6133 | 1365 | 22% | |

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 9 Aug 2021 19:48:51 +0000
To: Clay, Michael (EOIR)
Subject: 8th Floor Returned Notice Docket: ICD 9/15 at 10:30am

I've initialized it, so you can go ahead and set the cases.

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, July 22, 2021 3:50 PM
To: Ungerman, Leslie; Daryl Eremin; Michael Alster
Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR); Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Subject: PSS: Special Master 9-2-2021 @ 8:30
Attachments: PSS Special Master 9-2-2021.pdf

Good Afternoon:

Judge Savage will hold a special master on 9/2 @ 8:30am. The attached calendar is a listing of cases for which repeated hearing notices have been returned as undeliverable. Judge Savage will assess at the hearing if the respondents should be removed in absentia, (b)(5),

(b)(5) Please add it to the ACC calendar. The ACC can be present in person or appear by open voice, but I-213s will need to be filed in order for Judge Savage to proceed with the cases.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE SAVAGE, PATRICK S.. (PSS)
From 09/02/2021 to 09/02/2021

Hearing Date:
09/02/2021 - Thursday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/V adj | Opt- In | Alien Reprs. | Comp. Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP Location |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 1 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | RP | | TAG | | | NA | 11/30/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 10 CAB 1 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 2 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | ES | | SP | | | NA | 11/04/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 6 CAB 01 |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 3 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | BR | | POR | | | NA | 12/15/2021 | M P M | SFR |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 4 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 11/09/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 7 CAB 02 |
| + 08:30 | 12:00 | 5 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 11/09/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 7 CAB 02 |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 6 | SFR | | | ARMV | 9B | VE | | SP | | | NA | | M P | SFR COURTROOM 9 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 7 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | HO | | SP | | | NA | 09/14/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 6 CAB 02 |
| + 08:30 | 12:00 | 8 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | HO | | SP | | | NA | 09/14/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 6 CAB 02 |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 9 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | CO | | SP | | | NA | 02/28/2022 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 5 CAB 03 |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 10 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 11/02/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 7 CAB 04 IN SFR |
| + 08:30 | 12:00 | 11 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 11/02/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 7 CAB 04 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 12 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 12/09/2021 | M P | SFR |



Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE SAVAGE, PATRICK S.. (PSS)
 From 09/02/2021 to 09/02/2021

Hearing Date:
09/02/2021 - Thursday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/V adj | Opt- In | Alien Reprs. | Comp. Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP Location |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|--|
| + 08:30 | 12:00 | 13 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | | MX | | | | NA | 12/09/2021 | M P | SFR |
| + 08:30 | 12:00 | 14 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | MX | | | | NA | 12/09/2021 | M P | SFR |
| + 08:30 | 12:00 | 15 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | MX | | | | NA | 12/09/2021 | M P | SFR |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 16 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | ES | | | | NA | 11/12/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 5 CAB 04 |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 17 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | NA | 03/04/2022 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 5 CAB 04 |
| + 08:30 | 12:00 | 18 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | NA | 03/04/2022 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 5 CAB 04 |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 19 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | NA | 12/22/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 3 CAB 05 |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 20 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | MX | | | | NA | 11/23/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 10 CAB 5 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 21 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | NA | 06/09/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 3 CAB 06 |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 22 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | NA | 09/02/2021 | M P I | SFR COURTROOM 3 CAB 07 |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 23 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | MX | | | | NA | 02/25/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 5 CAB 07 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 24 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | HO | | | | NA | 11/09/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 7 CAB 08 IN |



Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE SAVAGE, PATRICK S.. (PSS)
 From 09/02/2021 to 09/02/2021

Hearing Date:
09/02/2021 - Thursday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | H. Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/V adj | Opt- In | Alien Reprs. | Comp. Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP e Location |
|------------|----------|------|--------|------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| + 08:30 | 12:00 | 25 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | HO | | SP | | | NA | 11/09/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 7 CAB 08 IN SFR |
| + 08:30 | 12:00 | 26 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | HO | | SP | | | NA | 11/09/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 7 CAB 08 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 27 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 12/21/2023 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 10 CAB 7 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 28 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | ES | | SP | | | NA | 11/02/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 7 CAB 09 IN SFR |
| + 08:30 | 12:00 | 29 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | ES | | SP | | | NA | 11/02/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 7 CAB 09 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 30 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | CH | | MAN | | | NA | 01/05/2022 | M P I | SFR COURTROOM 9 CAB 7 |
| 08:30 | 12:00 | 31 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 11/23/2021 | M P | SFR |

Total Case(s) for Judge PSS: 31

(H/C Type = (Hearing and Case Types) Hearing Types = I - Initial, A - Adjournment, B - Bond)
 (Case Type = DEP - Deportation, EXE - Exclusive, REC - Rescission, RMV - Removal, AOC - Asylum Only, CSR - Claim Status Review, CFR - Credible Fear Review)
 (T - Telephonic/V - Video Hearing/P - In-Person) (+ = Rider Alien) (* = A Completed Hearing, Bond, Motion to Re-open) (@ = Expedited Asylum Case) (D = Attorney Discipline)
 (CY = Custody & Bond), (OP = Other Proceedings), (Y = eFiling Opt-in)



Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2021 2:49 PM
To: Daryl Eremin; Michael Alster; Ungerman, Leslie
Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR); Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Subject: LCF Special Master 8-9-21 @ 1pm
Attachments: LCF 8-9-21 1pm.pdf

Good Afternoon:

Judge Figueroa will hold a special master on 8/9 @ 1pm. The attached calendar is a listing of cases for which repeated hearing notices have been returned as undeliverable. Judge Figueroa will assess at the hearing if the respondents should be removed in absentia, (b)(5)

(b)(5) Please add it to the ACC calendar. The ACC can be present in person or appear by open voice, but I-213s will need to be filed in order for Judge Figueroa to proceed with the cases.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE FIGUEROA, LAURA C.. (LCF)
From 08/09/2021 to 08/09/2021

Hearing Date:
08/09/2021 - Monday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/ V adj | Opt- In | Alien Reprs. | Comp. Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP e Location |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 1 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | HO | | SP | | | NA | 11/24/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 21 CAB 1 IN SFR |
| + 01:00 | 04:30 | 2 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | HO | | SP | | | NA | 11/24/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 21 CAB 1 IN SFR |
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 3 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | ENG | | | NA | 07/10/2023 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 13 CAB 1 |
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 4 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 09/24/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 18 CAB 02 |
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 5 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | IN | | PUN | | | NA | 10/05/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 18 CAB 02 |
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 6 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 10/26/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 15 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| + 01:00 | 04:30 | 7 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 10/26/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 15 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| + 01:00 | 04:30 | 8 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 10/26/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 15 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| + 01:00 | 04:30 | 9 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 10/26/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 15 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 10 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | ENG | | | NA | 10/28/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 16 CAB 3 |
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 11 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | IN | | ENG | | | NA | 11/17/2021 | M P M | SFR |



Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE FIGUEROA, LAURA C.. (LCF)
From 08/09/2021 to 08/09/2021

Hearing Date:
08/09/2021 - Monday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/ V adj | Opt- In | Alien Reprs. | Comp. Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP Location |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 12 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 9A | UE | | RUS | | | NA | | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 16 CAB 4 |
| + 01:00 | 04:30 | 13 | SFR | | | AAOC | 9A | NL | | UNK | | | NA | | M P | SFR COURTROOM 16 CAB 4 |
| + 01:00 | 04:30 | 14 | SFR | | | AAOC | 9A | NL | | UNK | | | NA | | M P | SFR COURTROOM 16 CAB 4 |
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 15 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 11/03/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 14 CAB 07 |
| + 01:00 | 04:30 | 16 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 11/03/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 14 CAB 07 |
| + 01:00 | 04:30 | 17 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 11/03/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 14 CAB 07 |
| + 01:00 | 04:30 | 18 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 11/03/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 14 CAB 07 |
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 19 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | ENG | | | NA | 08/25/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 14 CAB 08 |
| 01:00 | 04:30 | 20 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 10/05/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 8 IN SFR |
| + 01:00 | 04:30 | 21 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 10/05/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 8 IN SFR |

Total Case(s) for Judge LCF: 21

(H/C Type = (Hearing and Case Types) Hearing Types = I - Initial, A - Adjournment, B - Bond)
 (Case Type = DEP - Deportation, EXE - Exclusive, REC - Rescission, RMV - Removal, AOC - Asylum Only, CSR - Claim Status Review, CFR - Credible Fear Review)
 (T - Telephonic/V - Video Hearing/P - In-Person) (+ = Rider Alien) (* = A Completed Hearing, Bond, Motion to Re-open) (@ = Expedited Asylum Case) (D = Attorney Discipline)
 (CY = Custody & Bond), (OP = Other Proceedings). (Y = eFiling Opt-in)



Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)

From: Cheng, Mary (EOIR)
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2021 12:52 PM
To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR); Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Cc: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR)
Subject: RE: Specialized dockets

Thank you.

Mary Cheng
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2021 3:41 PM
To: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Specialized dockets

Great Judge Margolin.
Thank you very much!
SMN

From: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2021 2:01 PM
To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: Specialized dockets

RDCIJs McNulty and Cheng – We don't have any cases for the Specialized Docket to report yet, but we have worked out a way forward.

After consulting with the local CC, (b)(5) <(b)(5)> We will start a specialized docket, of about (b)(5) cases per day in July. At present, we are planning these dockets for 23, 27, and 30 July. Judge Julie Nelson will be the "lead" IJ for this effort, but we will also include some of our other judges, especially those on the detained docket.

In addition, last week we held an *in absentia* (or "dead letter") docket and in 17 of 21 cases, ROs were issued. We are planning several more of these dockets in the upcoming weeks.

Respectfully,

Daren K. Margolin
Assistant Chief Immigration Judge
Adelanto, CA
San Francisco, CA (Acting)
Gov Cell Phone: (b)(6)
Office Phone: (b)(6)
Email: (b)(6)

WarningAttorney/Client Privilege***Attorney Work Product***

This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or sensitive attorney/client privileged information or attorney work product and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this e-mail has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore, do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. This document is for INTERNAL GOVERNMENT USE ONLY and may be exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 552(b)(5), (b)(7).

From: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2021 3:12 AM
To: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) (b)(6); Owens, Alfredia (EOIR) (b)(6); Lampley-Fortson, Joy (EOIR) (b)(6); Jones, David M. (EOIR) (b)(6) Holmes-Simmons, Theresa H. (EOIR) (b)(6) McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) (b)(6) Kaufman, Matthew W. (EOIR) (b)(6) Seppanen, Christopher R.. (EOIR) (b)(6) Perry, Anne (EOIR) (b)(6); Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) (b)(6); Rooyani, Rodin (EOIR) (b)(6); Miller, Jeffrey S. (EOIR) (b)(6) Laurent, Scott (EOIR) (b)(6); Sukkar, Elisa (EOIR) (b)(6) Dillow, Eric (EOIR) (b)(6) Hoogasian, Amy C (EOIR) (b)(6) Glaser-Allen, Colleen (EOIR) (b)(6) Hitesman, Jonathan W. (EOIR) (b)(6) Scala, Theresa M. (EOIR) (b)(6); Feldman, Irene (EOIR) (b)(6); Sanchez, Jose A. (EOIR) <Jose.A.Sanchez@usdoj.gov>; McCarthy III, James F. (EOIR) (b)(6) Crooks, Grady (EOIR) (b)(6) Cheng, David (EOIR) (b)(6); Mart, H. Kevin (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cc: Johnson, Hunter (EOIR) <Hunter.Johnson@usdoj.gov>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Specialized dockets

Good Morning ACIJs,

I have created a template for you to use in your reporting that matches the format from ACIJ Rooyani. Please use this format when sending in your reports.

Thank you,

Naleshia Spencer
Program Manager, CGI Federal
Executive Office For Immigration Review
Office of the Chief Clerk
Office of the Chief Immigration Judge
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2500
Falls Church, VA 22041
703-756-8577 (office)

From: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2021 8:27 AM
To: Owens, Alfredia (EOIR) (b)(6); Lampley-Fortson, Joy (EOIR) (b)(6); (b)(6); Jones, David M. (EOIR) (b)(6); Holmes-Simmons, Theresa H. (EOIR) (b)(6); McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) (b)(6); Kaufman, Matthew W. (EOIR) (b)(6); Seppanen, Christopher R.. (EOIR) (b)(6); Perry, Anne (EOIR) <Anne.Perry@usdoj.gov>; Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) (b)(6); Rooyani, Rodin (EOIR) (b)(6); Miller, Jeffrey S. (EOIR) (b)(6); Laurent, Scott (EOIR) (b)(6); Sukkar, Elisa (EOIR) (b)(6); Dillow, Eric (EOIR) (b)(6); Hoogasian, Amy C (EOIR) (b)(6); Lasher-Allen, Colleen (EOIR) (b)(6); Hitesman, Jonathan W. (EOIR) (b)(6); Scala, Theresa M. (EOIR) (b)(6); Feldman, Irene (EOIR) (b)(6); Sanchez, Jose A. (EOIR) <Jose.A.Sanchez@usdoj.gov>; McCarthy III, James F. (EOIR) (b)(6); Crooks, Grady (EOIR) (b)(6); Cheng, David (EOIR) (b)(6); Mart, H. Kevin (EOIR)
Cc: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>; Johnson, Hunter (EOIR) (b)(6); McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Specialized dockets
Importance: High

Judges,

Good morning. As the specialized dockets have all started at your courts, I will you need to track the dockets (b)(5) Below is ACIJ Rooyani's reporting format, I would like to duplicate it for all the courts. (Thank you Rodin for sharing!) You can provide the information to Naleshia for compilation. The data should be reported every Monday for the prior week. We should report from the start date. Attached is the specialized docket plan reflecting the start date for each court. Please send the data for all the dockets if your court has already started the hearings.

Going forward, the first reporting date will be Monday. June 14th. If you have any questions, please reach out to your RDCIJ. Thanks,

or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Cheng, Mary (EOIR)

Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 11:15 AM

To: Owens, Alfredia (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Lampley-Fortson, Joy (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
(b)(6); Jones, David M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Holmes-Simmons, Theresa H. (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Kaufman, Matthew W. (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> Seppanen, Christopher R.. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Bartolomei, Jr. Rico (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Perry, Anne (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Daugherty,
Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Rooyani, Rodin (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Miller, Jeffrey S.
(EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Laurent, Scott (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Sukkar, Elisa (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> Dillow, Eric (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Hoogasian, Amy C (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> Hitesman, Jonathan W. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Scala, Theresa M.
(EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Feldman, Irene (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Sanchez, Jose A. (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> McCarthy III, James F. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Crooks, Grady (EOIR)
<(b)(6)>; Cheng, David (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Mart, H. Kevin (EOIR)
<(b)(6)>

Cc: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>; Johnson, Hunter (EOIR)
<Hunter.Johnson@usdoj.gov>

Subject: RE: Specialized dockets

Judges,

I inadvertently left off the specialized docket chart from March 2020. Thanks,

Mary Cheng
Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Cheng, Mary (EOIR)

Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 2:57 PM

To: Owens, Alfredia (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Lampley-Fortson, Joy (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
<(b)(6)>; Jones, David M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Holmes-Simmons, Theresa H. (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Kaufman, Matthew W. (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> Seppanen, Christopher R.. (EOIR) <Christopher.R.Seppanen@usdoj.gov>;
Bartolomei, Jr. Rico (EOIR) <Rico.Bartolomei@usdoj.gov>; Perry, Anne (EOIR) <Anne.Perry@usdoj.gov>; Daugherty,
Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Rooyani, Rodin (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Miller, Jeffrey S.
(EOIR) <(b)(6)> Laurent, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.Laurent@usdoj.gov>; Sukkar, Elisa (EOIR)
<(b)(6)>; Dillow, Eric (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Hoogasian, Amy C (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> Hitesman, Jonathan W. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Scala, Theresa M.
(EOIR) <Theresa.M.Scala@usdoj.gov>; Feldman, Irene (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Sanchez, Jose A. (EOIR)
<Jose.A.Sanchez@usdoj.gov>; McCarthy III, James F. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Crooks, Grady (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> Cheng, David (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Mart, H. Kevin (EOIR)
<(b)(6)>

Cc: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>; Johnson, Hunter (EOIR) <Hunter.Johnson@usdoj.gov>

Subject: Specialized dockets

Importance: High

Judges,

Good afternoon. Please see the attached chart from March 2020 on specialized dockets. In the past, we identified AOS, VD, 42bs, aged cases and FGM asylum cases without a one year bar for these specialized dockets. I would like you to start reviewing how you can begin to create specialized dockets to hear these cases. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

The list I provided as to the types of cases to be placed on a specialized docket is not exhaustive, but

(b)(5)

Many of your courts have Web-Ex capabilities, therefore, please consider creating these dockets to be heard virtually. Attached is a list of pending 42b and AOS/245 cases pending at your courts. Please let me know the number of judges to be assigned to these dockets, the number of cases scheduled, and the number of days needed to implement these changes. The range cannot exceed 45 days.

