



April 4, 2019

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND ONLINE PORTAL

Charis Wilson, Ph.D.
NPS FOIA Officer
12795 W. Alameda Parkway
P.O. Box 25287
Denver, CO 80225
npsfoia@nps.gov

Brian A. May
FOIA Officer
U.S. Geological Survey
Department of the Interior
5522 Research Park Drive
Baltimore, MD 21228
(443) 498-5521 (office)
(443) 498-5510 (fax)
foia@usgs.gov (email)

Sarah Kotler
Director, Division of Freedom of
Information Office
Executive Secretariat Office of the
Commissioner
Food and Drug Administration
12420 Parklawn Drive
Room 1020
Rockville, MD 20857
Via Online Portal

USDA Forest Service
FOIA Service Center
1400 Independence Avenue SW
Mail Stop: 1143
Washington, DC 20250-1143
wo_foia@fs.fed.us

National Science Foundation
Attn: FOIA Officer
2415 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA, 22314
foia@nsf.gov

Cristina Abello
FOIA Assistant, USDA Agricultural
Research Service
Room 1-2248 - Building GWCC, Mail Stop
5128
5601 Sunnyside Ave.
Beltsville, MD, 20705-5128
reefoia@ars.usda.gov

Carl Mitchell
FOIA Officer, Indian Health Service
Indian Health Service FOIA Office
Division of Regulatory Affairs/FOIA
5600 Fishers Lane, Mailstop 09E70
Rockville, MD, 20857
IHSFOIAMailbox@ihs.gov

National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration
Public Reference Facility (SOU1000)
1315 East-West Highway (SSMC3)
Room 9719
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Via FOIAOnline

NASA Headquarters
300 E Street, SW
Room 5Q16
Washington, DC 20546
(202) 358-2462
hq-foia@nasa.gov

National Freedom of Information Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW (2822T)
Washington, DC 20460
Via FOIAOnline



FEMA Information Management Division
500 C Street SW
Mailstop 3172
Washington, DC 20472
Fema-foia@fema.dhs.gov

Catherine S. Fletcher
Freedom of Information Act Officer
National Institute of Standards and
Technology
100 Bureau Drive - Stop 1710
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-1710
foia@nist.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Freedom of Information Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and your agency's implementing regulations, American Oversight makes the following request for records. The records requested herein may reside in multiple components or offices within your agency. We ask that you coordinate this request across your agency in your role as the agency's FOIA contact.

Between December 22, 2018, and January 25, 2019, the federal government experienced a partial shutdown following the inability of Congress and President Trump to agree on a spending bill. During this time, many long-running research projects and scientific endeavors ground to a halt due to lack of funding. American Oversight seeks to shed light on the impact and costs of the partial government shutdown on the federal government's scientific and public safety programs.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your agency produce the following within twenty business days:

1. Any records reflecting assessments of the potential or actual impact of the partial government shutdown that lasted from December 22, 2018, until January 25, 2019, on your agency's scientific research or data-collection programs—including memoranda, summaries, after action reports, final talking points, impact analyses or other internal reports for Agency leadership—including long-term consequences of the shutdown. Records addressing the potential, actual, or anticipated long-term consequences from the government shutdown on your agency's research programs should be considered responsive to this request.
2. To the extent not already included in part 1, records sufficient to identify any research programs or studies that were halted, delayed, postponed, rescheduled, canceled, impaired, or otherwise impacted as a result of the partial government shutdown that lasted from December 22, 2018, until January 25, 2019.
3. To the extent not already included in parts 1 and 2, all records reflecting communications (including email messages, attachments, and calendar invitations) regarding the halting, cancellation, or impairment of any research programs or studies as a result of the partial government shutdown that lasted from December 22, 2018, until January 25, 2019.

4. Records sufficient to identify any funds reprogrammed within your agency to mitigate any effects of the pause in federal funding from December 22, 2018, until January 25, 2019.
5. Any communications from nongovernmental groups or individuals (e.g., anyone communicating from an email address ending in .com, .net, .org, .mail, or .edu) reporting hampered research efforts, such as data blackouts, as a result of the partial government shutdown that lasted from December 22, 2018, until January 25, 2019.
6. Any resignation letters submitted between December 22, 2018, and February 1, 2019.
7. Any communications (including email messages, email attachments, memoranda, or calendar invitations) regarding the effect of the partial government shutdown on recruitment efforts at your agency, such as a decline in applications, canceled recruiting events, or other recruitment-related initiatives.

While your agency is best positioned to identify where such records would be located, American Oversight welcomes the opportunity to discuss the request before a search is undertaken.

Please provide all responsive records from December 1, 2018, to the date of search.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. **No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.**

Please search all records regarding agency business. **You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts.** Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.¹ **It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.**²

¹ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

² See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) (“The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the

In addition, please note that in conducting a “reasonable search” as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered your agency’s prior FOIA practices unreasonable. **In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches.**³ Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians’ files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency’s archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that your agency use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. **However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.**

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information “only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption” or “disclosure is prohibited by law.”⁴ If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), *cert. denied*, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a *Vaughn* index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity “to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA.”⁵ Moreover, the *Vaughn* index “must describe *each* document or portion thereof withheld, and for *each* withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information.”⁶ Further, “the withholding agency must supply ‘a relatively detailed

official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official’s] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official’s] work email account.” (citations omitted)).

³ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records Directive,” M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

⁴ FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114-185).

⁵ *Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell*, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).

⁶ *King v. U.S. Dep’t of Justice*, 830 F.2d 210, 223-24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphases in original).

justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply.”⁷ In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.⁸ Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a *Vaughn* index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request. American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, you are on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight hopes to decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency’s implementing regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to public understanding of those operations. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is in likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of activities of the government. In particular, the requested records are directly related to the operations of key science agencies and departments, and they will help American Oversight and the general public understand whether and to what extent long-term scientific research and programs were jeopardized by the politics of

⁷ *Id.* at 224 (citing *Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep’t of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

⁸ *Mead Data Central*, 566 F.2d at 261.

the shutdown. Press reporting has indicated that the effects of a shutdown on science agencies can be wide-ranging and severe,⁹ and the public deserves to understand the damage inflicted on federal scientific endeavors. And, as described below, American Oversight has the intention and ability to disseminate the records it receives to a broad audience.

This request is primarily and fundamentally not for commercial purposes, but rather the primary interest is in public disclosure of responsive records. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.¹⁰ American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content. For example, after receiving records regarding an ethics waiver received by a senior DOJ attorney,¹¹ American Oversight promptly posted the records to its website and published an analysis of what the records reflected about DOJ's process for ethics waivers.¹² As another example, American Oversight has a project called "Audit the Wall," where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.¹³

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Conclusion

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this

⁹ Angela Wilson, *Science Gets Shut Down Along With the Federal Government*, CBS NEWS (Jan. 14, 2019, 11:37 AM), <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/science-gets-shut-down-along-with-the-federal-government/>.

¹⁰ American Oversight currently has approximately 12,200 page likes on Facebook and 54,300 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Mar. 25, 2019); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Mar. 25, 2019).

¹¹ *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>.

¹² *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

¹³ *Audit the Wall*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>.

request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Hart Wood at foia@americanoversight.org or 202.873.1743. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Austin R. Evers". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal line extending to the left.

Austin R. Evers
Executive Director
American Oversight