Naleshia is copied on this email. Please send all responses to her by Wednesday (3/24), 12pm EST.

Mary Cheng

Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

(b)(6)

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 4:08 PM
To: Ungerman, Leslie (Leslie.J.Ungerman@ice.dhs.gov); Michael Alster; Daryl Eremin
Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR); Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Subject: SMS: Special Master 8-16-21 @ 8:30am
Attachments: SMS 8-16 Special Master.pdf

Good Afternoon:

Judge Star will hold a special master on 8/16 @ 8:30am. The attached calendar is a listing of cases for which repeated hearing notices have been returned as undeliverable. Judge Star will assess at the hearing if the respondents should be removed in absentia (b)(5)

(b)(5) Please add it to the ACC calendar. The ACC can be present in person or appear by open voice, but I-213s will need to be filed in order for Judge Star to proceed with the cases.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE STAR, SHADEE M.. (SMS)
From 08/16/2021 to 08/16/2021

Hearing Date:
08/16/2021 - Monday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | H. Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/V adj | Opt- In | Alien Reprs. | Comp. Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP Location |
|------------|----------|------|--------|------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 1 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | | MX | ENG | | | NA | 02/08/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 12 CAB 01 |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 2 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | IN | HIN | | | NA | 10/21/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 17 CAB 01 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 3 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | HO | SP | | | NA | 08/18/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 17 CAB 01 IN SFR |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 4 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | HO | SP | | | NA | 08/18/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 17 CAB 01 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 5 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | RO | RUP | | | NA | 02/08/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 12 CAB 01 |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 6 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | RO | RUP | | | NA | 02/08/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 12 CAB 01 |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 7 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | RO | ROM | | | NA | 02/08/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 12 CAB 01 |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 8 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | RO | ROM | | | NA | 02/08/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 12 CAB 01 |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 9 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | CU | SP | | | NA | 11/02/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 16 CAB 1 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 10 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | KG | UZB | | | NA | 11/02/2021 | M P I | SFR COURTROOM 18 CAB 02 |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 11 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | SP | | | NA | 11/16/2021 | M P I | SFR COURTROOM 16 CAB 2 IN SFR |



Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE STAR, SHADEE M.. (SMS)
From 08/16/2021 to 08/16/2021

Hearing Date:
08/16/2021 - Monday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | Loc | H. Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/ V adj | Opt- In | Nat | Alien Reprs. | Comp. Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP | | |
|------------|----------|------|-----|---------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|-----|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|-----|----------|--------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | e | Location | |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 12 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | SP | | NA | 11/16/2021 | M | P | I | SFR COURTROOM 16 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 13 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | | HO | | | | SP | | NA | 11/16/2021 | M | P | M | SFR COURTROOM 15 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 14 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | SP | | NA | 12/09/2021 | M | P | | SFR COURTROOM 11 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 15 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | SP | | NA | 12/09/2021 | M | P | | SFR COURTROOM 11 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 16 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | | HO | | | | SP | | NA | 10/06/2021 | M | P | M | SFR COURTROOM 17 CAB 03 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 17 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | SP | | NA | 12/06/2021 | M | P | M | SFR COURTROOM 11 CAB 5 IN SFR |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 18 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | SP | | NA | 12/06/2021 | M | P | M | SFR COURTROOM 11 CAB 5 IN SFR |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 19 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | SP | | NA | 08/25/2021 | M | P | | SFR COURTROOM 17 CAB 06 |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 20 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | | GT | | | | SP | | NA | 08/25/2021 | M | P | | SFR COURTROOM 17 CAB 06 |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 21 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | | MX | | | | SP | | NA | 12/16/2021 | M | P | | SFR COURTROOM 14 CAB 07 |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 22 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | | MX | | | | SP | | NA | 12/16/2021 | M | P | | SFR COURTROOM 14 CAB 07 |



Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE STAR, SHADEE M.. (SMS)
From 08/16/2021 to 08/16/2021

Hearing Date:
08/16/2021 - Monday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | Loc | H. Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/V adj | Opt- Nat | In Alien | Reps. | Comp. Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP | | |
|------------|----------|------|-----|---------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|-----|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | e | Location | |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 23 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | | SP | | | NA | 12/16/2021 | M | P | SFR COURTROOM 14 CAB 07 | |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 24 | SFR | | | ARMV | 28 | HO | | | SP | | | NA | 11/16/2021 | M | P | SFR COURTROOM 16 CAB 6 | |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 25 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | BR | | | ENG | | | NA | 11/16/2021 | M | P | M | SFR COURTROOM 15 CAB 7 |
| 08:30 | 10:00 | 26 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | | SP | | | NA | 12/02/2021 | M | P | M | SFR COURTROOM 11 CAB 8 |
| + 08:30 | 10:00 | 27 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | | SP | | | NA | 12/02/2021 | M | P | M | SFR COURTROOM 11 CAB 8 |

Total Case(s) for Judge SMS: 27

(H/C Type = (Hearing and Case Types) Hearing Types = I - Initial, A - Adjournment, B - Bond)
 (Case Type = DEP - Deportation, EXE - Exclusive, REC - Rescission, RMV - Removal, AOC - Asylum Only, CSR - Claim Status Review, CFR - Credible Fear Review)
 (T - Telephonic/V - Video Hearing/P - In-Person) (+ = Rider Alien) (* = A Completed Hearing, Bond, Motion to Re-open) (@ = Expedited Asylum Case) (D = Attorney Discipline)
 (CY = Custody & Bond), (OP = Other Proceedings), (Y = eFiling Opt-in)



Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2021 2:02 PM
To: Ungerman, Leslie; Daryl Eremin; Michael Alster
Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR); Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Subject: SPN: Special Master 8-24-2021 @ 9am
Attachments: SPN Special Master 8-24-2021.pdf

Good Afternoon:

Judge Phan will hold a special master on 8/24 @ 9:00am. The attached calendar is a listing of cases for which repeated hearing notices have been returned as undeliverable. **IGNORE THE AFTERNOON MASTERS LISTED. THOSE WILL BE RESET. ONLY REVIEW THE 9AM CASES.** Judge Phan will assess at the hearing if the respondents should be removed in absentia, (b)(5) Please add it to the ACC calendar. The ACC can be present in person or appear by open voice, but I-213s will need to be filed in order for Judge Phan to proceed with the cases.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE PHAN, SUSAN. (SPN)
From 08/24/2021 to 08/24/2021

Hearing Date:
08/24/2021 - Tuesday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/V adj | Opt- In | Alien Reprs. | Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP Location |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|-----|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 1 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | KS | | KOR | | | NA | 09/17/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 24 CAB 01 |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 2 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 11/09/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| + 09:00 | 09:30 | 3 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 11/09/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| + 09:00 | 09:30 | 4 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 11/09/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| + 09:00 | 09:30 | 5 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 11/09/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 2 IN SFR |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 6 | SFR | | | ARMV | 1B | GT | | SP | | | NA | 09/07/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 24 CAB 03 IN SFR |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 7 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 10/19/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 3 |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 8 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | SP | | | NA | 10/29/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 23 CAB 05 |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 9 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | ES | | SP | | | NA | 05/13/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 23 CAB 05 IN SFR |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 10 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | ENG | | | NA | 05/20/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 23 CAB 06 |
| + 09:00 | 09:30 | 11 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | ENG | | | NA | 05/20/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 23 CAB 06 |



Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE PHAN, SUSAN. (SPN)
From 08/24/2021 to 08/24/2021

Hearing Date:
08/24/2021 - Tuesday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | H. Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/V adj | Opt- In | Alien Reprs. | Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP Location |
|------------|----------|------|--------|------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|-----|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 12 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 12/13/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 21 CAB 04 IN SFR |
| + 09:00 | 09:30 | 13 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 12/13/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 21 CAB 04 IN SFR |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 14 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | QUI | | | NA | 10/05/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 19 CAB 4 |
| + 09:00 | 09:30 | 15 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | QUI | | | NA | 10/05/2022 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 19 CAB 4 |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 16 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 11/22/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 19 CAB 5 |
| + 09:00 | 09:30 | 17 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 11/22/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 19 CAB 5 |
| + 09:00 | 09:30 | 18 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 11/22/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 19 CAB 5 |
| + 09:00 | 09:30 | 19 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 11/22/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 19 CAB 5 |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 20 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | RP | | TAG | | | NA | 10/21/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 5 IN SFR |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 21 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | SP | | | NA | 12/20/2021 | M P M | SFR 8TH FL STAFF MAIL BINS |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 22 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | ID | | IND | | | NA | 11/24/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 22 CAB 09 IN SFR |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 23 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | HO | | SP | | | NA | 12/03/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM |



Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE PHAN, SUSAN. (SPN)
From 08/24/2021 to 08/24/2021

Hearing Date:
08/24/2021 - Tuesday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | H. Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/V adj | Opt- In | Alien Reprs. | Comp. Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP Location | |
|------------|----------|------|--------|------------|----------|----------|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 24 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | HO | | SP | | | NA | 09/08/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 21 CAB 08 | |
| + 09:00 | 09:30 | 25 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | HO | | | SP | | | NA | 09/08/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 21 CAB 08 | |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 26 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | | SP | | | NA | 12/02/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 19 CAB 7 | |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 27 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | ER | | | TIG | | | NA | 11/04/2021 | M P I | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 8 | |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 28 | SFR | | ARMV | 9B | ES | | | SP | | | NA | 08/31/2021 | M P | SFR COURTROOM 21 CAB 09 IN SFR | |
| 09:00 | 09:30 | 29 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | | SP | | | NA | 11/04/2021 | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 19 CAB 9 IN SFR | |
| 01:00 | 01:30 | 30 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | ES | Y | Purves, Wilson (OP) | | SP | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 1 |
| + 01:00 | 01:30 | 31 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | ES | Y | Purves, Wilson (OP) | | SP | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 1 |
| 01:00 | 01:30 | 32 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | | | MAM | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 4 IN SFR |
| + 01:00 | 01:30 | 33 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | GT | | | | MAM | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 4 IN SFR |
| 01:00 | 01:30 | 34 | SFR | ADEP | 59 | MX | Y | Ricci, John Ernest (OP) | | SP | | | NA | | M H | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 5 | |



Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE PHAN, SUSAN. (SPN)
From 08/24/2021 to 08/24/2021

Hearing Date:
08/24/2021 - Tuesday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | T/ H/C V | Opt- adj | Nat | In | Alien Reprs. | Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP Location | |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-----|----|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 01:00 | 01:30 | 35 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 9B | JM | Y | Tse, Frank Man-Kit (OP) | ENG | | | NA | | M P M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 6 IN SFR | |
| 01:00 | 01:30 | 36 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | | | ENG | | | NA | | M H | SFR 8TH FL STAFF MAIL BINS |
| 01:00 | 01:30 | 37 | SFR | | | ADEP | 59 | GT | Y | | Sprouls, Frank Patrick (OP) | SP | | | NA | 02/14/2007 | M H | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 9 |
| 01:00 | 01:30 | 38 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | | | SP | | | NA | | M H | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 9 |
| + 01:00 | 01:30 | 39 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | | | SP | | | NA | | M H | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 9 |
| + 01:00 | 01:30 | 40 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | | | SP | | | NA | | M H | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 9 |
| 01:30 | 02:00 | 41 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | GT | Y | | Sac, Leanna M (OP) | SP | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 4 |
| 01:30 | 02:00 | 42 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | | | SP | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 4 IN SFR |
| + 01:30 | 02:00 | 43 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | | | | SP | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 4 IN SFR |
| 01:30 | 02:00 | 44 | SFR | | | ARMV | 59 | MX | Y | | Landerholm, Otis Carl (OP) | SP | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 5 IN SFR |
| + 01:30 | 02:00 | 45 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | MX | Y | | Landerholm, Otis Carl (OP) | SP | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 5 IN SFR | |



Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE PHAN, SUSAN. (SPN)
From 08/24/2021 to 08/24/2021

Hearing Date:
08/24/2021 - Tuesday

| Start Time | End Time | H. # | Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/ V adj | Opt- In | Nat | Alien Reps. | Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP | |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----|------------|------------|---------|------------|--|--|----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | e | Location |
| + 01:30 | 02:00 | 46 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | MX | Y | Landerholm, Otis Carl (OP) | SP | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 5 IN SFR | |
| + 01:30 | 02:00 | 47 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | MX | Y | Landerholm, Otis Carl (OP) | SP | | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 5 IN SFR | | |
| 01:30 | 02:00 | 48 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | GT | Y | Lara, Maximo (OP) | SP | | | NA | | M H M | INTAKE ROP REVIEW REQUEST BIN | | |
| 01:30 | 02:00 | 49 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | CH | | | MAN | | | NA | | M H | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 6 | | |
| 01:30 | 02:00 | 50 | SFR | | IRMV | NA | MX | | | | SP | | NA | | M | M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 7 IN SFR | |
| + 01:30 | 02:00 | 51 | SFR | | IRMV | NA | MX | | | | SP | | NA | | M | M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 7 IN SFR | |
| 01:30 | 02:00 | 52 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | IN | Y | Gahra, Manpreet Singh (OP) | | HIN | | NA | | M H | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 7 | | |
| 01:30 | 02:00 | 53 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | ES | Y | Martinez, Miguel Angel (OP) | | SP | | NA | | M H | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 7 | | |
| 01:30 | 02:00 | 54 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | IN | | Bhakhri, Ashwani K (OP) | | PUN | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 8 IN SFR | | |
| + 01:30 | 02:00 | 55 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | IN | | Bhakhri, Ashwani K (OP) | | PUN | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 8 IN SFR | | |
| 01:30 | 02:00 | 56 | SFR | | ARMV | 59 | MX | Y | Wood, Judith Lesley (OP) | | UNK | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 9 IN | | |



Immigration Judge Master Calendar
JUDGE PHAN, SUSAN. (SPN)
From 08/24/2021 to 08/24/2021

Hearing Date:
08/24/2021 - Tuesday

| Start Time | End Time | # | H. Loc | Alien Name | A-Number | H/C Type | T/V adj | Opt-In | Alien Reprs. | Comp. Lan | Comp. Date | Comp. Type | Adj Rsn | Adjourn To | Cal. Type | ROP Location |
|------------|----------|----|--------|------------|----------|----------|---------|--------|--------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| + 01:30 | 02:00 | 57 | SFR | (b)(6) | | ARMV | 59 | MX | Y | Wood, Judith Lesley (OP) | UNK | | NA | | M H M | SFR COURTROOM 20 CAB 9 IN SFR |

Total Case(s) for Judge SPN: 57


(H/C Type = (Hearing and Case Types) Hearing Types = I - Initial, A - Adjournment, B - Bond)
 (Case Type = DEP - Deportation, EXE - Exclusive, REC - Rescission, RMV - Removal, AOC - Asylum Only, CSR - Claim Status Review, CFR - Credible Fear Review)
 (T - Telephonic/V - Video Hearing/P - In-Person) (+ = Rider Alien) (* = A Completed Hearing, Bond, Motion to Re-open) (@ = Expedited Asylum Case) (D = Attorney Discipline)
 (CY = Custody & Bond), (OP = Other Proceedings). (Y = eFiling Opt-in)



Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 4:21 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR); Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Cc: Roberts, Valerie (EOIR); Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice of Hearing Dockets

Thanks everyone. Here is the back story:



If you need further info, please don't hesitate to ask.

Thanks:
Scott

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:55 PM
To: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cc: Roberts, Valerie (EOIR) (b)(6); Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) (b)(6)
McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice of Hearing Dockets

Thanks for the information.

(b)(5)

Doc
From: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:53 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cc: Roberts, Valerie (EOIR) (b)(6); Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) (b)(6)
McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice of Hearing Dockets

RDCIJ Daugherty, n/a for ADL.

Re: SFR, I did see the email traffic on this yesterday. For the record, (b)(5)
(b)(5)

(b)(5) Thanks. V/r, dkm

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:48 PM
To: Feldman, Irene (EOIR) (b)(6); Glaser-Allen, Colleen (EOIR) (b)(6)
(b)(6) Hitesman, Jonathan W. (EOIR) (b)(6); Kaufman, Matthew W. (EOIR)
(b)(6) Laurent, Scott (EOIR) (b)(6); Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
(b)(6) Naselow-Nahas, Tara (EOIR) (b)(6); Perry, Anne (EOIR)
(b)(6) Oyani, Rodin (EOIR) (b)(6); Scala, Theresa M. (EOIR)
(b)(6) Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: Returned Notice of Hearing Dockets

ACIJs

(b)(5)

Thanks

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office (b)(6)
Ce (b)(6)

Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)

From: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR)
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 4:22 PM
To: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Very positive!

I think Matt has a docket like this called the (b)(5) Now get off email and enjoy !

From: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 6:20 PM
To: McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: Fwd: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

On a positive note. And this was all Scott's initiative.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)" (b)(6)
Date: June 15, 2021 at 3:54:54 PM PDT
To: "Butler, Jeremy J. (EOIR)" (b)(6) "Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR)"
(b)(6), "Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR)" (b)(6) "Figueroa, Laura C. (EOIR)"
(b)(6), "Gambill, Scott D. (EOIR)" (b)(6) "George,
Amber D. (EOIR)" (b)(6) "Greene, Charles S. (EOIR)"
(b)(6) Jackson, Jacqueline J. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Johnson, Jeremian (EOIR) (b)(6) "Kim, Samuel Y. (EOIR)"
(b)(6) Lake, Kelly B. (EOIR) (b)(6) "Margolin, Daren K.
(EOIR)" (b)(6) "Marks, Dana (EOIR)" (b)(6) Masterson,
Jason R. (EOIR)" (b)(6) McCleskey, Lorraine (EOIR)
(b)(6) Nelson, Julie L. (EOIR)" (b)(6) "O'Brien, Patrick S.
(EOIR)" (b)(6) "Park, Joseph Y. (EOIR)" (b)(6) Phan,
Susan (EOIR)" (b)(6) Picton, Cory M. (EOIR) (b)(6) "Price,
Justin M (EOIR)" (b)(6) "Savage, Patrick S. (EOIR)" (b)(6)
"Simmons, Gregory L. (EOIR)" (b)(6) Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)"
(b)(6) Swink, Arwen A. (EOIR) (b)(6) Young, Elizabeth
L. (EOIR)" (b)(6)
Cc: "Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)" <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>, "Clay, Michael (EOIR)"
<Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Judges:

(b)(5)

(b)(5) DHS was notified and provided the court with I-213 packets ahead of time. Of the 21 cases scheduled, only 2 appeared and 2

more had to be reset due to address issues/DHS not having the file to provide the I-213. For the remaining cases he was able to do an in absentia removal order. If you are interested in conducting one or a few of these types of special masters in the August/September time frame, please let me know. [redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5)

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104

[redacted] (b)(6)

From: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)
Sent: Wed, 3 Nov 2021 18:56:13 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Subject: FW: Returned Notice Docket
Attachments: (b)(5) Return Notice Docket. 11.2.2021.pdf

From: Milli Atkinson <matkinson@sfbar.org>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 4:09 PM
To: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Dear ACIJ Young,

I hope you are well. I am writing on behalf of the Justice & Diversity Center, the ACLU of Northern California, AILA NorCal Advocacy Liaisons and the Nation Lawyers Guild – San Francisco Bay Area Chapter to express our concern over the Court’s implementation of the Returned Notice Docket. I have mailed a copy of the attached letter via regular mail this afternoon, but wanted to provide a PDF copy via email as well.

We look forward to hearing from you soon to discuss the issues raised in the attached letter and hope that we can work together to resolve these issues.

Sincerely,

Milli Atkinson

She/her
Immigrant Legal Defense Program Director
San Francisco Immigrant Legal Defense Collaborative Legal Director
The Justice & Diversity Center of The Bar Association of San Francisco
201 Mission St., Suite 400 | San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: (415) 782-8926 | Fax: (415) 688-4633
matkinson@sfbar.org | www.sfbar.org/sfildc



The AOD Program is now offering remote consultations for the immigrant community! Call (408) 461-8795 for a free consultation with an immigration attorney. See [here](#) for more information.

Confidentiality Notice:

The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered privileged and/or confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone.



JUSTICE & DIVERSITY CENTER
OF THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO



11/2/2021

Assistant Chief Immigration Judge Elizabeth Young
Executive Office of Immigration Review
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104

Re: Returned Notice Docket

Dear ACIJ Elizabeth Young,

We write regarding the San Francisco Immigration Court's recent creation of the "Returned Notice Docket" which poses a high risk of removal to hundreds of vulnerable individuals whose hearings have been rescheduled unexpectedly. The Returned Notice Docket was first brought to our attention when we learned of a respondent who had been ordered removed *in absentia* after she missed a hearing that had been advanced and rescheduled while she was recovering from side effects from the COVID-19 vaccine. After reaching out to Court personnel, we learned that the Court has created a new docket and is rescheduling hundreds of respondents for hearings when the Court receives returned mail from the respondent's address of record. It is our understanding that the Court then sends a new notice with an advanced hearing date, expecting the respondent to appear to correct their address and/or for the Court to confer with DHS about any alternative addresses in their A-file.

Our court observers have already witnessed and documented dozens of individuals ordered removed *in absentia* at these hearings when they fail to appear. This practice is resulting in the *in absentia* removal orders of asylees and other vulnerable immigrants who the Court knows are unlikely to receive actual notice of their hearing.

We have grave concerns about the sudden implementation of these special dockets, without stakeholder engagement beforehand and using the Court's already limited resources, that are resulting in an unprecedented wave of removal orders. We respectfully request that the practice be reconsidered immediately.

1. This is not the time to create a special docket with high levels of *in absentia* removal orders in the midst of a pandemic when housing instability has been well documented and access to the court, ERO, and legal services has been limited.

The COVID-19 pandemic led to local and statewide shutdowns in early 2020. As a result, our immigration system, along with the entire nation, has had to adjust and adapt to a new normal. During the last 18 months, the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on immigrant communities has been well documented. Not only have they seen higher rates of COVID-19 infections compared to the general population, they have also been more likely to work in service jobs where they are more likely to contract COVID-19 and live in multi-family housing situations where it is impossible to safely quarantine. Immigrants were more likely to lose their jobs during the pandemic and are more likely to have experienced housing insecurity as a result of the pandemic.

Compounding the issue is the fact that many legal service providers were forced to limit or decrease capacity to provide services to the immigrant community during the pandemic. Many regular legal clinics were cancelled and many organizations were closed for intake and consultation while organizations shifted to a remote work environment. Both the private bar and community-based organizations have had to adapt to ever changing restrictions on when and how they are able to meet with clients to provide services, which further limits their capacity to provide services. In addition, many respondents face technological barriers to access services that are no longer provided in person.

Making the situation even more dire, the government offices responsible for enforcing our immigration laws have been less accessible, with limited information provided directly to respondents about changes in their cases and where to obtain information. There has been a great deal of confusion to both respondents and their legal representatives regarding appointments and hearings that have been rescheduled multiple times, often with little or late notice.

It is therefore extremely problematic for the San Francisco Immigration Court to expend its limited resources to create a special docket that is highly likely to result in an *in absentia* order of removal during a time when respondents are more likely to experience housing instability, more likely to suffer from a deadly illness, less likely to have access to legal services, and less likely to be able to access the court or their ERO officer because of travel and other COVID related restrictions.

2. The consideration given to each of the cases on the Returned Notice Docket is inconsistent.

Since August 24, 2021, we have had volunteer advocates observe six of the Returned Notice Docket hearings in order to observe and better understand what, if any, safeguards

have been put in place to avoid *in absentia* removal orders being given *en masse*. We have seen huge differences among immigration judges in how they are proceeding during these dockets. While some judges take great care in requesting address information from OPLA attorneys or calling clients directly during the hearing, others proceed with an *in absentia* removal order as long as there is a Form I-213 on file.

In the hearings we have observed, we have seen as many as 70% of respondents scheduled for the docket ordered removed *in absentia*. On average, we have observed fewer than three respondents appear in person at these dockets, where more than twenty individuals are scheduled to appear. In most cases, the only evidence the government is able to provide is a Form I-213 which solely contains uncorroborated allegations made by the government. We have observed several instances where the Notice to Appear that was filed with the court had a hearing date that was incorrect. We have also observed *in absentia* orders entered against individuals who were represented and had their cases terminated in 2018 and did not receive notice when the case was remanded to the immigration court. During one hearing, the Judge stated that she did not believe the Department of Homeland Security had met its burden regarding removability, and then proceeded with ordering the respondent removed *in absentia*. Another case involved a 19 year old who had entered the United States as a minor and it was clear that the guardian had not been served with the NTA. That case was continued to give the Department an opportunity to serve the respondent's aunt, however there was no discussion of the requirements of the Department to serve the Form I-770 or other protections specific to unaccompanied children. In many cases, these *in absentia* orders are entered against entire families, including against children who are completely reliant on their parents in notifying the court of address changes.

We acknowledge that during regular Master Calendar hearings, there may be one or two individuals that fail to appear and that the IJ may be justified in ordering removal if the government has met their burden of proof. In these cases, we often see that the respondents had received adequate notice in court and were aware of their future hearing date. Also, when only one or two individuals fail to appear, the IJ has adequate time to review each file individually and take into account any circumstances that may have prevented the respondent's appearance. We do not believe the same can be said for the Returned Notice Docket. In these hearings, every IJ is reviewing 15-25 cases at a time and some are ordering individuals removed in groups with little attention paid to each individual case besides a cursory review of the form I-213.

3. The Returned Notice Dockets violate a respondent's Fifth Amendment right to due process.

The practice of sending a notice to reschedule an existing hearing date, to an address known to be invalid, with the logically anticipated outcome of ordering a large number of individuals removed *in absentia* is fundamentally unfair and denies respondents the

opportunity to reasonably present their case. This process clearly results in prejudice to the respondent who is unaware of the change in his hearing date and therefore is ordered removed by default. Furthermore, in the majority of these cases on the Returned Notice Docket, the respondent is *pro se*. “Because aliens appearing *pro se* often lack the legal knowledge to navigate their way successfully through the morass of immigration law, and because their failure to do so successfully might result in their expulsion from this country, it is critical that the IJ’s scrupulously and conscientiously probe into, inquire of, and explore for all the relevant facts.”

The creation of the Returned Notice Docket during an unprecedented public health crisis is likely to impede the Court’s ability to meet its obligations to *pro se* respondents. Rescheduling hearings using an unworkable address where respondents are unlikely to receive notice not only denies the respondent of their right to have their cases heard, but it also denies the individual IJ’s the opportunity to “scrupulously and conscientiously” explore the reasons that a respondent failed to appear. The IJ must rely entirely on evidence provided by the government in order to move forward, and because it is likely that the respondent has no knowledge that the hearing is taking place, there is nobody in these proceedings to speak on their behalf or protect their rights.

As attorneys, we understand that the respondent has the responsibility of informing the Court of an address change within five days of moving. However, the consequences to those who fail to meet this requirement in a timely manner is severe, and there are myriad reasons why this responsibility has become more difficult during the COVID-19 pandemic. Many respondents do not fully understand when and how to inform the court of an address change, the process is often confusing to those who are unfamiliar with our legal system, and those who are illiterate and have limited education and do not understand service requirements. It is especially difficult for many because the change of address form is only provided in English and not everyone has access to translation services. Access to free or low cost legal services where *pro se* respondents would often receive this information and advice has also been more limited during the pandemic.

Additionally, we often meet with individuals who have informed ERO of their new address and mistakenly believe that this information will be submitted to the Court. Many of these respondents are under the impression that they have complied with the Court’s address requirements. There is no publicly-available information about what ERO officers do with these updated addresses, and whether this information is timely shared with OPLA attorneys, let alone the Court. While we often see OPLA attorneys in Court with updated contact information, we have also seen multiple instances where an individual with regular ERO check-ins has to repeatedly inform their deportation officer of their new address. Since the COVID-19 pandemic began, hundreds of community members have had difficulty contacting ERO and have reported to our organizations that they would often call or send emails and not receive any response. Their regular check-ins were cancelled with no information on how or when they could check in or update their address. In one instance, the Justice and Diversity Center helped a *pro se* community member update their address with ERO, in writing, via email. The respondent was later reprimanded by her deportation officer when she was eventually able to check

in and accused of not informing the office of her address change. We are also concerned that this information may not make it to OPLA's files in a timely manner, or at all, especially when these hearings are re-scheduled to be heard in the next 1-2 months. Additionally, those that do not have a secure address often rely on the EOIR Automated Case Information system to obtain information about their hearing date and are not expecting a hearing they believe to be scheduled in 2022 or 2023 to suddenly advance to within a few weeks.

It would be a mistake to assume that all respondents who fail to appear at their hearings are deliberately evading our laws. Indeed, studies have shown that those respondents who receive notice of their hearings attend them at exceptionally high rates. For example, data released by the Department of Justice on this topic suggests that asylum applicants attend their hearings at very high rates. By advancing their hearing dates and sending notices to addresses that the Court knows have already failed to reach the respondent, the Court is creating additional barriers that make it difficult for immigrants to access the judicial system and have their cases heard. While they legally have the option to file a motion to reopen after the hearing, there are strict time and numerical limitations on these motions that are difficult to overcome without the assistance of an attorney. Even if the time limitations are tolled for lack of notice, it creates yet another logistical and financial barrier for *pro se* respondents to find an attorney to help them with such a motion. In these cases, it is doubtful that a *pro se* respondent would be able to prepare and file a timely motion to reopen when they have already struggled with preparing and filing a Form EOIR-33. Again, we believe the vast majority of these respondents are eager to have their cases heard and proceed with their hearings. Instead they are being set up for failure, by having their hearings advanced unexpectedly.

4. The Returned Notice Docket is a misuse of the Court's limited resources.

Again, we are greatly concerned about the timing of the Returned Notice Dockets: they were created during a public health crisis when the Court has very limited capacity to hear cases and many respondents who are seeking a decision on the merits of their case are having their hearings postponed to 2024 and 2025. Immigration Judge, legal assistant, and OPLA attorney time is being used to schedule and attend these hearings, when such time could go to countless other cases that are being cancelled and reset far into the future. In many of the cases on the Returned Notice Docket, the issue related to the returned notice could be easily resolved by a phone call from a clerk to the respondent, without setting the stage for what is inevitably the failure to appear by many of the respondents. We are now seeing judges spend their time at hearings making phone calls or reviewing documents in the A-file to try to find a correct address and order multiple notices sent out, when their time would be better spent adjudicating cases that are ready to move forward. We are also seeing these hearings continued where there are issues related to service and notice. If there is any question regarding adequate notice, this should be resolved by terminating the case completely, instead of keeping it on the docket indefinitely and scheduling multiple hearings where the respondent is unlikely to appear.

As representatives of the non-profit legal community, there are several avenues for collaboration that would allow us to use our resources to help individuals with returned notices to update their addresses. The Justice & Diversity Center's volunteer-driven Attorney of the Day Program is able to assist by reaching out to individuals on the court's behalf and assist them with filing a Form EOIR-33. Additionally, a local non-profit is currently building an EOIR-funded program, the Immigration Court Help Desk, to provide orientations and clinics that would help respondents better understand the Court's address requirements and assist them in properly filing a change of address form.

In conclusion, we would like to offer the following recommendations to the Court:

1. Pause or discontinue the use of the Returned Notice Docket all together, or
2. If the docket continues, solicit further feedback from local stakeholders and consider greater collaboration with the local bar to prevent negative consequences arising out of the docket.

In either scenario, we hope the Court will collaborate with the local bar and non-profit community to ensure that *pro se* respondents are fully advised of their legal obligations and are getting adequate notice of their hearings before the Court. You may contact Milli Atkinson via phone or email at matkinson@sfbbar.org, (415) 782-8926, if you wish to discuss this letter further.

We appreciate your time and consideration in addressing these concerns.

Sincerely,

ACLU of Northern California
AILA NorCal Advocacy Liaisons
Justice and Diversity Center of the Bar Association of San Francisco
National Lawyers Guild – San Francisco Bay Area Chapter

From: Figueroa, Laura C. (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 20 Dec 2021 19:47:27 +0000
To: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)
Subject: FW: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Judge Young,

You asked for documents/emails for the FOIA request on returned notice hearings. I am sending you three emails I received about that docket and that I participated in. Thanks. It took me about 10 minutes to search.

LCF

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 4:02 PM
To: Figueroa, Laura C. (EOIR) <Laura.C.Figueroa@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Do you know if you will be travelling back and forth to Texas or will you be here full time starting in August? Do you have a day of the week preference for doing this?

From: Figueroa, Laura C. (EOIR) <Laura.C.Figueroa@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 4:00 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Yes I would like to do one of those!

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:55 PM
To: Butler, Jeremy J. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Figueroa, Laura C. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Gambill, Scott D. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; George, Amber D. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Greene, Charles S. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Jackson, Jacqueline J. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Johnson, Jeremiah (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Kim, Samuel Y. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Lake, Kelly B. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Marks, Dana (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Masterson, Jason R. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McCleskey, Loi L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Nelson, Julie L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; O'Brien, Patrick S. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Park, Joseph Y. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Phan, Susan (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Picton, Cory M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Price, Justin M (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Savage, Patrick S. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Simmons, Gregory L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Swink, Arwen A. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>

Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>; Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>

Subject: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Judges:

(b)(5)

(b)(5) DHS was notified and provided the court with I-213 packets ahead of time. Of the 21 cases scheduled, only 2 appeared and 2 more had to be reset due to address issues/DHS not having the file to provide the I-213. For the remaining cases he was able to do an in absentia removal order. If you are interested in conducting one or a few of these types of special masters in the August/September time frame, please let me know. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thanks.

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 23 Aug 2021 18:31:04 +0000
To: Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Docket

DONE – THANKS SO MUCH.

From: Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 11:30 AM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Docket

Hi Scott,
Yes, that's no problem. How about Monday 10/18 at 830?
Thanks

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Subject: Another Returned Notice Docket

Judge:

Would you be available to do another returned notice docket the week of 10/18? If so, please send me a date and time the works for you.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)
Sent: Tue, 10 Aug 2021 00:00:20 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR); Robison, Tanner (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Sounds good, thank you.

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 4:56 PM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Perfect. I've initialized a second special master at 2pm on 9/22. Michael will start scheduling the cases to it.

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 4:53 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Hi Scott,

Would it be possible to schedule the returned notice special master to Sept 22, 2021 at 2:00 p.m.?

Thanks,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:51 PM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Subject: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Judge:

Can I ask you for a second date for the returned notices for the third week of September (9/20-24). We're getting a lot to schedule! You're still good to go for 8/16.
Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800

San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 16 Aug 2021 14:56:38 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR); Robison, Tanner (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Thank you.

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 7:56 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Judge:
Michael is gathering everything together and will be up shortly.

Tanner:

[REDACTED] (b)(5)

Thanks:
Scott

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 7:54 AM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Good morning,

I am assuming there is a bucket of special master files somewhere for this morning. Please let me know where I can find it.

Thanks,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 4:56 PM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR)

<Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>

Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Perfect. I've initialized a second special master at 2pm on 9/22. Michael will start scheduling the cases to it.

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 4:53 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Hi Scott,

Would it be possible to schedule the returned notice special master to Sept 22, 2021 at 2:00 p.m.?

Thanks,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:51 PM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Judge:

Can I ask you for a second date for the returned notices for the third week of September (9/20-24). (b)(5) You're still good to go for 8/16.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: Clay, Michael (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 16 Aug 2021 14:57:21 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR); Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)
Cc: Robison, Tanner (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

It appears the 213s are in the files, I will be up soon

Michael Clay
Supervisory Legal Specialist
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
Work phone: 415-315-4626

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 7:56 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Judge:
Michael is gathering everything together and will be up shortly.

Tanner:
[REDACTED] (b)(5)

Thanks:
Scott

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 7:54 AM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Good morning,

I am assuming there is a bucket of special master files somewhere for this morning. Please let me know where I can find it.

Thanks,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 4:56 PM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Perfect. I've initialized a second special master at 2pm on 9/22. Michael will start scheduling the cases to it.

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 4:53 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Hi Scott,

Would it be possible to schedule the returned notice special master to Sept 22, 2021 at 2:00 p.m.?

Thanks,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:51 PM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Judge:

Can I ask you for a second date for the returned notices for the third week of September (9/20-24). (b)(5) You're still good to go for 8/16.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: Clay, Michael (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 16 Aug 2021 14:58:12 +0000
To: Robison, Tanner (EOIR);McDaniel, Scott (EOIR);Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Thx tanner, was unable to prep like I usually do because of the court closure

Michael Clay
Supervisory Legal Specialist
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
Work phone: 415-315-4626

From: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 7:57 AM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>; Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Yes I received a stack of 213's from DHS, and I filed them into their respective ROP's last Friday.

I have one more on my desk that I was waiting to hand off when I saw Michael this morning.

*Tanner Robison
Legal Assistant
Executive Office for Immigration Review
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 315-4661*

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 7:56 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Judge:
Michael is gathering everything together and will be up shortly.

Tanner:

(b)(5)

Thanks:
Scott

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 7:54 AM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Good morning,

I am assuming there is a bucket of special master files somewhere for this morning. Please let me know where I can find it.

Thanks,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 4:56 PM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cc: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Perfect. I've initialized a second special master at 2pm on 9/22. Michael will start scheduling the cases to it.

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 4:53 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Hi Scott,

Would it be possible to schedule the returned notice special master to Sept 22, 2021 at 2:00 p.m.?

Thanks,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:51 PM

To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <

(b)(6)

Subject: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Judge:

Can I ask you for a second date for the returned notices for the third week of September (9/20-24). (b)(5) You're still good to go for 8/16.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel

Deputy Court Administrator

San Francisco Immigration Court

100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800

San Francisco, California 94104

415.705.0144

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 9 Aug 2021 19:59:42 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Hi Scott,

Yes, I will look for a second date for a special master in September and will get back to you.

Thanks!

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:51 PM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Subject: Another Returned Notice Special Master

Judge:

Can I ask you for a second date for the returned notices for the third week of September (9/20-24). [REDACTED] (b)(5) You're still good to go for 8/16.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Wed, 27 Oct 2021 22:26:02 +0000
To: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Is this all just FYI or do you need additional info. The docket [redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5)

From: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:21 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

From: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:21 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) [redacted] (b)(6)
Subject: RE: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

This docket was created prior to my start as ACIJ, but I can give you what information I have.

Kathryn's assessment is on point - [redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5)

From my perspective [redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5)

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:03 PM

To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) (b)(6) Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)

(b)(6)

Cc: Lin, Austin (EOIR) <Austin.Lin@usdoj.gov>; Foster, Sean (EOIR) <Sean.Foster@usdoj.gov>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>

Subject: For OCIJ Review/Input: Media Inquiries on San Francisco Immigration Court's Specialized Masters (Returned Notices)

Importance: High

Hello Judge Doc and Jude Young,

As you both know, the San Francisco Chronicle and KQED, the NPR affiliate in SF, visited the San Francisco Immigration Court this week. Both outlets reached out to me regarding the "returned notice" specialized dockets that (b)(5)

(b)(5)

I've been in meetings and tied up so I've asked the SF Chronicle for more time and Ms. Kopan said tomorrow would work. The KQED reporter did not leave a deadline.

Please see below for the two inquiries (full emails attached if needed). I started a draft (b)(5)

(b)(5)

and if you can please get back to me by **noon** tomorrow, Oct. 28, or sooner, that would be great. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

From SF Chronicle:

My colleague Deepa and I are working on a story about the San Francisco immigration court. We understand that the court set up a special docket for master calendar hearings for "returned notices" – people whose mail was returned to the court as undeliverable. According to AILA liaison minutes we reviewed, this was theoretically to allow judges to find valid addresses for respondents.

But in talking with attorneys and in our direct observations, some of these masters end up being a way to rapidly order dozens of immigrants removed in absentia. We plan to report on this.

A few questions for you:

- Was headquarters aware of and supportive of this pilot? Is it exclusive to SF?
- How many returned notice hearings have happened in the SF court? When was the docket first created?
- How many people have been ordered removed in absentia through this docket?
- Does EOIR believe this is a docket worth continuing, given due process concerns of advocates about targeting a group likely to result in mass absentia removal orders?

End.

Draft:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

End.

From KQED Inquiry:

Does EOIR have a description somewhere of the objective of the “returned notice” docket, which I gather is a relatively recent innovation?

Draft:

(b)(5)

End.

From: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)
Sent: Thu, 5 Aug 2021 00:08:47 +0000
To: Clay, Michael (EOIR)
Cc: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Subject: RE: here are the results of today's Special M/C

Thanks. Next time, all I need is the total number of cases, and total number of completed cases. For today's docket, we completed 68% of the cases!

| Judge | Type of Specialized Docket | Hearing Date | # of cases scheduled | # of cases completed | Percentage |
|--------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Caborn | Returned Notice Docket | 6/15/20 21 | 21 | 17 | 81% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/23/20 21 | 55 | 44 | 80% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/27/20 21 | 100 | 79 | 79% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/30/20 21 | 58 | 55 | 95% |
| Swink | Returned Notice Docket | 8/4/202 1 | 22 | 15 | 68% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/6/202 1 | 92 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/13/20 21 | 111 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/20/20 21 | 106 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/27/20 21 | 118 | | 0% |
| Young | 7A Status Docket Juvenile | 8/27/20 21 | 50 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/3/202 1 | 93 | | 0% |
| Young | 7A Status Docket Juvenile | 9/9/202 1 | 73 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/10/20 21 | 105 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/17/20 21 | 94 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/24/20 21 | 82 | | 0% |

| | | | | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|---------------|----|--|----|
| George | 7A Status Docket Juvenile | 9/27/20 21 | 33 | | 0% |
|--------|---------------------------------|---------------|----|--|----|

Maria Jauregui
Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.705.0164
maria.jauregui@usdoj.gov

From: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 5:02 PM
To: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>; McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: here are the results of today's Special M/C

Maria and Scott,

The trainees observed today the masters today. I will have the other two from last week's training observe with me on Monday. The following week I will repeat the process with the two being trained this week and then the ensuing weeks I will assign the masters to one of them to clerk and I will observe them and then finally they will go it alone. As long as we have special masters for them to clerk.

I am about half way to the creation of another M/C for the 4th floor and just under that for the 8th floor. I have not received any files from the 9th, but I haven't had the chance to check my box yet today.

MC ☺

Michael Clay
Supervisory Legal Specialist
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
Work phone: 415-315-4626

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Tue, 24 Aug 2021 22:01:38 +0000
To: Jackie Flores
Subject: RE: Inquiry Regarding UC Mistakenly placed on Returned Notice Docket

Jackie:

I can easily tell you what the clerk would tell you: at this stage a motion to reopen would need to be filed by the respondent, or by an attorney representing him with an E-28 on file. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thanks:
Scott

From: Jackie Flores <jackie@icwclaw.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:42 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Marco Tueros <marco@icwclaw.org>; Danielle Fritz <danielle@icwclaw.org>
Subject: Inquiry Regarding UC Mistakenly placed on Returned Notice Docket

Good Afternoon Mr. McDaniel,

I hope this email finds you well.

I am Jackie Flores, the Legal Orientation Program coordinator with the Immigration Center for Women and Children in San Francisco. I am reaching out regarding a recent referral we received for a sponsor whose minor was recently given a removal order on August 4, 2021 in San Francisco Immigration Court . We believe it is possible this UC was mistakenly placed on the 8/4 Returned Notice Docket, as the minor was recently released from the shelter about 2-3 months ago, and we were unable to locate the UC on the docket for 8/4. Please find the minor's information below:

Minor: (b)(6)

DOB: (b)(6)

A#: (b)(6)

Nationality: GT

Next Court Date: Removal Order issued August 4, 2021 in 100 MONTGOMERY ST., SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

We were wondering if it were possible you could tell us which IJ issued this in-absentia order, so we may be able to get in communication with the IJ's clerk and see what can be done to assist the sponsor and his UC. The sponsor is very worried about his minor's removal order, so any additional information we could obtain on his case would be much appreciated.

Thank you so much and we look forward to speaking with you soon.

Best,

Jackie Flores
LOPC Coordinator
Immigration Center for Women and Children
3543 18th Street, #32
San Francisco, CA 94110
Tel: 415-861-1449 ext. 2302
Fax: 415-861-1681
jackie@icwclaw.org
www.icwclaw.org

-
-
-
-

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Wed, 27 Oct 2021 14:59:13 +0000
To: Milli Atkinson
Cc: Malvina De La Canal
Subject: RE: November calendar for EOIR

Milli:

Yes, there are many changes in November. We are not resuming (b)(5)

(b)(5) Starting in November we will have 5 IJs hearing DD: Walker, Halperin, Seminerio, Kirchner, and Phan. The schedule below shows the DD cases in red, the status docket/atty represented special masters in green, and the single returned notice docket in black. (b)(5)

(b)(5) Also, Walker and Halperin will move to courtroom 17 on the 9th floor starting Nov 1. Seminerio will be in courtroom 26, Kirchner in 25 and Phan remains in 20. Thanks: Scott

| | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 1-Nov | 2-Nov | 3-Nov | 4-Nov | 5-Nov |
| 8 : 3 0 N B W | 8 : 3 0 N B W | | 8:30 RRH | 8 : 3 0 R R H | 8 : 3 0 R R H |
| 8 : 3 0 S P N | | | 8:30 SPN | 8 : 3 0 S P N | |
| | | | 8:30 ICD | 8 : 3 0 E L | |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--------|--|---------------------------------|
| 1 : 0 0 S P N | 1 : 0 0 N B W 2 : 0 0 S P N | 9:00 AJC 1:00 RRH 1:00 SPN | Y | 1 : 0 0 R R H 1 : 0 0 S P N 1 : 0 0 E L Y 1 : 3 0 J Y B | 1 : 0 0 R R H |
| 8 : 3 0 | 8 : 3 0 | 8:30 RRH | | | |
| 8-Nov | 9-Nov | 10-Nov | 11-Nov | 12-Nov | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------|--|
| N B W 8 : 3 0 S P N | N B W 8 : 3 0 F A S | 8:30 FAS | | |
| | 8 : 3 0 S M K | 8:30 SMK | HOLIDAY | |
| | 8 : 3 0 J Y B | 8:30 SPN 8:30 ICD | | |
| 1 : 0 0 N B W 1 : 0 0 | 1 : 0 0 N B W 1 : 0 0 | 1:00 SMK 1:00 SPN | | |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| S P N | | F A S | | | |
| | | 1 : 0 0 S M K | | | |
| | | 1 : 0 0 S P N | | | |
| 15-Nov | | 16-Nov | | 17-Nov | |
| 8 : 3 0 N B W | | 8 : 3 0 N B W | 8:30 RRH | | 8 : 3 0 R R H |
| 8 : 3 0 F A S | | 8 : 3 0 F A S | 8:30 FAS | | 8 : 3 0 F A S |
| 8 : 3 0 S | | 8 : 3 0 S | 8:30 SMK | | 8 : 3 0 S |

M
K
8
:
3
0
S
P
N
1
:
0
0
N
B
W
1
:
0
0
F
A
S
1
:
0
0
S
M
K
1
:
0
0
S

M
K
8
:
3
0
J
Y
B
1
:
0
0
N
B
W
1
:
0
0
F
A
S
1
:
0
0
S
M
K

8:30
SPN
8:30
ICD
1:00
SMK
1:00
SPN



M
K
8
:
3
0
S
P
N
1
:
0
0
R
R
H
1
:
0
0
F
A
S
1
:
0
0
S
M
K
1
:
0
0
S

M
K
1
:
0
0
R
R
H
1
:
0
0
F
A
S

| | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| A S 1 : 0 0 S M K | A S 1 : 0 0 S M K | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 29-Nov | 30-Nov | | | | |
| 8 : 3 0 N B W 8 : 3 0 F A S 8 : 3 0 S M K 8 : 3 0 S P N | 8 : 3 0 N B W 8 : 3 0 F A S 8 : 3 0 S M K | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 : O O N B W | 1 : O O N B W | | | |
| 1 : O O F A S | 1 : O O F A S | | | |
| 1 : O O S M K | 1 : O O S M K | | | |
| 1 : O O S P N | | | | |

From: Milli Atkinson <matkinson@sfbar.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 5:14 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Malvina De La Canal <mdelacanal@sfbar.org>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] November calendar for EOIR

Hi Scott, I just wanted to check in to see if there are any expected changes to the MCH schedule for IJs Halperin and Walker in November.

Will there be any other MCH's added to the calendar in November?
Will there be any more returned notice dockets scheduled?

Thanks in advance.

Best,

Milli Atkinson

She/her

Immigrant Legal Defense Program Director

San Francisco Immigrant Legal Defense Collaborative Legal Director

The Justice & Diversity Center of The Bar Association of San Francisco

201 Mission St., Suite 400 | San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: (415) 782-8926 | Fax: (415) 688-4633

matkinson@sfbar.org | www.sfbar.org/sfildc



JUSTICE & DIVERSITY CENTER
OF THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO

The AOD Program is now offering remote consultations for the immigrant community!
Call (408) 461-8795 for a free consultation with an immigration attorney. See [here](#) for more information.

Confidentiality Notice:

The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered privileged and/or confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone.

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 25 Oct 2021 23:39:03 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR); Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)
Cc: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR); Cheng, Mary (EOIR); Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR); Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR); St Jean, Amanda (EOIR); Nance, Kelly (EOIR)
Subject: RE: NPR Affiliate in SF (KQED) to Observe Dedicated Docket Cases Tomorrow, Oct. 26

Good to know. Thanks so very much! – Kathryn

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 7:36 PM
To: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>; Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>; St Jean, Amanda (EOIR) <Amanda.StJean@usdoj.gov>; Nance, Kelly (EOIR) <Kelly.Nance@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: NPR Affiliate in SF (KQED) to Observe Dedicated Docket Cases Tomorrow, Oct. 26

Kathryn:

These are specialized masters we created months ago to address all of the returned, undeliverable mail to pro se respondents. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thanks:
Scott

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 4:31 PM
To: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>; St Jean, Amanda (EOIR) <Amanda.StJean@usdoj.gov>; Nance, Kelly (EOIR) <Kelly.Nance@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: NPR Affiliate in SF (KQED) to Observe Dedicated Docket Cases Tomorrow, Oct. 26

Judge Young, and all – (b)(5)

(b)(5)

For my knowledge, (b)(5) Thanks – Kathryn

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR)
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 5:24 PM
To: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)

<Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>

Cc: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>; St Jean, Amanda (EOIR) <Amanda.StJean@usdoj.gov>; Nance, Kelly (EOIR) <Kelly.Nance@usdoj.gov>

Subject: RE: NPR Affiliate in SF (KQED) to Observe Dedicated Docket Cases Tomorrow, Oct. 26

Hello Judge Young – Thanks so much for the quick response! (b)(5)
(b)(5) but I will remind her again when I get back to her tonight. I also want to point out that (b)(5)
(b)(5)
(b)(5) Just my guess, so thank (b)(5) – Kathryn

From: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>

Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 5:09 PM

To: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>; McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>

Cc: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>; St Jean, Amanda (EOIR) <Amanda.StJean@usdoj.gov>; Nance, Kelly (EOIR) <Kelly.Nance@usdoj.gov>

Subject: RE: NPR Affiliate in SF (KQED) to Observe Dedicated Docket Cases Tomorrow, Oct. 26

From my perspective: In terms of capacity, we are okay because certain courtrooms aren't being used this week. (b)(5)

(b)(5) I will make sure we (b)(5) on the 4th floor so they know.

Elizabeth L. Young
Assistant Chief Immigration Judge
San Francisco Immigration Court
Govt. cell – (b)(6)
Office – (b)(6)

From: Mattingly, Kathryn (EOIR) <Kathryn.Mattingly@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 2:02 PM

To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>

Cc: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Fooshe, Alexis (EOIR) <Alexis.Fooshe@usdoj.gov>; St Jean, Amanda (EOIR) <Amanda.StJean@usdoj.gov>; Nance, Kelly (EOIR) <Kelly.Nance@usdoj.gov>

Subject: NPR Affiliate in SF (KQED) to Observe Dedicated Docket Cases Tomorrow, Oct. 26

Importance: High

Hi Scott,

KQED reporter Tyche Hendricks just notified us that she's going to the San Francisco Immigration Court tomorrow to observe DD cases (she must be working with an advocacy group or an attorney since she knows the schedule). To the best of my knowledge, the San Francisco Chronicle reporter, Deepa Fernandes, will be visiting tomorrow as well. I know we have limited attendance practices in place, but do you have a sense of whether we can accommodate two reporters tomorrow?

Also, [REDACTED] (b)(5)

[REDACTED] (b)(5)

It's unclear [REDACTED] (b)(5) I think it should be okay since this is not a detained area, but please let me know.

Thanks,
Kathryn

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Wed, 3 Nov 2021 18:58:34 +0000
To: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Docket

(b)(5)

From: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(5)>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 11:56 AM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: Returned Notice Docket

From: Milli Atkinson <matkinson@sfbar.org>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 4:09 PM
To: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Returned Notice Docket

Dear ACIJ Young,

I hope you are well. I am writing on behalf of the Justice & Diversity Center, the ACLU of Northern California, AILA NorCal Advocacy Liaisons and the Nation Lawyers Guild – San Francisco Bay Area Chapter to express our concern over the Court’s implementation of the Returned Notice Docket. I have mailed a copy of the attached letter via regular mail this afternoon, but wanted to provide a PDF copy via email as well.

We look forward to hearing from you soon to discuss the issues raised in the attached letter and hope that we can work together to resolve these issues.

Sincerely,

Milli Atkinson

She/her

Immigrant Legal Defense Program Director
San Francisco Immigrant Legal Defense Collaborative Legal Director
The Justice & Diversity Center of The Bar Association of San Francisco
201 Mission St., Suite 400 | San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: (415) 782-8926 | Fax: (415) 688-4633
matkinson@sfbar.org | www.sfbar.org/sfildc



The AOD Program is now offering remote consultations for the immigrant community!
Call (408) 461-8795 for a free consultation with an immigration attorney. See [here](#) for more information.

Confidentiality Notice:

The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered privileged and/or confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone.

From: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)
Sent: Tue, 15 Jun 2021 22:43:44 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Cc: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Subject: Re: Returned Notice Docket

That's great. Feel free to create some additional dates and I'll add cases while you are away so that valuable time doesn't get wasted.

Maria Jauregui
Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.705.0164 office
(b)(6) bell
Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov

On Jun 15, 2021, at 3:04 PM, McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Judge/Maria:

This afternoon Judge Caborn did our first returned notice in absentia docket. (b)(5)
(b)(5) I scheduled 21 cases to it. Only 2 people appeared and were renoticed. One had to be reset because DHS had a different address for the respondent. One had to be reset because DHS didn't get the file in time and didn't have the I-213. The remaining 17 cases got removal orders. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

I can't start building more once I return from r (b)(6)

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Tue, 15 Jun 2021 22:44:55 +0000
To: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Docket

I'm on [redacted (b)(6)]

From: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <[redacted (b)(6)]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:41 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Returned Notice Docket

Great, good job Scott.

Yes, I think we should [redacted (b)(5)]. I will talk to our DCIJ later in the week about creating a specialized docket [redacted (b)(5)]. So that May be coming as well.

When are your leave dates again? I will be up there late afternoon tomorrow but don't know what time I'll get to the office, it might even be in the evening. I'd ask that someone just double check that the RoP is in my office for the case Thursday morning.

Thanks again Scott, good job on this. dkm

On Jun 15, 2021, at 3:04 PM, McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Judge/Maria:

This afternoon Judge Caborn did our first returned notice in absentia docket. [redacted (b)(5)] [redacted (b)(5)] I scheduled 21 cases to it. Only 2 people appeared and were renoticed. One had to be reset because DHS had a different address for the respondent. One had to be reset because DHS didn't get the file in time and didn't have the I-213. The remaining 17 cases got removal orders. [redacted (b)(5)]

[redacted (b)(5)]
I can't start building more once I return from [redacted (b)(6)]

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 9 Aug 2021 19:47:32 +0000
To: Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Master

Let's advance the short matters to 8:30 once I can move the regular masters and do the returned notice docket at 10:30. It shouldn't take more than an hour.

From: Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:46 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Master

Whatever is easiest for you. That will bump against these short matters, but totally fine if you want me to move things around.

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:43 PM
To: Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Master

So, I can't set to 8:30 right now because the regular master is blocking the spot and I can't move it until next week and once it has been CFMd. I can set it at 10:30. Would that work?

From: Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:19 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Master

Yes. Starting at 8:30 if that's ok. Most of the things I have scheduled there are very short matters or status conferences.

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:17 PM
To: Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Master

Looks like you've already booked merits on the Wednesday mornings. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 12:05 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Master

Sure. If I could do them Wednesday mornings, that would be amazing.

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021 10:22 AM

To: Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)

Subject: Returned Notice Master

Judge:

You had volunteered to do a returned hearing notice special master a few weeks ago.

I would like to schedule a special master for you the 2nd or 3rd week of September.

Please let me know a date and time that works for you. It can be on one of your telework days if you are willing to come into the office instead of telework on that day.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel

Deputy Court Administrator

San Francisco Immigration Court

100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800

San Francisco, California 94104

415.705.0144

From: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Sent: Wed, 27 Oct 2021 23:31:13 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice of Hearing Dockets

Absolutely. Look [redacted] (b)(5)
[redacted] (b)(5)
[redacted] (b)(5) So I wanted it to be clear to them that I approved this.

Anyway, hope you are well Scott. I'm coming up there with [redacted] (b)(6)
[redacted] (b)(6) Daren

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 4:23 PM
To: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice of Hearing Dockets

Thanks for that. [redacted] (b)(5)
[redacted] (b)(5)
[redacted] (b)(5)

From: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:53 PM
To: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Cc: Roberts, Valerie (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice of Hearing Dockets

RDCIJ Daugherty, n/a for ADL.

Re: SFR, I did see the email traffic on this yesterday. For the record [redacted] (b)(5)
[redacted] (b)(5)
[redacted] (b)(5) Thanks. V/r, dkm

From: Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 3:48 PM
To: Feldman, Irene (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; Glaser-Allen, Colleen (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>
[redacted] (b)(6); Hitesman, Jonathan W. (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; Kaufman, Matthew W. (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; Laurent, Scott (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; Maselow-Nahas, Tara (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; Perry, Anne (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; Rooyani, Rodin (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>; Scala, Theresa M. (EOIR) <[redacted] (b)(6)>

Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)

(b)(6)

Subject: Returned Notice of Hearing Dockets

ACIJ's

(b)(5)

Thanks

Daniel "Doc" Daugherty
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge (Western Region)



Office

(b)(6)

Cell:

(b)(6)

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)
Sent: Wed, 16 Jun 2021 18:07:42 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Cc: Robison, Tanner (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Thank you for the clarification. I have openings on certain Friday afternoons to handle a special master docket.

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 7:52 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cc: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Judge:
(b)(5) We just pull them from the
(b)(5)
Thanks:
Scott

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 4:06 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Scott,

I am interested in conducting this type of "special master" (b)(5)
(b)(5)

Thanks,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:55 PM
To: Butler, Jeremy J. (EOIR) (b)(6) Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR)
(b)(6); Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR) (b)(6) Figueroa, Laura C. (EOIR)
(b)(6); Gambill, Scott D. (EOIR) (b)(6) George, Amber
D. (EOIR) (b)(6); Greene, Charles S. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Jackson, Jacqueline J. (EOIR) (b)(6) Johnson, Jeremiah (EOIR)
(b)(6) Kim, Samuel Y. (EOIR) (b)(6) Lake, Kelly B.
(EOIR) (b)(6); Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) (b)(6); Marks,
Dana (EOIR) (b)(6) Masterson, Jason R. (EOIR) (b)(6)

McCleskey, Loi L. (EOIR) (b)(6); Nelson, Julie L. (EOIR)
(b)(6); O'Brien, Patrick S. (EOIR) (b)(6) Park, Joseph Y.
(EOIR) (b)(6) Phan, Susan (EOIR) (b)(6) Picton, Cory M. (EOIR)
(b)(6); Price, Justin M (EOIR) (b)(6); Savage, Patrick S.
(EOIR) (b)(6) Simmons, Gregory L. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6) Swink, Arwen A. (EOIR)
(b)(6) Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>; Clay, Michael (EOIR)
<Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>

Subject: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Judges:

(b)(5)

(b)(5) DHS was notified and provided the court with I-213 packets ahead of time. Of the 21 cases scheduled, only 2 appeared and 2 more had to be reset due to address issues/DHS not having the file to provide the I-213. For the remaining cases he was able to do an in absentia removal order. If you are interested in conducting one or a few of these types of special masters in the August/September time frame, please let me know. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: Robison, Tanner (EOIR)
Sent: Wed, 16 Jun 2021 15:03:46 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR); Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

In the event respondent files a motion to reopen, does the case return to the original IJ's docket, or does it remain with the Judge who issues the in absentia?

*Tanner Robison
Legal Assistant
Executive Office for Immigration Review
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 315-4661*

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 7:52 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cc: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Judge:
(b)(6) We just pull them from the
(b)(5)
Thanks:
Scott

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 4:06 PM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Scott,

I am interested in conducting this type of "special master" (b)(5)
(b)(5)

Thanks,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:55 PM
To: Butler, Jeremy J. (EOIR) (b)(6); Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR)
(b)(6) Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR) (b)(6) Figueroa, Laura C. (EOIR)

(b)(6) >; Gambill, Scott D. (EOIR) (b)(6) George Amber
D. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Greene, Charles S. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Jackson, Jacqueline J. (EOIR) (b)(6) Johnson, Jeremiah (EOIR)
(b)(6) >; Kim, Samuel Y. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Lake, Kelly B.
(EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Marks,
Dana (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Masterson, Jason R. (EOIR) (b)(6)
McCleskey, Loi L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Nelson, Julie L. (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> O'Brien, Patrick S. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Park, Joseph Y.
(EOIR) (b)(6); Phan, Susan (EOIR) (b)(6) >; Picton, Cory M. (EOIR)
<(b)(6)> Price, Justin M (EOIR) <(b)(6)> >; Savage, Patrick S.
(EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Simmons, Gregory L. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6) Swink, Arwen A. (EOIR)
(b)(6) >; Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>; Clay, Michael (EOIR)
<Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>

Subject: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Judges:

(b)(5)

(b)(5) DHS was notified and provided the court with I-213 packets ahead of time. Of the 21 cases scheduled, only 2 appeared and 2 more had to be reset due to address issues/DHS not having the file to provide the I-213. For the remaining cases he was able to do an in absentia removal order. If you are interested in conducting one or a few of these types of special masters in the August/September time frame, please let me know. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: Phan, Susan (EOIR)
Sent: Tue, 15 Jun 2021 23:07:20 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Hi Scott,

Please let me know how I can help (b)(5)

As an aside, (b)(6)

Susan

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:55 PM
To: Butler, Jeremy J. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Figueroa, Laura C. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Gambill, Scott D. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> George, Amber D. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Greene, Charles S. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Jackson, Jacqueline J. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Johnson, Jeremiah (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Kim, Samuel Y. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Lake, Kelly B. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Marks, Dana (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Masterson, Jason R. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> McCleskey, Loi L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Nelson, Julie L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> O'Brien, Patrick S. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Park, Joseph Y. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Phan, Susan (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Picton, Cory M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Price, Justin M (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Savage, Patrick S. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Simmons, Gregory L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Swink, Arwen A. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>; Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Returned Notice Special Master Dockets

Judges:

(b)(5)

(b)(5) DHS was notified and provided the court with I-213 packets ahead of time. Of the 21 cases scheduled, only 2 appeared and 2 more had to be reset due to address issues/DHS not having the file to provide the I-213. For the remaining cases he was able to do an in absentia removal order. If you are interested in conducting one or a few of these types of special masters in the August/September time frame, please let me know. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)
Sent: Tue, 21 Sep 2021 15:42:04 +0000
To: Clay, Michael (EOIR)
Subject: RE: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Thank you, this info helps 😊

From: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 8:07 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <[REDACTED] (b)(6)>
Subject: RE: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Good Morning,

9 families/cases, 23 respondents total in total.

MC 😊

Michael Clay
Supervisor - In Court Legal Specialists
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
415-315-4626

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <[REDACTED] (b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 7:36 AM
To: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Michael – I am o [REDACTED] (b)(6) I want to have an idea of how many cases are scheduled for the special master. Do you know the number? Thanks.

From: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <[REDACTED] (b)(6)>; McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Will do, Amandy will be clerking them and I will be observing/assisting. I will have her get them up to you.

MC

Michael Clay

Supervisor - In Court Legal Specialists
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
415-315-4626

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 10:53 AM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>; Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Good morning,

I am teleworking (b)(6). If the special master cases can be placed in my office for review on Wednesday morning, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 1:35 PM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>; Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Interpreter Request, San Francisco (EOIR) <SanFrancisco.InterpreterRequest@EOIR.USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Good Afternoon:

Judge Star has agreed to hear a special master for unrepresented respondents with multiple returned undeliverable hearing notices. The intention of this master is (b)(5)

(b)(5)
(b)(5)
(b)(5) This master will be scheduled to occur on Wednesday, September 22, 2021 at 2:00pm. Michael will be scheduling the cases to the special master in the coming days and will hold the ROPs in his office. I will also be sending the docket to DHS and ask that they provide I-213s on each case should they wish to not appear in person under our standing telephonic appearance orders. By way of this email I request an interpreter be assigned to the docket.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104

415.705.0144

From: Robison, Tanner (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 20 Sep 2021 18:26:41 +0000
To: Clay, Michael (EOIR)
Subject: RE: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Yep.

I haven't received anything else specific to the masters, but if I do I'll throw them in the ROP's after Amandy brings them up.

*Tanner Robison
Legal Assistant
Executive Office for Immigration Review
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 315-4661*

From: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Oh great, you found the files in the cabinet in my office?

Michael Clay
Supervisor - In Court Legal Specialists
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
415-315-4626

From: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 10:57 AM
To: Clay, Michael (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Just a heads up; the 213's were filed last Friday in the afternoon.

I placed them in the ROP's Monday morning a little after 7:30am.

*Tanner Robison
Legal Assistant
Executive Office for Immigration Review
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 315-4661*

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 10:53 AM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>; Clay, Michael (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Good morning,

I am teleworking (b)(6) if the special master cases can be placed in my office for review on Wednesday morning, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Shadee

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 1:35 PM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>; Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Interpreter Request, San Francisco (EOIR) <SanFrancisco.InterpreterRequest@EOIR.USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: SMS: Special Master 9/22/2021 @ 2pm

Good Afternoon:

Judge Star has agreed to hear a special master for unrepresented respondents with multiple returned undeliverable hearing notices. The intention of this master (b)(5)

(b)(5)
(b)(5) This master will be scheduled to occur on Wednesday, September 22, 2021 at 2:00pm. Michael will be scheduling the cases to the special master in the coming days and will hold the ROPs in his office. I will also be sending the docket to DHS and ask that they provide I-213s on each case should they wish to not appear in person under our standing telephonic appearance orders. By way of this email I request an interpreter be assigned to the docket.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Sent: Wed, 28 Jul 2021 15:46:55 +0000
To: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR);McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Subject: Re: Special Master Update

Thank you Maria.

Elizabeth, Mary Cheng wants to send to Naleshia every Monday. dkm

On Jul 28, 2021, at 8:44 AM, Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Judge,

Here is the updated stats for the Specialized Docket which includes yesterday's completions. Thanks.

| Specialized Docket Running Totals | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| San Francisco Immigration Court | | | | | |
| 7/28/2021 | | | | | |
| Judge | Type of Specialized Docket | Hearing Date | # of cases scheduled | # of cases completed | Percentage |
| Caborn | Returned Notice Docket | 6/15/2021 1 | 21 | 17 | 81% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/23/2021 1 | 55 | 44 | 80% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/27/2021 1 | 100 | 79 | 79% |

Maria Jauregui
 Court Administrator
 San Francisco Immigration Court
 100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 415.705.0164
maria.jauregui@usdoj.gov

From: Nelson, Julie L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 8:09 AM
To: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)

<Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>; McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>

Subject: RE: Special Master Update

Thanks, Daren!

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Those are my observations so far!

Julie

From: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)

(b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 6:51 AM

To: Nelson, Julie L. (EOIR)

(b)(6)

Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)@usdoj.gov>; Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)

<Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>; McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>

Subject: Re: Special Master Update

Judge Julie (b)(5) Thank you for taking this on, Julie....

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Daren

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 27, 2021, at 6:54 PM, Nelson, Julie L. (EOIR) (b)(6) wrote:

Hello all,

I held a double session of special master hearings today, and here are the stats after the a.m. and p.m. session:

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Total Cases: | 100 |
| Dismissed by DHS: | 65 |
| Admin Closed with DHS non-opp: | 14 |
| Reset: | 21 |

Let me know if you need any more information! My next session is this Friday afternoon.

Thanks,

Julie L. Nelson
Immigration Judge
San Francisco Immigration Court
630 Sansome Street, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)
Sent: Thu, 28 Oct 2021 16:58:16 +0000
To: Robison, Tanner (EOIR)
Subject: RE: special masters
Attachments: Special master order.docx

As of Monday when I did a quick review of the files, DHS had not filed docs. I was hoping the filings would have been submitted yesterday. If not yesterday, then hopefully they will file today. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Also, please see attached a draft scheduling order I modified from a version IJ Young recently sent the judges. Please let me know if this works. Thanks.

From: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 9:53 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: special masters

I didn't receive anything yesterday afternoon, and (b)(5)

(b)(5)

I'll have to check tomorrow, and see what's in there.

*Tanner Robison
Legal Assistant
Executive Office for Immigration Review
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 315-4661*

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 9:45 AM
To: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: special masters

Sounds great, thanks.

Also, for tomorrow's in absentia master, (b)(5)

From: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 9:43 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: special masters

Sounds good Judge.

I can call the parties for the November 5th Masters and give them the heads up.

Maybe we can [REDACTED] (b)(5)

[REDACTED] (b)(5)

Tanner Robison
Legal Assistant
Executive Office for Immigration Review
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 315-4661

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 9:33 AM
To: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: special masters

Hi Tanner,

Other than the Dedicated Docket, we are not supposed to be conducting in-person masters. The parties for our special masters need to be notified that the hearings will be done telephonically. They will be done through Open Voice and may need the instructions to connect.

Shadee M. Star
Immigration Judge
San Francisco Immigration Court

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
UNITED STATES IMMIGRATION COURT
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

On Behalf of the Respondent:

On Behalf of the Department:

Assistant Chief Counsel
Office of the Chief Counsel
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 200
San Francisco, California 94104

In the matter of

File Number: A

Respondent

ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

A **telephonic SPECIAL MASTER** calendar hearing has been scheduled in this case on _____, at _____.

IT IS ORDERED that the parties confer in advance of the hearing to determine the status of the case and whether dismissal of the proceedings is appropriate either in the exercise of prosecutorial discretion, or for Respondent to seek relief before USCIS; whether Respondent has requested administrative closure and DHS' position on administratively close the matter; or whether the parties wish to move forward with an application in Court. Counsel for the parties are strongly encouraged to file a joint motion or unopposed motion in advance of the scheduled hearing if the parties reach an agreement regarding the status of the case.

Respondent's presence is waived for this telephonic special master calendar only. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.25(a). At the time of the hearing, counsels for the parties shall call in to the Court's Open Voice conference call line with the following information:

Call-in #: 1-888-585-9008
Conference Room #: _____

SO ORDERED.

DATE: _____

Shadee M. Star
Immigration Judge

THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVICED BY: MAIL PERSONAL SERVICE

TO: RESP. RESP. c/o Custodial Officer RESP'S ATT/REP DHS

DATE: _____ BY: COURT STAFF _____

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR)
Sent: Thu, 28 Oct 2021 17:54:20 +0000
To: Robison, Tanner (EOIR)
Subject: RE: special masters

Thanks – I am guessing that there won't be a whole lot of traffic in court since it's an in absentia master
bu [REDACTED] (b)(5)

From: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 10:50 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) <[REDACTED] (b)(6)>
Subject: RE: special masters

Okay, I'll leave them on docket. Think it was only two cases anyways.

*Tanner Robison
Legal Assistant
Executive Office for Immigration Review
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 315-4661*

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 10:38 AM
To: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: special masters

[REDACTED] (b)(5)

From: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 10:31 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Subject: RE: special masters

We'll get through the Master [REDACTED] (b)(5) looks like some Attorneys have filed E-28's so I'm trying to confirm I can pull the cases off docket.

Order looks good Judge. I can fill out the blank parts, and use your signature stamp to send those orders out tomorrow for your November 5th Masters.

*Tanner Robison
Legal Assistant
Executive Office for Immigration Review
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800*

*San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 315-4661*

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 9:58 AM
To: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: special masters

As of Monday when I did a quick review of the files, (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Also, please see attached a draft scheduling order I modified from a version IJ Young recently sent the judges. Please let me know if this works. Thanks.

From: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 9:53 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: special masters

I didn't receive anything yesterday afternoon, and (b)(5)

(b)(5)

I'll have to check tomorrow, and see what's in there.

*Tanner Robison
Legal Assistant
Executive Office for Immigration Review
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 315-4661*

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 9:45 AM
To: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: special masters

Sounds great, thanks.

Also, for tomorrow's in absentia master, (b)(5)

From: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 9:43 AM
To: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: special masters

Sounds good Judge.

I can call the parties for the November 5th Masters and give them the heads up.

Maybe we can [REDACTED] (b)(5)

[REDACTED] (b)(5)

Tanner Robison
Legal Assistant
Executive Office for Immigration Review
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 315-4661

From: Star, Shadee M. (EOIR) [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 9:33 AM
To: Robison, Tanner (EOIR) <Tanner.Robison@usdoj.gov>
Subject: special masters

Hi Tanner,

Other than the Dedicated Docket, we are not supposed to be conducting in-person masters. The parties for our special masters need to be notified that the hearings will be done telephonically. They will be done through Open Voice and may need the instructions to connect.

Shadee M. Star
Immigration Judge
San Francisco Immigration Court

From: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)
Sent: Tue, 27 Jul 2021 16:52:41 +0000
To: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR)
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR);McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Subject: RE: Specialized dockets
Attachments: SFR specialized docket log.xlsx

Here you go. I added in the June returned notice results as well. Thanks

Maria Jauregui
Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.705.0164
Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov

From: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 8:58 AM
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>; Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Subject: FW: Specialized dockets

Scott/Maria – Can you please complete the below report and send to me for the docket that IJ Nelson completed last week. I believe that RDCIJ Cheng wants (b)(5) Thank you, dkm

From: Cheng, Mary (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2021 6:27 AM
To: Owens, Alfredia (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Lampley-Fortson, Joy (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Jones, David M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Holmes-Simmons, Theresa H. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Kaufman, Matthew W. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Seppanen, Christopher R. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Barry, Anne (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Rooyani, Rodin (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Miller, Jeffrey S. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Laurent, Scott (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Sukkar, Elisa (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Dillow, Eric (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Hoogasian, Amy C (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Glaser-Allen, Colleen (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Hitesman, Jonathan W. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Scala, Theresa M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Feldman, Irene (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Sanchez, Jose A. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McCarthy III, James F. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Crooks, Grady (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Cheng, David (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Mart, H. Kevin (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>; Johnson, Hunter (EOIR) <Hunter.Johnson@usdoj.gov>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>

Mary Cheng
Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Cheng, Mary (EOIR)

Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 11:15 AM

To: Owens, Alfredia (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Lampley-Fortson, Joy (EOIR) <(b)(6)>;
(b)(6)>; Jones, David M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Holmes-Simmons, Theresa
H. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) <(b)(6)>;
Kaufman, Matthew W. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Seppanen, Christopher R.. (EOIR)
(b)(6)>; Bartolomei, Jr. Rico (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Perry,
Anne (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) <(b)(6)>;
Rooyani, Rodin (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Miller, Jeffrey S. (EOIR)
<(b)(6)>; Laurent, Scott (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Sukkar, Elisa (EOIR)
<(b)(6)>; Willow, Eric (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Hoogasian, Amy C (EOIR)
<(b)(6)>; Hitesman, Jonathan W. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>;
Scala, Theresa M. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Feldman, Irene (EOIR)
<(b)(6)>; Sanchez, Jose A. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; McCarthy III,
James F. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Crooks, Grady (EOIR) <(b)(6)>;
Cheng, David (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Mart, H. Kevin (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>; Johnson, Hunter (EOIR)
<Hunter.Johnson@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Specialized dockets

Judges,

I inadvertently left off the specialized docket chart from March 2020. Thanks,

Mary Cheng
Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

From: Cheng, Mary (EOIR)
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 2:57 PM
To: Owens, Alfredia (EOIR) (b)(6); Lampley-Fortson, Joy (EOIR) (b)(6);
(b)(6) Jones, David M. (EOIR) (b)(6); Holmes-Simmons, Theresa
H. (EOIR) (b)(6) McNulty, Sheila (EOIR) (b)(6)
Kaufman, Matthew W. (EOIR) (b)(6) Seppanen, Christopher R.. (EOIR)
(b)(6); Bartolomei, Jr. Rico (EOIR) (b)(6); Perry,
Anne (EOIR) (b)(6); Daugherty, Daniel J (EOIR) (b)(6);
Rooyani, Rodin (EOIR) (b)(6); Miller, Jeffrey S. (EOIR)
(b)(6) Laurent, Scott (EOIR) (b)(6) Sukkar, Elisa (EOIR)
(b)(6) How, Eric (EOIR) (b)(6) Hoogasian, Amy C (EOIR)
(b)(6); Hitesman, Jonathan W. (EOIR) (b)(6);
Scala, Theresa M. (EOIR) (b)(6); Feldman, Irene (EOIR)
(b)(6) Sanchez, Jose A. (EOIR) (b)(6) McCarthy III,
James F. (EOIR) (b)(6) Crooks, Grady (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cheng, David (EOIR) (b)(6); Mart, H. Kevin (EOIR) (b)(6)
Cc: Spencer, Naleshia T. (EOIR) (CTR) <Naleshia.T.Spencer@usdoj.gov>; Johnson, Hunter (EOIR)
<Hunter.Johnson@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Specialized dockets
Importance: High

Judges,

Good afternoon. Please see the attached chart from March 2020 on specialized dockets. In the past, we identified AOS, VD, 42bs, aged cases and FGM asylum cases without a one year bar for these specialized dockets. I would like you to start reviewing how you can begin to create specialized dockets to hear these cases. (b)(5)

(b)(5) The list I provided as to the types of cases to be placed on a specialized docket is not exhaustive, but (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Many of your courts have Web-Ex capabilities, therefore, please consider creating these dockets to be heard virtually. Attached is a list of pending 42b and AOS/245 cases pending at your courts. Please let me know the number of judges to be assigned to these dockets, the number of cases scheduled, and the number of days needed to implement these changes. The range cannot exceed 45 days.

Naleshia is copied on this email. Please send all responses to her by Wednesday (3/24), 12pm EST.

Mary Cheng
Deputy Chief Immigration Judge



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by Federal and State law governing electronic communications, and may contain sensitive or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that

any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy this message.

Specialized Docket Running Totals

San Francisco Immigration Court

Updated 7/27/21

| Judge | Type of Specialized Docket | Hearing Date | # of cases scheduled | # of cases completed | Percentage |
|--------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Caborn | Returned Notice Docket | 6/15/2021 | 21 | 17 | 81% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/23/2021 | 55 | 44 | 80% |

From: Phan, Susan (EOIR)
Sent: Tue, 17 Aug 2021 18:29:53 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Subject: RE: SPN: Special Master 9/28/21 @ 9am

Thanks Scott!

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2021 8:42 AM
To: Phan, Susan (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Tran, Anna (EOIR) <Anna.Tran@usdoj.gov>; Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>; Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Clay, Michael (EOIR) <Michael.Clay2@usdoj.gov>; Interpreter Request, San Francisco (EOIR) <SanFrancisco.InterpreterRequest@EOIR.USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: SPN: Special Master 9/28/21 @ 9am

Good Morning:

Judge Phan has agreed to hear a special master for unrepresented respondents with multiple returned undeliverable hearing notices. The intention of this master is (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5) This master will be scheduled to occur on Tuesday, September 28, 2021 at 9:00am. Michael will be scheduling the cases to the special master in the coming days and will hold the ROPs in his office. I will also be sending the docket to DHS and ask that they provide I-213s on each case should they wish to not appear in person under our standing telephonic appearance orders. By way of this email I request an interpreter be assigned to the docket.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Thu, 17 Jun 2021 23:08:24 +0000
To: Swink, Arwen A. (EOIR); Figueroa, Laura C. (EOIR); Star, Shadee M. (EOIR); Greene, Charles S. (EOIR); Phan, Susan (EOIR); Savage, Patrick S. (EOIR); Marks, Dana (EOIR); Deiss, Ila C. (EOIR); Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR); Johnson, Jeremiah (EOIR)
Cc: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR); Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR); Clay, Michael (EOIR)
Subject: Returned Notice Special Master **UPDATE**

Good Afternoon:

In the short time I had remaining before I go (b)(6) was only able to create two of these specialized masters: Judge Swink on 8/4 @ 2pm and Judge Figueroa on 8/9 @ 1pm. When I return from (b)(6) I will set up additional dockets with those remaining IJs that asked to participate.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: [McDaniel, Scott \(EOIR\)](#)
To: [Caborn, Andrew J. \(EOIR\)](#)
Cc: [Margolin, Daren K. \(EOIR\)](#); [Jauregui, Maria \(EOIR\)](#)
Subject: RE: In Absentia Masters
Date: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 3:51:09 PM

I'll set it up for 6/15 at 1pm. Thanks so much. We'll try one, see how it goes, and go from there.

From: Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 3:50 PM
To: [McDaniel, Scott \(EOIR\)](#) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: [Margolin, Daren K. \(EOIR\)](#) <(b)(6)>; [Jauregui, Maria \(EOIR\)](#) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: In Absentia Masters

Yes either is good for me. Also happy to do both if you like.

From: [McDaniel, Scott \(EOIR\)](#) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 3:44 PM
To: [Caborn, Andrew J. \(EOIR\)](#) <(b)(6)>
Cc: [Margolin, Daren K. \(EOIR\)](#) <(b)(6)>; [Jauregui, Maria \(EOIR\)](#) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: In Absentia Masters

Would you be willing to come in on 6/14 or 6/15 for a half a day to try this out?

From: [Caborn, Andrew J. \(EOIR\)](#) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 3:39 PM
To: [McDaniel, Scott \(EOIR\)](#) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Cc: [Margolin, Daren K. \(EOIR\)](#) <(b)(6)>; [Jauregui, Maria \(EOIR\)](#) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: In Absentia Masters

Hi Scott,

Yes, I'm happy to do special masters. I can't think of any issues other than (b)(5)

(b)(5)

prepared beforehand we should be good.

Thanks,
Andrew

From: [McDaniel, Scott \(EOIR\)](#) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 3:14 PM

To: Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR) <Andrew.J.Caborn@usdoj.gov>

Cc: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR) <(b)(6)> Jauregui, Maria (EOIR) <Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov>

Subject: In Absentia Masters

Judge:

Judge Margolin has given me the green light to (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5) What are your thoughts on this?

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: [Velasco-Escobar, Magaly \(EOIR\) \(CTR\)](#)
To: [Young, Elizabeth L. \(EOIR\)](#)
Cc: [Caborn, Andrew J. \(EOIR\)](#)
Subject: RE: Returned Docket Notice
Date: Thursday, November 4, 2021 11:46:01 AM

Hi Judge,

6 continued

1 COV

16 removed in ausencia

Magaly Velasco-Escobar

Management Analyst, CGI Federal
Executive Office for Immigration Review
San Francisco Immigration Court
415.705.0139

From: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR) <[REDACTED] (b)(6)>
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 11:27 AM
To: Caborn, Andrew J. (EOIR) <Andrew.J.Caborn@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Velasco-Escobar, Magaly (EOIR) (CTR) <Magaly.Velasco-Escobar@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Returned Docket Notice

Judge Caborn and Magaly:

I need to track numbers for the masters we are holding in terms of how many cases are resolved and how many cases are continued to another day – do you have the numbers from yesterday's masters?

ELY

Elizabeth L. Young
Assistant Chief Immigration Judge
San Francisco Immigration Court
Govt. cell – [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Office – [REDACTED] (b)(6)

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Thu, 23 Sep 2021 22:08:35 +0000
To: All of San Francisco (EOIR)
Cc: Samusi, Daniela (EOIR) (INT); Roberts, Valerie (EOIR); Halperin, Ravit R. (EOIR); Walker, Nathaniel B. (EOIR); Bouwhuis, Brittany (EOIR) (CTR)
Subject: SFR Master Calendar Clerking Schedule **OCTOBER 2021**
Attachments: SFR MC Clerking Assignments 2021.xlsx

Good Afternoon:

With our larger reopening plan that became effective this month we were advised by OCIJ that w [redacted] (b)(5) For the month of September we are conducting the following specialized masters:

- **Prosecutorial Discretion Masters:** These are being heard by Judges Young, Nelson and George and are largely being addressed via motions. [redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5) These masters appear in the spreadsheet **bolded in green**.

- **Special Masters (CFM):** A few judges have started to schedule special masters to deal with legal issues that have arisen as a result of the CFM orders sent on the cases. [redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5) These masters appear in unbolded black on the calendar.

- **Returned Hearing Notice Masters:** These are being heard by a group of volunteer IJs. The cases are *pro se* respondents with multiple returned hearing notices. [redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5) The assignments for October are listed on the attachment **bolded in black**.

- **Dedicated Docket** [redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(5) The two immigration judges assisting us with this project are: Judge Ravit Halperin (RRH) and Judge Nathaniel Walker (NBW). These masters appear in the spreadsheet **bolded in red**. Some additional points regarding the Dedicated Docket:

[redacted] (b)(5)

(b)(5)

- **Interpreting the spreadsheet:** The first listed name assigned to a master calendar is the person that will clerk the calendar. If there is a “/” followed by a second or third name that is an indication of who is observing and being trained by either Michael or myself.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

OCTOBER 2021 Master Calendar Schedule

| Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | 1-Oct |
| | | | | 8:30 RRH SYMKOWICK 1:00 RRH BEEL 1:00 JYB LIANG |
| 4-Oct | 5-Oct | 6-Oct | 7-Oct | 8-Oct |
| 8:30 NBW TRAN 1:00 NBW WILLIAMS, D. | 8:30 NBW DANG 1:00 NBW MOORE | 8:30 RRH GADAR 1:00 JYB LIANG | 8:30 RRH HUI 8:30 ELY MURPHY 9:30 PSS MARGOLIS 1:00 RRH SYMKOWICK 1:00 ELY MURPHY | 8:30 RRH VELASCO 1:00 RRH BEEL 1:00 SMS ARCEO |
| 11-Oct | 12-Oct | 13-Oct | 14-Oct | 15-Oct |
| | 8:30 NBW DANG 1:00 NBW HUI | 8:30 RRH ROBISON 10:00 ICD GADAR | 8:30 RRH TRAN 8:30 ELY MURPHY 1:00 RRH LONG | 8:30 RRH SYMKOWICK 8:30 ADG LIANG 8:30 SYK ROBISON 1:00 RRH BEEL 1:00 JJJ VELASCO |
| 18-Oct | 19-Oct | 20-Oct | 21-Oct | 22-Oct |
| 8:30 NBW TRAN 8:30 AJC LONG 1:00 NBW WILLIAMS, D. | 8:30 NBW DANG 1:00 NBW MOORE 1:00 ELY MURPHY | 8:30 RRH GADAR | 8:30 RRH MARGOLIS 1:00 RRH CLAY/WILLIAMS, J. | 8:30 RRH VELASCO 1:00 RRH BEEL |
| 25-Oct | 26-Oct | 27-Oct | 28-Oct | 29-Oct |
| 8:30 NBW MARGOLIS 1:00 NBW TRAN | 8:30 NBW VELASCO 1:00 NBW HUI 2:00 SPN SYMKOWICK | 8:30 RRH MARGOLIS 10:00 ICD MOORE | 8:30 RRH LONG 1:00 RRH CLAY/WILLIAMS, J. | 8:30 RRH VELASCO 8:30 SYK LIANG 1:00 RRH ARCEO 2:00 SMS ROBISON |

LAS
ARCEO
BEEL
DANG
GADAR
HUI
LIANG
LONG
MARGOLIS
MOORE
MURPHY
ROBISON
SYMKOWICK
TRAN
VELASCO
WILLIAMS, D.
WILLIAMS, J.

LEAVE

(b)(6)

TELEWORK

(b)(6)

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Fri, 20 Aug 2021 16:30:12 +0000
To: All of San Francisco (EOIR)
Cc: Halperin, Ravit R. (EOIR); Walker, Nathaniel B. (EOIR); Roberts, Valerie (EOIR); Bouwhuis, Brittany (EOIR) (CTR)
Subject: SFR Master Calendar Clerking Schedule **SEPTEMBER 2021**
Attachments: SFR MC Clerking Assignments 2021.xlsx

Good Afternoon:

With our larger reopening plan that became effective this month we were advised by OCIJ that we must start conducting specialized master calendars. For the month of September we are conducting the following specialized masters:

- **Prosecutorial Discretion Masters:** These are being heard by Judges Young, Nelson and George and are largely being addressed via motions. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

These masters appear in the spreadsheet **bolded in green**.

- **Returned Hearing Notice Masters:** These are being heard by a group of volunteer IJs. The cases are *pro se* respondents with multiple returned hearing notices. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

The assignments for September are listed on the attachment **bolded in black**.

- **Dedicated Docket:** (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

The two immigration judges assisting us with this project are: Judge Ravit Halperin (RRH) and Judge Nathaniel Walker (NBW). Judge Halperin's cases will continue through September. Judge Walker will begin on September 13th. These masters appear in the spreadsheet **bolded in red**. Some additional points regarding the Dedicated Docket:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

- **Interpreting the spreadsheet:** The first listed name assigned to a master calendar is the person that will clerk the calendar. If there is a “/” followed by a second or third name that is an indication of who is observing and being trained by either Michael or myself.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

SEPTEMBER 2021 Master Calendar Schedule

| Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | 1-Sep | 2-Sep | 3-Sep |
| | | 8:30 RRH HUI 2:00 AAS DANG/CLAY | 8:30 RRH LONG MARGOLIS/CLAY/ WILLIAMS J 1:00 RRH MOORE | 8:30 RRH MCDANIEL/VELASCO 1:00 RRH MCDANIEL/LIANG |
| 6-Sep | 7-Sep | 8-Sep | 9-Sep | 10-Sep |
| HOLIDAY | | 8:30 RRH CLAY/DANG | 8:30 RRH CLAY/MARGOLIS 1:00 RRH WILLIAMS D 1:00 ELY MURPHY/CLAY | 8:30 RRH AQUINO 1:00 RRH SYMKOWICK |
| 13-Sep | 14-Sep | 15-Sep | 16-Sep | 17-Sep |
| 8:30 NBW MURPHY 1:00 NBW CLAY | 8:30 NBW LONG 1:00 NBW HUI | 8:30 RRH TRAN 10:30 ICD GADAR/CLAY | 8:30 RRH CLAY/WILLIAMS J 1:00 RRH MARGOLIS | 8:30 RRH LIANG 1:00 RRH VELASCO |
| 20-Sep | 21-Sep | 22-Sep | 23-Sep | 24-Sep |
| 8:30 NBW MCDANIEL/ARCEO 1:00 NBW CLAY/BEEL | 8:30 NBW WILLIAMS D 1:00 NBW SYMKOWICK | 8:30 RRH CLAY/GADAR 2:00 SMS LIANG/CLAY | 8:30 RRH MOORE 1:00 RRH TRAN | 8:30 RRH WILLIAMS J 1:00 RRH SYMKOWICK |
| 27-Sep | 28-Sep | 29-Sep | 30-Sep | |
| 8:30 NBW ARCEO 1:00 NBW TRAN 1:00 ADG MURPHY | 8:30 NBW MOORE 9:00 SPN BEEL/CLAY 1:00 NBW LONG | 8:30 RRH HUI 8:30 AJC VELASCO/CLAY | 8:30 RRH DANG 1:00 RRH GADAR | |

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Thu, 2 Sep 2021 15:08:29 +0000
To: All of San Francisco (EOIR)
Cc: Halperin, Ravit R. (EOIR);Bouwhuis, Brittany (EOIR) (CTR);Roberts, Valerie (EOIR)
Subject: SFR Master Calendar Clerking Schedule **SEPTEMBER 2021** - updated 9/2/21
Attachments: SFR MC Clerking Assignments 2021.xlsx

Good Morning:

Judge Halperin's masters for September 16th have been cancelled and reset for the afternoons of September 22nd and September 29th. As a result, and given in court vs. telework schedules, a few changes in assignments have been made. Please refer to the attached master calendar clerking schedule and discard all previous versions.

Thanks:
Scott

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2021 9:30 AM
To: All of San Francisco (EOIR) <All_of_SanFrancisco@EOIR.USDOJ.GOV>
Cc: Halperin, Ravit R. (EOIR) <(b)(6)>; Walker, Nathaniel B. (EOIR) <Nathaniel.B.Walker@usdoj.gov>; Roberts, Valerie (EOIR) <Valerie.Roberts@usdoj.gov>; Bouwhuis, Brittany (EOIR) (CTR) <Brittany.Bouwhuis@usdoj.gov>
Subject: SFR Master Calendar Clerking Schedule **SEPTEMBER 2021**

Good Afternoon:

With our larger reopening plan that became effective this month we were advised by OCIJ that we must start conducting specialized master calendars. For the month of September we are conducting the following specialized masters:

- **Prosecutorial Discretion Masters:** These are being heard by Judges Young, Nelson and George and are largely being addressed via motions. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5) These masters appear in the spreadsheet **bolded in green.**

- **Returned Hearing Notice Masters:** These are being heard by a group of volunteer IJs. The cases are *pro se* respondents with multiple returned hearing notices. (b)(5)

(b)(5) The assignments for September are listed on the attachment **bolded in black.**

- **Dedicated Docket:** (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5) The two immigration judges assisting us with this project are: Judge Ravit Halperin (RRH) and Judge Nathaniel Walker (NBW). Judge Halperin's cases will continue through September. Judge Walker will begin on September 13th. These masters appear in the spreadsheet **bolded in red**. Some additional points regarding the Dedicated Docket:

(b)(5)

- **Interpreting the spreadsheet:** The first listed name assigned to a master calendar is the person that will clerk the calendar. If there is a “/” followed by a second or third name that is an indication of who is observing and being trained by either Michael or myself.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

SEPTEMBER 2021 Master Calendar Schedule

| Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | 1-Sep | 2-Sep | 3-Sep |
| | | 8:30 RRH HUI 2:00 AAS DANG/CLAY | 8:30 RRH LONG MARGOLIS/CLAY/ WILLIAMS J SYMROWICK 8:30 PSS 1:00 RRH | 8:30 RRH MCDANIEL/VELASCO 1:00 RRH MCDANIEL/LIANG |
| 6-Sep | 7-Sep | 8-Sep | 9-Sep | 10-Sep |
| HOLIDAY | | 8:30 RRH CLAY/DANG | 8:30 RRH CLAY/MARGOLIS 1:00 RRH WILLIAMS D 1:00 ELY MURPHY/CLAY | 8:30 RRH AQUINO 1:00 RRH SYMKOWICK |
| 13-Sep | 14-Sep | 15-Sep | 16-Sep | 17-Sep |
| 8:30 NBW MURPHY 1:00 NBW CLAY | 8:30 NBW LONG 1:00 NBW HUI | 8:30 RRH TRAN 10:30 ICD GADAR/CLAY | | 8:30 RRH SYMKOWICK 1:00 RRH VELASCO |
| 20-Sep | 21-Sep | 22-Sep | 23-Sep | 24-Sep |
| 8:30 NBW MCDANIEL/ARCEO 1:00 NBW CLAY/BEEL | 8:30 NBW WILLIAMS D 1:00 NBW MOORE | 8:30 RRH CLAY/GADAR 1:00 RRH MARGOLIS 2:00 SMS LIANG/CLAY | 8:30 RRH MOORE 1:00 RRH TRAN | 8:30 RRH AQUINO 1:00 RRH LIANG |
| 27-Sep | 28-Sep | 29-Sep | 30-Sep | |
| 8:30 NBW ARCEO 1:00 NBW TRAN 1:00 ADG MURPHY | 8:30 NBW MOORE 9:00 SPN BEEL/CLAY 1:00 NBW LONG | 8:30 RRH HUI 8:30 AJC VELASCO/CLAY 1:00 RRH GADAR | 8:30 RRH DANG 1:00 RRH CLAY/WILLIAMS J | |

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Mon, 23 Aug 2021 15:43:37 +0000
To: All of San Francisco (EOIR)
Cc: Halperin, Ravit R. (EOIR); Walker, Nathaniel B. (EOIR); Roberts, Valerie (EOIR); Bouwhuis, Brittany (EOIR) (CTR)
Subject: SFR Master Calendar Clerking Schedule **SEPTEMBER 2021**
Attachments: SFR MC Clerking Assignments 2021.xlsx

UPDATE:

(b)(5)

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR) <Scott.McDaniel@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2021 9:30 AM
To: All of San Francisco (EOIR) <All_of_SanFrancisco@EOIR.USDOJ.GOV>
Cc: Halperin, Ravit R. (EOIR) (b)(6) Walker, Nathaniel B. (EOIR) <Nathaniel.B.Walker@usdoj.gov>; Roberts, Valerie (EOIR) <Valerie.Roberts@usdoj.gov>; Bouwhuis, Brittany (EOIR) (CTR) <Brittany.Bouwhuis@usdoj.gov>
Subject: SFR Master Calendar Clerking Schedule **SEPTEMBER 2021**

Good Afternoon:

With our larger reopening plan that became effective this month we were advised by OCIJ that we must start conducting specialized master calendars. For the month of September we are conducting the following specialized masters:

- **Prosecutorial Discretion Masters:** These are being heard by Judges Young, Nelson and George and are largely being addressed via motions. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5) These masters appear in the spreadsheet **bolded in green.**

- **Returned Hearing Notice Masters:** These are being heard by a group of volunteer IJs. The cases are *pro se* respondents with multiple returned hearing notices. (b)(5)


(b)(5) The assignments for September are listed on the attachment **bolded in black.**

- **Dedicated Docket** (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5) The

two immigration judges assisting us with this project are: Judge Ravit Halperin (RRH) and Judge Nathaniel Walker (NBW). Judge Halperin's cases will continue through September. Judge Walker will begin on September 13th. These masters appear in the spreadsheet **bolded in red**. Some additional points regarding the Dedicated Docket:



- **Interpreting the spreadsheet:** The first listed name assigned to a master calendar is the person that will clerk the calendar. If there is a "/" followed by a second or third name that is an indication of who is observing and being trained by either Michael or myself.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

SEPTEMBER 2021 Master Calendar Schedule

| Monday | | Tuesday | | Wednesday | | Thursday | | Friday | |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | 1-Sep | | 2-Sep | | 3-Sep | |
| | | | | 8:30 RRH HUI | | 8:30 RRH LONG | MARGOLIS/CLAY/ WILLIAMS J | 8:30 RRH MCDANIEL/VELASCO | |
| | | | | 2:00 AAS DANG/CLAY | | 8:30 PSS SYMKOWICK | | 1:00 RRH MCDANIEL/LIANG | |
| 6-Sep | | 7-Sep | | 8-Sep | | 9-Sep | | 10-Sep | |
| HOLIDAY | | | | 8:30 RRH CLAY/DANG | | 8:30 RRH CLAY/MARGOLIS | | 8:30 RRH AQUINO | |
| | | | | | | 1:00 RRH WILLIAMS D | | 1:00 RRH SYMKOWICK | |
| | | | | | | 1:00 ELY MURPHY/CLAY | | | |
| 13-Sep | | 14-Sep | | 15-Sep | | 16-Sep | | 17-Sep | |
| 8:30 NBW MURPHY | | 8:30 NBW LONG | | 8:30 RRH TRAN | | 8:30 RRH CLAY/WILLIAMS J | | 8:30 RRH SYMKOWICK | |
| 1:00 NBW CLAY | | 1:00 NBW HUI | | 10:30 ICD GADAR/CLAY | | 1:00 RRH MARGOLIS | | 1:00 RRH VELASCO | |
| 20-Sep | | 21-Sep | | 22-Sep | | 23-Sep | | 24-Sep | |
| 8:30 NBW MCDANIEL/ARCEO | | 8:30 NBW WILLIAMS D | | 8:30 RRH CLAY/GADAR | | 8:30 RRH MOORE | | 8:30 RRH WILLIAMS J | |
| 1:00 NBW CLAY/BEEL | | 1:00 NBW MOORE | | 2:00 SMS LIANG/CLAY | | 1:00 RRH TRAN | | 1:00 RRH LIANG | |
| 27-Sep | | 28-Sep | | 29-Sep | | 30-Sep | | | |
| 8:30 NBW ARCEO | | 8:30 NBW MOORE | | 8:30 RRH HUI | | 8:30 RRH DANG | | | |
| 1:00 NBW TRAN | | 9:00 SPN BEEL/CLAY | | 8:30 AJC VELASCO/CLAY | | | | | |
| 1:00 ADG MURPHY | | 1:00 NBW LONG | | | | 1:00 RRH GADAR | | | |

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Thu, 21 Oct 2021 00:12:08 +0000
To: All of San Francisco (EOIR)
Subject: SFR master Calendar Clerking Schedule ****NOVEMBER 2021****
Attachments: SFR MC Clerking Assignments 2021.xlsx

Good Afternoon:

PLEASE READ THIS EMAIL IN ITS ENTIRETY. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF CHANGES AFFECTING THE DD DOCKET. For the month of November we are conducting the following specialized masters:

- **Prosecutorial Discretion Masters:** These are being heard by Judges Young, Butler, Phan and Deiss and are largely being addressed via motions. (b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6) These masters appear in the spreadsheet **bolded in green.**

- **Special Masters (CFM):** We are no longer providing in court legal specialist assistance for these masters. Judges should provide their assigned legal assistant/legal specialist instruction on next steps for the cases after the hearing.
- **Returned Hearing Notice Masters:** There is only one of these dockets in November (Judge Caborn). The cases are *pro se* respondents with multiple returned hearing notices. . The assignments for November is listed on the attachment **bolded in black.**
- **Dedicated Docket:** (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5) The two immigration judges assisting us with this project are: Judge Ravit Halperin (RRH) and Judge Nathaniel Walker (NBW). **We have had to expand the Dedicated Docket to 3 additional judges starting in November given the volume of matters scheduled to SFR. Judges Phan, Kirchner and Seminerio will begin daily DD dockets in November.** These masters appear in the spreadsheet **bolded in red.** Some additional points regarding the Dedicated Docket:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

- **Interpreting the spreadsheet:** The first listed name assigned to a master calendar is the person that will clerk the calendar. If there is a “/” followed by a second or third name that is an indication of who is observing and being trained by either Michael or myself.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel

Deputy Court Administrator

San Francisco Immigration Court

100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800

San Francisco, California 94104

415.705.0144

NOVEMBER 2021 Master Calendar Schedule

| Monday | | Tuesday | | Wednesday | | Thursday | | Friday | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1-Nov | | 2-Nov | | 3-Nov | | 4-Nov | | 5-Nov | |
| 8:30 NBW 8:30 SPN | BEEL TRAN | 8:30 NBW | DANG | 8:30 RRH 8:30 SPN 8:30 ICD 9:00 AJC | HUI TRAN LONG VELASCO | 8:30 RRH 8:30 SPN 8:30 ELY | ROSAL LONG MURPHY | 8:30 RRH | GADAR |
| 1:00 SPN | LONG | 1:00 NBW 2:00 SPN | MOORE ROBISON | 1:00 RRH 1:00 SPN | MANSFIELD SYMKOWICK | 1:00 RRH 1:00 SPN 1:00 ELY 1:30 JYB | ARCEO HUI MURPHY LIANG | 1:00 RRH | MARGOLIS |
| 8-Nov | | 9-Nov | | 10-Nov | | 11-Nov | | 12-Nov | |
| 8:30 NBW 8:30 SPN | BEEL HUI | 8:30 NBW 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK 8:30 JYB | DANG ROBISON GADAR LIANG | 8:30 RRH 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK 8:30 SPN 8:30 ICD 1:00 SMK 1:00 SPN | MANSFIELD WILLIAMS / CLAY HUI MURPHY LONG VELASCO MOORE | HOLIDAY | | | |
| 1:00 NBW 1:00 SPN | MANSFIELD MOORE | 1:00 NBW 1:00 FAS 1:00 SMK 1:00 SPN | ARCEO SYMKOWICK MARGOLIS VELASCO | | | | | | |
| 15-Nov | | 16-Nov | | 17-Nov | | 18-Nov | | 19-Nov | |
| 8:30 NBW 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK 8:30 SPN | MOORE ROBISON GADAR BEEL | 8:30 NBW 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK 8:30 JYB | DANG TRAN HUI LIANG | 8:30 RRH 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK 8:30 SPN 8:30 ICD | MANSFIELD WILLIAMS / CLAY ROBISON MURPHY VELASCO | 8:30 RRH 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK 8:30 SPN | BEEL DANG HUI SYMKOWICK | 8:30 RRH 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK | SYMKOWICK MURPHY GADAR |
| 1:00 NBW 1:00 FAS 1:00 SMK 1:00 SPN | MANSFIELD VELASCO ROSAL TRAN | 1:00 NBW 1:00 FAS 1:00 SMK | ARCEO SYMKOWICK MARGOLIS | 1:00 SMK 1:00 SPN | ROSAL TRAN | 1:00 RRH 1:00 FAS 1:00 SMK 1:00 SPN 1:00 JYB | ARCEO VELASCO ROBISON MOORE LIANG | 1:00 RRH 1:00 FAS | MARGOLIS WILLIAMS |
| 22-Nov | | 23-Nov | | 24-Nov | | 25-Nov | | 26-Nov | |
| 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK | BEEL ROBISON | 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK 8:30 JYB | DANG MARGOLIS LIANG | 8:30 RRH 8:30 FAS | ARCEO WILLIAMS | HOLIDAY | | | |
| 1:00 FAS 1:00 SMK | MOORE GADAR | 1:00 FAS 1:00 SMK | CLAY / FIGUEROA LONG | | | | | | |
| 29-Nov | | 30-Nov | | | | | | | |
| 8:30 NBW 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK 8:30 SPN | BEEL CLAY / FIGUEROA ROBISON MURPHY | 8:30 NBW 8:30 FAS 8:30 SMK | DANG WILLIAMS ROSAL | | | | | | |
| 1:00 NBW 1:00 FAS 1:00 SMK 1:00 SPN | MANSFIELD VELASCO MARGOLIS LIANG | 1:00 NBW 1:00 FAS 1:00 SMK | ARCEO CLAY / FIGUEROA GADAR | | | | | | |

| LGL AD SPEC | LEAVE/CWS |
|-------------|-----------|
| AQUINO | |
| ARCEO | |
| BEEL | |
| CLAY | |
| DANG | |
| FIGUEROA | |
| GADAR | |
| HUI | |
| LIANG | |
| LONG | |
| LUK | |
| MANSFIELD | |
| MARGOLIS | |
| MOORE | |
| MURPHY | |
| ROBISON | |
| ROSAL | |
| SYMKOWICK | |
| TRAN | |
| VELASCO | |
| WILLIAMS | |

(b)(6)

From: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)
Sent: Tue, 17 Aug 2021 16:32:24 +0000
To: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR);Clay, Michael (EOIR)
Cc: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)
Subject: Specialized Completion Log

All,

The master tracking sheet for the specialized completion log can be found on the G drive, under SFR specialized completion log. I've add in the dates of the specialized masters I am aware of, but as more dockets get added you'll want to add in those dates to the list on the (b)(5); (b)(7)(E). Also, in order to get the percentages, you'll want to enter the # of scheduled cases on the docket as soon as you create it. Once the completed cases are entered the spreadsheet automatically gives you the percentage completed. The last 3 dockets have an error because there are no numbers entered. I'm waiting for Scott to create the dockets. I understand this list (b)(5)

(b)(5) hanks.

| Specialized Docket Running Totals | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| San Francisco Immigration Court | | | | | |
| 8/17/2021 | | | | | |
| Judge | Type of Specialized Docket | Hearing Date | # of cases scheduled | # of cases completed | Percentage |
| Caborn | Returned Notice Docket | 6/15/20 21 | 21 | 17 | 81% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/23/20 21 | 55 | 44 | 80% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/27/20 21 | 100 | 79 | 79% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/30/20 21 | 58 | 55 | 95% |
| Swink | Returned Notice Docket | 8/4/202 1 | 22 | 15 | 68% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/6/202 1 | 95 | 87 | 92% |
| Figuer oa | Returned Notice Docket | 8/9/202 1 | 17 | 14 | 82% |
| Start | Returned Notice Docket | 8/16/20 21 | 26 | 18 | 69% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/13/20 21 | 111 | 103 | 93% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/20/20 21 | 106 | | 0% |

| | | | | | |
|--------|------------------------------|---------------|-----|--|---------|
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/27/20 21 | 118 | | 0% |
| Young | 7A Status Docket Juvenile | 8/27/20 21 | 50 | | 0% |
| Swink | Returned Notice Docket | 9/1/202 1 | 21 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/3/202 1 | 93 | | 0% |
| Young | 7A Status Docket Juvenile | 9/9/202 1 | 73 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/10/20 21 | 105 | | 0% |
| Deiss | Returned Notice Docket | 9/15/20 21 | 38 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/17/20 21 | 94 | | 0% |
| Star | Returned Notice Docket | 9/22/20 21 | 27 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/24/20 21 | 82 | | 0% |
| George | 7A Status Docket Juvenile | 9/27/20 21 | 33 | | 0% |
| Phan | Returned Notice Docket | 9/28/20 21 | | | #DIV/0! |
| Caborn | Returned Notice Docket | 9/29/20 21 | | | #DIV/0! |
| Savage | Returned Notice Docket | 10/7/20 21 | | | #DIV/0! |

Maria Jauregui
 Court Administrator
 San Francisco Immigration Court
 100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 415.705.0164
 Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov

From: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)
Sent: Tue, 10 Aug 2021 01:02:29 +0000
To: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR)
Cc: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Subject: Specialized Docket Completions

Updated with the 8/9 results. Thanks

| Specialized Docket Running Totals | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| San Francisco Immigration Court | | | | | |
| 8/9/2021 | | | | | |
| Judge | Type of Specialized Docket | Hearing Date | # of cases scheduled | # of cases completed | Percentage |
| Caborn | Returned Notice Docket | 6/15/20 21 | 21 | 17 | 81% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/23/20 21 | 55 | 44 | 80% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/27/20 21 | 100 | 79 | 79% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/30/20 21 | 58 | 55 | 95% |
| Swink | Returned Notice Docket | 8/4/202 1 | 22 | 15 | 68% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/6/202 1 | 95 | 87 | 92% |
| Figuer oa | Returned Notice Docket | 8/9/202 1 | 17 | 14 | 82% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/13/20 21 | 111 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/20/20 21 | 106 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/27/20 21 | 118 | | 0% |
| Young | 7A Status Docket Juvenile | 8/27/20 21 | 50 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/3/202 1 | 93 | | 0% |
| Young | 7A Status Docket Juvenile | 9/9/202 1 | 73 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/10/20 21 | 105 | | 0% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/17/20 21 | 94 | | 0% |

| | | | | | |
|--------|------------------------------|---------------|----|--|----|
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 9/24/20 21 | 82 | | 0% |
| George | 7A Status Docket Juvenile | 9/27/20 21 | 33 | | 0% |

Maria Jauregui
Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.705.0164
Maria.Jauregui@usdoj.gov

From: Jauregui, Maria (EOIR)
Sent: Fri, 13 Aug 2021 22:59:13 +0000
To: Young, Elizabeth L. (EOIR);McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Subject: Specialized Docket Log

| Specialized Docket Running Totals | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| San Francisco Immigration Court | | | | | |
| 8/13/2021 | | | | | |
| Judge | Type of Specialized Docket | Hearing Date | # of cases scheduled | # of cases completed | Percentage |
| Caborn | Returned Notice Docket | 6/15/20 21 | 21 | 17 | 81% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/23/20 21 | 55 | 44 | 80% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/27/20 21 | 100 | 79 | 79% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 7/30/20 21 | 58 | 55 | 95% |
| Swink | Returned Notice Docket | 8/4/202 1 | 22 | 15 | 68% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/6/202 1 | 95 | 87 | 92% |
| Figuer oa | Returned Notice Docket | 8/9/202 1 | 17 | 14 | 82% |
| Nelson | 7A Status Docket Adult | 8/13/20 21 | 111 | 103 | 93% |

Maria Jauregui
 Court Administrator
 San Francisco Immigration Court
 100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 415.705.0164
maria.jauregui@usdoj.gov

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Wed, 15 Sep 2021 23:41:07 +0000
To: Michael Alster
Subject: Specialized Masters October-November 2021

| | | |
|--------|------------------------|------------|
| Savage | Returned Notice Docket | 10/7/2021 |
| Deiss | Returned Notice Docket | 10/13/2021 |
| Caborn | Returned Notice Docket | 10/18/2021 |
| Phan | Returned Notice Docket | 10/26/2021 |
| Star | Returned Notice Docket | 10/29/2021 |
| Deiss | 7A Status Docket Adult | 10/27/2021 |
| Phan | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/2/2021 |
| Caborn | Returned Notice Docket | 11/3/2021 |
| Deiss | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/3/2021 |
| Phan | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/4/2021 |
| Butler | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/4/2021 |
| Phan | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/9/2021 |
| Butler | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/9/2021 |
| Deiss | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/10/2021 |
| Butler | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/16/2021 |
| Deiss | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/17/2021 |
| Butler | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/18/2021 |
| Butler | 7A Status Docket Adult | 11/23/2021 |

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144

From: McDaniel, Scott (EOIR)
Sent: Thu, 15 Jul 2021 21:02:56 +0000
To: Phan, Susan (EOIR);Tran, Anna (EOIR);Clay, Michael (EOIR)
Cc: Margolin, Daren K. (EOIR);Jauregui, Maria (EOIR);Interpreter Request, San Francisco (EOIR)
Subject: SPN: Special Master 8-24-2021 @ 9am

Good Afternoon:

Judge Phan has agreed to hear a special master for unrepresented respondents with multiple returned undeliverable hearing notices. The intention of this master (b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6) This master will be scheduled to occur on Tuesday, August 24th at 9am. I will be scheduling the cases to the special master this afternoon and will hold the ROPs in my office. I will also be sending the docket to DHS and ask that they provide I-213s on each case should they wish to not appear in person under our standing telephonic appearance orders.

Thanks:

Scott McDaniel
Deputy Court Administrator
San Francisco Immigration Court
100 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94104
415.705.0144